



ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY,

ANCIENT AND MODERN,

FROM THE

BIRTH OF CHRIST, TO THE BEGINNING OF THE PRESENT CENTURY

IN WHICH

THE RISE, PROGRESS, AND VARIATIONS OF

CHURCH POWER,

ARE CONSIDERED IN THEIR CONNEXION WITH THE STATE OF

Learning and Philosophy,

AND THE

POLITICAL HISTORY OF EUROPE DURING THAT PERIOD.

BY THE LATE

LEARNED, JOHN LAWRENCE MOSHEIM, D.D.

And Chancellor of the University of Gottingen.

TRANSLATED FROM THE ORIGINAL LATIN, AND ACCOMPANIED WITH NOTES AND CHRONOLOGICAL TABLES.

BY ARCHIBALD MACLAINE, D.D.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

TO WHICH IS ADDED, AN INDEX.

VOL. IV.

-000-

NEW-YORK:

PUBLISHED BY EVERT DUYCKINCK, 68 WATEF-STREET

James & John Harper, Printers.

1821.

2. 5 1853e 84

Digitized by the Internet Archive

This project is made possible by a grant from the Institute of Museum and Library Services as administered by the Pennsylvania Department of Education through the Office of Commonwealth Libraries

THE SEVENTEENTH CENTURY.

CONTINUED.

SECTION II.

PART II.

HISTORY OF THE MODERN CHURCHES,

CHAPTER I.

1. WE have already seen the calamities and vexations

HISTORY OF THE LUTHERAN CHURCH.

the lutheran church suffered from the persecuting spirit of the Roman pontiffs, and the intemperate The lutheran zeal of the house of Austria, which, on many occasions, showed too great a propensity to second their ambitious and despotic measures; we shall therefore, at present, confine our view to the losses it sustained from other quarters. The cause of lutheranism suffered considerably by the desertion of Maurice, landgrave of Hesse, a prince of uncommon genius and learning, who not only embraced the doctrine and discipline of the reformed church, but also, in the year 1604, removed the lutheran professors from their places in the university of Marpurg, and the doctors of that communion from the churches they had in his dominions. Maurice, after taking this vigorous step, on account of the obstinacy with which the lutheran clergy opposed his design, took particular care to have his subjects instructed in the doctrine of the Helvetic church, and introduced into the Hessian churches the form of public

In the History of the Romish Church. See above.

The The reader must always remember, that the writers of the continent general, by use the denomination of reformed in a limited sense, to distinguish the church of England and the calvinistical churches from those of the lutheran persuasion.

worship that was observed at Geneva: This plan was not executed without some difficulty; but it acquired a complete degree of stability and consistence in the year 1619, when deputies were sent by this prince to the synod of Dort, in Holland, with express orders to consent, in the name of the Hessian churches, to all the acts that should be passed in that assembly. The doctors of the reformed church, who lived at this period, defended strenuously the measures followed by Maurice, and maintained, that in all these transactions he observed the strictest principles of equity, and discovered an uncommon spirit of moderation. Perhaps the doctors of modern days may view this matter in a different point of light. They will acknowledge, perhaps, without hesitation, that if this illustrious prince had been more influenced by the sentiments of the wisest of the reformed doctors, concerning the conduct we ought to observe toward those who differ from us in religious matters, and less by his own will and humour, he would have ordered many things otherwise than he actually did.

11. The example of the landgrave of Hesse was follow-The new reference of the randing rave of Hesse was follow-mation takes place in Brandenburg, who also renounced lutheranism and embraced the communication of Brandenburg. and embraced the communion of the reformed churches, though with certain restrictions, and without employing any acts of mere authority to engage his subjects in the same measure. For it is observable, that this prince did not adopt all the peculiar doctrines of calvinism. He introduced indeed into his dominions the form of public worship that was established at Geneva; and he embraced the sentiments of the reformed churches concerning the person of Christ, and the manner in which he is present in the cucharist, as they appeared to him much more conformable to reason and scripture than the doctrine of the lutherans relating to these points. But, on the other hand, he refused to admit the calvinistical doctrine of divine grace, and absolute decrees; and, on this account, neither sent deputies to the synod of Dort, nor adopted the deci-

The reader will find a more ample account of this matter in the controversial writings of the divines of Cassel and Dermstadt, published at Cassel, Marpurg, and Giessen, in the years 1632, 1636, 1647; and of which Salig speaks largely in his Hist. Aug. Confess. tom. i. lib. iv. cap. ii. p. 756. Those who understand the German lauguage may also consult Garth's Historischer Bericht von dem Religions Wesen in Furstenthum Hessen, 1706, in 4to. Cyprian's Unterricht von Kirchlicher Vereinigung der Protestanten, p. 263, and Appendix, p. 101. As also the Acts published in the Unschuldigen Nachrichten, A. 1749, p. 25.

sions of that famous assembly on these intricate subjects. This way of thinking was so exactly followed by the successors of Sigismund, that they never would allow the opinion of Calvin, concerning the divine decrees, to be considered as the public and received doctrine of the reformed churches in their dominions. It must be particularly mentioned, to the honour of this wise prince, that he granted to his subjects an entire liberty in religious matters, and left it to their unrestrained and free choice, whether they would remain in the profession of lutheranism, or follow the example of their sovereign; nor did he exclude from civil honours and employments, or from the usual marks of his protection and favour, those who continued in the faith of their ancestors. This lenity and moderation, which seemed so adapted to prevent jealousy and envy, and to satisfy both parties, did not however produce this natural and salutary effect; nor were they sufficient to restrain within the bounds of decency and charity, several warm and inconsiderate votaries of lutheranism. These over zealous persons, who breathed the violent spirit of an age, in which matters of consequence were usually carried on with vehemence and rigour, looked upon it as intolerable and highly provoking, that the lutherans and calvinists should enjoy the same honours and prerogatives; that all injurious terms and odious comparisons should be banished from religious debates; that the controverted points in theology should either be entirely omitted in the sermons and public discourses of the clergy, or explained with a spirit of modesty and christian charity; that certain rites which displeased the calvinists should be totally abolished; and that they who differed in opinions, should be obliged to live in peace, concord, and the mutual exchange of good offices. If it was unreasonable in them to be offended at injunctions of this nature, it was still more so to discover their indignation, in a manner that excited not only sharp and uncharitable debates, but also civil commotions and violent tumults, that disturbed considerably the tranquillity of the state, and nourished a spirit of sedition and revolt, which the labour of years was employed to extinguish in vain. In this troubled state of things, the divines of Saxony, and more especially those of Wittemberg, undertook to defend the lutheran cause; but if it be acknowledged, on the one hand, that their views were good, and their intentions up-

right; it must be owned, on the other, that their style was keen even to a degree of licentiousness, and their zeal warm beyond all measure. And indeed, as it generally happens, their want of moderation liurt, instead of promoting, the cause in which they had embarked; for it was in consequence of their violent proceedings, that the Form of Concord was suppressed in the territories of Brandenburg, and the subjects of that electorate prohibited, by a solenin edict, from studying divinity in the academy of Wittemburg.d

discovered an equal degree of pious zeal and for-

in. It was deplorable to see two churches, which had

Attempts titude, in throwing off the despotic yoke of Rome, a union be-tween the ludivided among themselves, and living in discords theran and reformed that were highly detrimental to the interests of religion, and the well being of society. Hence-several eminent divines and leading men, both among the lutherans and calvinists, sought anxiously after some method of uniting the two churches, though divided in their opinions, in the bonds of christian charity and ecclesiastical communion. A competent knowledge of human nature and human passions was sufficient to persuade these wise and pacific mediators, that a perfect uniformity in religious opinions was not practicable, and that it would be entirely extravagant to imagine that any of these communities could ever be brought to embrace universally, and without limitation, the doctrines of the other. They made it therefore their principal business to persuade those, whose spirits were inflamed with the heat of controversy, that the points in debate between the two churches were not essential to true religion; that the fundamental doctrines of Christianity were received and professed in both

d The edicts of Sigismund and his successors, relating to this change in the state of religion in Brandenburg, have been several times republished in one collection. Beside these, there are many books, treatises, and pamphlets, which give an account of this remarkable transaction, and of which the reader will find a complete list in the German work, entitled, Unschuldigen Nachrichten, An. 1745, p. 34, A 1746, p 326, compared with Jo Carol. Kocheri Bibliotheca Theologiae Symbolicae, p. 312. The reader who desires to attain to a perfect a security to the control of the contr pared with Jo Carol. Kocheri Bibliotheca Theologiæ Symbolicæ, p. 312. The reader who desires to attain to a perfect acquaintance with this controversy, and to be able to weigh the merits of the cause, by having a true state of the ease before him, will do well to consult Arnoldi, Histor. Eccles. et Hæret. p. ii lib. xvii. c. vii. p. 965. Cyprian's 'Unterright von der Vereinigung der Protestant,' p. 75, and in 'Append. Monum.' p. 225. 'Unschuldigen Nachrichten,' A. 1729, p. 1067, and A. 1732, p. 715. They who affirm that the elector's ultimate end, in changing the face of religion in his dominions, was not the prospect of augmenting and extending his authority, found their opinion rather on conjecture than on demonstration; nor do they confirm this assertion by testimonies that are sufficient to bring full conviction. It must however be acknowledged, on the other hand, that their conjectures have neither an abever be acknowledged, on the other hand, that their conjectures have neither an ahsurd nor an improvable aspect

communions; and that the difference of opinion between the contending parties, turned either upon points of an abstruse and incomprehensible nature, or upon matters of indifference, which neither tended to render mankind wiser nor better, and in which the interests of genuine piety were in no wise concerned. Those who viewed things in this point of light, were obliged to acknowledge, that the diversity of opinions between the two churches was by no means a sufficient reason for their separation; and that of consequence they were called, by the dictates of that gospel which they both professed, to live not only in the mutual exercise of christian charity, but also to enter into the fraternal bonds of church communion. The greatest part of the reformed doctors seemed disposed to acknowledge, that the errors of the lutherans were not of a momentous nature, nor of a pernicious tendency: and that the fundamental doctrines of Christianity had not undergone any remarkable alteration in that communion; and thus on their side an important step was made toward peace and union between the two churches. But the greatest part of the lutheran doctors declared, that they could not form a like judgment with respect to the doc-trine of the reformed churches; they maintained tena-ciously the importance of the points which divided the two communions, and affirmed, that a considerable part of the controversy turned upon the fundamental principles of all religion and virtue. It is not at all surprising, that this steadiness and constancy of the lutherans was branded by the opposite party with the epithets of morose obstinacy, supercilious arrogance, and such like odious denomina-tions. The lutherans were not behind hand with their adversaries in acrimony of style; they recriminated with vehemence, and charged their accusers with instances of misconduct, different in kind, but equally condemnable. They reproached them with having dealt disingenuously by disguising, under ambiguous expressions, the real doctrine of the reformed churches; they observed further, that their adversaries, notwithstanding their consummate pru-dence and circumspection, gave plain proofs, on many oc-casions, that their propensity to a reconciliation between the two churches arose from views of private interest, rather than from a zeal for the public good.

iv. Among the public transactions relative to the project of a union between the reformed and lutheran the synod of churches, we must not omit mentioning the attempt made by James I. king of Great Britain to accomplish this salutary purpose, in the year 1615. The person employed for this end by the British monarch, was Peter du Moulin, the most eminent among the protestant doctors in France; but this design was neither carried on with *spirit*, nor attended with success. Another attempt of the same pacific nature was made in the year 1631, in the synod of Charenton, in which an act was passed by the reformed doctors of that respectable assembly, declaring the lutheran system of religion conformable with the spirit of true picty, and free from pernicious and fundamental errors. By this act, a fair opportunity was offered to the luthcrans of joining with the reformed church upon honourable terms, and of entering into the bonds both of civil and religious communion with their calvinistical brethren.² But this candid and charitable proceeding was attended with very little fruit, since few of the lutherans were disposed to embrace the occasion that was here so freely offered them, of terminating the dissensions that separated the two churches. The same year a conference was held at Leipsic between the Saxon doctors, Hoc, Lyser, and Hopfner, on the one side, and some of the most eminent divines of Hesse Cassel and Brandenburg, on the other; to the end that, by exposing with fidelity and precision their respective doctrines, it might be more easily seen what the real obstacles were that stood in the way of the union projected between the two churches. This conference was conducted with decency and moderation, and the deliberations were neither disturbed by intemperate zeal, nor by a proud spirit of contention and dispute; but that openness of heart, that mutual trust and confidence, which are so essential to the success of all kinds of pacification, were wanting here. For though the doctors of the reformed party exposed, with the utmost precision

See La Vassor, Hist. de Louis XIII. tom. ii. p. ii. p. 21. King James, who would have abandoned the most important and noble design, at any time, to discuss a point of grammar or theology, or to gain a point of interest for himself or his minions, neglected this union of the lutheran and reformed churches, which he had begun to promote with such an appearance of piety and zeal g Benoit, Histoire de l'Edit de Nantes, tom. ii. p. 544. Aymon, Actes des Synodes Nationaux des Eglises Reformee, de France, tom. ii. p. 500. Ittigii Dissert. de Synodi Carentoniensis indulgentia erga Lutheranos, Lips. 1705, 4to.

and fairness, the tenets of their church, and made moreover many concessions, which the lutherans themselves could scarcely expect; yet the latter, suspicious and fear-ful, and always apprehensive of schemes, formed by artifice under the mask of candour, to betray and ensnare them, did not dare to acknowledge, that they were satisfied with these explications and offers; and thus the conference broke up without having contributed in any respect to promote the salutary work of peace.h To form a true idea of these pacific deliberations, of the reasons that gave rise to them, and of the principles by which they were conducted, it will be necessary to study the civil his-

tory of this interesting period with attention and care.

v. Uladislaus IV. king of Poland, formed a still more extensive plan of religious union than those hitherto mentioned; he proposed a reconciliation, The conferences at Thorn not only between the reformed and lutheran and Cassel.

churches, but also between these two communions and that of Rome. For this purpose, he ordered a conference to be held at Thorn, in the year 1645, the issue of which, as might naturally have been expected, was far from being favourable to the projected union; for the persons employed by the three churches to heal their divisions, or at least to calm their animosities, returned from this conference with a greater measure of party zeal, and a smaller portion of

christian charity, than they had brought to it.

The conference held at Cassel in the year 1661, by the order of William VI. landgrave of Hesse, between Musæus and Henichius, professors at Rintelen, on the side of the lutherans, and Curtius and Heinsius, of the university of Marpurg, on that of the reformed, was attended with much more success; and, if it did not bring about a perfect uniformity of opinion, it produced what was much better, a spirit of christian charity and forbearance. For these candid doctors, after having diligently examined the nature, and weighed the importance of the controversies that divided the two churches, embraced each other with reciprocal marks of affection and esteem, and mutually declared that their respective doctrines were less different

VOL. IV.

h Timanni Gasselii Historia Sacra et Ecclesiastica, p. ii. in addendis, p. 597-613, in which the Acts of this conference are published. Jo. Wolfg. Jaegeri Historia Sæcult xvii. Decenn. iv. p. 497 Decenn.

from each other than was generally imagined; and that this difference was not of sufficient moment to prevent their fraternal union and concord. But it happened unluckily. that these moderate doctors of Rintelen could not infuse the same spirit of peace and charity that animated them, into their lutheran brethren, nor persuade them to view the difference of opinion, that divided the protestant churches, in the same indulgent point of light in which they had considered them in the conference at Cassel. On the contrary, this their moderation drew upon them the hatred of almost all the lutherans; and they were loaded with bitter reproaches in a multitude of pamphlets, that were composed expressly to refute their sentiments, and to censure their conduct. The pains that were taken after this period by the princes of the house of Brandenburg, and more especially by Frederic William, and his son Frederic, in order to compose the dissensions and animosity that divide the protestants, and particularly to promote a fraternal union between the reformed and lutheran churches in the Prussian territories, and in the rest of their dominions, are well known; and it is also equally notorious, that innumerable difficulties were formed against the execution of this salutary design.

vi. Beside these public conferences, held by the authority of princes, in order to promote union and concord among protestants, a multitude of individuals, animated by a spirit of true christian charity, embarked in this pious cause on their own private authority, and offered their mediation and good offices to reconcile the two churches. It is true indeed that these peacemakers were, generally speaking, of the reformed church; and that those among the lutherans, who appeared in this amiable character, were but few, in comparison with the great number of calvinists that favoured this benevolent but arduous design. The most eminent of the calvinistical peacemakers was John Dureus, a native of Scotland, and a man justly celebrated on account

i The writers who have given accounts of the conferences of Thorn and Cassel are commerated by Sagittarins, in his Introl. ad Hist. Ecclesiast. tom. ii. p. 1604. See also Jaegeri Historia Saculi xvii. Decenn. v. p. 689, and Decenn. vii. p. 160, where the Acls of the conferences of Cassel and Thorn are extant. Add to these, Jo. Alphons. Turretini Nubes Testium peo moderato in rebus Theologicis judicio, p. 178. There is an aexple account of the conference of Cassel in the life of Museus given by Mollerus in his Cimbria Literata, tom. ii. p. 566. The reader will find in the same work, an accurate Index of the Accounts of this conference published on both sides.

of his universal benevolence, solid piety, and extensive learning; but, at the same time, more remarkable for genius and memory, than for nicety of discernment and accuracy of judgment, as might be evinced by several proofs and testimonies, were this the proper place for discussions of that nature. Be that as it will, never perhaps was there such an example of zeal and perseverance as that exhibited by Dureus, who, during the space of forty years, k suffered vexations, and underwent labours, which required the firmest resolution, and the most inexhaustible patience; wrote, exhorted, admonished, entreated, and disputed; in a word, tried every method that human wisdom could suggest, to put an end to the dissensions and animosities that reigned among the protestant churches. For it was not merely by the persuasive eloquence of his pen, or by forming plans in the silence of the closet, that this worthy divine performed the task which his benevolence and zeal engaged him to undertake; his activity and industry were equal to his zeal; he travelled through all the countries in Europe where the protestant religion had obtained any footing; he formed connexions with the doctors of both parties; he addressed himself to kings, princes, magistrates, and ministers; and by representing, in lively and striking colours, the utility and importance of the plan he had formed, hoped to engage them more or less in this good cause, or at least to derive some succour from their influence and protection. But here his views were considerably disappointed; for though his undertaking was generally applauded, and though he met with a favourable and civil reception from the greatest part of those to whom he addressed himself, yet he found very few who were seriously disposed to alleviate his labours, by lending him their assistance, and seconding his attempts by their influence and counsels. Nay, some suspecting that the fervent and extraordinary zeal of Dureus arose from mysterious and sinister motives, and apprehending that he had secretly formed a design of drawing the lutherans into a snare, attacked him in their writings with animosity and bitterness, and loaded him with the sharpest invectives and reproaches. So that this well meaning man, neglected at length by those of his own communion, opposed and rejected by the followers of Luther, involved in various perplexities and distress, exhausted by unsuccessful labour, and oppressed and dejected by injurious treatment, perceived, by a painful experience, that he had undertaken a task which was beyond the power of a private person, and spent the remainder of his days in repose and obscurity at Cassel.¹

It may not be improper to observe here, that Dureus, who, notwithstanding the uprightness of his intentions in general, was sometimes deficient in frankness and ingenuity, had annexed to his plan of reconciliation certain doctrines, which, were they susceptible of proof, would serve as a foundation for the union, not only of the lutherans and calvinists, but also of all the different sects that bear the christian name. For, among other things, he maintained, that the Apostle's Creed was a complete body of divinity; the Ten Commandments a perfect system of morals; and the Lord's Prayer a comprehensive series of petitions for all the blessings contained in the divine promises. Now if this notion, that these sacred compositions contain all that is essential to faith, obedience, and devotion, had been universally entertained, or evidently demonstrated, it would not have been a chimerical project to aim at a reconciliation of all christian churches upon this basis, and to render these compositions the foundation of their coalition and the bond of their union. But it would have been highly chimerical to expect that the christian sects would universally adopt this notion, or be pleased to see the doctrines of Christianity reduced to such general principles. It is further to be observed, with respect to Dureus, that he showed a peculiar propensity toward the sentiments of the mystics and quakers, on account of their tendency to fa-

¹ See Coleri Historia Joh. Daræi, published in 4to. at Wittemberg in 1716, to which however many important additions might be made from public records, and also from documents that have not as yet seen the light. Some records and documents, of the kind here referred to, have been published by Hassens, in his Bibliotheca Bremens. Theologico Philologica, tom. i. p. 911, and tom. iv. p. 683. A still greater number are given by Gesselins, in the Addenda benica, that are subjoined to his Historia Ecclesiastica, tom. ii. p. 614. The transactions of Duræus at Marpurg are mentioned by Schenk, in his Vitæ Professorum Theologiæ Marpurg, p. 202. His attempts in Holstein may be learned from the letters of Lackman and Lossins, which are joined together in the same volume. His exploits in Prussia and Poland are recorded by Jablonsky, in his Historia Consensus Sendomiriensis, p. 127, and his labours in Denmark, the Palutinate, and Switzerland, are mentioned respectively by Elswich, in his Fasciculus Epistol. Theolog. p. 147. Seelen's Deliciæ Epistol. p. 353, and it the Museum Helvet. tom iii, iv. v. See also Jaegeri Historia Sæenli xvii. Decenn. vii. p. 171. Bolunius, Englische Reformations Historie, and more especially an account of Duræus, published under my direction at Helmstadt, in the year 1744, by Benzelius, and entitled, Dissertatio de Johan. Duræo, nuarime de Actis ejus Succanis. This Dissertation contains a variety of anecdotes drawn from records not yet made public.

vour his conciliatory and pacific project. Like them he placed the essence of religion in the ascent of the soul to God, in calling forth the hidden word, in fanning the divine spark that resides in the recesses of the human mind, and, in consequence of this system, was intimately persuaded, that differences, merely in theological opinions, did not at all concern the essence of true piety.

vii. Those among the lutherans that appeared the most zealous in this pacific cause, were John Matthiæ, Matthiæ and bishop of Strengnes in Sweden, and George Calixius, professor of divinity at Helmstadt, whom Dureus had animated with a portion of his charitable and indulgent spirit. The former was a man of capacity and merit, the latter was eminently distinguished among the doctors of this century, by his learning, genius, probity, and candour; but they both failed in the arduous undertaking in which they had engaged, and suffered considerably in their attempts to promote the cause of unity and concord. The Olive Branches of Matthiæ, who entitled thus his pacific productions, were, by a royal edict, publicly con-demned and suppressed in Sweden; and their author, in order to appease the fury of his enemies, was obliged to resign his bishopric, and pass the rest of his days in retirement.º The zeal of Calixtus, in calming the tumultuous and violent spirit of the contending parties, drew upon him the bitterest reproaches, and the warmest animosity and resentment from those who were more bent on maintaining their peculiar opinions, than in promoting that charity which is the end of the commandment; and while he was labouring to remove all sects and divisions, he appeared to many of his brethren in the light of a new sectary, who was founding the most pernicious of all sects, even that of the syncretists, who were supposed to promote peace and concord at the expense of truth. We shall, before we finish this chapter, endeavour to give a more particular and circumstantial account of the sentiments and trials of this great man, to whose charge many other things were laid,

m Matthiz had been chaplain to Gus'avus Adolphus, and was afterward appointed, by that prince, preceptor to his daughter Christina, so famous in history, on account of the whinsical peculiarities of her character, her taste for learning, and her desertion of the Swedish throne, and the protestant religion.

n Rami Olivæ Septentrionalis.
 o See Schefferi Suecia Litterata, p. 123, and Joh. Molleri ad cam Hypomnemata,
 p. 317. Arkenholtz, Memoires de la Reine Christine, tom. i. p. 320, 505, tom. ii. p. 63.

beside the *crime* of endeavouring to unite the disciples of the same master in the amiable bonds of charity, concord, and mutual forbearance; and whose opinions and designs excited warm contests in the lutheran church.

vIII. The external state of the lutheran church at this period was attended with various circumstances of perous events prospecity, among which we may reckon its stand-

that happening firm against the assaults of Rome, whose artifice and violence were in vain employed to bring on its destruction. It is well known, that a very considerable number of lutherans resided in those provinces where the public exercise of their religion was prohibited. It has more especially been shown, by the late memorable emigration of the Saltzburgers, that still greater numbers of them lay concealed in that land of despotism and bigotry, where the smallest dissent from popery, with whatever secrecy and circumspection it may be disguised, is considered as an enormous and capital crime; and that they preserved their religious sentiments and doctrines pure and uncorrupted amidst the contagion of Romish superstition. which they always beheld with aversion and horror. those countries which are inhabited by persons of different communions, and whose sovereigns are members of the Romish church, we have numberless instances of the eruelty and injustice practised by the papists against those that dissent from them; and these cruelties are exercised under a pretext suggested by the most malevolent bigotry, which represents these dissenters as seditious subjects, and consequently as worthy of the most rigorous treatment. And vet it is certain, that, amidst all these vexations, the lutheran church has stood its ground; nor has either the craft or fury of its enemies been able, any where, to deprive it entirely of its rights and privileges. It may further be observed, that the doctrine of Luther was carried into Asia, Africa, and America, by several persons, who fixed their habitations in those distant regions, and was also introduced into some parts of Europe, where it had hitherto been unknown.

P For an account of the persecuted lutherans in the archbishopric of Saltzbourg, see Burnet's Travels. See more especially a famous Latin discourse, published at Tabingen, in the year 1732, under the following title; Commentariolus Theologicus de non tolerandis in Religione Dissentientibus, quam Præside Christ, Matth. Pfassio defendet Wolf. Lud. Letsching.

tx. When we turn our view to the internal state of the lutheran church during this century, we shall find the progress of learning among the lutherans. It therans.

must be acknowledged, to the honour of the lutherans, that they cultivated all the various branches of literature, both sacred and profane, with uncommon industry and success, and made several improvements in the sciences, which are too well known to stand in need of a particular mention; and of which a circumstantial enumeration would be inconsistent with the brevity we propose to observe in this history. But if it cannot be denied, on the one hand, that the cause of religion gained by these improvements in learning, it must be owned on the other, that some branches of science were perverted by injudicious or ill designing men, to corrupt the pure simplicity of genuine Christianity, and to render its doctrines abstruse and intricate. Thus it too often happens in life, that the best things are the most egre-

giously abused.

About the commencement of this century, the sciences chiefly cultivated in the schools were logic and metaphysics; though the manner of treating them was almost entirely destitute of elegance, simplicity, and precision. But, in process of time, the scene changed in the seminaries of learning; and the more entertaining and agreeable branches of literature, that polish wit, excite taste, exercise judgment, and enrich memory, such as civil and natural history, philology, antiquities, criticism, and eloquence, gained the ascendant. Both these kinds of knowledge acquired also a more graceful, consistent, and regular form than that under which they had hitherto appeared. But it happened most unluckily, that while the boundaries of science were extended from day to day, and new discoveries and improvements were constantly enriching the republic of letters, the credit of learning began sensibly to decrease, and learned men seemed gradually to lose those peculiar marks of veneration and distinction that the novelty of their character, as well as the excellence and importance of their labours, had hitherto drawn from the public. Among the various circumstances that contributed to this decline of literary glory, we may particularly reckon the multitude of those, who, without natural capacity, taste, or inclina-tion, were led, by authority or a desire of applause, to literary pursuits; and by their ignorance or their pedantry,

cast a reproach upon the republic of letters.

x. The only kind of philosophy that was taught in the The state of lutheran schools, during the greatest part of this century, was that of Aristotle, dressed up in that scholastic form that increased its native intrieacy and subtilty. And such was the devout and excessive veneration entertained by many for this abstruse system, that any attempt to reject the Grecian oracle, or to correct its decisions, was looked upon as the most dangerous consequence to the interests of the church, and as equally criminal with a like attempt upon the sacred writings. who distinguished themselves in the most extraordinary mauner by their zealous and invincible attachment to the peripatetic philosophy, were the divines of Leipsic, Tubingen, Helmstadt, and Altorf. The enchantment however was not universal; and there were many who, withdrawing their private judgment from the yoke of authority, were bold enough to see with their own eyes; and of consequence discerned the blemishes that were indeed sufficiently visible in the pretended wisdom of the Grecian sage. The first attempt to reduce his authority within narrow bounds was made by certain pious and prudent divines, who, though they did not pretend to discourage all philosophical inquiries, yet were desirous of contining them to a few select subjects; and complained, that the pompous denomination of philosophy was too frequently prostituted, by being applied to unintelligible distinctions, and words, or rather sounds, destitute of sense. These were succeeded in their dislike of the peripatetic philosophy by the disciples of Ramus, who had credit enough to hanish it from several seminaries of learning, and to substitute in its place the system of their master, which was of a more practical kind, and better adapted to the purposes of life." But if the philosophy of Aristotle met with adversaries who opposed it upon solid and rational principles, it had also enemies of a very different character, who imprudently declaimed against philosophy in general, as highly detrimental to the cause of religion and the interests of society.

⁴ Such, among others, was Wenseslas Schillingius, of whom a particular account is given by Arnold, in his Histor, Eccles. et. Havet p. ii. lib. xvii. cap. vi.

r See Jo. Herman ab Elswick, De vacia Acistotelis fortuna, & xxi. p. 54, and Walchins, Historia Logices, lib. ii. cap. ii. sect. iii. & v. in Parcegis ejus Academicis, p. 643.

Such was the fanatical extravagance of Daniel Hoffman, professor at Helmstadt, who discovered, in this controversy, an equal degree of ignorance and animosity; and such also were the followers of Robert Fludd, Jacob Behmen, and the rosecrucians, who boasted of having struck out, by the assistance of fire and divine illumination, a new, wonderful, and celestial system of philosophy, of which mention has been already made. These adversaries of the Stagirite were divided among themselves, and this diminished the strength and vigour of their opposition to the common enemy. But had they been ever so closely united in their sentiments and measures, they would not have been able to overturn the empire of Aristotle, which was deeply rooted in the schools through long possession, and had a powerful support in the multitude of its votaries and defenders.

x1. The peripatetic system had still more formidable adversaries to encounter in Des Cartes and Gassendi, whose writings were composed with that perspicuity and precision that rendered them singugains ground. larly agreeable to many of the lutheran doctors of this century, and made them look with contempt on that obsolete and barren philosophy of the schools, which was expressed in uncouth terms and barbarous phrases, without taste, elegance, or accuracy. The votaries of Aristotle beheld with envy these new philosophers, used their most zealous endeavours to bring them into discredit, and, for this purpose, represented their researches and principles as highly detrimental to the interests of religion and the growth of true piety. But when they found, by experience, that these inethods of attack proved unsuccessful, they changed their manner of proceeding, and, like a prudent general, who, besieged by a superior force, abandons his outworks and retires into the citadel, they relinquished much of their jargon, and defended only the main and essential principles of their system. To render these principles more palatable, they began to adorn them with the graces of elocution, and to mingle with their philosophical tenets the charms of polite literature. They even went so far as to confess that Aristotle, though the prince of philosophers, was chargeable with errors and defects, which it was both lawful and

s See above, in the General History of the Church, & xxxi.

expedient to correct. But these concessions only served to render their adversaries more confident and enterprising, since they were interpreted as resulting from a consciousness of their weakness, and were looked upon as a manifest acknowledgment of their defeat. In consequence of this, the enemies of the Stagirite renewed their attacks with redoubled impetuosity, and with a full assurance of victory; nor did they confine them to those branches of the peripatetic philosophy which were allowed by its votaries to stand in need of correction, but levelled them, without distinction, at the whole system, and aimed at nothing less than its total dissolution. Grotius indeed, who marched at the head of these philosophical reformers, proceeded with a certain degree of prudence and moderation. Puffendorf, in treating of the law of nature and of the duties of morality, threw off, with more boldness and freedom, the peripatetic yoke; and pursued a method entirely different from that which had been hitherto observed in the schools. This freedom drew upon him a multitude of enemies, who loaded him with the bitterest reproaches; his example was nevertheless followed by Thomasius, professor of law in the academy at Leipsie, and afterward at Hall, who attacked the peripatetics with new degrees of vehemence and zeal. This eniment man, though honourably distinguished by the excellence of his genius and the strength of his resolution, was not perhaps the properest person that could be pitched upon to manage the interests of philosophy. His views nevertheless were vast; he aimed at the reformation of philosophy in general, and of the peripatetic system in particular; and he assiduously employed both the power of exhortation and the influence of example, in order to persuade the Saxons to reject the aristotelian system, which he had never read, and which most certainly he did not understand. The scheme of philosophy that he substituted in its place, was received with little applause, and soon sunk into oblivion; but his attempt to overturn the system of the peripatetics, and to restore the freedom of philosophical inquiry, was attended with remarkable success, made, in a little time, the most rapid progress, and produced such admirable effects, that Thomasius is justly looked upon, to this day, as the chief of those bold spirits who pulled down philosophical tyranny from its throne in Germany, and gave a mortal blow to what

19

was called the sectarian philosophy, in that country. first seminary of learning that adopted the measures of Thomasius was that of Hall in Saxony, where he was professor; they were afterward followed by the rest of the German schools, by some sooner, and by others later; and from thence a spirit of philosophical liberty began to spread itself into other countries, where the lutheran religion was established. So that, toward the conclusion of this century, the lutherans enjoyed a perfect liberty of conducting their philosophical researches in the manner they judged the most conformable with truth and reason, of departing from the mere dictates of authority in matters of science, and of proposing publicly every one his respective opinions. This liberty was not the consequence of any positive decree of the state, nor was it inculcated by any law of the church; it seemed to result from that invisible disposal of things, which we call accident, and certainly proceeded from the efforts of a few great men, seconding and exciting that natural propensity toward free inquiry, that can never be totally extinguished in the human mind. Many employed this liberty in extracting, after the manner of the ancient eclectics, what they thought most conformable to reason, and most susceptible of demonstration, from the productions of the different schools, and connecting these extracts in such a manner as to constitute a complete body of philosophy. But some made a yet mere noble use of this inestimable privilege, by employing, with indefatigable zeal and industry, their own faculties in the investigation of truth, and building upon solid and unchangeable principles a new and sublime system of philosophy. At the head of these we may place Leibnitz, whose genius and labours have deservedly rendered his name immortal."

In this conflict between the reformers of philosophy and the votaries of Aristotle, the latter lost ground from day to day, and his system, in consequence of the extremes that reformers often fall into, grew so disgusting and odious, that condemnation was passed on every part of it. Hence the science of metaphysics, which the Grecian sage had

philosophy, in the learned Brucker's Historia Critica Philosophia.

one of the ancient philosophers were meant, those who followed implicitly some one of the ancient philosophical sects, without daring to use the dictates of their private judgment, to correct or modify the doctrines or expressions of these hoary guides.

The curious reader will find an accurate and ample account of this revolution in

considered as the master science, as the original fountain of all true philosophy, was spoiled of its honours, and fell into contempt; nor was the authority and influence even of Des Cartes, who also set out, in his inquiries, upon metaphysical principles, sufficient to support it against the prejudices of the times. However, when the first heat of opposition began to cool, and the rage of party to subside, this degraded science was not only recalled from its exile, by the interposition and credit of Leibnitz, but was also reinstated in its former dignity and lustre.

xn. The defects and vices of the lutheran clergy have The virtues and defects of the lutheran clergy have been circumstantially exposed, and even exaggerated lutheran ated by many writers, who seem to require in the ministers of the good. ministers of the gospel a degree of perfection, which ought indeed always to be aimed at, but which no wise observer of human nature can ever hope to see generally reduced to practice. These censurers represent the leading men of the lutheran church as arrogant, contentious, despotic, and uncharitable; as destitute of christian simplicity and candour; fond of quibbling and dispute; judging of all things by the narrow spirit of party; and treating with the utmost antipathy and aversion those that differ from them ever so little in religious matters. The less considerable among the lutheran doctors were charged with ignorance, with a neglect of the sacred duties of their station, and with a want of talent in their character as public teachers. And the whole body were accused of avarice, laziness, want of piety, and corruption of manners.

It will be acknowledged, without difficulty, by those who have studied with attention and impartiality the genius, manners, and history of this century, that the lutheran clergy are not wholly irreproachable with respect to the matters that are here laid to their charge, and that many lutheran churches were under the direction of pastors who were highly deficient, some in zeal, others in abilities, many in both, and consequently ill qualified for propagating the truths of Christianity with wisdom and success. But this reproach is not peculiarly applicable to the seventeenth century; it is a general charge, that, with too much truth, may be brought against all the ages of the church. On the other hand, it must be acknowledged, by all such as are not blinded by ignorance or partiality, that the whole of the lutheran clergy did not consist of these unworthy pas-

were distinguished by their learning, piety, gravity, and wisdom. Nay, perhaps it might be difficult to decide, whether in our times, in which some pretend that the sanctity of the primitive doctors is revived in several places, there be not as many that do little honour to the pasteral character as in the times of our ancestors. It must further be observed, that many of the defects which are invidiously charged upon the doctors of this age, were in a great measure owing to the infelicity of the times. They were the unhappy effects of those public calamities which a dreadful war, of thirty years duration, produced in Germany; they derived strength from the influence of a corrupt education, and were sometimes encouraged by the protection and countenance of vicious and profligate magistrates.

owing to the infelicity of the times, will appear evices of the lutheran clergy partly acknowledged, that during the greatest part of acknowledged, that discourses of the pulpit XIII. That the vices of the lutheran clergy were partly nor the instructions of the schools were adapted to promote among the people, just ideas of religion, or to give them a competent knowledge of the doctrines and precepts of the gospel. The eloquence of the pulpit, as some ludicrously and too justly represent it, was reduced, in many places, to the noisy art of bawling, during a certain space of time measured by a sand glass, upon various points of theology, which the orators understood but very little, and which the people did not understand at all; and when the important doctrines and precepts of Christianity were introduced in these public discourses, they were frequently disfigured by tawdry and puerile ornaments, wholly inconsistent with the spirit and genius of the divine wisdom that shines forth in the gospel, and were thus, in a great measure, deprived of their native beauty, efficacy, and power. All this must be confessed; but perhaps it may not appear surprising, when all things are duly considered. The ministers of the gospel had their heads full of sonorous and empty words, of trivial distinctions and metaphysical subtilties, and very ill furnished with that kind of knowledge that is adapted to touch the heart and to reform the life; they had also few models of true eloquence before their eyes; and therefore it is not much to be wondered, if they dressed out their discourses with for-

eign and tasteless ornaments.

The charge brought against the universities, that they spent more time in subtile and contentious controversy, than in explaining the holy scriptures, teaching the duties of morality, and promoting a spirit of picty and virtue, though too just, yet may also be alleviated by considering the nature and circumstances of the times. The lutherans were surrounded with a multitude of adversaries, who obliged them to be perpetually in a posture of defence; and the Roman catholics, who threatened their destruction, contributed, in a more particular manner, to excite in their doctors that polemic spirit, which unfortunately became a habit, and had an unhappy influence on the exercise both of their academical and pastoral functions. In time of war, the military art not only becomes singularly respectable, but is preferred, without hesitation, before all others, on account of its tendency to maintain the inestimable blessings of liberty and independence; and thus, in the midst of theological commotions, the spirit of controversy, by becoming necessary, gains an ascendant, which, even when the danger is over, it is unwilling to lose. It were indeed ardently to be wished, that the lutherans had treated with more mildness and charity those who differed from them in religious opinions, and had discovered more indulgence and forbearance toward such, more especially, as by ignorance, fanaticism, or excessive curiosity, were led into error, without pretending nevertheless to disturb the public tranquillity by propagating their particular systems. But they had unhappily imbibed a spirit of persecution in their early education; this was too much the spirit of the times, and it was even a leading maxim with our ancestors, that it was both lawful and expedient to use severity and force against those whom they looked upon as heretics. This maxim was derived from Rome; and even those who separated from that church did not find it easy to throw off, all of a sudden, that despotic and uncharitable spirit that had so long been the main spring of its government, and the general characteristic of its members. Nay, in their narrow views of things, their very piety seemed to suppress the generous movements of fraternal love and forbearance; and the more they felt themselves animated with a zeal for the divine glory, the more difficult did they find it to renounce that ancient and favourite maxim, which had so often been ill interpreted and ill applied, that whoever is found to be an enemy to God, ought also to be declared an enemy to his

country."

xiv. There were few or no changes introduced, during this century, into the form of government, the The ecclesimethod of worship, and the external rites and ceramination laws and polity of the lutherans. Many alterations would indeed have been made in all these, had the princes and states of that communion judged it expedient to put in execution the plans that had been laid by Thomasius, and other eminent men, for reforming its ecclesiastical polity. These plans were built upon a new principle, which supposed, that the majesty and supreme authority of the sovereign was the only source of church power. On this fundamental principle, which these great men took all imaginable pains to prove, by solid and striking arguments, they raised a voluminous system of laws, which, in the judgment of many, evidently tended to this conclusion; that the same sovereign who presides in the state ought to rule in the church; that prince and pontiff are inseparable characters; and that the ministers of the gospel are not the ambassadors of the Deity, but the deputies or vicegerents of the civil magistrate. These reformers of lutheranism did not stop here; they reduced within narrower bounds the few privileges and advantages that the clergy yet retained, and treated many of the rites, institutions, and customs of our church, as the remains of popish superstition. Hence an abundant source of contention was opened, and a long and tedious controversy was carried on with warmth and animosity between the clergy and civilians. We leave others to determine with what views these debates were commenced and fomented, and with what success they were respectively carried on by the contending parties. We shall only observe, that their effects and consequences were unhappy, as in many places they proved, in the issue, detrimental to the reputation of the clergy, to the dignity

it It were to be wished that the latherans had not, in many places, persevered in these severe and despotic principles longer than other protestant churches. Until this very day, the Latherans of Francfort on the Maine have always refused to permit the reformed to celebrate public worship within the bounds, or even in the suburbs of that city. Many attempts have been made to conquer their obstinacy in this respect, but hitherto without success.

and authority of religion, and to the peace and prosperity of the lutheran church.* The present state of that church verifies too plainly this observation. It is now its fate to see few entering into its public service, who are adapted to restore the reputation it has lost, or to maintain that which it yet retains. Those who are distinguished by illustrious birth, uncommon genius, and a liberal and ingenuous turn of mind, look upon the study of theology which had so little external honours and advantages to recommend it, as below their ambition; and hence the number of wise, learned, and eminent ministers grows less considerable from day to day. This circumstance is deeply lamented by those among us who consider with attention the dangerous and declining state of the lutheran church; and it is to be feared, that our descendants will have reason to lament it still more bitterly.

xv. The eminent writers that adorned the lutheran church through the course of this century, were The most eminent lutheran many in number. We shall only mention those whom it is most necessary for a student of ecclesiastical history to be more particularly acquainted with; such are Ægidius and Nicholas Hunnius; Leonard Hutter; Joseph and John Ernesti Gerhard; George and Frederic Ulric Calixtus; the Mentzers; the Oleaniuses; Frederic Baldwin; Albert Grawer; Matthias Hoe; the Carpzoviuses; John and Paul Tarnovius; John Affelman; Eiliart Luber; the Lysers; Michael Walther; Joachim Hildebrand; John Valentine Andreas; Solomon Glassius; Abraham Calovius; Theodore Hackspan; John Hulseman; Jacob Weller; Peter and John Mausaus, brothers; John Conrad Danhaver; John George Dorschæus; John Arndt; Martin Geyer; John Adam Shartzer; Balthazar and John Meisner; Augustus Pfeisser; Henry and John Muller; Justus Christopher Schomer; Schastian Schmidt; Christopher Horsholt; the Osianders; Philip Jacob Spener; Geb. Theodore Meyer; Fridem. Bechman, and others,y

tremes, in the controversies relating to the foundation, power, and privileges of the church. Too few have steered the middle way, and laid their plans with such equity and wisdom as to maintain the sovereignty and anthority of the state, without reducing the church to a mere creature of civil policy. The reader will find a most interesting view of this nice and important subject, in the learned and ingenious bishop of Gloucester's Alliance between Church and State, and in his Dedication of the second volume of his Divine Legation of Moses, to my lord Mansfield.

y For an account of the lives and writings of these authors, see Witte's Memoria.

xvi. The doctrine of the lutheran church remained entire during this century; its fundamental principles received no alteration, nor could any doctor An historical of that church, who should have presumed to renounce or invalidate any of those theological hutheraus.

points that are contained in the symbolical books of the lutherans, have met with toleration and indulgence. It is however to be observed, that, in later times, various circumstances contributed to diminish, in many places, the authority of these symbolical oracles, which had so long been considered as an almost infallible rule of faith and practice. Hence arose that unbounded liberty which is at this day enjoyed by all who are not invested with the character of public teachers, of dissenting from the decisions of these symbols or creeds, and of declaring this dissent in the manner they judge the most expedient. The case was very different in former times; whoever ventured to oppose any of the received doctrines of the church, or to spread new religious opinious among the people, was called before the higher powers, to give an account of his conduct, and very rarely escaped without suffering in his fortune or reputation, unless he renounced his innovations. But the teachers of novel doctrines had nothing to apprehend, when, toward the conclusion of this century, the lutheran churches adopted that leading maxim of the Armenians, that christians were accountable to God alone for their religious sentiments; and that no individual could be justly punished by the magistrate for his erroneous opinions, while he conducted himself like a virtuous and obedient subject, and made no attempts to disturb the peace and order of civil society. It were to be wished, that this religious liberty, which the dictates of equity must approve, but of which the virtuous mind alone can make a wise and proper use, had never degenerated into that unbridled licentiousness that holds nothing sacred, but with an audacious insolence

spectable profession of its ministers.

xvn. The various branches of sacred erudition were cultivated with uninterrupted zeal and assiduity sacred philoteness.

tramples under foot the solemn truths of religion, and is constantly endeavouring to throw contempt upon the re-

Theologorum, and his Diarium Biographicum; as also Pippingius, Goesius, and other writers of literary bistory.

ogy cultivated among the lutherans, who, at no period of time, were without able commentators, and learned and faithful guides for the interpretation of the holy scriptures. It is natural to mention here Tarnovius, Gerhard, Hackspan, Calixtus, Erasmus, Schmidt, to whom might be added a numerous list of learned and judicious expositors of the sacred oracles. But what appears more peculiarly worthy of observation is, that the very period which some look upon as the most barren of learned productions, and the most remarkable for a general inattention to the branch of crudition now under consideration, produced that inestimable and immortal work of Solomon Glassius, which he published under the title of Sacred Philology, and than which none can be more useful for the interpretation of scripture, as it throws an uncommon degree of light upon the language and phraseology of the inspired writers. It must, at the same time, be candidly acknowledged, that a considerable part of this century was more employed, by the professors of the different universities, in defending, with subtilty and art, the peculiar doctrines of the lutheran church, than in illustrating and explaining the holy scripture, which is the only genuine source of divine truth. Whatever was worthy of censure in this manner of proceeding, was abundantly repaired by the more modern divines of the lutheran communion; for no sooner did the rage of controversy begin to subside, than the greatest part of them turned their principal studies toward the exposition and illustration of the sacred writings; and they were particularly animated in the execution of this laborious task, by observing the indefatigable industry of those among the Dutch divines, who, in their interpretations of scripture, followed the sentiments and method of Cocceius. At the head of these modern commentators we may place, with justice, Sebastian Schmidt, who was at least the most laborious and voluminous expositor of this age. After this learned writer, may be ranked Calovins, Geier, Schomer, and others of inferior note.^z The contests excited by the persons called *pictists*, though unhappy in several respects, were nevertheless attended with this good effect, that they engaged many to apply themselves to the study of the holy scriptures, which they had too much neglected

² See J. Franc. Budæi Isagoge in Theologiam, lib ii cap viii. p. 1686

before that period, and to the perusal of the commentators and interpreters of the sacred oracles. These commentators pursued various methods, and were unequal both in their merit and success. Some confined themselves to the signification of the words of scripture, and the literal sense that belonged to the phrases of the inspired writers; others applied their expositions of scripture to the decision of controverted points, and attacked their adversaries, either by refuting their false interpretations of scripture, or by making use of their own commentaries to overturn their doctrines: a third sort, after unfolding the sense of scripture, applied it carefully to the purposes of life and the direction of practice. We might mention another class of interpreters, who, by an assiduous perusal of the writings of the cocceians, are said to have injudiciously acquired their defects, as appears by their turning the sacred history into allegory, and seeking rather the more remote and mysterious sense of scripture, than its obvious and literal signification.

xvIII. The principal doctors of this century followed, at

first, the loose method of deducing their theological doctrine from scripture under a few general heads.

This method had been observed in ancient times by Melanethon, and was vulgarly called common-

place divinity. They however made use of the principles, terms, and subtile distinctions of the peripatetic philosophy, which was yet in high reputation, in explaining and illustrating each particular doctrine. The first person that reduced theology into a regular system, and gaze it a truly scientific and philosophical form, was George Calixtus, a man of great genius and erudition, who had imbibed the spirit of the aristotelian school. His design, in general, was not so much censured, as the particular method he followed, and the form he gave to his theological system; for he divided the whole science of divinity into three parts, viz. the end, the subject, the means; and this division, which was borrowed from Aristotle, appeared extremely improper to many. This philosophical method of ranging the truths of Christianity was followed, with remarkable zeal and emulation, by the most eminent doctors in the different schools of learning, and even in our times it has its votaries. Some indeed had the courage to depart from it, and to exhibit the doctrines of religion under a different, though still under a scientific form; but

they had few followers, and struggled in vain against the empire of Aristotle, who reigned with a despotic authority in the schools.

There were however many pious and good men, who beheld, with great displeasure, this irruption of metaphysics into the sphere of theology, and never could be brought to relish this philosophical method of teaching the doctrines of Christianity. They earnestly desired to see divine truth freed from captions questions and subtilties, delivered from the shackles of an imperious system, and exhibited with that beautiful simplicity, perspicuity, and evidence, in which it appears in the sacred writings. Persons of this turn had their wishes and expectations in some measure answered, when, toward the conclusion of this century, the lcarned Spener and others, animated by his exhortations and example, began to inculcate the truths and precepts of religion in a more plain and popular manner, and when the eclectics had succeeded so far as to dethrone Aristotle, and to banish his philosophy from the greatest part of the lutheran schools. Spener was not so far successful as to render universal his popular method of teaching theology; it was nevertheless adopted by a considerable number of doctors: and it cannot be denied, that since this period, the science of divinity, delivered from the jargon of the schools, has assumed a more liberal and graceful aspect. The same observation may be applied to controversial productions; it is certain that polemics were totally destitute of elegance and perspicuity so long as Aristotle reigned in the seminaries of learning, and that they were more or less embellished and improved since the suppression and disgrace of the peripatetic philosophy. It is however to be lamented, that controversy did not lose, at this period, all the circumstances that had so justly rendered it displeasing; and that the defects, that had given such offence in the theological disputants of all parties, were far from being entirely removed. These defects still subsist, though perhaps in a less shocking degree; and whether we peruse the polemic writers of ancient or modern times, we shall find too few among them who may be said to be animated by the pure love of truth, without any mixture of pride, passion, or partiality, and whom we may pronounce free from the illusions of prejudice and self love.

xix. The science of morals, which must ever be esteenied the master science, from its immediate influence The state of upon life and manners, was, for a long time, neglected among the lutherans. If we except a few lutheran. eminent men, such as Arndt and Gerhard, who composed some popular treatises concerning the internal worship of the Deity, and the duties of christians, there did not appear, during the greater part of this century, any moral writer of distinguished merit. Hence it happened, that those who applied themselves to the business of resolving what are called cases of conscience, were held in high esteem, and their tribunals were much frequented. But as the true principles and foundations of morality were not, as vet, established with a sufficient degree of precision and evidence, their decisions were often erroneous, and they were liable to fall into daily mistakes. Calixtus was the first who separated the objects of faith from the duties of morality, and exhibited the latter under the form of an independent science. He did not indeed live to finish this work, the beginning of which met with universal applause; his disciples however employed, with some degree of success, the instructions they had received from their master, in executing his plan, and composing a system of moral theology. This system, in process of time, fell into discredit, on account of the peripatetic form under which it appeared; for, notwithstanding the striking repugnance that there is, in the very nature of things, between the beautiful science of morals and the perplexing intricacies of metaphysics, Calixtus could not abstain from the latter in building his moral system. The moderns however stripped morality of the peripatetic garment, calling to their assistance the law of nature, which had been explained and illustrated by Puffendorf and other authors, and comparing this law with the sacred writings, they not only discovered the true springs of christian virtue, and entered into the true spirit and sense of the divine laws, but also digested the whole science of morals into a better order, and demonstrated its principles with a new and superior degree of evidence.

xx. These improvements in theology and morality did not diffuse such a spirit of concord in the lutheran church, as was sufficient to heal ancient divisions, and contests in the lutheran or to prevent new ones. That church, on the con-

trary, was involved in the most lamentable commotions and tumults, during the whole course of this century, partly by the controversies that arose among its most eminent doctors, and partly by the intemperate zeal of violent reformers, the fanatical predictions of pretended prophets, and the rash measures of innovators, who studiously spread among the people, new, singular, and, for the most part, extravagant opinions. The controversies that divided the Intheran doctors may be ranged under two classes, according to their different importance and extent, as some of them involved the whole church in tumult and discord, while others were less universal in their pernicious effects. Of the former class there were two controversies, that gave abundant exercise to the polemic talents of the lutheran doctors during the greatest part of this century; and these turned upon the religious systems that are generally known under the denominations of syncretism and pietism. thing could be more amiable than the principles that gave rise to the former, and nothing more respectable and praiseworthy than the design that was proposed by the latter. The syncretists, animated with that fraternal love and pacific spirit, which Jesus Christ had so often recommended as the peculiar characteristics of his true disciples, used their warmest endeavours to promote union and concord among christians; and the pietists had undoubtedly in view the restoration and advancement of that holiness and virtue, that had suffered so much by the influence of licentious manners on the one hand, and by the turbulent spirit of controversy on the other. These two great and amiable virtues, that gave rise to the projects and efforts of the two orders of persons now mentioned, were combated by a third, even a zeal for maintaining the truth, and preserving it from all mixture of error. Thus the love of truth was unhappily found to stand in opposition to the love of union, piety, and concord; and thus, in this present critical and corrupt state of human nature, the unruly and turbulent passions of men can, by an egregious abuse, draw the worst consequences from the best things, and render the most excellent principles and views productive of confusion, calamity, and discord.

a The synerctists were also called calixtines, from their chief, George Calixtus; and helmstadians, from the university where their plan of doctrine and union took its rise.

XXI. The origin of syncretism was owing to George Calixtus of Sleswick, a man of eminent and distin-guished abilities and merit, and who had few or calixtine equals in this century, either in point of learning or genius. This great man being placed in a university, which, from the very time of its foundation, had been remarkable for encouraging freedom of inquiry, improved this happy privilege, examined the respective doctrines of the various sects that bear the christian name, and found, in the notions commonly received among divines, some things defective and erroneous. He accordingly gave early intimations of his dissatisfaction with the state of theology, and lamented, in a more particular manner, the divisions and factions that reigned among the servants and disciples of the same great master. He therefore turned his views to the salutary work of softening the animosities produced by these divisions, and showed the warmest desire, not so much of establishing a perfect harmony and concord between the jarring sects, which no human power seemed capable of effecting, as of extinguishing the hatred, and appeasing the resentment, which the contending parties discovered too much in their conduct toward each other. His colleagues did not seem at all averse to this pacific project; and the surprise that this their silence or acquiescence must naturally excite, in such as are acquainted with the theological spirit of the seventeenth century, will be diminished, when it is considered, that the professors of divinity at Helmstadt bind themselves, at their admission, by an oath, to use their best and most zealous endeavours to heal the divisions, and terminate the contests that prevail among christians. Neither Calixtus however nor his friends, escaped the opposition that it was natural to expect in the execution of such an unpopular and comprehensive project. They were warmly attacked, in the year 1639, by Statius Buscherus, a Hanoverian ecclesiastic, a bigoted votary of Ramus, a declared enemy to all philosophy, and a man of great temerity and imprudence. This man, exasperated at the preference Calixtus and his companions had given to the peripatetic philosophy over the principles of the ramists, composed a very malignant book,

b The university of Helmstadt, in the dutchy of Brunswick, founded in the year 1576

entitled, Crypto Papismus novæ Theologicæ Helmstadiensis,c in which Calixtus was charged with a long list of errors. Though this production made some small impression on the minds of certain persons, it is nevertheless probable, that Buscher would have almost universally passed for a partial, malicious, and rash accuser, had his invectives and complaints rendered Calixtus more cautious and prudent. But the upright and generous heart of this eminent man, which disdained dissimulation to a degree that bordered upon the extreme of imprudence, excited him to speak with the utmost frankness his private sentiments, and thus to give a certain measure of plausibility to the accusations of his adversary. Both he and his colleague Conrad Horneius maintained, with boldness and perseverance, several propositions, which appeared, to many others beside Buscher, new, singular, and of a dangerous tendency; and Calixtus more especially, by the freedom and plainness with which he declared and defended his sentiments, drew upon him the resentment and indignation of the Saxon doctors, who, in the year 1645, were present at the conference of Thorn. He had been chosen by Frederic William, elector of Brandenburg, as colleague and assistant to the divines he sent from Koningsberg to these conferences; the Saxon deputies were greatly incensed to see a lutheran ecclesiastic in the character of an assistant to a deputation of reformed doctors. This first cause of offence was followed by other incidents, in the course of these conferences, which increased the resentment of the Saxons against Calixtus, and made them accuse him of leaning to the side of the reformed churches. We cannot enter here into a circumstantial account of this matter, which would lead us from our main design. We shall only observe, that when these conferences broke up, the Saxon doctors, and more especially Halseman, Weller, Scharfius, and Calovius, turned the whole force of their polemic weapons against Calixtus; and, in their public writings, reproached him with apostacy from the principles of lutheranism, and with a propensity toward the sentiments both of the reformed and Romish churches. This great man did not receive tamely the insults of his adversaries. His consummate knowledge of the philosophy that reigned in the schools, and his

ci.e. Popery disguised under the mask of the new theological system of Helmstadt.

perfect acquaintance with the history of the church, rendered him an able disputant; and accordingly he repelled, with the greatest vigour, the attacks of his enemies, and carried on, with uncommon spirit and erudition, this important controversy, until the year 1656, when death put an end to his labours, and transported him from these scenes of dissension and tumult into the regions of peace and concord.^d

xxII. Neither the death of Calixtus, nor the decease of his principal adversaries, were sufficient to ex- The continua tinguish the flame they had kindled; on the contion and issue of these determined that the contest was carried on, after that period, with more animosity and violence than ever. The Saxon doctors, and more especially Calovius, insulted the ashes, and attacked the memory of this great man with unexampled bitterness and malignity; and, in the judgment of many eminent and worthy doctors, who were by no means the partisans of Calixtus, conducted themselves with such imprudence and temerity, as were every way adapted to produce an open schism in the lutheran church. They drew up a new kind of creed, or confession of the lutheran faith, which they proposed to place in the class of what the members of our communion call their symbolical books, and which, of consequence, all professors of divinity and all candidates for the ministry would be obliged to subscribe, as containing the true and genuine doctrine of the lutheran church. By this new production of intemperate zeal, the friends and followers of Calixtus were declared unworthy of the communion of that church; and were accordingly supposed to have forfeited all right to

"The title of this new creed was Consensus repetiti Fili very Luthera a.

d Those who desire to be more minutely acquainted with the particular circumstances of this famous controversy, the titles and characters of the books published on that occasion, and the doctrines that produced such warm contests, and such deplorable divisions, will do well to consult Walchius, Carolus, Weisman, Arnotd, and other writers; but above all, the third volume of the Cimbria Literala of Mollerus, p. 121, in which there is an ample account of the life, transactions, and writings of Calistus. But, if any reader should push his curiosity still further, and be solicitons to know the more secret springs that acted in this whole affair, the remote causes of the events and transactions relating to it, the spirit, views, and characters of the disputants, the arguments used on both sides; in a word, those things that are principally interesting and worthy of attention in controversics of this kind, he will find no history that will satisfy him fully in these respects. A history that would throw a proper light upon these important matters, must be composed by a man of great candour and abilities; by one who knows the world, has studied human nature, is furnished with materials and documents that lie as yet conceated in the cabinets of the carious, and is not unacquainted with the spirit that reigns, and the cabinets of the carious, and is not unacquainted with the spirit that reigns, and the cabinets of the carious, whether, even in our times, he could publish without danger all the circumstances of this memorable contest.

the privileges and tranquillity that were granted to the lutherans by the laws of the empire. The reputation of Calixtus found nevertheless some able defenders, who pleaded his cause with modesty and candour; such were Titius, Hildebrand, and other ecclesiastics, who were distinguished from the multitude by their charity, moderation, and prudence. These good men showed, with the utmost evidence, that the new creed, mentioned above, would be a perpetual source of contention and discord, and would thus have a fatal effect upon the true interests of the lutheran church; but their counsels were overruled, and their admonitions neglected. Among the writers who opposed this creed, was Frederic Ulric Calixtus, who was not destitute of abilities, though much inferior to his father in learning, genius, and moderation. Of those that stood forth in its vindication and defence, the most considerable were Calovius and Strauchius. The polemic productions of these contending parties were multiplied from day to day, and yet remain as deplorable monuments of the intemperate zeal of the champions on both sides of the question. The invectives, reproaches, and calumnies, with which these productions were filled, showed too plainly that many of these writers, instead of being animated with the love of truth, and a zeal for religion, were rather actuated by a keen spirit of party, and by the suggestions of vindictive pride and vanity. These contests were of long duration; they were however at length suspended, toward the close of this century, by the doath of those who had been the principal actors in this scene of theological discord, by the abolition of the creed that had produced it, by the rise of the new debates of a different nature, and by other circumstances of inferior moment, which it is needless to mention.

xxIII. It will be proper to give here some account of the accusations that were brought against Calixtus by his adversaries. The principal charge, was, his having formed a project, not of uniting into one ecclesiastical body, as some have understood it, the Romish, lutheran, and reformed churches, but of extinguishing the hatred and animosity that reigned among the members of these different communions, and joining them in the bonds of charity, mutual benevolence, and forbearance. This is the project, which was at first condemned, and is still known

under the denomination of syncretism. Several singular opinions were also laid to the charge of this great man, and were exaggerated and blackened, as the most innocent things generally are when they pass through the medium of malignity and party spirit. Such were his notions concerning the obscure manner in which the doctrine of the Trinity was revealed under the Old Testament dispensation; the appearances of the Son of God during that period; the necessity of good works to the attainment of everlasting salvation; and God's being occasionally the

It is neither my design nor my inclination to adopt the cause of Calixtus; nor do I pretend to maintain, that his writings and his doctrines are exempt from error. But the love of truth obliges me to observe, that it has been the ill hap of this eminent man to fall into the hands of bad interpreters; and that even those who imagine they have been more successful than others in investigating his true sentiments, have most grievously misunderstood them. Calixtus is commonly supposed to have formed the plan of a formal reconciliation of the protestants with the church of Rome and its pontiffs; but this notion is entirely groundless, since he publicly and expressly daclared, that the protestants could by no means enter into the bonds of concord and communion with the Romish church, as it was constituted at this time; and that, if there had ever existed any prospect of healing the divisions that reigned between it and the protestant churches, this prospect had entirely vanished since the council of Trent, whose violent proceedings and tyrannical decrees had rendered the union, now under consideration, absolutely impossible. He is further charged with having either approved or excused the greatest part of those errors and superstitions, that are looked upon as a dishonour to the church of Rome; but this charge is abundantly refuted, not only by the various treatises, in which he exposed the falsehood and absurdity of the doctrines and opinions of that church, but also by the declarations of the Roman catholics themselves, who acknowledge that Calistus attacked them with much more learning and ingenuity than had been discovered by any other protestant writer.* It is true, he maintained that the luthcrans and Roman catholics did not differ about the fundamental doctrines of the christian faith; and it were to be wished, that he had never asserted any such thing, or at least, that he had expressed his meaning in more proper and inoffensive terms. It must however be considered, that he always looked upon the popes and their votaries, as having adulterated these fundamental doctrines with an impure mixture or addition of many opinions and tenets, which no wise and good christian could adopt; and this consideration diminishes a good deal the extravagance of an assertion, which otherwise would descrive the severest censure. shall not enter further into a view of the imputations that were east upon Calixtus, by persons more disposed to listen to his accusers, than to those who endeavour, with candour and impartiality, to represent his sentiments and his measures in their true point of view. But if it should be asked here, what this man's real design was; we answer, that he laid down the following maxims; first, "That it it were possible to bring back the church of Rome to the state in which it was during the first five centuries, the protestants would be no longer justified in rejecting its communion. Secondly, that the modern members of the Romish church, though polluted with many intolerable errors, were not all equally criminal; and that such of them, more especially, as sincerely believed the doctrines they had learned from their parents or masters, and by ignorance, education, or the power of habit, were hindered from perceiving the truth, were not to be excluded from salvation, nor deemed heretics; provided they gave their assent to the doctrines contained in the Apostle's Creed, and endea-voured seriously to govern their lives by the precepts of the gospel." I do not pretend to defend these maxims, which seem however to have many patrons in our times; I would only observe, that the doctrine they contain is much less intolerable than that which was commonly imputed to Calixtus.

g Per accidens.

^{*} Bossuet, in his Traite de la Communion sous les deux Especes, p. i. § ii. p. 12, speaks thus of the emi neut man now under consideration. Le fameur livorge Calixte, le plus habile des Lytheriens de notre tems, qui a écrit le plus doctement contre nous, &c.

author of sin. These notions, in the esteem of many of the best judges of theological matters, have been always looked upon as of an indifferent nature, as opinions which, even were they false, do not affect the great and fundamental doctrines of Christianity. But the two great principles that Calixtus laid down as the foundation and groundwork of all his reconciling and pacific plans, gave much more offence than the plans themselves, and drew upon him the indignation and resentment of many. Those principles were; first, That "the fundamental doctrines of Christianity," by which he meant those elementary principles from whence all its truths flow, "were preserved pure and entire in all the three communions, and were contained in that ancient form of doctrine, that is vulgarly known by the name of the Apostle's Creed." And, secondly, That "the tenets and opinions, which had been constantly received by the ancient doctors during the first five centuries, were to be considered as of equal truth and authority with the express declarations and doctrines of scripture." The general plan of Calixtus was founded upon the first of these propositions; and he made use of the second to give some degree of plausibility to certain Romish doctrines and institutions, which have been always rejected by the protestant church; and to establish a happy concord between the various christian communions that had hitherto lived in the state of dissension and separation from each other.

xxiv. The divines of Rintelen, Koningsberg, and Jena, were more or less involved in these warm contests. Those of Rintelen, more especially Henichius and Musæus, had, on several occasions, and particu-Roningsberg, larly at the conference of Cassel, shown plainly, that they approved of the plan of Calixtus for removing the unhappy discords and animosities that reigned among christians, and that they beheld with peculiar satisfaction that part of it that had for its object union and concord among the protestant churches. Hence they were opposed with great animosity by the Saxon doctors and their adherents, in various polemic productions.

The pacific spirit of Calixtus discovered itself also at Koningsberg. John Laterman, Michael Behmius, and the

h See Abrab. Calovii Historia Synerestica, p. 618. Jo. Georgii Walchii Introductio in controversias Lutheran, vol. i. p. 286.

learned Christopher Dryer, who had been the disciples of that great man, were at little pains to conceal their attachment to the sentiments of their master. By this discovery, they drew upon them the resentment of their colleagues John Behmius and Celestine Mislenta, who were seconded by the whole body of the clergy of Koningsberg; and thus a warm controversy arose, which was carried on, during many years, in such a manner as did very little honour to either of the contending parties. The interposition of the civil magistrate, together with the decease of Behmius and Mislenta, put an end to this intestine war, which was succeeded by a new contest of long duration between Dryer and his associates on the one side, and several foreign divines on the other, who considered the system of Calixtus as highly pernicious, and looked upon its defenders as the enemies of the church. This new controversy was managed, on both sides, with as little equity and moderation as those which preceded it.1

xxv. It must at the same time be acknowledged, to the immortal honour of the divines of Jena, that they and those of discovered the most consummate prudence, and Jena. the most amiable moderation in the midst of these theological debates. For though they confessed ingenuously, that the sentiments of Calixtus were not of such a nature, as that they could be all adopted without exception, yet they maintained, that the greatest part of his tenets were much less pernicious than the Saxon doctors had represented them; and that several of them were innocent, and might be freely admitted without any danger to the cause of truth. Solomon Glassius, an ecclesiastic, renowned for the mildness of his temper, and the equity of his proceedings, examined with the atmost candour and impartiality the opposite sentiments of the doctors, that were engaged in this important controversy, and published the result of this examination, by the express order of Ernest, prince of Saxe Gotha, surnamed the Pious. Musæus, a man of superior learning and exquisite penetration and judgment,

and Documents contained in the famous collection, entitled, Unschuldige Nachrichten, A. 1740, p. 144. A. 1742, p. 29. A 1745, p. 91.

k This piece, which was written in German, did not appear in public till after the death of Glassius, in the year 1662; a second edition of it was published in 8vo. at Jena some years ago. The piece exhibits a rare and shining instance of theological moderation; and is worthy of a serious and attentive perusal.

i Sce Christopher Hartkouch's Church History of Prussia, written in German, book ii. chap. x. p. 602. Molleri, Cimbria Literata, tom. iii. p. 150. See also the Acts

adopted so far the sentiments of Calixtus, as to maintain, that good works might, in a certain sense, be considered as necessary to salvation; and that of the erroneous doctrines imputed to this eminent man, several were of little or no importance. It is very probable, that the followers of Calixtus would have willingly submitted this whole controversy to the arbitration of such candid and impartial judges. But this laudable moderation offended so highly the Saxon doctors, that they began to suspect the academy of Jena of several erroneous opinions, and marked out Musæus, in a particular manner, as a person who had, in many respects, apostatized from the true and orthodox faith.

xxvi. These debates were suppressed and succeeded by The rise of new commotions that arose in the church, and are commonly known under the denomination of the pietistical controversy. This controversy was owing to the zeal of a certain set of persons, who, no doubt, with pious and upright intentions, endeavoured to stem the torrent of vice and corruption, and to reform the licentious manners both of the clergy and the people. But, as the best things may be abused, so this reforming spirit inflamed persons that were but ill qualified to exert it with wisdom and success. Many, deluded by the suggestions of an irregular imagination, and an ill informed understanding, or, guided by principles and views of a still more criminal nature, spread abroad new and singular opinions, false visions, unintelligible maxims, austere precepts, and imprudent clamours against the discipline of the church; all which excited the most dreadful tumults, and kindled the flames of contention and discord. The commencement of pietism was indeed laudable and decent. It was set on foot by the pious and learned Spener, who, by the private societies he formed at Francfort, with a design to promote vital religion, roused the lukewarm from their indifference, and excited a spirit of vigour and resolution in those who had been satisfied to lament, in silence, the progress of impicty. The remarkable effect of these pious meetings was increased by a book published by this well meaning man, under the title of *Pious Desires*, in which he exhibited a

¹ For an account of the imputations cast upon the divines of Jena, and more especially on Musaus, see a judicious and solid work of the latter, entitled, Der Janischen Theologen Ausfuhrlicke Erklarung, &c. See also Jo. Georgii Walchii Introduction Controversias Ecclesiae Lutheranae, vol. i. p. 405.

striking view of the disorders of the church, and proposed the remedies that were proper to heal them. Many persons of good and upright intentions were highly pleased both with the proceedings and writings of Spener, and indeed the greatest part of those who had the cause of virtue and practical religion truly at heart, applauded the designs of this good man, though an apprehension of abuses retained numbers from encouraging them openly. These abuses actually happened. The remedies proposed by Spener to heal the disorders of the church, fell into unskilful hands, were administered without sagacity or prudence, and thus, in many cases, proved to be worse than the disease itself. The religious meetings abovementioned, or the colleges of piety, as they were usually called by a phrase borrowed from the Dutch, tended in many places to kindle in the breasts of the multitude the flames of a blind and intemperate zeal, whose effects were impetuous and violent, instead of that pure and rational love of God, whose fruits are benign and peaceful. Hence complaints arose against these institutions of pietism, as if, under a striking appearance of sauctity, they led the people into false notions of religion, and fomented, in those who were of a turbulent and violent character, the seeds and principles of mutiny and sedition.

xxvII. These first complaints would have been undoubt-

edly hushed, and the tuniults they occasioned would have subsided by degrees, had not the con-

tests that arose at Leipsic, in the year 1689, add-sic. ed fuel to the flame. Certain pious and learned professors of philosophy, and particularly Franckius, Schadius, and Paulus Antonius, the disciples of Spener, who at that time was ecclesiastical superintendent of the court of Saxony, began to consider with attention the defects that prevailed in the ordinary method of instructing the candidates for the ministry; and this review persuaded them of the necessity of using their best endeavours to supply what was wanting, and to correct what was amiss. For this purpose, they undertook to explain in their colleges certain books of holy scripture, in order to render these gennine sources of religious knowledge better understood, and to promote a spirit of practical piety and vital religion in the minds of their hearers. The novelty of this method drew attention, and rendered it singularly pleasing to many; accordingly, these lectures were much frequented, and their effects were visible in the lives and conversations of several persons, whom they seemed to inspire with a deep sense of the importance of religion and virtue. Whether these first effusions of religious fervonr, which were, in themselves, most certainly laudable, were always kept within the strict bounds of reason and discretion, is a question not easily decided. If we are to believe the report of common fame, and the testimonies of several persons of great weight, this was by no means the case; and many things were both said and done in these biblical colleges, as they were called, which, though they might be looked upon, by equitable and candid judges, as worthy of toleration and indulgence, were nevertheless contrary to custom, and far from being consistent with prudence. Hence rumours were spread, tumults excited, animosities kindled, and the matter at length brought to a public trial, in which the pions and learned men abovementioned were indeed declared free from the errors and heresies that had been laid to their charge, but were at the same time prohibited from carrying on the plan of religious instruction they had undertaken with such zeal. It was during these troubles and divisions that the invidious denominations of pietists was first invented; it may at least be affirmed, that it was not commonly known before this period. It was at first applied by some giddy and inconsiderate persons to those who frequented the biblical colleges, and lived in a manner suitable to the instructions and exhortations that were addressed to them in these seminaries of piety. It was afterward made use of to characterize all those who were either distinguished by the excessive austerity of their manners, or who, regardless of truth and opinion, were only intent upon practice, and turned the whole vigour of their efforts toward the attainment of religious feelings and habits. But as it is the fate of all those denominations by which peculiar sects are distinguished, to be variously and often very improperly applied, so the title of pietists was frequently given, in common conversation, to persons of eminent wisdom and sauctity, who were equally remarkable for their adherence to truth and their love of piety; and, not seldom, to persons whose motley characters exhibited an enormous mixture of profligacy and enthusiasm, and who deserved

VOL. IV.

the title of delirious fanatics better than any other denomination.

xxvIII. This contest was by no means confined to Leipsic, but diffused its contagion, with incredible celerity, through all the lutheran churches in the dif-ferent states and kingdoms of Europe. For, from bates. this time, in all the cities, towns, and villages, where lutheranism was professed, there started up, all of a sudden, persons of various ranks and professions, of both sexes, learned and illiterate, who declared, that they were called, by a divine impulse, to pull up iniquity by the root, to restore to its primitive lustre, and propagate through the world, the declining cause of piety and virtue, to govern the church of Christ by wiser rules than those by which it was at present directed, and who, partly in their writings, and partly in their private and public discourses, pointed out the means and measures that were necessary to bring about this important revolution. All those, who were struck with this imaginary impulse, unanimously agreed, that nothing could have a more powerful tendency to propagate among the multitude solid knowledge, pions feelings, and holy habits, than those private meetings that had been first contrived by Spener, and that were afterward introduced into Leipsic. Several religious- assemblies were accordingly formed in various places, which, though they differed in some circumstances, and were not all conducted and composed with equal wisdom, piety, and prudence, were however designed to promote the same general purpose. In the mean time, these musual, irregular, and tuniultuous proceedings filled, with uneasy and alarming apprehensions, both those who were intrusted with the government of the church, and those who sat at the helm of the state. These apprehensions were justified by this important consideration, that the pious and well meaning persons, who composed these assemblies, had indiscreetly admitted into their community a parcel of extravagant and hot headed fanatics, who foretold the approaching destruction of Babel, by which they meant the Intheran church, terrified the populace with fictitious visions, assumed the authority of prophets honoured with a divine commission, obscured the sublime truths of religion by a gloomy kind of jargon of their own invention, and revived doctrines that had long before been condemned by the church. These enthusiasts also asserted, that the millennium, or thousand years reign of the saints on earth, mentioned by St. John, was near at hand. They endeavoured to overturn the wisest establishments, and to destroy the best institutions, and desired that the power of preaching and administering public instruction inight be given promiscuously to all sorts of persons. Thus was the lutheran church torn asunder in the most deplorable manner, while the votaries of Rome stood by and beheld, with a secret satisfaction, these unhappy divisions. The most violent debates arose in all the lutheran churches; and persons, whose differences were occasioned rather by mere words and questions of little consequence, than by any doctrines or institutions of considerable importance, attacked one another with the bitterest animosity; and, in many countries, severe laws were at length enacted against the nictists.m

by their different manner of proceeding, deserve to be placed in two distinct classes. One sect of with Spener these practical reformers proposed to carry on the doctrine, discipline, or form of government that were established in the lutheran church. The other maintained, on the contrary, that it was impossible to promote the progress of real piety among the lutherans, without making considerable alterations in their doctrine, and changing the whole form of their ecclesiastical discipline and polity. The former had at their head the learned and pious Spener, who, in the year 1691, removed from Dresden to Berlin, and whose sentiments were adopted by the professors of the new academy at Hall; and particularly by Franck-

m This whole matter is amply illustrated by the learned Jo. George Walchius in his Introductio ad Controversias, vol. ii. and iii. who exhibits, successively, the various scenes of this deplorable contest, with a view of the principal points that were controverted, and his judgment concerning each, and a particular account of the writers that displayed their talents on this occasion. It would indeed be difficult for any one man to give an ample and exact history of this contest, which was accompanied with so many incidental circumstances, and was, upon the whole, of such a tedious and complicated nature. It were therefore to be wished, that a society of prudent and impartial persons, furnished with a competent knowledge of human nature and political transactions, and also with proper materials, would set the mselves to compose the history of pictism. If several persons were employed in collecting from public records, and also from papers that lie yet concealed in the cabinets of the carious, the events which happened in each country where this controversy reigned; and if these materials, thus carefully gathered on the spot, were put in the hands of a man capable of digesting the whole, this would produce a most interesting and useful history.

ius and Paulus Antonius, who had been invited thither from Leipsie, where they began to be suspected of pietism. Though few pretended to treat either with indignation or contempt the intentions and purpose of these good men, which indeed none could despise without affecting to appear the enemy of practical religion and virtue, yet many eminent divines, and more especially the professors and pastors of Wittemberg, were of opinion, that, in the execution of this laudable purpose, several maxims were adopted, and certain measures employed, that were prejudicial to the truth, and also detrimental to the interests of the church. Hence they looked on themselves as obliged to proceed publiely, first against Spener, in the year 1695, and afterward against his disciples and adherents, as the inventers and promoters of erroneous and dangerous opinions. These debates are of a recent date; so that those who are desirous of knowing more particularly how far the principles of equity, moderation, and candour influenced the conduct and directed the proceedings of the contending parties, may easily receive a satisfactory information.

xxx. These debates turned upon a variety of points; and therefore the matter of them cannot be comprehended under any one general head. If we these debates, consider them indeed in relation to their origin, and the circumstances that gave rise to them, we shall then be able to reduce them to some fixed principles. It is well known, that those who had the advancement of piety most zealously at heart, were possessed of a notion, that no order of men contributed more to retard its progress than the elergy, whose peculiar vocation it was to inculeate, and promote it. Looking upon this as the root of the evil, it was but natural that their plans of reformation should begin but natural that their plans of reformation should begin here; and, accordingly, they laid it down as an essential principle, that none should be admitted into the ministry, but such as had received a proper education, were distinguished by their wisdom and sanctity of manners, and had hearts filled with divine love. Hence they proposed, in the first place, a thorough reformation of the schools of divinity; and they explained clearly enough what they meant by this reformation, which consisted in the following points; that the systematical theology, which reigned in the academies, and was composed of intricate and disputable doctrines, and obscure and musual forms of expression, should be totally abolished; that polemical divinity, which comprehended the controversics subsisting between christians of different communions, should be less eagerly studied, and less frequently treated, though not entirely neglected; that all mixture of philosophy and human learning with divine wisdom was to be most carefully avoided; that, on the contrary, all those who were designed for the ministry, should be accustomed, from their early youth, to the perusal and study of the holy scriptures; that they should be taught a plain system of theology, drawn from these unerring sources of truth; and that the whole course of their education was to be so directed, as to render them useful in life, by the practical power of their doctrine and the commanding influence of their example. As these maxims were propagated with the greatest industry and zeal, and were explained inadvertently by some, without those restrictions which prudence seemed to require; these professed patrons and revivers of piety were suspected of designs that could not but render them obnoxious to censure. They were supposed to despise philosophy and learning, to treat with indifference, and even to renounce, all inquiries into the nature and foundations of religious truth, to disapprove of the zeal and labours of those who defended it against such as either corrupted or opposed it, and to place the whole of their theology in certain vague and incoherent declamations concerning the duties of morality. Hence arose those famous disputes concerning the use of philosophy and the value of human learning, considered in connexion with the interests of religion; the dignity and usefulness of systematic theology; the necessity of polemic divinity; the execlience of the mystic system; and also concerning the true method of instructing the people.

The second great object that employed the zeal and attention of the persons now under consideration, was, that the candidates for the ministry should not only, for the future, receive such an academical education as would tend rather to solid utility than to mere speculation; but also that they should dedicate themselves to God in a peculiar manner, and exhibit the most striking examples of piety and virtue. This maxim, which, when considered in itself, must be acknowledged to be highly laudable, not only gave oceasion to several new regulations, designed to restrain the passions of the studious youth, to inspire them

with pious sentiments, and to excite in them holy resolutions; but also produced another maxim, which was a lasting source of controversy and debate, viz. "That no person, that was not himself a model of piety and divine love, was qualified to be a public teacher of piety, or a guide to others in the way of salvation." This opinion was considered by many as derogatory from the power and efficacy of the word of God, which cannot be deprived of its divine influence by the vices of its ministers; and as a sort of revival of the long exploded errors of the donatists; and what rendered it peculiarly liable to an interpretation of this nature was, the imprudence of some pietists, who inculcated and explained it, without those restrictions that were necessary to render it unexceptionable. Hence arose endless and intricate debates concerning the following questions; "Whether the religious knowledge acquired by a wicked man can be termed theology;" "whether a vicious person can, in effect, attain to a true knowledge of religion;" "Low far the office and ministry of an impieus ecclesiastic can be pronounced salutary and efficacious;" " whether a licentious and ungodly man cannot be susceptible of illumination?" and other questions of a like nature. -

xxxi. These revivers of declining piety went yet further. In order to render the ministry of their pastors as successful as possible, in rousing men from their indolence, and in stemming the torrent of corruption and immorality, they judged two things indispensably necessary. The first was, to suppress entirely, in the course of public instruct tion, and more especially in that delivered from the pulpit, certain maxims and phrases which the corruption of men leads them frequently to interpret in a manner favourable to the indulgence of their passions. Such, in the judgment of the pietists, were the following propositions; "no man is able to attain to that perfection which the divine law requires; good works are not necessary to salvation; in the act of justification, on the part of man, faith alone is concerned, without good works." Many however were apprehensive that, by the suppression of these propositions, truth itself must suffer deeply: and that the christian religion, deprived thus of its peculiar doctrines, would be exposed, naked and defenceless, to the attacks of its adversaries. The second step they took, in order to give efficacy

to their plans of reformation, was to form new rules of life and manners, much more rigorous and austere than those which had been formerly practised; and to place in the class of sinful and unlawful gratifications several kinds of pleasure and amusement, which had hitherto been looked upon as innocent in themselves, and which could only become good or evil in consequence of the respective characters of those who used them with prudence, or abused them with intemperance. Thus, dancing, pantomimes, public sports, theatrical diversions, the reading of humorous and comical books, with several other kinds of pleasure and entertainment, were prohibited by the pietists, as unlawful and unseemly; and therefore by no means of an indifferent nature. Many however thought this rule of moral discipline by far too rigid and severe; and thus was revived the ancient contests of the schoolmen, concerning the famous question, whether any human actions are truly indifferent, i. c. equally removed from moral good on the one hand, and from moral evil on the other; and whether, on the contrary, it be not true, that all actions, whatever, must be either considered as good or as evil. The discussion of this question was attended with a variety of debates npon the several points of the prohibition now mentioned; and these debates were often carried on with animosity and bitterness, and very rarely with that precision, temper, and judgment that the nicety of the matters in dispute required. The third thing, on which the pietists insisted, was, that beside the stated meetings for public worship, private assemblies should be held for prayer and other religious exercises. But many were of opinion, that the cause of true picty and virtue was rather endangered than promoted by these assemblies: and experience and observation seemed to confirm this opinion. It would be both endless and unnecessary to enumerate all the little disputes that arose from the appointment of these private assemblies, and, in general, from the notions entertained, and the measures pursued by the pietists." It is nevertheless proper to observe,

n These debates were first collected, and also needlessly multiplied, by Schelgvigius, in his Synopsis Controversiarum sub pictatis prætextu molarum, which was published, in the year 1701, in 8vo. The reader will also find the arguments, used by the contending parties in this dispute, judiciously summed up in two different works of Langius, the one entitled, Antibarbarus; and the other the Middleway; the former composed in Latin, the latter in German. See also the Timotheus Verinus of Val. Ern. Loscherus.

that the lenity and indulgence shown by these people to persons whose opinions were erroneous, and whose errors were, by no means, of an indifferent nature, irritated their adversaries to a very high degree, and made many suspect. that the pietists laid a much greater stress upon practice than upon belief, and, separating what ought ever to be inseparably joined together, held virtuous manners in higher esteem than religious truth. Amidst the prodigious numbers that appeared in these controversies, it was not at all surprising, if the variety of their characters, capacities, and views, be duly considered, that some were chargeable with imprudence, others with intemperate zeal, and that many, to avoid what they looked upon as unlawful. fell injudiciously into the opposite extreme.

xxxII. The other class of pietists already mentioned,

whose reforming views extended so far as change the system of doctrine and the form of ecclesiastical government that were established in the lutheran church, comprehended persons of various characters and different ways of thinking.

Some of them were totally destitute of reason and judgment; their errors were the reveries of a disordered brain; and they were rather to be considered as lunatics than as heretics. Others were less extravagant, and tempered the singular notions, they had derived from reading or meditation, with a certain mixture of the important truths and doctrines of religion. We shall mention but a few persons of this class, and those only who are distinguished from the rest by their superior merit and reputation.

Among these was Godfrey Arnold, a native of Saxony, a man of extensive reading, tolerable parts, and richly endowed with that natural and unaffected eloquence, which is so wonderfully adapted to touch and to persuade. This man disturbed the tranquillity of the church toward the conclusion of this century, by a variety of theological productions, that were full of new and singular opinions; and more especially by his Ecclesiastical History, which he had the assurance to impose upon the public, as a work composed with candour and impartiality. His natural complexion was dark, melancholy, and austere: and these seeds of fanaticism were so expanded and nonrished by the perusal of the mystic writers, that the flame of enthusiasm was kindled in his breast, and broke forth in his conduct

and writings with peculiar vehemenee. He looked upon the mystics as superior to all other writers, nay, as the only depositaries of true wisdom; reduced the whole of religion to certain internal feelings and motions, of which it is difficult to form a just idea; neglected entirely the study of truth; and employed the whole power of his genius and eloquence in counterating, deploring, and exaggerating, the vices and corruptions of human nature. If it is universally allowed to be the first and most essential obligation of an historian to avoid all appearance of partiality, and ncither to be influenced by personal attachments nor by private resentment in the recital of facts, it must be fairly acknowledged, that no man could be less fit for writing history than Arnold. His whole history, as every one must see who looks into it with the smallest degree of attention, is the production of a violent spirit, and is dictated by a vehement antipathy against the doctrines and institutions of the hitheran church. One of the fundamental principles that influences the judgment, and directs the opinions and decisions of this historian, throughout the whole course of his work, is, that all the abuses and [corruptions, that have found admittance into the church since the time of the apostles, have been introduced by its ministers and rulers, men of vicious and abandoned characters. From this principle, he draws the following goodly consequence; that all those who opposed the measures of the clergy, or felt their resentment, were persons of distinguished sanctity and virtue; and that such, on the contrary, as either favoured the ministers of the church, or were favoured by them, were strangers to the spirit of true and gennine piety. Hence proceeded Arnold's unaccountable partiality in fayour of almost all that bore the denomination of heretics;° whom he defended with the utmost zeal, without having always understood their doctrine, and, in some cases, without having even examined their arguments. This partiality was highly detrimental to his reputation, and rendered his history peculiarly obnoxious to censure. He did not however continue in this way of thinking; but, as he advanced in years and experience, perceived the errors into which he had been led by the impetuosity of his pas-

TFO Arnold's history is thus entitled, Historia Leclesiastica et Heretica. Dr. Mosheim's account of this learned man, is drawn up with much severity, and perhaps is not elirely destitute of partiality. See the life of Arnold in the General Dictionary.

sions and the contagious influence of pernicious examples. This sense of his mistakes corrected the vehemence of his natural temper and the turbulence of his party spirit, so that, as we learn from witnesses worthy of credit, he became at last a lover of truth and a pattern of moderation. xxx111. Arnold was far surpassed in fanatical malignity

and insolence by John Conrad Dippelius, a Hessian divine, who assumed the denomination of the Dippelius, Christian Democritus, inflamed the minds of the simple by a variety of productions, and excited considerable tumults and commotions toward the conclusion of this century. This vain, supercilious, and arrogant doctor, who seemed formed by nature for a satyrist and a buffoon, instead of proposing any new system of religious doctrine and discipline, was solely employed in overturning those that were received in the protestant church. His days were principally spent in throwing out sarcasms and invectives against all denominations of christians; and the lutherans, to whose communion he belonged, were more especially the objects of his raillery and derision, which, on many occasions, spared not those things that had formerly been looked upon as the most respectable and sacred. It is much to be doubted, whether he had formed any clear and distinct notions of the doctrines he taught; since, in his view of things; the power of imagination domineered evidently over the dictates of right reason and common sense. But, if he really understood the religious maxims he was propagating, he had not certainly the talent of rendering them clear and perspicuous to others; for nothing can be more ambiguous and obscure than the expressions under which they are conveyed, and the arguments by which they are supported. A man must have the gift of divination to be able to deduce a regular and consistent system of doctrine from the various productions of this incoherent and unintelligible writer, who was a chymist into the bargain, and whose brain seems to have been heated into a high degree of fermentation by the fire of the elaboratory. If the rude, motley, and sarcastical writings of this wrongheaded reformer should reach posterity, it will be certainly a just matter of surprise to our descendants,

that a considerable number of their ancestors should have been so blind as to choose for a model of genuine piety, and a teacher of religion, a man who had audaciously violated the first and most essential principles of solid piety and sound sense.^q

xxxiv. The mild and gentle temper of John William The inven. Petersen, minister and first member of the eccletions and re- siastical consistory of Lunenburg, distinguished him remarkably from the fiery enthusiast now mentioned. But the mildness of this good natured ecclesiastic was accompanied with a want of resolution, that might be called weakness, and a certain floridness and warmth of imagination, that rendered him peculiarly susceptible of illusion himself, and every way proper to lead others innocently into error. Of this he gave a very remarkable specimen in the year 1691, by maintaining publicly that Rosamond Juliana, countess of Asseburg, whose disordered brain suggested to her the most romantic and chimerical notions, was honoured with a vision of the Deity, and commissioned to make a new declaration of his will to mankind. He also revived and propagated openly the obsolete doctrine of the millennium, which Rosamond had confirmed by her pretended authority from above. This first error produced many; for error is fertile, especially in those minds where imagination has spurned the yoke of reason, and considers all its airy visions as solid and important discoveries. Accordingly, Petersen went about prophesying with his wife," who also gave herself out for a kind of oracle, and boasted of her extensive knowledge of the secrets of heaven. They talked of a general restitution of all things, at which grand and soleran period all intelligent beings were to be restored to happiness, the gates of hell opened, and wicked men, together with evil spirits, delivered from the guilt, power, and punishment of sin. They supposed that two distinct natures, and both of them human, were united in Christ;

His works were all published, in the year 1747, in five volumes in 4to. and his memory is still highly honoured and respected by many, who consider him as having been, in his day, an eminent teacher of true piety and wisdom. No kind of authors find such zealous readers and patrons as those who deal largely in invective, and swell themselves, by a vain self sufficiency, into an imagined superiority over the rest of mankind. Beside, Dippelius was an excellent chymist and a good physician; and this procured him many friends and admirers, as all men are fond of riches and long life, and these two sciences were supposed to lead to the one and to the other. Her name was Johanna Electora a Merlan.

one assumed in heaven before the reformation of this globe, the other derived upon earth, from the Vigin Mary. These opinions were swallowed down by many among the multitude, and were embraced by some of superior rank; they met however with great opposition, and were refuted by a considerable number of writers, to whom Petersen, who was amply furnished with leisure and eloquence, made voluminous replies. In the year 1692, he was at length deposed; and from that period, passed his days in the tranquillity of a rural retreat in the territory of Magdeburg, where he cheered his solitude by epistolary commerce, and spent the remainder of his days in composition and study.s

xxxv. It is not easy to determine, whether John Cas-

par Schade and George Bosius may be associated Schade and properly with the persons now mentioned. They Bosius. were both good men, full of zeal for the happiness and salvation of their brethren; but their zeal was neither directed by prudence, nor tempered with moderation. The former, who was minister at Berlin, propagated several notions that seemed crude and uncouth; and, in the year 1697, inveighed with the greatest bitterness, against the custom that prevails in the lutheran church, of confessing privately to the clergy. These violent remonstrances excited great commotions, and were even attended with popular tumults. Bosius performed the pastoral functions at Soraw; and to awaken sinners from their security, and prevent their treating, with negligence and indifference, interests that are most important by being eternal, denied that God would continue always propitious and placable with respect to those offenders, whose incorrigible obstinacy he had foreseen from all eternity; or that he would offer them beyond a certain period, marked in his decrees, those succours of grace that are necessary to salvation. This tenet, in the judgment of many grave divines, seemed highly injurious to the boundless mercy of God, and was accordingly refuted and condemned in several treatises; it found nevertheless an eminent patron and defender in the

s Petersen wrote his life in German, and it was first published in 8vo. in 1717. His wife added her life to it by way of supplement, in the year 1718. These pieces of biography will satisfy such as are desirous of a particular account of the character, manners, and talents, of this extraordinary pair. For an account of the character, excited at Lunenburg, see Jo. Molleri Cimbria Literata, tom. ii. p. 639, the Unschuldige Nachrichten, A. 1748, p. 974. A. 1749, p. 30—200 et passim.

learned Rechenbelg, professor of divinity at Leipsic, not to mention others of less note, who appeared in its behalf.

xxxvi. Among the controversies of inferior note that divided the lutheran church, we shall first mention those that broke out between the doctors of Tubingen and Giessen so early as the year 1616. The principal part of this debate related to the abasement and humiliation, or, to what divines call, the exinanition of Jesus Christ; and the great point was to know in what this exinanition properly consisted, and what was the precise nature and characteristic of this singular situation; that the man Christ possessed, even in the most dreadful periods of his abasement, the divine properties and attributes he had received in consequence of the hypostatic union, was unanimously agreed on by both of the contending parties; but they differed in their sentiments relating to this subtile and intricate question, Whether Christ, during his mediatorial sufferings and sacerdotal state, really suspended the exertion of these attributes, or only concealed this exertion from the view of mortals. The latter was maintained by the doctors of Tubingen, while those of Giessen were inclined to think, that the exertion of the divine attributes was really suspended in Christ during his humiliation and sufferings. This main question was followed by others, which were much more subtile than important, concerning the manner in which God is present with all his works, the reasons and foundation of this universal presence, the true cause of the omnipresence of Christ's body, and others of a like intricate and unintelligible nature. The champions that distinguished themselves on the side of the doctors of Tubingen were, Lucas Osiander, Melchior Nicolas, and Theodore Thummius. The most eminent of those that adopted the cause of the divines of Giessen were, Balthazar, Menzer, and Justus Feverborn. The contest was carried on with zeal, learning, and sagacity; it were to be wished that one could add, that it was managed with wisdom, dignity, and moderation. This indeed was far from being the case; but such was the spirit and genius of the age, that many things were now treated with indulgence, or beheld with approbation, which the wisdom and decency of succeeding times have justly endeavoured to dis-

[·] See Walchins's Introductio ad Controversias, p. 1. cap. iv

countenance and correct. In order to terminate these disagreeable contests, the Saxon divines were commanded, by their sovereign, to offer themselves as arbitrators between the contending parties in the year 1624; their arbitration was accepted, but it did not at all contribute to decide the matters in debate. Their decisions were vague and ambiguous, and were therefore adapted to satisfy none of the parties. They declared, that they could not entirely approve of the doctrine of either; but insinuated, at the same time, that a certain degree of preference was due to the opinions maintained by the doctors of Giessen." Those of Tubingen rejected the decision of the Saxon arbitrators; and it is very probable, that the divines of Giessen would have appealed from it also, had not the public calamities, in which Germany began to be involved at this time, suspended this miserable contest, by imposing silence upon the disputants, and leaving them in the quiet possession of their respective opinions.

xxxvii. Before the cessation of the controversy now

mentioned, a new one was occasioned, in the year 1621, by the writings of Herman Rathman, minister at Dantzic, a man of eminent piety, some learning, and a zealous patron and admirer of Arndt's

The controversy occasioned by the writings of Rathman.

famous book concerning true Christianity. This good man was suspected by his colleague Corvinus, and several others, of entertaining sentiments derogatory from the dignity and power of the sacred writings. These suspicions they derived from a book he published, in the year 1621, Concerning Christ's Kingdom of Grace, which, according to the representations of his adversaries, contained the following doctrine: "That the word of God, as it stands in the sacred writings, hath no innate power to illuminate the mind, to excite in it a principle of regeneration, and thus to turn it to God; that the external word showeth indeed the way to salvation, but cannot effectually lead men to it; but that God himself, by the ministry of another, and an internal word, works such a change in the minds of men, as is necessary to render them agreeable in his sight, and enables them to please him by their words and actions."

u Jo. Wolf. Jaeger. Histor. Eccles. et Polit Sac. xvii. Decenn. iii. p. 329. Christ. Eberh. Weifmanni Histor. Ecclesiast. Sac. xvii. p. 1178. Walchius, loc. cit. p. 206. See also Caroli Arnold, and the other writers, who have written the Ecclesiastical History of these times

This doctrine was represented by Corvinus and his associates as the same which had been formerly held by Schwenckfeld, and was professed by the mystics in general. But whoever will be at the pains to examine with attention the various writings of Rathman on this subject, must soon be convinced, that his adversaries either misunderstood his true sentiments, or wilfully misrepresented them. His real doctrine may be comprised in the four following points: "First, that the divine word, contained in the holy scriptures, is endowed with the power of healing the minds of men, and bringing them to God; but that, secondly, cannot exert this power in the minds of corrupt men, who resist its divine operation and influence; and that of consequence, thirdly, it is absolutely necessary, that the word be preceded or accompanied by some divine energy, which may prepare the minds of sinners to receive it, and remove those impediments that oppose its efficacy; and fourthly, that it is by the power of the holy spirit, or internal word, that the external word is rendered incapable of exerting its efficacy in enlightening and sanctifying the minds of men." There is indeed some difference between these opinions and the doctrine commonly received in the lutheran church, relating to the efficacy of the divine word; but a careful perusal of the writings of Rathman on this subject, and a candid examination of his inaccurate expressions, will persuade the impartial reader, that this difference is neither great nor important; and he will only perceive, that this pious man had not the talent of expressing his notions with order, perspicuity, and precision-However that may have been, this contest grew more general from day to day, and at length extended its polemic influence through the whole lutheran church, the greatest part of whose members followed the example of the Saxon doctors in condemning Rathman, while a considerable number, struck with the lustre of his piety, and persuaded of the innocence of his doctrine, espoused his cause. In the year 1628, when this controversy was at the greatest height, Rathman died, and then the warnth and animosity of the contending parties subsided gradually, and at length ceased.

See Mollerus's Cimbria Literata, tom. iii. p. 559. Hartknoch's German work, entitled, Preussische Kirchen Geschichte, book iii. ch. viii. p. 812. Arnold's Kirchen und Ketzer Historie, p. iii ch. xvi. p. 115.

xxxvIII. It would be repugnant to the true end of history, as well as to all principles of candour and Private conequity, to swell this enumeration of the controversies that divided the lutheran church, with the private disputes of certain individuals concerning some particular points of doctrine and worship. Some writers have indeed followed this method, not so much with a design to enrich their histories with a multitude of facts, and to show men and opinions in all their various aspects, as with a view to render the lutherans ridiculous or odious. In the happiest times, and in the best modelled communities, there will always remain sufficient marks of human imperfection, and abundant sources of private contention, at least in the imprudence and mistakes of some, and the impatience and severity of others; but it must betray a great want of sound judgment, as well as of candour and impartiality, to form a general estimate of the state and character of a whole church upon such particular instances of imperfection and error. Certain singular opinions and modes of expression were censured by many in the writings of Tarnovius and Affelman, two divines of Rostoch, who were otherwise men of distinguished merit. This however will surprise us less, when we consider that these doctors often expressed themselves improperly, when their sentiments were just; and that, when their expressions were accurate and proper, they were frequently misunderstood by those who pretended to censure them. Joachim Lutkeman, a man whose reputation was considerable, and, in many respects, well deserved, took it into his head to deny that Christ remained true man during the three days that intervened between his death and resurrection. This sentiment appeared highly erroneous to many; hence arose a contest, which was merely a dispute about words, resembling many other debates, which like bubbles, are incessantly swelling and vanishing on the surface of human life. Of this kind, more especially, was the controversy which, for some time, exercised the talents of Boetius and Balduin, professors of divinity, the former at Helmstadt, and the latter at Wittemberg, and had for its subject the following question, whether or no the wicked shall one day be restored to life by the merits of Christ. In the dutchy of Holstein, Reinboth distinguished himself by the singularity of his opinions. After the example of Calixtus, he reduced the fundamental doctrines of religion within narrower bounds than are usually prescribed to them; he also considered the opinion of those Greeks, who deny that the Holy Ghost proceeds from the Son, as an error of very little consequence. In both these respects, his sentiments were adopted by many; they however met with opposition from several quarters, and were censured, with peculiar warmth, by the learned John Conrad Danhaver, professor of divinity at Strasburg; in consequence of this, a kind of controversy was kindled between these two eminent men, and was carried on with more vehemence than the nature and importance of the matters in debate could well justify.* But these and other contests of this nature must not be admitted into that list of controversies, from which we are to form a judgment of the internal state of the lutheran church during this century.

XXXIX. We cannot say the same thing of certain conrelating to real nature, and related to the orthodoxy or unsoundness of certain men, rather than to the truth or falsehood of certain opinions; for these are somewhat more essentially connected with the internal state and history of the church, than the contests last mentioned. It is not unusual for those, who professedly embark in the cause of declining piety, and aim, in a solemn, zealous, and public manner, at its revival and restoration, to be elated with high and towering views, and warm with a certain enthusiastic, though noble fervour. This elevation and ardour of mind is by no means a source of accuracy and precision; on the contrary, it produces many unguarded expressions, and prevents men of warm piety from forming their language by those rules which are necessary to render it clear, accurate, and proper; it frequently dictates expressions and phrases that are pompous and emphatic, but, at the same time, allegorical and ambiguous; and leads pious and even sensible men to adopt uncouth and vulgar forms of speech, employed by writers whose style is as low and barbarous as their intentions are upright and pious, and whose practical treatises on religion and mo-

For an account of all these controversies in general, see Arnoldi Histor. Eccles. et Haret. p. ii. lib. xvii. cap. vi. p. 957. That which was occasioned by Reinboth is amply and circumstantially related by Mollerus, in his Introductio ad Historiam Chersonesi Cimbrica, p. ii. p. 190, and in his Cimbria Liverata, tom. ii. p. 692.

VOL. IV.

rality have nothing recommendable but the zeal and fer-vour with which they are penned. Persons of this warm and enthusiastical turn fall with more facility than any other set of men into the suspicion of heresy, on account of the inaccuracy of their expressions. This many doctors found to be true, by a disagreeable experience, during the course of this century; but it was, in a more particular manner, the fate of Stephen Prætorius, minister of Solzwedel, and of John Arndt, whose picty and virtue have rendered his memory precious to the friends of true religion. Prætorius had, so early as the preceding century, composed certain treatises, designed to revive a spirit of vital religion, and awaken in the minds of men a zeal for their future and eternal interests. These productions, which were frequently republished during this century, were highly applauded by many, while, in the judgment of others, they abounded with expressions and sentiments, that were partly false, and partly adapted by their anibiguity to lead men into error. It cannot be denied, that there are in the writings of Prætorius some improper and unguarded expressions, that may too easily deceive the ignorant and unwary, as also several marks of that credulity that borders upon weakness; but those who peruse his works with impartiality will be fully persuaded of the uprightness of his intentions.

The unfeigned piety and integrity of Arndt could not secure him from censure. His famous book concerning true Christianity, which is still perused with the utmost pleasure and edification by many persons eminent for the sanctity of their lives and manners, met with a warm and obstinate opposition. Osiander, Rostius, and other doctors, inveighed against it with excessive bitterness, pretended to find in it various defects, and alleged, among other things, that its style was infected with the jargon of the paracelsists, weigelians, and other mystico chymical philosophers. It must indeed be acknowledged, that this eminent man entertained a high disgust against the philosophy, that, in his time, reigned in the schools: nor can it be denied, that he had a high, perhaps an excessive degree of respect for the chymists, and an ill placed confidence in their obscure decisions and pompous undertakings. This led him sometimes into conversation with those fantastic philosophers, who, by the power and mi-

mistry of fire, pretended to unfold both the secrets of nature and the mysteries of religion. But, notwithstanding this, he was dec ared exempt from any errors of moment by a multitude of grave and pious divines, among whom were Egard, Dilger, Breler, Gerhard, and Dorschæus; and in the issue the censures and opposition of his adversaries seemed rather to cast a new lustre on his reputation than to cover him with reproach. We may place in the class, now under consideration, Valentine Weigelius, a minister of the church of Zscopavia in Misnia; for though he died in the preceding century, yet it was in this that the greatest part of his writings were published, and also censured as erroneous and of a dangerous tendency. The science of chymistry, which at this time was making such a rapid progress in Germany, proved also detrimental to this ecclesiastic; who, though in the main a man of probity and merit, neglected the paths of right reason, and chose rather to wander in the devious wilds of a chimerical philosophy."

xL. There were a set of fanatics among the lutheraps, Jacob Bohmi. Who in the flights of their enthusiasm far surpassed those now mentioned, and who had such a high notion of their own abilities as to attempt melting down the present form of religion, and casting a new system of piety after a model drawn from their wanton and irregular fancies; it is with some account of the principal of these spiritual projectors that we shall conclude the history of the lutheran church during this century.

At the head of this visionary tribe we may place Jacob Behmen, a tailor at Gorlitz, who was remarkable for the multitude of his patrons and adversaries, and whom his admirers commonly called the German Theosophist. This man had a natural propensity toward the investigation of mysteries, and was fond of abstruse and intricate inquiries of every kind; and having, partly by books and partly by conversation with certain physicians, acquired some knowledge of the doctrine of Robert Fludd and the rosicrusians, which was propagated in Germany with great os-

y See Arnoldi Hist Eccles et Hæretica, p. ii. lib. xvii. cap. vi. p. 940. Weismanni Histor Eccles. Sac. xvii. p. 1174, 1189. Godof. Balth. Scharfii Supplementum Historiæ, Eilisque Arndtiana, Wittem 1727, in 8vo.

2 There is an account of Weigelius, more ample than impartial, given by Arnold

lee, cit, lib. zvii, cap. zvii, p. 1088.

tentation during this century, he struck out of the element of fire, by the succours of imagination, a species of theology much more obscure than the numbers of Pythagoras, or the intricacies of Heraclitus. Some have bestowed high praises on this enthusiast, on account of his piety, integrity, and sincere love of truth and virtue; and we shall not pretend to contradict these encomiums. But such as carry their admiration of his doctrine so far as to honour him with the character of an inspired messenger of Heaven, or even of a judicious and wise philosopher, must be themselves deceived and blinded in a very high degree; for never did there reign such obscurity and confusion in the writings of any mortal, as in the miserable productions of Jacob Behmen, which exhibit a motley mixture of chymical terms, crude visions, and mystic jargon. Among other dreams of a disturbed and eccentric fancy, he entertained the following chimerical notion; "That the divine grace operates by the same rules, and follows the same methods, that the divine providence observes in the natural world; and that the minds of men are purged from their vices and corruptions in the same way that metals are purified from their dross;" and this maxim was the principle of his fire theology. Behmen had a considerable number of followers in this century, the most eminent of whom were John Lewis, Gifttheil, John Angelus, Werdenhagen, Abraham Franckenberg, Theodore Tzetsch, Paul Felgenhaver, Quirinus Kuhlman, John Jacob Zimmerman; and he has still many votaries and admirers even in our times. There was indeed a signal difference between his followers; some of them retained, notwithstanding their attachment to his extravagant system, a certain degree of moderation and good sense; others of them seemed entirely out of their wits, and by their phrensy excited the compassion of those who were the spectators of their conduct; such were Kuhlman and Gichtelius, the former of whom was burnt at Moscow in the year 1684; but indeed it may be affirmed in general, that none of the disciples or followers of Behmen propagated his doctrine, or conducted themselves in such a manner as to do honour either to their master or to his cause in the judgment of the wise.

b It is needless to mention the writers who employed their pens in stemming the torrent of Behmen's enthusiasm. The works of this fanatic are in every hody's hands, and the books that were composed to refute them are well known, and to be found every where. All that has been alleged in his favour and defence has been carefully.

XLI. Another class of persons, who deserve to be placed The prophets immediately after Behmen, were they, whom a disordered brain and disordered brain. disordered brain persuaded that they were prophets sent from above, and that they were divinely inspired with the power of forctelling future events. A considerable number of these delirious fanatics arose during the course of this century; and more especially at that juncture when the house of Austria was employed in maintaining its power in the empire, against the united armies of Sweden, France, and Germany. It is remarkable enough, that the tribe of pretended prophets and diviners is never more numerous than at those critical and striking periods when great revolutions are expected, or sudden and heavy calamities have happened; as such periods, and the scenes they exhibit, inflame the imagination of the fanatic, and may be turned to the profit of the impostor. The most eminent of the fanatical prophets now under consideration, were, Nicholas Drabicius, Christopher Kotter, Christina Poniatovia, who found an eloquent defender and patron in John Amos Comenio; not to mention Joachim Greulich, Anne Vetter. Mary Froelich, George Reichard, and several others, who audaciously assumed the same character. It is not necessary to enter into a more circumstantial detail of the history of this visionary tribe, since none of them arose to such a degree of reputation and consequence, as to occasion any considerable tumults by their predictions. It is sufficient to have observed in general, that, even in this century, there were among the lutherans certain crazy fanatics, who, under the impulse of a disordered imagination, assumed the character and authority of prophets sent from above to enlighten the world.

collected by Arnold, who is, generally speaking, peculiarly eloquent in the praises of those whom others treat with contempt. For an account of Kuhlman, and his unhappy fate, see the German work, entitled, Unschuld Nachricht. A. 1748.

Behmen however had the good fortune to meet with, in our days, a warm advocate and an industrious disciple in the late well meaning, but gloomy and visionary, Mr. William Law, who was, for many years, preparing a new edition and translation of Behmen's works, which he left behind him, ready for the press, and which have been

published in two vols. 4to, since his decease. N.

c Arnold is to be commended for giving as an accurate collection of the transactions and visions of these enthusiasts, in the third and fourth parts of his History of Heretics; since those who are desirous of full information in this matter may easily see, by consulting this historian, that the pretended revelations of these prophets were no more than the chantoms of a disordered imagination. A certain pions but ignorant man, named Benedict Bahusen, who was a native of Holstein, and lived at Amsterdam about the middle of the last century, was so delighted with the writings and predictions of these fanatics, that he collected them carefully and published them. In the year 1670, a catalogue of his library was printed at Amsterdam, which was full of chymical and fanatical books.

xLII. It will not however be improper to mention, somewhat more circumstantially, the case of those, who, though they did not arrive at that enormous Ezekiel Meth, height of folly that leads men to pretend to divine inspiration, yet deceived the mselves and deluded others, by entertaining and propagating the strangest fancies and the most monstrous and impious absurdities. Sometime after the commencement of this century, Isaiah Stiefel and Eze-kiel Meth, inhabitants of Thuringia, were observed to throw out the most extraordinary and shocking expressions while they spoke of themselves and their religious attainments. These expressions, in the judgment of many, amounted to nothing less than attributing to themselves the divine glory and majesty, and thus implied a blasphemous, or rather a frenetic, insult on the Supreme Being and his eternal Son: It is nevertheless scarcely credible, however irrational we may suppose them to have been, that these fanatics should have carried their perverse and absurd fancies to such an amazing height; and it would perhaps be more agreeable both to truth and charity to suppose, that they had imitated the pompous and turgid language of the mystic writers in such an extravagant manner, as to give occasion to the heavy accusation abovementioned. Considering the matter even in this candid and charitable light, we may see by their examples how much the constant perusal of the writings of the mystics is adapted to shed darkness, delusion, and folly into the imagination of weak and ignorant men.d The reveries of Paul Nagel, professor of divinity at Leipsic, were highly absurd, but of a much less pernicious tendency than these already mentioned. This prophetic dreamer, who had received a light tincture of mathematical knowledge, pretended to see, in the position of the stars, the events that were to happen in church and state; and, from a view of these celestial bodies, foretold, in a more particular manner, the erection of a new and most holy kingdom in which Christ should reign here upon earth.c

xLin. Christian Hoburg, a native of Lunenburg, a man of a turbulent and inconstant spirit, and not more Christian Ho-

d See Arnold, Historia Eccles. et Haret. p. iii. cap iv. p. 32. Thomasias, in his German work, entitled, Historie de Weisheit und Narrheit, vol. i. p. iii. p. 150

e Arnold let. cit. p. iii. cap. v. p. 53. Andr. Caroli Memorae lia Ecclesia Sac. svil. part i. lib. iii. cap. iv. p. 513.

burg, Frederic Breckling, Seidenbecher. remarkable for his violence than for his duplicity, threw out the most bitter reproaches and invectives against the whole lutheran charch without exception, and thereby involved himself in various perplexities. He deceived indeed the multitude a long time, by his dissimulation and hypocrisy; and by a series of frauds, which he undoabtedly looked upon as lawful, he disguised so well his true character that he appeared to many, and especially to persons of a candid and charitable turn, much less contemptible than he was in reality; and though the acrimony and violence of his proceedings were condemned, vet they were supposed to be directed, not against religion itself, but against the licentiousness and vices of its professors, and particularly of its ministers. At length however the mask fell from the face of this hypocrite; who became an object of general indignation and contempt, and, deserting the communion of the lutheran church, went over to the mennonites. There was a striking resemblance between this petulant railer and Frederic Breckling; the latter however surpassed even the former in impetuosity and malignity. Breckling had been pastor, first in the dutchy of Holstein, and afterward at Zwoll, a city in the United Provinces, where he was deposed from his ministry, and lived a great many years after without being attached to any religious sect or community. There are several of his writings still extant, which indeed recommend warmly the practice of piety and virtue, and seem to express the most implacable abhorrence of vicious persons and licentious manners; and yet, at the same time, they demonstrate plainly that their author was destitute of that charity, prudence, meckness, patience, and love of truth, which are the essential and fundamental virtues of a real christian. h It is undoabtedly a just matter of surprise, that these vehicment declaimers against the established religion and its ministers, who pretend to be so much more sagacious and sharpsighted than their brethren, do not perceive a truth,

f. Hoburg, in some of his petulant and satirical writings, assumed the names of Elias Practorius and Bernard Baumann.

g Arnold, loc. cit p. iii. cap. xiii. p. 130. Andr. Caroli, loc. cit. vol. i. p. 1065. So. Hornbeck, Summa Controvers. p. 535. Molleri Cimbria Lilerata, tom. ii. p. 337.

h Arnold has given an account of Breckling, in his Historia Ecclesiastica et Hærct, part iii. p. 148, and part iv. p. 1103, he has also published some of his writings, p. 1110, which sufficiently demonstrate the irregularity and exuberance of his fancy. There is a particular account of this degraded pastor given by Mollerus, in his Cimbria Literata tom. iii. p. 72.

which the most simple may learn from daily observation; even that nothing is more odious and disgusting than an angry, petulant, and violent reformer, who comes to heal the disorders of a community, armed, as it were, with fire and sword, with menaces and terrors. It is also to be wonedered, that these men are not aware of another consideration equally obvious, namely, that it is scarcely credible, that a spiritual physician will cure another with entire success of the disorders under which he himself is known to labour.

George Laurence Seidenbecher, pastor at Eisfield in Saxony, adopted himself, and propagated, among the multitude, the doctrine of the millennium or thousand years reign of Christ upon earth; a doctrine which scarcely ever gains admittance but in disordered brains, and rarely produces any other fruits than incoherent dreams and idle visions. Seidenbecher was censured on account of this doctrine and denaed from his pastarel about it

trine, and deposed from his pastoral charge,i

xLiv. It would be superfluous to name the other fanatics that deserve a place in the class now before us, since they almost all laboured under the same dis- Martin Seidel, order, and the uniformity of their sentiments and conduct was so perfect, that the history of one, a few instances excepted, may, in a great measure, be considered as the history of them all. We shall therefore conclude this crazy list with a short account of the very worst of the whole tribe, Martin Seidelius, a native of Silesia, who endeavoured to form a sect in Poland toward the conclusion of the preceding century and the commencement of this, but could not find followers, even among the socinians; so wild were his views, and so extravagant his notions. This audacious adventurer in religious inovelties was of opinion, that God had indeed promised a Saviour or Messiali to the Jews; but that this Mes-iah had never appeared, and never would appear, on account of the sins of the Jewish people, which rendered them unworthy of this great deliverer. From hence he concluded, that it was erroneous to look upon Christ as the Messiah; that the only office of Jesus was to interpret and republish the law of nature, that had been perverted and obscured by the vices, corruptions, and ignorance of men; and that the whole duty of man,

I There is a circumstantial account of this man given by Alb. Meno Verpoortan. $i\eta$ his Commentat. de rita et institutis G. L. Seidenbecheri, Gedani. 1734, 4to.

and all the obligations of religion; were fulfilled by an obedience to this law, republished and explained by Jesus Christ. To render this doctrine more defensible and specious, or at least to get rid of a multitude of arguments and express declarations that might be drawn from the holy scriptures to prove its absurdity, he boldly rejected all the books of the New Testament. The small number of disciples that adopted the fancies of this intrepid innovator, were denominated semijudaizers.k Had he appeared in our times, he would have given less offence than at the period in which he lived; for, if we except his singular notion concerning the Messiah, his doctrine was such as would at present be highly agreeable to many persons in Great Britain, Holland, and other countries.1

CHAPTER II.

HISTORY OF THE REFORMED CHURCH.

1. It has been already observed, that the reformed The limits of church, considered in the most comprehensive the reformed sense of that term, as forming a whole, composed of a great variety of parts, is rather united by the principles of moderation and fraternal charity, than by a perfect uniformity in doctrine, discipline, and worship. It will therefore be proper to take, first a view of those events that related to this great body collectively considered; and afterward to enter into a detail of the most memorable occurrences that happened in the particular communities of which it is composed. The principal accessions it received during this century have already been mentioned, when, in the history of the lutheran church, we related the changes and commotions that happened in the princi-

See Gustavi Georgii Zeltneri 'Ilistoria Crypto Socinismi Altorffini,' vol. i. p. 268,

We are much at a loss to know what Dr. Mosheim means by this insinuation, as also the persons he has in view; for, on the one hand, it is sufficiently evident, that he cannot mean the deists; and, on the other, we know of no denomination of christians, who boldly reject all the books of the New Testament. Our author probably meant, that the part of Scidel's doctring which represents Christ's mission as only designed to republish and interpret the law of nature, and the whole religious and moral duty of man as consisting in an obedience to this law, would have been well received by many persons in Great Britain and Holland; but he should have said so; nothing requires such precision as accusations.

palities of Hessia and Brandenburg.^m These however were not the only changes that took place in favour of the reformed church. Its doctrine was embraced, about the commencement of this century, by Adolphus, duke of Holstein, and it was naturally expected, that the subjects would follow the example of their prince; but this expectation was disappointed, by the death of Adolphus, in the year 1616. Henry, duke of Saxony, withdrew also from the communion of the lutherans, in whose religious principles he had been educated; and, in the year 1688, embraced the doctrine of the reformed church at Dessaw, in consequence. as some allege, of the solicitations of his dutchess.° In Denmark, about the beginning of this century, there were still a considerable number of persons who secretly espoused the sentiments of that church, and more especially could never reconcile themselves to the lutheran doctrine of Christ's "bodily presence with the sacrament of the eucharist." They were confirmed in their attachment to the tenets of the reformed by Hemmingius, and other followers of Melancthou, whose secret ministry and public writings were attended with considerable success. The face of things however changed; and the reformed in Denmark saw their expectations vanish, and their credit sink, in the year 1614, when (anut, bishop of Gottenburg, who had given too plain intimations of his propensity to the doctrines of Calvin, was deprived of his episcopal dignity. The progress of the reformed religion in Africa, Asia, and America, is abundantly known; it was carried into these distant regions by the English and Dutch emigrants, who formed settlements there for the purposes of commerce, and founded flourishing churches in the various provinces where they fixed their habitations. It is also known, that in several places where lutheranism was es-

m See section ii. part ii. chap i. § i. ii. where the 'History of the Lutheran Church commences with an account of the loss that church sustained by the secession of Maurice, landgrave of Hesse Cassel, and John Sigismund, elector of Brandenburg, who embraced solemnly the doctrine of the reformed church, the former in 1604, and the latter in 1614.

[&]quot;Jo. Molleri 'Introd. ad Histor. Chersonesi Cimbricæ,' p. ii. p. 101. Eric. Pontop-

o'See Moebii Selectæ Disp Theolog. p. 1137. The duke of Saxony published to the world a Confession of his Faith, containing the reasons of his change. This piece, which the divines of Leipsic were obliged by a public order to refute, was defended against their attacks by the learned Isaac de Beansobre, at that time pastor at Magdeburg, in a book, entitled, 'Defense de la Dortrine des Reformees, et en particulier de la Confession de S. A. S. Misgr. le Duc Henry de Saxe coatre un Livre compose par la Faculte de Theologie a Leipsic.' Magdeb, 1694, in 8vo.

P Pontoppidan. Annal. Eccles. Danicæ, tom. iii. p. 696.

tablished, the French, German, and British members of the reformed church were allowed the free exercise of their

religion.

The decline of fluence, and eclipse the lustre, of the reformed church in the man was more discussions. stances, and more unhappy in its effects, than the deplorable fate of that church in France. From the time of the accession of Henry IV. to the throne of that kingdom, the reformed church had acquired the form of a body politic.4 Its members were endowed with considerable privileges; they were also secured against insults of every kind by a solemn edict, and were possessed of several fortified places, particularly the strong city of Rochelle; in which, to render their security still more complete, they were allowed to have their own garrisons. This body politic was not indeed always under the influence and direction of leaders eminent for their prudence, or distinguished by their permanent attachment to the interests of the crown, and the person of the sovereign. Truth and candour oblige us to acknowledge, that the reformed conducted themselves, on some occasions, in a manner inconsistent with the demands of a regular subordination. Sometimes, anidst the broils and tumults of faction, they joined the parties that opposed the government; at others, they took important steps without the king's approbation or consent; nay, they went so far as to solicit, more than once, without so much as disguising their measures, the alliance and friendship of England and Holland, and formed views which, at least in appearance, were scarcely consistent with the tranquillity of the kingdom, nor with a proper respect for the authority of its monarch. the contests and civil broils that arose, in the year 1621, and subsisted long, between Louis XIII. and his protestant subjects; and hence the severe and despotic maxim of Richlieu, the first minister of that monarch, that the kingdom of France could never enjoy the sweets of peace, nor the satisfaction that is founded upon the assurance of public safety, before the protestants were deprived of their towns and strong holds, and before their rights and privileges, together with their ecclesiastical polity, were crushed to

pieces, and totally suppressed. This haughty minister, after many violent efforts and hard struggles, obtained at length his purpose; for, in the year 1628, the town of Rochelle, the chief bulwark of the reformed interest in France, was taken, after a long and difficult siege, and annexed to the crown. From this fatal event, the reformed party in France, defenceless and naked, dates its decline; since, after the reduction of their chief city, they had no other resource than the pure clemency and generosity of their sovereign. Those who judge of the reduction of this place by the maxims of civil policy, consider the conduct of the French court as entirely consistent with the princi-ples both of wisdom and justice; since nothing can be more detrimental to the tranquillity and safety of the nation, than a body politic erected in its bosom, independent on the supreme authority of the state, and secured against its influence or inspection by an external force. And had the French monarch, satisfied with depriving the protestants of their strong holds, continued to maintain them in the possession of that liberty of conscience, and that free exercise of their religion, for which they had shed so much blood, and to the enjoyment of which their eminent services to the house of Bourbon had given them such a fair and illustrious title, it is highly probable they would have borne with patience this infraction of their privileges, and the loss of that liberty that had been confirmed to them by the most solemn edicts.

Having destroyed that form of civil polity that call treatment had been annexed to the reformed church as a free formed church the supreme authority of the state, they proceeded still further; and, regardless of the royal faith, confirmed by the most solemn declarations, perfidiously invaded those privileges of the church that were merely of a spiritual and religious nature. At first, the court, and the ministers of its tyranny, put in practice all the arts of insinuation and persua-

r See Le Clerc Vie de Cardinal Richlieu, tom. i. p. 69, 77, 177, 199, 269. Le Vassor, Histoire de Louis XIII. tom. iii p 676, tom. iv. p. 1. and the following volumes. See also the Memoirs of Sully, the friend and confident of Henry IV. who, though a protestant, acknowledges frankly the errors of his party, vol. iii. iv. v.

sion, in order to gain over the heads of the reformed church, and the more learned and celebrated ministers of that communion. Pathetic exhortations, alluring promises, artful interpretations of those doctrines of popery that were most disagreeable to the protestants; in a word, every insidious method was employed to conquer their aversion to the church of Rome. Richlieu exhausted all the resources of his dexterity and artifice, and put into execution, with the most industrious assiduity, all the means that he thought the most adapted to seduce the protestants into the Romish communion. When all these stratagems were observed to produce little or no effect, barbarity and violence were employed to extirpate and destroy a set of men, whom mean perfidy could not seduce, and whom weak arguments were insufficient to convince. The most inhuman laws that the blind rage of bigotry could dictate, the most oppressive measures that the ingenious efforts of malice could invent, were put in execution, to damp the courage of a party, that were become odious by their resolute adherence to the dictates of their consciences, and to bring them by force under the yoke of Rome. The French bishops distinguished themselves by their intemperate and unchristian zeal in this horrid scene of persecution and cruelty; many of the protestants sunk under the weight of despotic oppression, and yielded up their faith to armed legions that were sent to convert them; several fled from the storm; and deserted their families, their friends, and their country; and by far the greatest part persevered, with a noble and heroic constancy, in the purity of that religion, which their ancestors had delivered, and happily separated, from the manifold superstitions of a corrupt and idolatrous church.

The edict of names revok! against the protestants under the reign of Louis XIV. the bishops and jesuits, whose counsels had a peculiar influence in the cabinet of that prince, judged it necessary to extirpate, by fire and sword this resolute people; and thus to ruin, as it were, by one mortal blow, the cause of the reformation in France. Their insidious arguments and importunate solicitations had such an effect upon the weak and credulous mind of Louis, that, in the year 1685, trampling on the most solemn obligations, and re-

gardless of all laws, human and divine, he revoked the edict of Nantes, and thereby deprived the protestants of the liberty of serving God according to their consciences. This revocation was accompanied indeed with the applause of Rome; but it excited the indignation even of many Roman catholics, whose bigotry had not effaced or suspended, on this occasion, their natural sentiments of generosity and justice. It was moreover followed by a measure still more tyrannical and shocking; even an express order, addressed to all the reformed churches, to embrace the Romish faith. The consequences of this cruel and unrighteous proceeding were highly detrimental to the true interests and the real prosperity of the French nation, by the prodigious emigrations it occasioned among the protestants, who sought, in various parts of Europe, that religious liberty, and that humane treatment, which their mother country had so cruelly refused them. Those among them, whom the vigilance of their enemies guarded so closely as to prevent their flight, were exposed to the brutal rage of an unrelenting soldiery, and were assailed by every barbarous form of persecution that could be adapted to subdue their courage, exhaust their patience, and thus engage them to a feigned and external profession of popery, which in their consciences they beheld with the utmost aversion and disgust. This crying act of perfidy and injustice in a prince, who, on other occasions, gave evident proofs of his generosity and equity, is sufficient to show, in their true and genuine colours, the spirit of the Romish church and of the Roman pontiffs, and the manner in which they stand affected to those whom they consider as heretics. It is pe-

5 See the Life of Isaac de Beausobre, composed by the ingenious Armand de la Chapelle in French, and subjoined to Beausobre's 'Remarques Historiques, Critiques,

et Philologiques sur le Nouveau Testament, p. 259.

Some late hireling writers, employed by the jesuits, have been audacious enough to plead the cause of the revocation of the edict of Nantes. But it must be observed, to the honour of the French nation, that these impotent attempts, to justily the measures of a persecuting and unrelenting priesthood, have been treated almost universally at Paris with indignation and contempt. They, who are desirous of seeing a true state of the losses the French nation sustained, by the revocation of the fumous edict now mentioned, have only to consult the eurious and authentic account of the state of that nation, taken from memorials drawn up by intendants of the several provinces, for the use of the duke of Burgundy, and published in the year 1727, in two volumes in folio, under the following title; 'Etat de la France, extrait par M. le Comte de Boulainvillier des Memoires dressees par les Intendans du Royaume, par l'Ordre du Roi Louis XIV. a la Solicitation du Duc de Bourgogne.' See also Voltaire, Sur la Tolerance, p. 41 and 201. And, for an account of the conduct of the French court toward the protestants at that dismal period, see the incomparable memorial of the learned and pious Clande, entitled, Plaintes des Protestans de France, p. 12-85, edit. of Cologn.

culiarly adapted to convince the impartial and attentive observer, that the most solemn oaths, and the most sacred treaties, are never looked upon by this church and its pontiffs as respectable and obligatory, when the violation of them may contribute to advance their interests, or to accomplish their views.

y. The waldenses, who lived in the vallies of Piedmont, and had embraced the doctrine, discipline, and worship of the church of Geneva, were oppressed of the waldenses and protesand persecuted, in the most barbarous and inhuman manner, during the greatest part of this century, by the ministers of Rome. This persecution was carried on with peculiar marks of rage and enormity in the years 1655, 1686, and 1696, and seemed to portend nothing less than the total destruction and entire extinction of that unhappy nation. The most horrid scenes of violence and bloodshed were exhibited on this theatre of papal tyranny; and the small numbers of the waldenses that survived them, are indebted for their existence and support, precarious and uncertain as it is, to the continual intercession made for them by the English and Dutch gover ments, and also by the Swiss cantons, who never cease to solicit the clemency of the duke of Savoy in their behalf.

The church of the palatinate, which had been long at the head of the reformed churches in Germany, declined apace from the year 1685, when a Roman catholic prince was raised to that electorate. This decline became at length so great, that, instead of being the first, it was the least considerable of all the protestant assemblies in that country.

vi. The eminent and illustrious figure that the principal members of the reformed church made in the learned world is too well known, and the reputation the reformed church. by a successful application to the various branches of literature and science, is

t Leger, Histoire Generale des Eglises Vaudoises, p. ii. c. vi. p. 72. Gilles, Histoire Ecclesiast. des Eglises Vaudoises, ch. xlix. p. 353. There is a particular history of the persecution suffered by these victims of papal cruelty in the year 1686, which was published in 8vo. at Rotterdam, in the year 1688.

See also a pamphlet, entitled, An Account of the late Persecutions of the Waldenses by the duke of Savoy and the French king in the year 1686, published at Oxford in 4to. in 1688. See likewise a particular detail of the miseries endured by these unfortunate objects of papal persecution in the years 1655, 1662, 1663, and 1686, related by Peter Boyer, in his history of the Vandois, ch. 12—21, p. 72, &c.

too well established to require our entering into a circumstantial detail of that matter. We shall also pass in silence the names of those celebrated men who have acquired immortal fame by their writings, and transmitted their eminent usefulness to succeeding times in their learned and pious productions. Out of the large list of these famous authors that adorned the reformed church, it would be difficult to select the most eminent; and this is a sufficient reason for our silence." The supreme guide and legislator of those that applied themselves to the study of philosophy had been Aristotle, who, for a long time, reigned unrivalled in the reformed, as well as in the lutheran schools; and was exhibited, in both, not in his natural and genuine aspect, but in the motley and uncouth form in which he had been dressed up by the scholastic doctors. But when Gasseudi and Des Cartes appeared, the Stagirite began to decline, and his fame and authority diminished gradually from day to day. Among the French and Dutch, many adopted the cartesian philosophy at its first dawn; and a considerable number of the English embraced the principles of Gassendi, and were singularly pleased with his prudent and candid manner of investi-gating truth. The aristotelians every where, and more especially in Holland, were greatly alarmed at this revolution in the philosophical world, and set themselves, with all their vigour, to oppose its progress. They endeavour ed to persuade the people, that the cause of truth and religion must suffer considerably by the efforts that were made to dethrone Aristotle, and bring into disrepute the doctrine of his interpreters; but the principal cause of their anxiety and zeal, was the apprehension of losing their places in the public schools; a thought which they could not bear with

the reformed church in the seventeenth century, is indeed extremely ample. Among those that adorned Great Britain, we shall always remember, with peculiar veneration, the immortal names of Newton, Barrow, Cudworth, Boyle, Chillingworth, Usher, Bedell, Hall, Poecek, Fell, Lightfoot, Hammond, Calamy, Walton, Baxter, Pearson, Stillingfleet, Mede, Parker, Oughtred, Burnet, Tillotson, and many others well known in the literary world. In Germany we find Parens, Scultet. Fabricius, Alting, Pelargus, and Bergius. In Switzerland and Geneva, Hospinian, the two Buxtorfs, Hottinger, Heiddeger, and Turretin. In the churches and academies of Holland, we meet with the following learned divines; Drusus, Amama. Gomer, Rivet. Cloppenburg, Vossius, Cocceins, Voctius, Des Marets, Heidan, Momma, Burman, Wittichins, Hoornbeck, the Spanheims, Le Moyne, De Mastrieht, and others. Among the French doctors, we may reckon Cameron, Chamier, Du Moulin, Mestrezat, Bloudel, Drelincourt, Daille, Amyrant, the two Cappels, Du la Place, Gamstole, Croy, Morns, Le Blane, Pajon, Bochart, Claude, Alix, Jurieu, Basuage, Abbadie, Beausobre, Lenfant. Martin, Des Vignoles, &e.

any degree of patience. W However, the powerful lustre of truth, which unfolded daily more and more its engaging charms, and the love of liberty, which had been held in chains by peripatetic tyranny, obliged this obstinate sect to vield, and reduced them to silence; and hence it is, that the doctors of the reformed church earry on, at this day, their philosophical inquiries with the same freedom that is observable among the lutherans. It may indeed be a question with some, whether Aristotle be not, even yet, secretly revered in some of the English universities. It is at least certain, that, although under the government of Charles II. and the two succeeding reigns, the mathematical philosophy had made a most extensive progress in Great Britain, there were nevertheless, both at Oxford and Cambridge, some doctors who preferred the ancient system of the schools before the new discoveries now under consideration.

vn. All the interpreters and expositors of scripture that made a figure in the reformed church about the and exposi-tors of scrip- commencement of this eentury, followed scrupulously the method of Calvin in their illustrations of the sacred writings, and unfolded the true and natural signification of the words of scripture, without perplexing their brains to find out deep mysteries in plain expressions, or to force, by the inventive efforts of faney, a variety of singular notions from the metaphorical language that is frequently used by the inspired writers. This universal attachment to the method of Calvin was indeed considerably diminished, in process of time, by the credit and influence of two eelebrated commentators, who struck out new paths in the sphere of sacred criticism. These were Hugo Grotius and John Coeceius. The former departed less from the manner of interpretation generally received than the latter. Like Calvin, he followed, in his commentaries both in the Old and New Testament, the literal and obvions signification of the words employed by the sacred writers; but he differed considerably from that great man in his manner of explaining the predictions of the prophets. The hypothesis of Grotius, relating to that important subjeet, amounts to this: "That the predictions of the ancient prophets were all accomplished, in the events to which they directly pointed, before the coming of Christ; and

[·] w See Baillet, Vie de Des Cartes, passim.

that therefore the natural and obvious sense of the words and phrases, in which they were delivered, does not terminate in our blessed Lord; but that in certain of these predictions, and more especially in those which the writers of the New Testament apply to Christ, there is, beside the literal and obvious signification, a hidden and mysterious sense, that lies concealed under the external mask of certain persons, certain events, and certain actions, which are representatives of the person, ministry, sufferings, and merits of the Son of God."

The method of Cocceius was entirely different from this. He looked upon the whole history of the Old Testament as a perpetual and uninterrupted representation or mirror of the history of the divine Saviour, and of the christian church; he maintained moreover that all the prophecies have a literal and direct relation to Christ; and he finished his romantic system, by laying it down as a certain maxim, that all the events and revolutions that shall happen in the church, until the end of time, are prefigured and pointed out, though not all with the same degree of evidence and perspicuity, in different places of the Old Testament.* These two eminent commentators had each his zealous disciples and followers. The arminians in general, many of the English and French divines, together with those warm votaries of ancient calvinism, who are called voetsians, from their chief, Gisbert Voet, the great adversary of Cocceius, all adopted the method of interpreting scripture introduced by Grotius. On the other hand, many of the Dutch, Swiss, and Germans, were singularly delighted with the learned fancies of Cocceius. There are however still great numbers of prudent and impartial divines, who, considering the extremes into which these two eminent critics have run, and disposed to profit by what is really solid in both their systems, neither reject nor embrace their opinions in the lump, but agree with them both in some things, and differ from them both in others. It is further to be observed, that neither the followers of Grotius nor of

VOL. IV. 10

^{*} It is become almost a proverbial saying, that in the books of the Old Testament Cocceius finds Christ every where, while Grotius meets him no where. The first part of this saying is certainly true; the latter much less so; for it appears, with sufficient evidence, from the Commentaries of Grotius, that he finds Christ prefigured in many places of the Old Testament, not indeed directly in the letter of the prophecies, where Cocceius discovers him, but mysteriously, under the appearance of certain persons, and in the secret sense of certain transactions.

Cocceius are agreed among themselves, and that these two general classes of expositors may be divided into many subordinate ones. A considerable number of English divines of the *episcopal* church refused to adopt the opinions, or to respect the authority, of these modern expositors; they appealed to the decisions of the primitive fathers; and maintained, that the sacred writings ought always to be understood in that sense *only*, which has been attributed to them by these ancient doctors of the rising church.

viii. The doctrines of Christianity, which had been so State of didac- sadly disfigured among the lutherans by the obtic theology in the reformed scure jargon and the intricate tenets of the scholastic philosophy, met with the same fate in the reformed churches. The first successful effort, that prevented these churches from falling entirely under the aristotelian yoke, was made by the arminians, who were remarkable for expounding, with simplicity and perspicuity, the truths and precepts of religion, and who censured, with great plainness and severity, those ostentatious doctors, who affected to render them obscure and unintelligible, by expressing them in the terms, and reducing them under the classes and divisions, used in the schools. The cartesians and cocceians, contributed also to deliver theology from the chains of the peripatetics; though it must be allowed, that it had not, in some respects, a much better fate in the hands of these its deliverers. The cartesians applied the principles and tenets of their philosophy in illustrating the doctrines of the gospel; the cocceians imagined, that they could

Concerning the Interpretation of Scripture after the Manner of the Fathers," which was published at London in 8vo. in the year 1714, under the following title: "Dissertation de Scripturarum Interpretatione secundum Patrum Commentarios," &c. In this dissertation, which was the forerunner of the many remarkable attempts that were afterward made to deliver the right of private judgment, in matters of religion, from the restraints of human authority, the judicious anthor has shown, first, that the holy scripture is the only rule of faith, and that by it alone we are to judge of the doctrines that are necessary to salvation; secondly, that the fathers, both of the primitive times, and also of succeeding ages, are extremely deficient and unsuccessful in their explications of the sacred writings; and, thirdly, that it is impossible to terminate the debates that have been raised concerning the floty Trinity, by the opinions of the fathers, the decisions of councils, or by any tradition that is really universal. The contradictions, absurdities, the romantic conceits and extravagant fancies, that are to be found in the commentaries of the fathers, were never represented in such a ridiculous point of view as they are in this performance. The worst part of the matter is, that such a production as Dr. Whitby's in which all the mistakes of these ancient expositors are culled out and compiled with such care, is too much adapted to prejudice young students even against what may be good in their writings, and thus disgust them against a kind of study, which, when conducted with impartiality and prudence, has its uses. It is the infirmity of our nature to be fond of extremes.

not give a more sublime and engaging aspect to the christian religion, than by representing it under the notion of a covenant entered into between God and man; and both these manners of proceeding were disliked by the wisest and most learned divines of the reformed church. They complained, with reason, that the tenets and distinctions of the cartesian philosophy had as evident a tendency to render the doctrines of Christianity obscure and intricate as the abstruse terms, and the endless divisions and subdivisions of the peripatetics. They observed also, that the metaphor of a covenant, applied to the christian religion, must be attended with many inconveniences, by leading uninstructed minds to form a variety of ill grounded notions, which is the ordinary consequence of straining metaphors; and that it must contribute to introduce into the colleges of divinity the captious terms, distinctions, and quibbles, that are employed in the ordinary courts of justice; and thus give rise to the most trifling and ill judged discussions and debates about religious matters. Accordingly, the greatest part, both of the British and French doctors, refusing to admit the intricacies of cartesianism, and the imagery of Cocceius, into their theological system, followed the free, easy, and unaffected method of the arminian divines, in illustrating the truths, and enforcing the duties of Christianity.

william Ames, a Scots divine, was one of the The state of first among the reformed who attempted to treat practical religion and momentality as a separate science, to consider it abstractedly from its connexion with any particular system

stractedly from its connexion with any particular system of doctrine, and to introduce new light, and a new degree

as an invention of Coccius, or as a manner of speaking peculiar to him. The representation of the gospel dispensation under the idea of a covenant, whether this representation be literal or metaphorical, is to be found, almost every where, in the Epistles of St. Paul, and the other apostles, though very rarely, scarcely more than twice, in the gospels. This phraseology has also been adopted by christians of almost all denominations. It is indeed a manner of speaking that has been grossly abused by those divines, who, urging the metaphor too closely, exhibit the sublime transactions of the divine wisdom under the narrow and imperfect forms of human tribunals; and thus lead to false notions of the springs of action, as well as of the dispensations and attributes of the Supreme Being. We have remarkable instances of this abuse, in a book lately translated into English, I mean, the Economy of the Covenants, by Witsius, in which that learned and pious man, who has deservedly gained an eminent reputation by other valuable productions, has inconsiderately introduced the captious, formal, and trivial terms, employed in human courts, into his descriptions of the stupendous scheme of redemption.

of accuracy and precision, into this master science of life and manners. The attempt was laudable, had it been well executed; but the system of this learned writer was dry, theoretical, and subtile, and was thus much more adapted to the instruction of the studious than to the practical direction of the christian. The arminians, who are known to be much more zealous in enforcing the duties of Christianity than in illustrating its truths, and who generally employ more pains in directing the will than in enlightening the understanding, engaged several authors of note to exhibit the precepts and obligations of morality in a more useful, practical, and popular manner; but the English and French surpassed all the moral writers of the reformed church in penetration, solidity, and in the ease, freedom, and perspicuity of their method and compositions. Moses Amyraut, a man of a sound understanding and subtile genius, was the first of the French divines who distinguished themselves in this kind of writing. He composed an accurate and elaborate system of morality, in a style indeed that is now become obsolete; and those more moderate French writers, such as La Placette and Pictet, who acquired such a high and eminent reputation on account of their moral writings, owe to the excellent work now mentioned a considerable part of their glory. While England groaned under the horrors and tumults of a civil war, it was chiefly the presbyterians and independents, that employed their talents and their pens in promoting the cause of practical religion. During this unhappy period indeed these doctors were remarkable for the austere gravity of their manners, and for a melancholy complexion and turn of mind; and these appeared abundantly in their compositions. Some of them were penned with such rigour and severity, as discovered either a total ignorance of the present imperfect state of humanity, or an entire want of all sort of indulgence for its unavoidable infirmities. Others were composed with a spirit of enthusiasm, that betrayed an evident propensity to the doctrine of the mystics. But when Hobbes appeared, the scene changed. new set of illustrious and excellent writers arose to defend the truths of religion, and the obligations of morality, against this author, who aimed at the destruction of both, since he subjected the unchangeable nature of religion to the arbitrary will of the sovereign, and endeavoured to ef-

face the eternal distinction that there is between moral good and evil. Cudworth, Cumber'and, Sharrock, and others, alarmed at the view of a system so false in its principles, and so pernicious in its effects, rendered eminent service to the cause of religion and morals by their immortal labours, in which, arising to the first principles of things, and opening the primitive and eternal fountains of truth and good, they illustrated clearly the doctrines of the one with the fairest evidence, and established the obligations of the other on the firmest foundations.

x. About the commencement of this century, the academy of Geneva was in such high repute among thé reformed churches, that it was resorted to from versies all quarters by such as were desirous of a learned destination preeducation; and more especially by those students and grace. of theology, whose circumstances in life permitted them to frequent this famous seminary. Hence it very naturally happened, that the opinions of Calvin, concerning the dec ees of God and divine grace, became daily more universal, and were gradually introduced every where into the schools of learning. There was not however any public law or confession of faith that obliged the pastors of the reformed churches, in any part of the world, to conform their sentiments to the theological doctrines that were adopted and taught at Geneva And accordingly there were many, who either rejected entirely the doctrine of that academy on these intricate points, or received it with certain restrictions and modifications. Nay, even those who were in general attached to the theological system of Geneva, were not perfectly agreed about the manner of explaining the doctrine relating to the divine decrees. The greatest part were of opinion, that God had only permitted the first man to fall into transgression, without positively predetermining his fall. But others went much further. and, presumptuously forgetting their own ignorance on the one hand, and the wisdom and equity of the divine counsels on the other, maintained, that God, in order to exer-

Pa See Leland's View of the Deistical Writers, vol. i. p. 48.

The lustre and authority of the academy of Geneva began gradually to decline, from the time that, the United Provinces being formed into a free and independent republic, universities were founded at Leyden, Francker, and Utrecht.

c See, for a full demonstration of this assertion, Grotius's Apologeticus, &c. as also several treatises, written in Dutch, by Theod. Volkh. Coornkert, of whom Arnold, makes particular mention in his Historia Eccles. et Haret. tom. ii.

cise and display his awful justice and his free mercy, had decreed from all eternity the transgression of Adam; and so ordered the course of events, that our first parents could not possibly avoid their unhappy fall. Those that held this latter sentiment were denominated supralapsarians, to distinguish them from the sublapsarian doctors, who maintained the doctrine of permission already mentioned.

xi. It is remarkable enough, that the supralapsarian The armi. and sublapsarian divines forgot their debates and differences, as matters of little consequence; and united their force against those who thought it their duty to represent the Deity as extending his goodness and mercy to all mankind. This gave rise, soon after the commencement of this century, to a deplorable schism, which all the efforts of human wisdom have since been unable to heal. James Arminius, professor of divinity in the university of Leyden, rejected the doctrine of the church of Geneva, in relation to the deep and intricate points of predestination and grace; and maintained, with the lutherans, that God has excluded none from salvation by an absolute and eternal decree. He was joined in these sentiments by several persons in Holland, that were eminently distinguished by the extent of their learning and the dignity of their stations; but he met with the warmest opposition from Francis Gomar his colleague, and from the principal professors in the Dutch universities. The magistrates exhorted the contending parties to moderation and charity; and observed, that, in a free state, their respective opinions might be treated with toleration, without any detriment to the essential interests of true religion. After long and tedious debates, which were frequently attended with popular tumults and civil broils, this intricate controversy was, by the councils and authorityd of Maurice, prince of Orange, referred to the decision of the church, assembled, in a general synod at Dort, in the year 1618. The most eminent divines of the United Provinces, and not only so, but learned deputies from the churches of England, Scotland, Switzerland, Bremen, Hessia, and the Palatinate, were present at this numerous and solemn assembly. It was

d It was not by the authority of prince Maurice, but by that of the states general, that the national synod was assembled at Dort. The states were not indeed unanimous; three of the seven provinces protested against the holding of this synod, viz. Holland, Utrecht, and Overyssel.

by the sentence of these judges that the arminians lost their cause, and were declared corrupters of the true religion. It must be observed, at the same time, that the doctors of Geneva who embraced the sublapsarian system, triumphed over their adversaries in this synod. For though the patrons of the suplapsarian cause were far from being contemptible either in point of number or of abilities; yet the moderation and equity of the British divines prevented the synod from giving its sanction to the opinions of that presumptuous sect. Nor indeed would even the sublapsarians have gained their point, or obtained to the full the accomplishment of their desires, had the doctors of Bremen, who for weighty reasons were attached to the lutherans, been

able to execute their purposes.e

XII. It is greatly to be doubted, whether this victory, gained over the arminians, was, upon the whole, The effects of advantageous or detrimental to the church of Ge-this schism. neva, in particular, and to the reformed church in general. It is at least certain, that, after the synod of Dort, the doctrine of absolute decrees lost ground from day to day; and its patrons were put to the hard necessity of holding fraternal communion with those whose doctrine was either professedly arminian, or at least nearly resembled it. The leaders of the vanquished arminians were eminently distinguished by their eloquence, sagacity, and learning; and being highly exasperated by the injurious and oppressive treatment they met with, in consequence of their condemnation, they defended themselves, and attacked their adversaries with such spirit and vigour, and also with such dexterity and eloquence, that multitudes were persuaded of the justice of their cause. It is particularly to be observed, that the authority of the synod of Dort was far from being universally acknowledged among the Dutch; the provinces of Friesland, Zealand, Utrecht, Guelderland, and Groningen, could not be persuaded to adopt its decisions; and though, in the year 1651, they were at length gained over so far as to intimate, that they would see with pleasure the reformed religion maintained upon the footing on which it had been placed and confirmed by the synod of Dort, yet the most eminent adepts in Belgic ju-

e We shall give, in the History of the Arminians, a list of the writers that appeared in this controversy; as also a more particular account of the transactions of the synod of Dort.

risprudence deny that this intimation has the force or character of a law.

In England, the face of religion changed considerably, in a very little time after the famous synod now mentioned; and this change, which was entirely in favour of arminianism, was principally effected by the counsels and influence of William Laud, archbishop of Canterbury. This revolution gave new courage to the arminians; and, from that period to the present time, they have had the pleasure of seeing the decisions and doctrines of the synod of Dort, relating to the points in debate between them and the calvinists, treated, in England, with something more than mere indifference, beheld by some with aversion, and by others with contempt.3 And, indeed, if we consider the genius and spirit of the church of England during this period, we shall plainly see, that the doctrine of the gomarists, concerning predestination and grace, could not meet there with a favourable reception, since the leading doctors of that church were zealous in modelling its doctrine and discipline after the sentiments and institutions that were received in the primitive times, and since those early fathers, whom they followed with a profound submission, had never presumed, before Augustine, to set limits to the extent of the divine grace and mercy.

The reformed churches in France seemed at first, disposed to give a favourable reception to the decisions of this famous synod; but, as these decisions were highly displeasing to the votaries of Rome among whom they lived, and kindled anew their rage against the protestants, the latter thought it their duty to be circumspect in this matter; and, in process of time, their real sentiments, and the doctrines they taught, began to differ extremely from those of the gomarists. The churches of Brandenberg and Bremen, which made a considerable figure among the reformed in Germany, would never suffer their doctors to be tied down to the opinions and tenets of the Dutch divines. And thus it happened, that the liberty of private judgment, with respect to the doctrines of predestination and grace, which the spirit that prevailed among the di-

f See the very learned and illustrious president Bynkershoek's Quastiones Juris publici, lib. ii. cap. xviii.
g Sev. Lintrupii Dissertatio de Contemptu Concilii Dordrac. in Anglia, in Dissert.
Theologicis Hect. Godofr. Masii, tom. i. n. xix.

vines of Dort, seemed so much adapted to suppress or discourage, acquired rather new vigour, in consequence of the arbitrary proceedings of that assembly; and the reformed church was immediately divided into universalists, semiuniversalists, supralapsarians, and sublapsarians, who indeed notwithstanding their dissensions, which sometimes become violent and tumultuous, live generally in the exercise of mutual toleration, and are reciprocally restrained by many reasons from indulging a spirit of hostility and persecution. What is still more remarkable, and therefore ought not to be passed over in silence, we see the city of Geneva, which was the parent, the nurse, and the guardian of the doctrine of absolute predestination and particular grace, not only put on sentiments of charity, forbearance, and esteem for the arminians, but become itself almost so far arminian, as to deserve a place among the churches of that communion.

xIII. While the reformed churches in France yet subsisted, its doctors departed, in several points, from the common rule of faith that was received in the lar tenets of other churches of their communion. This, as apther churches in

pears from several circumstances, was, in a great measure, owing to their desirc of diminishing the prejudices of the Roman catholics against them, and of getting rid of a part of the odious conclusions which were drawn by their adversaries from the doctrines of Dort, and laid to their charge with that malignity which popish bigotry so naturally inspires. Hence we find in the books that were composed by the doctors of Saumur and Sedan, after the synod of Dort, many things which seem conformable, not only to the sentiments of the lutherans, concerning grace, predestination, the person of Christ, and the efficacy of the sacraments, but also to certain peculiar opinions of the Romish church. This moderation may be dated from the year 1615, when the opinion of John Piscator, pastor at Herborn, concerning the obedience of Christ, was tacitly adopted, or at least pronounced free from error, by the synod of the isle of France; though it had been formerly condemned and rejected in several preceding assemblies, of the same nature. Piscator maintained, that it was not

h Aymon, 'Actes de tous les Synodes Nationaux des Eglises Reformees de France,' tom. ii. p. 275, 276.

i See Aymon, loc, cit. tom. i. p. 400, 401, 457, tom. ii. p. 13. Bossuet, 'Histoire des Variations des Eglises Protestantes,' livr. xii. tom. ii. p. 268, where this prelate,

VOL. IV.

by his obedience to the divine law that Christ made a satisfaction to that law in our stead, since this obedience was his duty, considered as a man; and therefore, being obliged to obey this law himself, his observance of it could not merit any thing for others from the Supreme Being. This opinion, as every one may see, tended to confirm the doctrine of the Romish church, concerning the merit of good works, the natural power of man to obey the commands of God, and other points of a like nature." These less important concessions were followed by others of a much more weighty and momentous kind, of which some were so erroneous, that they were highly disliked and rejected, even by those of the French protestants themselves, who were the most remarkable for their moderation, charity, and love of peace.k

with his usual malignity and bitterness, reproaches the protestants with their inconstancy. The learned Basnage has endeavoured to defend the reformed churches against this charge, in the second volume of his Histoire de l'Eglise, p. 1533. But this desence is not satisfactory. To Dr. Mosheim, who speaks more than once of the reformed church and its doctors with partiality and prejudice, this desence may not appear satisfactory; it has neverthcless been judged so by many persons of uncommon discernment; and we invite the reader to judge for himself.

ii It does not appear to me that any one, who looks with an unprejudiced eye, can see the least connexion between the opinion of Piscator, which I shall not here either refute or defend, and the popish doctrine which maintains the merit of good works; for though we are not justified, i. e. pardoned or treated as if we had not offended, in consequence of Christ's active obedience to the divine law, yet we may be so by his death and sufferings; and it is really to these, that the scriptures, in many places, ascribe our acceptance. Now a person who ascribes his acceptance and salvation to the death and juddiation of Christ, does not surely give any countenance to the doctrine of the strict and rigorous merit of works, although he should not be so sharpsighted as to perceive the influence which certain doctors attribute to what is called Christ's active obedience. But let it be observed here, in a particular manner, that the opinion of Piscator is much more unfavourable to popery than our author imagined, since it overturns totally, by a direct and most natural consequence, the popish doctrine concerning works of supercrogation, which is as monstrous an absurdity in morals, as transubstantiation is in the estimation of common sense. For if Christ, in his universal and perfect obedience to the divine laws, did no more than he was morally obliged to do by his character as a man, is it not absurd, if not impious, to seek in the virtue of the Romish saints, all of whom were very imperfect, and some of them very worthless mortals, an exuberance of obedience, a superabundant quantity of virtue, to which they were not obliged, and which they are supposed to deposit in the hands of the popes, who are empowered to distribute it, for love of money, among such as have need of it to make up their accounts?

This affirmation is groundless, and I wish it were not liable to the charge of malignity. The accusation that Dr. Mosheim brings here against the reformed churches in France is of too serious a nature not to require the most evident and circumstantial proofs. He has however alleged none, nor has he given any one instance of those reeighty and momentous concessions that were made to popery. It was not indeed in his power either to give arguments or examples of a satisfactory kind; and it is highly probable, that the unguarded words of Elias Saurin, minister of Utrecht, in relation to the learned Lewis Le Blanc, professor of Sedan, which dropt from the pen of the former, în his Examen de la Theologie de M. Juricu, are the only testimony Dr. Moshcim had to allege, in support of an accusation, which he has not limited to any one person, but inconsiderately thrown out upon the French churches in general. Those who are desirous of a full illustration of this matter, and yet have not an opportunity of consulting the original sources of information, may satisfy their curiosity by perusing the arxiv. The doctors of Saumur revived a controversy, that had for some time been suspended, by their attempts to reconcile the doctrine of predestination, the controversy excited by the hypothetical universalists.

The controversy excited by the hypothetical universalists.

sent the Deity as offering the displays of his goodness and mercy to all mankind. The first person, who made this fruitless attempt, was John Cameron, whose sentiments were supported and further illustrated by Moses Amyraut, a man of uncommon sagacity and erudition. The latter applied himself, from the year 1634, with unparalleled zeal, to this arduous work, and displayed in it extraordinary exertions of capacity and genius; and so ardently was he bent on bringing it into execution, that he made, for this purpose, no small changes in the doctrine commonly received among the reformed in France. The form of doctrine he had struck out, in order to accomplish this important reconciliation, may be briefly summed up in the following propositions; "That God desires the happiness of all men, and that no mortal is excluded, by any divine decree, from the benefits that are procured by the death, sufferings, and gospel of Christ;

"That however none can be made a partaker of the blessings of the gospel, and of eternal salvation, unless he

believe in Jesus Christ;

"That such indeed is the immense and universal goodness of the Supreme Being, that he refuses to none the power of believing; though he does not grant unto all his assistance and succour, that they may wisely improve this power to the attainment of everlasting salvation;

"And that, in consequence of this, multitudes perish, through their own fault, and not from any want of good-

ness in God."

tieles of Beaulieu and Amyraut, in Bayle's Dictionary; and the articles Pajon and Papin, in M. de Chauffepied's supplement to that work. Any concessions that seem to have been made by the protestant doctors in France to their adversaries, consisted in giving an arminian turn to some of the more rigid tenets of Calvin, relating to original sin, predestination, and grace; and this turn would undoubtedly have been given to these doctrines, had popery been out of the question. But these concessions are not certainly what our historian had in view; nor would he, in effect, have treated such concessions as erroneous.

1 See Jo. Wolfg. Jaegeri Historia Eccles. et Politica, Saculi xvii. Decenn. iv. p. 522.

This mitigated view of the doctrine of predestination has only one defeet; but it is a capital one. It represents God as desiring a thing, i. e. salvation and happiness, for all, which, in order to its attainment, requires a degree of his assistance and succour, which he refuseth to many. This rendered grace and redemption universal only in words, but partial in reality; and therefore did not at all mend the matter. The su-

Those who embraced this doctrine were called *universal*ists, because they represented God as willing to show mercy to all mankind; and hypothetical universalists, because the condition of faith in Christ was necessary to render them the objects of this mercy. It is the opinion of many, that this doctrine differs but little from that which was established by the synod of Dort; but such do not seem to have attentively considered either the principles from whence it is derived, or the consequences to which it leads. The more I examine this reconciling system, the more I am persuaded, that it is no more than arminianism or pelagianism artfully dressed up, and ingeniously covered with a half transparent veil of specious, but ambiguous expressions; and this judgment is confirmed by the language that is used in treating this subject by the modern followers of Amyraut, who express their sentiments with more courage, plainness, and perspicuity, than the spirit of the times permitted their master to do. A cry was raised, in several French synods, against the doctrine of Amyraut; but, after it had been carefully examined by them, and defended by him, at their public meetings, with his usual eloquence and erudition, he was honourably acquitted. The opposition he met with from Holland was still more formidable, as it came from the learned and celebrated pens of Rivet, Spanheim, Des Marets, and other adversaries of note; he nevertheless answered them with great spirit and vigour, and his cause was powerfully supported afterward by Daille, Blondel, Mestrezat, and Claude. This controversy was carried on, fer a long time, with great animosity and little fruit to those who opposed the opinions of the French in-

pralapsarians were consistent with themselves, but their doctrine was harsh and terrible, and was founded on the most unworthy notions of the Supreme Being; and, on the other hand, the system of Amyraut was full of inconsistencies; may, even the sublapsarian doctrine has its difficulties, and rather palliates, than removes the horrors of supralapsarianism. What then is to be done? from what quarter shall the candid and well disposed christian receive that solid satisfaction and wise direction, which neither of these systems is adapted to administer? These he will receive by turning his dazzled and feeble eye from the sceret decrees of God, which were neither designed to be rules of action nor sources of comfort to mortals here below; and by fixing his view upon the mercy of God, as it is manifested through Christ, the pure laws and sublime promises of his gospel, and the respectable equity of his present government and his inture tribunal.

m See Aymon, 'Actes des Synodes Nationaux des Eglises Reformers en France,' tom. ii. p. 571, p. 604. Blondel, 'Aetes Authentiques des Eglises Reformees touchant la paix et la charite fraternelle,' p. 19-82, edit. of Amsterdam, published in 4to. in the year 1655.

n Bayle's Dictionary, vol. i. at the articles Amyraut and Blondel; and vol. ii. at the orticle Daille. See Christ. Pfashins, De formula conscusus, cap. i. p. 4.

novator. For the sentiments of Amyraut were not only received in all the universities of the hugonots in France, and adopted by divines of the highest note in that nation, but also spread themselves as far as Geneva, and were afterward disseminated by the French protestants, who fled from the rage of persecution, through all the reformed churches of Europe. And they now are so generally received, that few have the courage to oppose or decry them.

xv. The desire of mitigating certain doctrines of the reformed church, that drew upon it the heaviest central sures from both the Roman catholics and some occasioned by protestant communions, was the true origin of the and Cappel. opinion propagated, in the year 1640, by De la Place, concerning the imputation of original sin. This divine, who was the intimate friend of Amyraut, and his colleague at Saumur, rejected the opinion generally received in the schools of the reformed, that the personal and actual transgression of the first man is imputed to his posterity. He maintained, on the contrary, that God imputes to every man his natural corruption, his personal guilt, and his propensity to sin; or, to speak in the theological style, he affirmed, that original sin is indirectly and not directly imputed to mankind. This opinion was condemned as erroneous, in the year 1642, by the synod of Charenton, and many Dutch and Helvetic doctors of great name set themselves to refute it; of while the love of peace and union prevented its author from defending it in a public and open manner. But neither the sentence of the synod, nor the silence of De la Place, could hinder this sentiment from making a deep impression on the minds of many, who looked upon it as conformable to the plainest dictates of justice and equity; nor could they prevent its being transmitted, with the French exiles, into other countries.

In the class of those who, to diminish or avoid the resentment of the papists, made concessions inconsistent with truth, and detrimental to the purity of the protestant religion, many place Lewis Cappel, professor at Saumur, who, in a voluminous and elaborate work, undertook to

o Aymon, Synodes des Eglises Reformees de France, tom. ii. p. 680.

P Christ. Eberh. Weismanni Histor. Eccles. Sæc. xvii. p. 817.

q This work, which is entitled, Arcanum Punctuationis Revelatum, is still extant, with its Vindiciæ, in the works of Cappel, printed at Amsterdam, in the year 1689, in folio, and in the Critica Sacra V. T. published in folio at Paris, 1650.

prove that the Hebrew points were not used by the sacred writers, and were a modern invention added to the text by the masorethes. It is at least certain, that this hypothesis was highly agreeable to the votaries of Rome, and seemed manifestly adapted to diminish the authority of the holy scriptures, and to put them upon a level with oral tradition, if not to render their decision still less respectable and certain. On these accounts, the system of this famous professor was opposed, with the most ardent efforts of erudition and zeal, by several doctors both of the reformed and lutheran churches, who were eminent for their knowledge of the Hebrew language, and their acquaintance with oriental learning in general.'

xvi. Though these great men gave offence to many, by the freedom and novelty of their sentiments, yet they had the approbation and esteem of the greatest part of the reformed churches; and the equity of succeeding generations removed the aspersions that envy had thrown upon them during their lives, and made ample amends for the injuries they had received from several of their contemporaries. This was far from being the case of those doctors who either openly attempted to bring about a complete reconciliation and union between the reformed and Romish churches, or explained the doctrines of Christianity in such a manner as lessened the difference between the two communions, and thereby rendered the passage from the former to the latter less disgusting and painful. The attempts of these peacemakers were looked upon as odious, and in the issue they proved utterly unsuccessful. The most eminent of these reconciling doctors were Lewis le Blanc, professor at Sedan, and Claude Pajon, minister of Orleans," who were both remarkable for

F It was also Cappel who assirmed, that the characters which compose the Hebrew text, were those that the Chaldeans used after the Babylonish captivity, the Jews

having always made use of the Samaritan characters before that period.

This absurd notion of the tendency of Cappel's hypothesis is now hissed almost entirely out of the learned world. Be that as it may, the hypothesis in question is by no means peculiar to Cappel; it was adopted by Luther, Zuingle, Calvin, the three great pillars of the reformation; as also by Munster, Olivetan, Masius, Scaliger, Casaubon, Drusius, De Dien, Walton, and Bochart, those eminent men, who have cast such light on sacred philology; so that Cappel had only the merit of supporting it by new arguments, and placing it in a striking and luminous point of view.

See B. Jo. Christ. Wolfii Biblioth. Hebraica, p. ii. p. 27.

If it is difficult to conceive, what could engage Dr. Mosheim to place Pajon in the class of those who explained the doctrines of Christianity in such a manner, as to diminish the difference between the doctrine of the reformed and Romish churches. Pajon was indeed a moderate divine, and leaned somewhat toward the arminian sys-

the persuasive power of their eloquence, and discovered an uncommon degree of penetration and sagacity in their writings and negotiations. The former passed in review many of the controversies that divide the two churches, and seemed to prove, with the utmost perspicuity; that some of them were merely disputes about words, and that the others were of much less consequence than was generally imagined. This manner of stating the differences between the two churches drew upon Le Blanc the indignation of those, who looked upon all attempts to soften and modify controverted doctrines as dangerous and detrimental to the cause of truth. On the other hand, the acuteness and dexterity with which he treated this nice matter, made a considerable impression upon several persons, and procured him disciples, who still entertain his reconciling sentiments, but either conceal them entirely, or discover them with caution, as they are known to be displeasing to the greatest part of the members of both communions.

xvn. The modifications under which Pajon exhibited some of the doctrines of the reformed church, claude Pawere also extremely offensive and unpopular. This jon ecclesiastic applied the principles and tenets of the cartesian philosophy, of which he was a warm and able defender, in explaining the opinions of that church relating to the corruption of human nature, the state of its moral faculties and powers, the grace of God, and the conversion of sinners; and, in the judgment of many, he gave an erroneous interpretation of these opinions. It is indeed very difficult to determine what were the real sentiments of this man; nor is it easy to say, whether this difficulty be most owing to the affected obscurity and ambiguity under which he disguised them, or to the inaccuracy with which his adversaries, through negligence or malignity, have represented them. If we may give credit to the latter, his doctrine amounts to the following propositions: "That the corruption of man is less, and his natural power to

tem; and this propensity was not uncommon among the French protestants. But few doctors of this time wrote with more learning, zeal, and judgment, against popery, than Claude Pajon, as appears from his excellent treatise against Nicole, entitled, Examen du Livre, qui porte pour titre prejugees legitimes contre les Calvinistes.

w In his Thesis Theologica, which have passed through several editions, and are highly worthy of an attentive perusal. They were twice printed at London.

** See Bayle's Dictionary, at the article Beaulieu.

amend his ways greater, than is generally imagined; that original sin lies in the understanding alone, and consists principally in the obscurity and imperfection of our ideas of divine things; that this imperfection of the human understanding has a pernicious influence upon the will, excites in it vicious propensities, and thus leads it to sinful actions; that this internal disorder is healed, not by the mere efforts of our natural faculties and powers, but by the assistance and energy of the Holy Spirit, operating upon the mind by the divine word as its mean or instrument; that however this word is not endowed with any divine intrinsic energy, cither natural or supernatural, but only with a moral influence, i. c. that it corrects and improves the understanding, in the same manner as human truth docs, even by imparting clear and distinct notions of spiritual and divine things, and furnishing solid arguments for the truth and divinity of the christian religion, and its perfect conformity with the dictates of right reason; and that, of consequence, every man, if no internal or external impediments destroy or suspend the exertion of his natural powers and facultics, may, by the use of his own reason, and a careful and assiduous study of the revealed will of God be enabled to correct what is amiss in his sentiments, affections, and actions, without any extraordinary assistance from the Holy Ghost."

Such is the account of the opinions of Pajon that is given by his adversaries. On the other hand, if we take our ideas of his doctrine from himself, we shall find this account disingenuous and erroncous. Pajon intimates plainly his assent to the doctrines that were confirmed by the synod of Dort, and that are contained in the catechisms and confessions of faith of the reformed churches; he complains that his doctrine has been ill understood or wilfully perverted; and he observes, that he did not deny entirely an immediate operation of the Holy Spirit on the minds of those that are really converted to God, but only such an immediate operation as was not accompanied with the ministry and efficacy of the divine word; or, to express the matter in other terms, he declared that he could not adopt the sentiments of those who represent that word as

y Fred. Spanheim, Append. ad Elenchum Controversiar. tom. iii. opp. p. 882. Jurieu, Traite de la Nature et de la Grace, p. 35. Val. Ern. Loscheri Exercit. de Claud-Pajonii ejusque sectator. doctrina et fatis. Lips. 1692.

no more than an instrument void of intrinsic efficacy, a mere external sign of an immediate operation of the Spirit of God.² This last declaration is however both obscure and captious. Be that as it may, Pajon concludes by observing, that we ought not to dispute about the manner in which the Holy Spirit operates upon the minds of men, but content ourselves with acknowledging, that he is the true and original author of all that is good in the affections of our heart, and the actions that proceed from them. Notwithstanding these declarations, the doctrine of this learned and ingenious ecclesiastic was not only looked upon as heterodox by some of the most eminent divines of the reformed church, but was also condemned, in the year 1677, by several synods in France, and, in 1686, by a synod assembled at Rotterdam.

xviii. This controversy, which seemed to be brought to a conclusion by the death of Pajon, was revived, or rather continued, by Isaac Papin, his nephew, Papin, a native of Blois, who, by his writings and travels, was highly instrumental in communicating to England, Holland, and Germany, the contagion of these unhappy debates. This ecclesiastic expressed his sentiments without ambiguity or reserve, and propagated every where the doctrine of his uncle, which, according to his crude and harsh manner of representing it, he reduced to the two following propositions:

"That the natural powers and faculties of man are more than sufficient to lead him to the knowledge of di-

vine truth;

"That, in order to produce that amendment of the heart, which is called regeneration, nothing more is requisite than to put the body, if its habit is bad, into a sound state by the power of physic, and then to set truth and falsehood before the understanding, and virtue and vice before the will, in their genuine colours, clearly and distinctly, so as that their nature and properties may be fully apprehended."

This and the other opinions of Papin were refuted, with a considerable degree of acrimony, in the year 1686,

² All these declarations made by Pajon may be seen in a confession of his faith, supposed to have been drawn up by himself, and published by the learned M. de Chauffepied, in his Nouveau Dictionaire Histor. et Critique, tom. ii. p. 164, in note e of the article Le Cene.

by the famous Jurieu, professor of divinity and pastor of the French church at Rotterdam, and they were condemned the year following by the synod of Boisleduc. In the year 1688, they were condemned, with still greater marks of severity, by the French synod at the Hague, where a sentence of excommunication was pronounced against their author. Exasperated at these proceedings, Papin returned into France in the year 1690, where he abjured publicly the protestant religion, and embraced the communion of the church of Rome, in which he died in the year 1709. It has been affirmed by some, that this ingenious man was treated with great rigour and injustice; and that his theological opinions were unfaithfully represented by his violent and unrelenting adversary, Jurieu, whose warmth and impetuosity in religious controversy are well known. How far this affirmation may be supported by evidence, we cannot pretend to determine. A doctrine, something like that of Pajon, was maintained in several treatises, in the year 1684, by Charles le Cene, a French divine of uncommon learning and sagacity, who gave a new and very singular translation of the

ty, who gave a new and very singular translation of the bible. But he entirely rejected the doctrine of original sin, and of the importance of human nature; and asserted, that it was in every man's power to amend his ways, and arrive at a state of obedience and virtue, by the mere use of his natural faculties, and an attentive study of the divine word; more especially, if these were seconded by the advantage of a good education, and the influence of virtuous examples. Hence several divines pretend that his doctrine is, in many respects, different from that of Paion s Pajon.c

XIX. The church of England had, for a long time, retuous ocean. The opposition of the papists on the one hand, and the discontents and remonstrances the church of England under James I. of the puritans on the other, had kept it in a perpetual ferment. When, on the death of Elizabeth, James I. ascended the throne, these latter conceived the warmest hopes of seeing more serene and prosperous days, and of

a See Jurieu De la Nature et de la Grace. Molleri Cimbria Literat. tom. li p. 608.
b This translation was published at Amsterdam in the year 1741, and was condemned by the French synod in Holland.
c See the learned and laborious M. Chaussepied's Nouv. Diction. Hist. et Critiq.

tom ii. p. 160, at the article Le Cene.

being delivered from the vexations and oppressions they were constantly exposed to, on account of their attachment to the discipline and worship of the church of Geneva. These hopes were so much the more natural, as the king had received his education in Scotland, where the puritans prevailed, and had, on some occasions, made the strongest declarations of his attachment to their ecclesiastical constitution.d And some of the first steps taken by this prince seemed to encourage these hopes, as he appeared desirous of assuming the character and office of an arbitrator, in order to accommodate matters between the church and the puritans.^c But these expectations soon vanished, and, under the government of James, things put on a new face. As the desire of unlimited power and authority was the reigning passion in the heart of this monarch, so all his measures, whether of a civil or religious nature, were calculated to answer the purposes of his ambition. The presbyterian form of ecclesiastical government seemed less

In a general assembly held at Edinburgh, in the year 1590, this prince is said to have made the following public declaration: "I praise God that I was born in the time of the light of the gospel, and in such a place as to be the king of the sincerest, i.e. purest, kirk in the world. The kirk of Geneva keep pasche and yule, i.e. Easter and Christmas. What have they for them? They have no institution. As for our neighbour kirk of England, their service is an evil said mass in English; they want nothing of the mass but the liftings, i. e. the elevation of the host. I charge you, my good ministers, doctors, elders, nobles, gentlemen, and barons, to stand to your putity, and to exhort your people to do the same; and I, forsooth, as long as I brook my life, shall do the same." Calderwood's History of the Church of Scotland, p. 256.

p. 256.

The religious disputes between the church and the puritans induced James to appoint a conference between the two parties at Hampton Court; at which nine bishops, and as many dignitaries of the church, appeared on the one side, and four puritan ministers on the other. The king himself took a considerable part in the controversy against the latter; and this was an occupation well adapted to his taste; for nothing could be more pleasing to this royal pedant, than to dictate magisterially to an assembly of divines concerning points of faith and discipline, and to receive the applauses of these holy men for his superior zeal and learning. The conference continued three days. The first day it was held between the king and the bishops and deans, to whom James proposed some objections against certain expressions in the liturgy, and a few alterations in the ritual of the church; in consequence of which, some slight alterations were made. The two following days the puritans were admitted, whose proposals and remonstrances may be seen in Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. ii. p. 15. Dr. Warner, in his Ecclesiastical History of England, observes, that this author must be read with caution, on account of his unfairness and partiality; why therefore did he not take his account of the Hampton Court conference from a better source? The different accounts of the opposite parties, and more particularly those published by Dr. Barlow, dean of Chester, on the one hand, and Patrick Galloway, a Scots writer, on the other, both of whom were present at the conference, must be carefully consulted, in order to our forming a proper idea of these theological transactions. James at least obtained, on this occasion, the applause he had in view. The archbishop of Canterbury, Whitgift, said, "That undoubtedly his Majesty spoke by the special assistance of God's spirit;" and Bancroft, falling on his knees, with his eyes raised to—James, expressed himself thus: "I protest my heart melteth for joy, that Almighty God, of his singular me

favourable to his views than the episcopal hierarchy; as the former exhibits a kind of republic which is administered by various rulers of equal authority; while the latter approaches much nearer to the spirit and genius of monarchy. The very name of a republic, synod. or council, was odious to James, who dreaded every thing that had a popular aspect; hence he distinguished the bishops with peculiar marks of his favour, extended their authority, increased their prerogatives, and publicly adopted and inculcated the following maxim, No bishop, no king. At the same time, as the church of England had not yet abandoned the calvinistical doctrines of predestination and grace, he also adhered to them for some time, and gave his theological representatives, in the synod of Dort, an order to join in the condemnation of the sentiments of Arminius in relation to these deep and intricate points. Abbot, archbishop of Canterbury, a man of remarkable gravity, and eminent

Lord Clarendon says, in his history of the rebellion, that " Abbot was a man of very morose manners, and of a very sower aspect, which at that time was called gravity." If, in general, we strike a medium between what Clarendon and Neal say of this prelate, we shall probably arrive at the true knowledge of his character. See the History of the Rebellion, vol. i. p. 88, and Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. ii. p. 243, it is certain, that nothing can be more unjust and path than Clarendon's account of this eminent prelate, particularly when he says, that he neither understood nor regarded the constitution of the church. But it is too much the custom of this writer, and others of his stamp, to give the denomination of latitudinarian indifference to that charity, prudence, and moderation, by which alone the best interests of the church, though not the personal views of many of its ambitions members, can be established upon firm and permanent foundations. Abbot would have been reckoned a good churchman by some, if he had breathed that spirit of despotism and violence, which, being essentially incompatible with the spirit and churacter of a people, not only free, but jenlous of their liberty, has often endangered the church, by exciting that resentment which always renders opposition excessive. Abbot was so far from being indifferent about the constitution of the church, or inclined to the presbyterian discipline, as this noble author affirms in his History of the Rebellion, that it was by his zeal and dexterity that the clergy of Scotland, who had refused to admit the hishops as moderators in their church synods, were brought to a more tractable temper, and things put into such a situation as afterward produced the entire establishment of the episcopal order in that nation. It is true, that Abbot's zeal in this affair was conducted with great prudence and moderation, and it was by these that his zeal was rendered successful. Nor have these his transactions in Scotland, where he went as chaplain to the lord high treasurer Dunbar, been sufficiently attended to by historians; may, they seem to have been entirely unknown to some, who have pretended to depreciate the conduct and principles of this virtuous and excellent prelate. King James, who had been so zealprinciples of this virtuous and excellent prelate. King James, who had been so zealous a presbyterian, in appearance, before his accession to the crown of England, had scarcely set his foot out of Scotland, when he conceived the design of restoring the ancient form of episcopal government in that kingdom: and it was Abbot's transactions there that brought him to that high favour with the king, which, in the space of little more than three years, raised him from the deanery of Winchester to the see of Canterbury. For it was by Abbot's mild and princent counsels, that Dinbar procured that famous act of the general assembly of Scotland, by which it was provided, "that the king should have the calling of all general assemblies; that the hishops, or their deputies, should be perpetual moderators of the diocesian synods: that no excominadeputies, should be perpetual moderators of the diocesian synods; that no excommnnication should be pronounced without their approbation; that all presentations of benefices should be made by them; that the deprivation or suspension of ministers should belong to them; that the visitation of the diocese should be performed by the bishop

zeal, both for civil and religious liberty, whose lenity toward their ancestors the puritans still celebrate in the highest strains, used his utmost endeavours to confirm the king in the principles of calvinism, to which he himself was thoroughly attached. But scarcely had the British divines returned from the synod of Dort, and given an account of the laws that had been enacted, and the doctrines that had been established by that famous assembly, than the king, together with the greatest part of the episcopal clergy, discovered, in the strongest terms, their dislike of these proccedings, and judged the sentiments of Arminius, relating to the divine decrees, preferable to those of Gomarus and Calvin. This sudden and unexpected change in the the-

or his deputy only; and that the bishop should be moderator of all conventions for exercisings or prophesyings, i e. preaching, within their bounds." See Calderwood's True History of the Church of Scotland, fol. 1680, 588, 589. Heylen's History of the Presbyterians, p. 381,382, and, above all, Speed's History of Great Britain, book x. fol. 1227. The writers who seem the least disposed to speak favourably of this wise and good prelate, bear testimony nevertheless to his eminent piety, his exemplary conversation, and his inflexible probity and integrity; and it may be said with truth, that, if his moderate measures had been pursued, the liberties of England would have been secured, popery discountenanced, and the church prevented from running into those excesses which afterward proved so fatal to it. If Abbot's candour failed him on any occasion, it was in the representations, which his rigid attachment, not to the discipline, but to the doctrinal tenets of calvinism, led him to give of the arminian doctors. There is a remarkable instance of this in a letter of his to sir Ralph Winwood, dated at Lambeth, the 1st of June, 1613, and occasioned by the arrival of Grotius in England, who had been expressly sent from Holland, by the remonstrants or arminians, to mitiwho had been expressly sent from Holland, by the remonstrants or arminians, to mitigate the king's displeasure and antipathy against that party. In this letter, the arch-bishop represents Grotius, with whom he certainly was not worthy to be named. either in point of learning, sagacity, or judgment, as a pedant; and mentions, with a high degree of complaisance and approbation, the absurd and impertinent judgment of some civilians and divines, who called this immortal ornament of the republic of letters, a smatterer and a simple fellow. See Winwood's Memorials, vol. iii p. 459.-

g See Anton. Wood, Athenæ Oxoniens. tom. i. p. 583. Neal's History of the Puritans,

vol. ii. ch. iv. p. 242. Clarendon's History of the Rebellion vol. i

h See Heylen's History of the Five Articles. Neal, ibid. vol. ii ch. ii. p. 117. This latter author tells us, that the following verses were made in England, with a design to pour contempt on the synod of Dort, and to turn its proceedings into ridicule;

"Dordrechti Synodus, Nodus; Chorus Integer, Æger; Coventus, Ventus; Sessio, Stramen. Amen."

With respect to James, those who are desirous of forming a just idea of the character, proceedings, and theological fickleness and inconstancy of that monarch, must peruse the writer of English history, more especially Larrey and Rapin Thoyras. The greatest part of these writers tell us, that, toward the latter end of his days, James, after having deserted from the calvinists to the arminians, began to discover a singular propensity toward popery; and they affirm positively, that he cutertained the most ardent desire of bringing about a union between the church of England and the church of Rome. In this however these writers seem to have gone too far; for

^{*} It would be a difficult, nay, an unsurmountable task, to justify all the proceedings of the synod of Bort; and it were much to be wished, that they bad been more conformable to the spirit of christian charity, than the representations of history, impartially weighed, show them to have been. We are not however to conclude, from the insipid monkish lines here quoted by Dr. Mosheim, that the transactions and decisions of that synod were universally condemned or despised in England. It had its partisans in the established church, as well as among the puritans; and its decisions, in point of doctrine, were looked upon by many, and not without reason, as agreeable to the tenor of the 'Book of Articles established by law in the church of England.'

ological opinions of the court and clergy, was certainly owing to a variety of reasons, as will appear evident to those who have any acquaintance with the spirit and transactions of these times. The principal one, if we are not deceived, must be sought in the plains of a further reformation of the church of England, that were proposed by several eminent ecclesiastics, whose intention was to bring it to as near a resemblance as was possible of the primitive church. And every one knowns, that the peculiar doctrines, to which the victory was assigned by the synod of Dort, were absolutely unknown in the first ages of the christian church. Be that as it may, this change was fatal to the interests of the puritans; for, the king being indisposed to the opinions and institutions of calvinism, the pu-

though many of the proceedings of this injudicious prince deserve justly the sharpest censure, yet it is both rash and unjust to accuse him of a design to introduce popery into England. It is not to be believed, that a prince, who aspired after arbitrary power and uncontrolled dominion, could ever have entertained a thought of submitting to the yoke of the Roman pontiff.* The truth of the matter seems to be this, that, toward the latter end of his reign, James began to have less aversion to the doctrines and rites of the Romish church, and permitted certain religions observances, that were conformable to the spirit of that church, to be used in England. This conduct was founded upon a manner of reasoning, which he had learned from several bishops of his time, viz. that the primitive church is the model which all christian churches ought to imitate in doctrine and worship; that, in proportion as any church approaches to this primitive standard of truth and purity, it must become proportionably pure and perfect; and that the Romish church retained more of the spirit and manner of the primitive church than the puritan or calvinist churches. To of these three propositions, the two first are undoubtedly true, and the last is as evidently and demonstrably false. Beside, this makes nothing to the argument; for as James had a manifest aversion to the puritans, it could, in his eyes, be no very great recommendation of the Romish church, that it surpassed that of the puritans in doctrine and discipline.

of the Romish church, that it surpassed that of the puritans in doctrine and discipline. It is in Dr. Mosheim has unnexed the following note to this passage; "Perhaps the king entered into these ecclesiastical proceedings with the more readiness, when he reflected on the civil commotions and tumults that an attachment to the presbyterian religion had occasioned in Scotland. There are also some circumstances that intimate plainly enough, that James, before his accession to the crown of England, was very far from having an aversion to popery." Thus far the note of our author, and whoever looks into the Historical View of the Negotiations between the Courts of England, France, and Brussels, from the year 1592 to 1617, extracted from the MSS. State Papers of sir Thomas Edmondes and Anthony Bacon, Esq. and published in the year 1749, by the learned and judicious Dr. Birch, will be persuaded, that, toward the year 1695, this fickle and unsteady prince had really formed a design to embrace the faith of Rome. Sec, in the curious collection now mentioned, the postscript of a letter from sir Thomas Edmondes to the lord high treasurer, dated the 20th of December, 1595. We learn also, from the Memoirs of sir Ralph Winwood, that, in the year 1596, James sent Mr. Ogilby, a Scots baron, into Spain, to assure his catholic majesty, that he was then ready and resolved to embrace popery, and to propose an alliance with that king and the pope against the queen of England. Sec State Tracts, vol. i. p. 1. Sec also an extract of a letter from Tobie Matthew, D. D. dean of Durhan, to the lord treasurer Burleigh, containing an information of Scotch affairs, in Strype's Annals, vol. iv. p. 201. Above all, see Harris's Historical and Critical Account of the Life and Writings of James 1. p. 29, note (N.) This last writer may be added to Larrey and Rapin, who have exposed the pliability and inconsistency of this self sufficient monarch.

^{*} This remark is confuted by fact, observation, and the perpetual contradictions that are observable in the conduct of men; beside, see the note i.

ritans were left without defence, and exposed anew to the animosity and hatred of their adversaries, which had been, for some time, suspended; but now broke out with redoubled vehemence, and at length kindled a religious war, whose consequences were deplorable beyourd expression. In the year 1625, died James I. the bitterest enemy of the doctrine and discipline of the puritans, to which he had been in his youth most warmly attached; the most inflexible and ardent patron of the arminians, in whose ruin and condemnation in Holland he had been singularly instrumental; and the most zealous defender of episcopal government, against which he had more than once expressed himself in the strongest terms. He left the constitution of England, both ecclesiastical and civil, in a very unsettled and fluctuating state, languishing under intestine disorders of various kinds.

xx. His son and successor Charles I. who had imbibed his political and religious principles, had nothing The state of so much at heart as to bring to perfection what England under Charles I. All the exertions of his zeal, and the whole tenor of his administration, were directed toward the three following objects; "The extending the royal prerogative and raising the power of the crown above the authority of the law; the reduction of all the churches in Great Britain and Ireland under the jurisdiction of bishops, whose government he looked upon as of divine institution, and also as the most adapted to guard the privileges and majesty of the throne; and lastly, the suppression of the opinious and institutions that were peculiar to calvinism, and the modelling of the doctrine, discipline, ceremonies, and polity of the church of England, after the spirit and constitution of the primitive church." The person whom the king chiefly intrusted with the execution of this arduous plan, was William Laud, bishop of London, who was afterward raised, in the year 1633, to the see of Canterbury, and exhibited, in these high stations. a mixed character, composed of great qualities and great defects. The voice of justice must celebrate his erudition, his fortitude, his ingenuity, his zeal for the sciences, and his munificence and liberality to men of letters; and at the same time, even charity must acknowledge with regret, his inexcusable imprudence, his excessive superstition, his rigid attachment to the sentiments, rites, and institutions

of the ancient church, which made him behold the puritans and calvinists with horror; and that violent spirit of animosity and persecution, that discovered itself in the whole course of his ecclesiastical administration. This haughty prelate executed the plans of his royal master, and fulfilled the views of his own ambition, without using those mild and moderate methods, that prudence employs to make unpopular schemes go down. He carried things with a high hand; when he found the laws opposing his views, he treated them with contempt, and violated them without hesitation; he loaded the puritans with injuries and vexations, and aimed at nothing less than their total extinction; he rejected the calvinistical doctrine of predestination publicly in the year 1625; and, notwithstanding the opposition and remonstrances of Abbot, substituted the arminian system in its place; he revived many

Les See Ant. Wood. Athena Oxoniens, tom. ii. p. 55. Heylin's Cyprianus, or the History of the Life and Death of William Land, published at London in 1668. Claren-

1. Sincere he undoubtedly was," says Mr. Hume, "and however misguided, actuated by religious principles in all his pursuits; and it is to be regretted, that a man of such spirit, who conducted his enterprises with such wurmth and industry, had not entertained more enlarged views, and embraced principles more favourable to the general happiness of human society."

m See Mich. le Vassor, Hist. de Louis XIII. tom. v p. 262.

This expression may lead the uninformed render into a mistake, and make him imagine that Land had consed the calvinistical doctrine of the xxxix. Articles to be abrogated, and the tenets of Arminins to be substituted in their place. It may therefore he proper to set this matter in a clearer light. In the year 1625, Land wrote a small treatise to prove the orthodoxy of the arminian doctrines; and, by his credit with the duke of Buckingham, had arminian and antipuritanical chaplains placed about the king. This step increased the dehates between the calvinistical and arminian doctors, and produced the warmest animosities and dissensions. To calm these, the king issued out a problamation, dated the 14th of January 1626, the literal tenor of which was, in truth, more lavourable to the calvinists than to the arminians, though, by the manner in which it was interpreted and executed by Laud, it was turned to the advantage of the latter. In this proclamation it was said expressly "that his majesty would admit of no innovations in the doctrine, discipline, or government of the church;" (N.B. The doctrine of the church previously to this, was calvinistical,) "and therefore charges all his subjects, and especially the clergy, not to publish or maintain in preaching or writing, any new inventions or opinions, contrary to the said doctrine and discipline established by law," &c. It was certainly a very singular instance of Laud's indecent partiality, that this proclamation was employed to suppress the books that were expressly written in the defence of the xxxix. Articles, while the writings of the arminians, who certainly opposed these articles, were publicly licensed. I do not here enter into the merits of the cause; I only speak of the tener of the proclamation, and the manner of its execution.

This manner of proceeding showed how difficult and ardnous a thing it is to change systems of doctrine established by law, since neither Charles, who was by no menns diffident of his authority, nor Laud, who was far from being timorous in the use and abuse of it, attempted to reform articles of faith, that stood in direct opposition to the arminian doctrines, which they were now promoting by the warmest encouragements, and which were daily gaining ground under their protection. Instead of reforming the xxxix. Articles, which step would have met with great opposition from the house of commons, and from a considerable part of the clergy and laity, who were still warmly attached to calvinism, Land advised the king to have these articles reprinted,

religious rites and ceremonies, which, though stamped with the sanction of antiquity, were nevertheless marked with the turpitude of superstition, and had been justly abrogated on that account; he forced bishops upon the Scots nation, which was zealously attached to the discipline and ecclesiastical polity of Geneva, and had shown, on all occasions, the greatest reluctance against an episcopal government; and lastly, he gave many and very plain intimations, that he looked upon the Romish church, with all its errrors, as more pure, more holy, and preferable upon the whole, to those protestant churches that were not subject to the jurisdiction of bishops. By these his unpopu-

with an ambiguous declaration prefixed to them, which might tend to silence or discourage the reigning controversies between the calvinists and arminians, and thus secure to the latter an unmolested state, in which they would daily find their power growing under the countenance and protection of the court. This declaration, which, in most editions of the Common Prayer, is still to be found at the head of the articles, is a most curious piece of political theology: and had it not borne hard upon the right of private judgment, and been evidently designed to favour one party, though it carried the aspect of a perfect neutrality, it might have been looked upon as a wise and provident measure to secure the tranquillity of the church. For, in the tenor of this declaration, precision was sacrificed to prudence and ambiguity, nay, even contradictions were preferred before consistent clear, and positive decisions, that might have fomented dissensions and discord. The declaration seemed to favour the calvinists, since it prohibited the affixing any new sense to any acticle; it also favoured in effect the arminians, as it ordered all curious search about the contested points to be laid aside, and these disputes to be shut up in God's promises, as they are generally set forth to us in the holy scriptures, and the general meaning of the articles of the church of England according to them. But what was singularly preposterous in this declaration was, its being designed to favour the arminians, and yet prohibiting expressly any person, either in their sermons or writings, to put his own sense or comment to be the meaning of the article, and ordering them, on the contrary to take each article in its literal and grammatical sense, and to submit to it in the full and plain meaning thereof; for certainly if the seventeenth article has a plain, literal, and grammatical meaning, it is a meaning unfavourable to arminianism; and bishop Burnet was obliged afterward to acknowledge, that without enlarging the sense of the articles, the arminians could not subscribe them consistently with their opinions, nor without violating the demands of common ingenuity. See Burnet's Remarks on the Examination of his Exposition, &c. p. 3.

This renders it probable, that the declaration now mentioned, in which we see no royal signature, no attestation of any officer of the crown, no date, in short, no mark to show where, when, or by what authority it was issued out, was not composed in the reign of king Charles. Bishop Burnet indeed was of opinion, that it was composed in that reign to support the arminians, who, when they were charged with departing from the true sense of the articles, answered, "that they took the articles in their literal and grammatical sense, and therefore did not prevaricate." But this reasoning does not appear conclusive to the acute and learned author of the Confessional. He thinks it more probable, that the declaration was composed, and first published, in the latter part of king James's reign: for though, says he, there be no evidence that James ever turned Arminian in principle, yet that was the party that stuck to him in his measures, and which it became necessary for him on that account to humour, and to render respectable in the eyes of the people by every expedient that might not bring any reflection on his own consistency. "And whoever," continues this author, "considers the quibbling and equivocal terms in which this instrument is drawn, will, I am persuaded, observe the distress of a man divided between his principles, and his interests, that is, of a man exactly in the situation of king James I. in the three last years of his reign." It is likely then, that this declaration was only republished at the

nead of the articles which were reprinted by the order of Charles I

lar sentiments and violent measures, Laud drew an odium on the king, on himself, and on the episcopal order in general. Hence, in the year 1644, he was brought before the public tribunals of justice, declared guilty of high treason, and condemned to lose his head on a scaffold; which sentence was accordingly executed.

After the death of Land, the dissensions that had reigned for a long time between the king and parliament, grew still more violent, and arose at length to so great a height, that they could not be extinguished but by the blood of that excellent prince. The great council of the nation, heated by the violent suggestions of the puritans and independents," abolished episcopal government; condemned and abrogated every thing in the ecclesiastical establishment that was contrary to the doctrine, worship, and discipline of the church of Geneva; turned the vehemence of their opposition against the king himself, and having brought him into their power by the fate of arms, accused him of treason against the majesty of the nation; and, in the year 1648, while the eyes of Europe were fixed with astonishment on this strange spectacle, caused his head to be struck off on a public scaffold. Such are the calamities that flow from religious zeal without knowledge, from that enthusiasm and bigotry that inspire a blind and immoderate attachment to the external and unessential parts of religion, and to certain doctrines ill understood! These broils and tumults served also unhappily to confirm the truth of an observation often made, that all religious sects, while they are kept under and oppressed, are remarkable for inculcating the duties of moderation, forbearance, and charity toward those who dissent from them; but, as soon as the scenes of persecution are removed, and they, in their turn, arrive at power and pre-eminence, they forget their own precepts and maxims, and leave both the recommendation and practice of charity to those that groan under their voke. Such, in reality, was the conduct and behaviour of the puritums during their transitory exaltation; they showed as little elemency and equity to the bishops and other patrons of episcopacy, as they had received from them when the reins of government were in their hands."

The origin of this sect has been already mentioned.

Besides Clarendon and the other writers of English history already mentioned.

See Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. ii. and iii.

xxi. The independents, who have been just mentioned among the promoters of civil discord in England, The indepenare generally represented by the British writers in dents. a much worse light than the presbyterians or calvinists. They are commonly accused of various enormities, and are even charged with the crime of parricide, as having borne a principal part in the death of the king. But whoever will be at the pains of examining, with impartiality and attention, the writings of that sect, and their confession of faith, must soon perceive, that many crimes have been imputed to them without foundation, and will probably be induced to think, that the bold attempts of the civil independents, i. e. of those warm republicans who were the declared enemies of monarchy, and wanted to extend the liberty of the people beyond all bounds of wisdom and prudence, have been unjustly laid to the charge of those independents, whose principles were merely of a religious kind. The religious independents derive their denomina-

P The sect of the independents is of recent date, and still subsists in England; there is nevertheless not one, either of the ancient or modern sects of christians, that is less known, or has been more loaded with groundless aspersions and reproaches. The most eminent English writers, not only among the patrons of Episcopacy, but even among those very presbyterians with whom they are now united, have thrown out against them the bitterest accusations and the severest invectives that the warmest indignation could invent. They have not only been represented as delirious, mad, fanatical, illiterate, factious, and ignorant, both of natural and revealed religion, but also as abandoned to all kinds of wickedness and sedition, and as the only authors of the odious parricide committed on the person of Charles I.* And as the authors who have given these representations are considered by foreigners as the best and most authentic relaters of the transactions that have passed in their own country, and are therefore followed as the surest guides, the independents appear, almost every where, under the most unfavourable aspect. It must indeed be candidly acknowledged, that as every class and order of men consists of persons of very different characters and qualities, so also the sect of independents has been dishonoured by several turbulent, factious, profligate, and flagitious members. But, if it is a constant maxim with the wise and prudent, not to judge of the spirit and principles of a sect from the actions or expressions of a handful of its members, but from the manners, customs, opinions, and behaviour of the generality of those who compose it, from the writings and discourses of its learned men, and from its public and avowed forms of doctrine and confessions of faith; then, I make no doubt, but that, by this rule of estimating matters, the independents will appear to have been unjustly loaded with so many accusations and reproaches.

We shall take no notice of the invidious and severe animadversions that have been made upon this religious community by Clarendon, Echard, Parker, and so many other writers. To set this whole matter in the clearest and most impartial light, we shall confine ourselves to the account of the independents given by a writer, justly celebrated by the English themselves, and who, though a foreigner, is generally supposed to have had an accurate knowledge of the British untion, its history, its parties, its sects, and revolutions. This writer is Rapin Thoyras, who, in the twenty-first book of his History of England, vol. ii. p. 514, edit. folio, represents the independents under such horrid co-

Durell, whom nevertheless Lewis de Moulin, the most zealous defender of the independent, commends on account of his ingenuity and candour, in his Historia Rituum Santa Ficlesia Anglicana, cap. i. p. 4. expresses himself thus: "Fateor, si atrocis illius Tragonlia lot actua fuerint, quot ludierarum esse solent, postremum fere Independentium fuisse. Adea at non acuté at agis quam vere, dixert L'Estrangues Noster: Regem primo a Freshyler at a interentium. Carolum dei ha in levende illus interfection

tion from the following principle, which they held in common with the brownists, that every christian congrega-

lours, that, were his portrait just, they would not deserve to enjoy the light of the sun, or to breathe the free air of Britain, much less to be treated with indulgence and esteem by those who have the cause of virtue at heart. Let us now examine the account which this illustrious historian gives of this sect. He declares, in the first place, that, notwithstanding all the pains he had taken to trace out the true origin of it, his inquiries had been entirely fruitless; his words are, as translated by Mr. Tindal, " After all my pains I have not been able to discover, precisely, the first rise of the independent sect, or faction." It is very surprising to hear a man of learning, who had employed seventeen years in composing the history of England, and had admittance to so many rich and famous libraries, express his ignorance of a matter, about which it was so easy to acquire ample information. Had he only looked into the work of the learned Hornbeck, entitled, Summa Controrcrsiarum, lib. x. p. 775, he would have found, in a moment, what he had been so long and so laboriously seeking in vain. Rapin proceeds to the doctrines and opinions of the independents, and begins here, by a general declaration. ration of their tendency to throw the nation into disorder and combustion; his words are, "Thus much is certain, their principles were very proper to put the kingdom in a flame; and this they did effectually." What truth there is in this assertion, will be seen by what follows. Their sentiments concerning government were, if we are to believe this writer, of the most pernicious kind; since, according to him, they wanted to overturn the monarchy, and to establish a democracy in its place; his words are, "With regard to the state, they abhorred monarchy, and approved only a republican government." I will not pretend to deny, that there were among the independents several persons, that were no friends to a kingly government; persons of this kind were to be found among the presbyterians, anabaptists, and all the other religious sects and comunities that flourished in England during this tumultuous period; but I want to see it proved, in an evident and satisfactory manner, that these republican principles were embraced by all the independents, and formed one of the distinguishing characteristies of that sect. There is, at least, no such thing to be found in their public writ-They declared, on the contrary, in a public memorial drawn up by them in the year 1647, that, as magistracy in general is the ordinance of God, "they do not disapprove of any form of civil government, but do freely acknowledge, that a kingly government, bounded by just and wholesome laws, is both allowed by God, and also a good accommodation unto men." I omit the mention of several other circumstances, which unite to prove that the independents were far from looking with abhorrence on a monarchical government.

Their sentiments of religion, according to Rapin's account, were highly absurd, since he represents their principles as entirely opposite to those of all other religious communities; "As to religion," says he, "their principles were contrary to those of all the rest of the world." With respect to this accusation, it may be proper to observe, that there are extant two Confessions of Faith, one of the English independents in Holland, and another drawn up by the principal members of that community in England. The former was composed by John Robinson, the founder of the sect, and was published at Landau in the in the year 1810, maken the following titled to Application or a result of the sect of the lished at Leyden in 4to. in the year 1619, under the following title; 'Apologia pro exulibus Anglis, qui Brownistæ vulgo appellantur;' the latter appeared at Loudon, for the first time, in the year 1658, and was thus entitled; 'A declaration of the faith and order owned and practised in the congregational churches in England, agreed upon, and consented unto, by their elders and messengers, in their meeting at the Savoy, October 12, 1658. Hornbeck gave, in the year 1659, a Latin translation of this declaration, and subjoined it to his 'Epistolæ ad Durwum de independentismo.' It appears evidently from these two public and authentic pieces, not to mention other writings of the independents, that they differed from the presbyterians or calvinists in no single point of any consequence, except that of ecclesiastical government. To put this matter beyond all doubt, we have only to uttend to the following passage in Robinson's 'Apology for the English Exiles,' p. 7, 11, where that founder of the sect of the independents expresses bis own private sentiments, and those of his community, in the plainest manner; "Profitemur coram Deo et hominibus, adeo nobis convenire cum Ecclesiis Reformatis, Belgicis in re religionis, ut omnibus et singulis earnndem Ecclesiarum fidei articulis, prout habentur in Harmonia confessionum fidei, parati sinns subscribere. Eeclesias Reformatas pro veris et genninis habemus, cum itsdem in sacris Dei communionem profitemur, et, quantum in nobis est, colimus." It appears evident from this declaration, that, instead of differing totally from all other christian societies, it may rather be said of the independents; that they were perfectly agreed

tion ought to be governed by its own laws, without depending on the jurisdiction of bishops, or being subject to

with by far the greatest part of the reformed churches. To show, as he imagines, by a striking example, the absurdity of their religion and worship, our eminent historian tells us, that they nut only reject all kind of ecclesiastical government, but moreover allow all their members, promiscuously, and without exception, to perform in public the pastoral functions, i. e. to preach, pray, and expound the scriptures; his words are, "They were not only averse to episcopacy and the ecclesiastical hierarchy," this charge is true, but it may equally be brought against the presbyterians, brownists, anabaptists, and all the various sects of nonconformists "but they would not so much as endure ordinary ministers in the church. They maintained, that every man might pray in public, exhort his brethren, and interpret the scriptures according to the talcats God had endowed him with. So with them every one preached, prayed, admonished, interpreted the holy scriptures, without any other call than what he himself drew from his zeal and supposed gifts, and without any other authority than the approbation of his auditors." This whole charge is evidently false and groundless. The independents have, and always have had, fixed and regular ministers approved of by their people; nor do they allow to teach in public, every person who thinks bimself qualified for that important office. The celebrated historian has here confounded the independents with the brownists, who, as is well known, permitted all to pray and preach in public without distinction. We shall not enlarge upon the other mistakes he has fallen into on this subject; but only observe, that il so eminent a writer, and one so well acquainted with the English nation, has pronounced such an unjust sentence against this sect, we may the more easily excuse an inferior set of authors, who have

loaded them with groundless accusations.

It will however be alleged, that, whatever may have been the religious sentiments and discipline of the independents, immumerable testimonies concur in proving, that they were chargeable with the death of Charles I and many will consider this single circumstance as a sufficient demonstration of the impiety and depravity of the whole sect. I am well aware indeed that many of the most eminent and respectable English writers have given the independents the denomination of regicides; and if, by the term independents, they mean those licentious republicans, whose dislike of a monarchical form of government carried them the most pernicious and extravagant lengths, I grant that this denomination is well applied. But if, by the term independents, we are to unders and a religious sect, the ancestors of those who still bear the same title in England, it appears very questionable to me, whether the unkappy fate of the worthy prince abovementioned ought to be imputed entirely to that set of men. They who affirm that the independents were the only authors of the death of king Charles, must mean one of these two things, either that the regicides were animated and set on by the seditions doctrines of that sect, and the violent suggestions of its members, or that all who were concerned in this atrocious deed were themselves independents, zealously attached to the religious community now under consideration. Now it may be proved, with the clearest evidence, that neither of these was the case. There is nothing in the doctrine of this sect, so far as they are known to me, that seems in the least adapted to excite men to such a horrid deed; nor does it appear from the listory of these times, that the independents were a whit more exasperated against Charles, than were the presbyterians. And as to the latter supposition, it is far from being true, that all those who were concerned in bringing this unfortunate prince to the scaffold were independents; since we learn from the best English writers, and from the public declarations of

nT* Dr. Mosheim's defence of the independents is certainly specious; but he has not sufficiently distinguished the times; and he has perhaps, in defending them, strained too far that equitable principle, that we must not impute to a seed any principles that are not contained in, or deducible from, their religious system. This maxim does not entirely answer here the purpose for which it is applied. The religious system of a sect may be in itself parific and innocent, while, at the same time, certain incidental circumstances, or certain associations of ideas, may render that sect more turbulent and restless than others, or at least involve it in political factions and broils. Such perhaps was the case of the independent at a certain periods of time, and more especially at the period new under consideration. When we consider their religious form of government, we shall see evidently, that a principle of analogy, which influences the sentiments and imaginations of men, much more than is generally supposed, must naturally have led the greatest part of them to republican notions of civil government; and it is further to be ob-

the authority of synods, presbyteries, or any ecclesiastical assembly composed of the deputies from different churches.4

On inquiring, with particular attention, into the causes of that odium that has been cast upon the independents, and of the heavy accusations and severe invectives with which they have licen loaded, I was more peculiarly struck with the three following considerations, which will perhaps furnish a satisfactory account of this matter. In the first place, the denomination of independents is ambiguous, and is not peculiar to any one distinct order of men. For, not to canmerate the other notions that have been annexed to this term, it is sufficient to observe, that it is used sometimes by the English writers to denote those who aim at the establishment of a purely democratical or popular government, in which the body of the people is clothed with the supreme dominion. Such a faction there was in England, composed, in a great measure, of persons of an enthusiastical character and complexion; and to it, no doubt, we are to ascribe those scenes of sedition and misery, whose effects are still lamented with justice. The violence and folly that dishonoured the proceedings of this turnultuous faction have been, if I am not mistaken, too rashly imputed to the religious independents now under consideration, who, with all their defects, were a much better set of men than the persons now mentioned. It may be observed further, secondly, that almost all the religious sects, which divided the English nation in the reign of Charles I. and more especially under the administration of Cromwell, assumed the denomination of independents, in order to screen themselves from the reproaches of the public, and to share a part of that popular esteem that the true and genuine independents had acquired, on account of the regularity of their lives and the sanctity of their manners. confirmed, among other testimonies, by the following passage of a letter from Toland to Le Clere; "Au commencement tous les sectaires se disoient independans, parec que ces derniers étoient fort honores du peuple a cause de leur piete." See Le Clere's Biblioth, Univers. et Histor. tom. xxiii. p. ii. p. 506. As this title was of a very extensive signification, and of great latitude, it might thus easily happen, that all the enormities of the various seets who sheltered themselves under it, and several of whom were but of short duration, might unduckily he laid to the charge of the true independ-But it must be particularly remarked, in the third place, that the usurper Croinwell, preferred the independents before all other religious communities. He looked, with an equal eye of suspicion and fear, upon the presbyterian synods and the episcopal visitations; every thing that looked like an extensive authority, whether it was of a civil or religious nature, excited uneasy apprehensions in the breast of the tyrant; but in the limited and simple form of ecclesiastical discipline, that was adopted by the independents, he saw nothing that was adapted to alarm his fears. This circumstance was sufficient to render the independents adious in the eyes of many, who would be naturally disposed to extend their abhorrence of Cromwell to those who were the objeets of his favour and protection.

9 The independents were undoubtedly so called, from their maintaining that all christian congregations were so many independent religious societies, that had a right to be governed by their own laws, without being subject to any further or foreign jurisdiction. Bobinson, the founder of the sect, makes express use of this term in explaining his doctrine relating to ecclesiastical government: "Comm quemlibet particularem," says he in his Apologia, cap. v. p. 22, "esse totain, integram, et perfectam coelesian ex suis partibus constantem, immediate et independenter (quond alias ecclesias) sub-ipso Christo." It may possibly have been from this very passage that the title of independents was originally derived. The disciples of Rohinson did not reject it; nor indeed is there any thing shocking in the title, when it is understood in a manner conformable to the sentiments of those to whom it is applied. It was certainly utterly unknown in England before the year 1640; at least it is not once mentioned in the ceclesiastical canons and constitutions that were drawn up

served, that from a republican geterment, facy must have expected much more protection and favour, than from a kingly one. When these two things are considered, together with their situation under the reign of Charles I, when the government was us hinged, when things were in confusion, when the minds of men were suspended upon the issue of the national troubles, and when the eager spirit of party, norished by hope, made each faction expect that the chaev would end in some settled system, favourable to their respective views, sentiments, and passions; this will engage us to think, that the independents, at that time, may have been much more lumultaous and republican than the sect that bears that denomination in our times. The reader, that would form just ideas of the matter of fact, must examine the relations given by the writers of both parties. See particularly Charenden's History of the Particus, vol. iii. p. 547. &c. Hume's History of England vol. v. clit. in quarto, Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. i. p. 40. 4

It is in this their notion of ecclesiastical government, that the difference between them and the presbyterians principally consists; for their religious doctrines, except in some points of very little moment, are almost entirely the same with those that are adopted by the church of Geneva. The founder of this sect was John Robinson, a man who had much of the solemn piety of the times, and was master' of a congregation of brownists, that had settled at Leyden. This well meaning man, perceiving the defects that reigned in the discipline of Brown, and in the spirit and temper of his followers, employed his zeal and diligence in correcting them, and in modelling anew the society, in such a manner as to render it less odious to his adversaries. and less liable to the just censure of those true christians, who looked upon charity as the end of the commandment. The independents, accordingly, were much more commendable than the brownists in two respects. They surpassed them both in the moderation of their sentiments, and the order of their discipline. They did not, like Brown, pour forth bitter and uncharitable invectives against the churches that were governed by rules entirely different from theirs, nor pronounce them, on that account, unworthy of the christian name. On the contrary, though they considered their own form of ecclesiastical government as of divine institution, and as originally introduced by the authority of the apostles, nay, by the apostles themselves, yet they had candour and charity enough to acknowledge, that true religion and solid piety might flourish in those communities, which were under the jurisdiction of bishops, or the government of synods and presbyteries. They were also much more

during that year, in the synods or visitations held by the archibishops of Canterbury, York, and other prelates, in which canons all the various sects that then subsisted in England are particularly mentioned. See Wilkins's Concilia Magno Pritanniae et Hibernia, vol. iv. cap. v. p. 548, where are the "constitutions and canons ecclesiastical, treated upon by the archbishops of Canterbury and York, and the rest of the bishops and clergy, in their several synods," An mdexl. It is true, that not long after this period, and more particularly from the year 1642, we find this denomination very frequently in the English Anals. The English independ ats were so far from being displeased with it, that they assumed it publicly in a piece they published in their own defence at London, in the year 1644, under the tollowing title: Apologetical Narration of the Independents. But when in process of time a great variety of sect., as has been already observed, sheltered themselves under the cover of this extensive denomination, and even seditions subjects, that alined at nothing less than the death of their sovereign and the destruction of the government, employed it as a most to hide their deforming these odions in its place, calling the uselve congregation of the first, and substituted another less odions in its place, calling the uselve congregation of the first, and substituted another less odions in its place, calling the uselve congregation of the first, and their religious agreenblies on agree to leave the congregation of the first.

attentive than the brownists in keeping on foot a regular ministry in their communities; for while the latter allowed promiscuously all ranks and orders of men to teach in public, and to perform the other pastoral functions, the independents had, and still have, a certain number of ministers, chosen respectively by the congregations where they are fixed; nor is any person among them permitted to speak in public, before he has submitted to a proper examination of his capacity and talents, and been approved of by the heads of the congregation. This community, which was originally formed in Holland, in the year 1610, made at first but a very small progress in England; qq it worked its way slowly, and in a clandestine manner; and its members concealed their principles from public view, to avoid the penal laws that had been enacted against nonconformists. But during the reign of Charles I. when, amidst the shocks of civil and religious discord, the authority of the bishops and the cause of episcopacy began to decline, and more particularly about the year 1640, the independents grew more courageous, and came forth, with an air of resolution and confidence, to public view. After this period, their affairs took a prosperous turn; and, in a little time, they became so considerable, both by their numbers and by the reputation they acquired, that they vied in point of pre-eminence and credit, not only with the bishops, but also with the presbyterians, though at this time in the very zenith of their power. This rapid progress of the independents was, no doubt, owing to a variety of causes; among which justice obliges us to reckon the learning of their teachers, and the regularity and sanctity of their manners. During the administration of Croniwell, whose peculiar protection and patronage they enjoyed on more than one account, their credit arose to the greatest height, and their influence and reputation were universal; but after the restoration of Charles II. their cause declined, and they fell back gradually into their primitive obscurity. The sect indeed still subsisted; but in such a state of dejection and weakness, as engaged them in the year 1691, under the reign of king William,

⁹⁹ In the year 1616, Mr. Jacob, who had adopted the religious sentiments of Robinson, set up the first independent or congregational church in England.

r Neal's History of the Puritans, vol. ii. p. 107, 293, vol. viii. p. 141, 145, 276, 303, 437, 549. See also a German work, entitled, Englische Reformations Historie, by Anthony William Bohm, p. 794.

to enter into an association with the presbyterians residing in and about London, under certain heads of agreement that tended to the maintenance of their respective institutions.

xxII. While Oliver Cromwell held the reius of government in Great Britain, all sects, even those that the state of dishonoured true religion in the most shocking the cherch of England unmanner by their fanaticism or their ignorance, enjoyed a full and unbounded liberty of professing publicly their respective doctrines. The cpiscopalians alone were

s From that time they were called united brethren. The heads of agreement that formed and cemented this union are to be found in the second volume of Whiston's Memoirs of his Life and Wrilings, and they consist in nine articles. The first relates to Memoirs of his Life and writings, and they consist in line articles. The first relates to churches and church members, in which the united ministers, presbytcrians and independents, declare, among other things, "That each particular church had a right to choose their own officers; and being furnished with such as are duly qualified and ordained according to the gospel rule, bath authority from Christ for exercising government, and enjoying all the ordinances of worship within itself; that, in the administration of church power, it belongs to the pastors and other elders of every particular church, if such there had to make and governing and to the hypotherized to consent, according to church power, it belongs to the pastors and other elders of every particular church, if such there be, to rule and govern; and to the brotherhood to consent, according to the rule of the gospel." In this both presbyterians and independents depart from the principles of their respective institutions. Article ii. relates to the ministry, which they grant to bave been instituted by Jesus Christ, for the gathering, guiding, edifying, and governing of his church; in this article it is further observed, that ministers ought to be endued with competent learning, sound judgment, and solid piety; that none are to be ordained to the work of the ministry, but such as are chosen and called thereunto by a particular church; that, in such a weighty matter, it is ordinarily requisite, that every such church consult and advise with the pastors of neighbouring congregations: and that after such advice the person thus consulted about, being chosen by the brotherhood of that particular church, be duly ordained and set apart to his office over them. Article iii. relates to censures, and preseribes first, the admonishing, and, if this prove ineffectual, the exemmunication of offending and seandalous members, to be performed by the pastors, with munication of offending and seandalous members, to be performed by the pastors, with the consent of the brethren. Article iv. concerning the communion of churches, lays it down as a principle, that there is no subordination between particular churches; that they are all equal, and consequently independent; that the pastors however of these they are all equal, and consequently independent; that the pastors however of these churches ought to have frequent meetings together, that, by mutual advice, support, encouragement, and brotherly intercourse, they strengthen the hearts and hands of each other in the ways of the Lord. In article v. which relates to deacons and rading elders, the united brethren acknowledge, that the office of a deacon is of divine appointment, and that it belongs to their office to receive, lay out, and distribute, the stock of the church to its proper uses; and as there are different sentiments about the office of rading elders, who labour not in word and doctrine, they agree, that this difference makes no breach among them. In article vi. couverning occasional meetings of ministers, &c. the brethren agree, that it is needful, in weighty and difficult cases, that the ministers of several churches meet together, in order to be consulted and advised with about such matters; and that particular churches ought to have a reverential regard to their jud_ment so given. that particular churches ought to have a reverential regard to their jud ment so given, and not dissent therefrom without apparent grounds from the word of God. Article vii. which relates to the demeanour of the brethven towards the civil magistrate, prescribes obedience to, and prayers for God's protection and blessing upon their rulers. In article viii. which relates to a confession of faith, the brethren esteem it sufficient, that a church acknowledge the scriptures to be the word of God, the perfect and only rule of faith and practice, and own either the doctrinal part of the articles of the church of England, or the Westminster confession and catechisms, drawn up by the presbyterians, or the confession of the congregational brethren, i. e. the independents, to be agreeable to the said rule. Article ix. which concerns the duty and deportment of the brethren toward those that are not in communion with them, inculcates charity and moderation. It appears from these articles, that the independents were led, by a kind of necessity, to adopt, in many things, the sentiments of the presby erian, and to depart thus far from the original principles of their sect

excepted from this toleration, and received the most severe and iniquitous treatment. The bishops were deprived of their dignities and revenues, and felt the heavy hand of oppression in a particular manner. But, though the toleration extended to all other sects and religious communities, yet the presbyterians and independents were treated with peculiar marks of distinction and favour. Cromwell, though attached to no one particular sect, gave the latter extraorninary proofs of his good will, and augmented their credit and authority, as this seemed the easiest and least exasperating method of setting bounds to the ambition of the presbyterians, who aimed at a very high degree of ecclesiastical power. It was during this period of religious anarchy, that the fifth monarchy men arose, a set of wrongheaded and turbulent enthusiasts, who expected Christ's sudden appearance upon earth to establish a new kingdom; and, acting in consequence of this illusion, aimed at the subversion of all human government, and were for turning all things into the most deplorable confusion." It was at this time also, that the quakers, of whom we propose to give a more particular account, and the hot headed anabaptists, propagated, without restraint, their visionary doctrines. It must likewise be observed, that the deists, headed by Sidney, Neville, Martin, and Harrington, appeared with impunity, and promoted a kind of religion, which consisted in a few plain precepts, drawn from the dictates of natural reason.y

7 Neal's History of the Puritons, vol. iv. p. 87.

RT t A little after Cromwell's elevation, it was resolved by the parliament, at the conclusion of a debate concerning public worship and church government, that the presbyterian government should be the estublished government. The independents were not as yet agreed upon any standard of faith and discipline; and it was only a little before Cromwell's death that they held a synod, by his permission, in order to publish to the world an uniform account of their doctrine and principles.

"See Burnet's History of his own times, tom. i. p. 67.

w See, in this volume, the History of the Quakers.

[7] x We are not to imagine, by the term hot headed, furiosi, that the anabaptists resembled the furious families of that name that formerly excited such dreadful turnults English anabaptists differed from their protestant brethren about the subject and mode of baptism aloue; confining the former to grown christians, and the latter to immersion or dipping. They were divided into generals and particulars, from their different sentiments upon the arminian controversy. The latter, who were so called from their belief of the doctrines of particular election, redemption, &c. were strict calvinists, who separated from the independent congregation at Leyden, in the year 1638. Their confession was composed with a remarkable spirit of modesty and charity. Their preachers were generally illiterate, and were eager in making proselytes of all that would submit to their immersion, without a due regard to their religious principles, or their moral characters. The writers of these times represent them as tinetured with a kind of enthusiastic fury against all that opposed them. There were nevertheless among them some pious and learned persons, who disapproved highly of all violent and uncharitable

up in England, during this period of confusion The English and anarchy, we may reckon a certain sect of antinomians.

presbyterians, who were called by their adversaries antinomians, or enemies of the law, and still subsist even in our times. The antinomians are a more rigid kind of calvinists, who pervert Calvin's doctrine of absolute decrees to the worst purposes, by drawing from it conclusions highly detrimental to the interests of true religion and virtue. Such is the judgment that the other presbyterian communities form of this perverse and extravagant sect.2 Several of the antinomians, for they are not all precisely of the same mind, look upon it as unnecessary for christian ministers to exhort their flock to a virtuous practice and a pious obedience to the divine law, " since they whom God has elected to salvation by an eternal and immutable decree, will, by the irresistible impulse of divine grace, be led to the practice of piety and virtue; while those who are doomed by a divine decree to eternal punishments, will never be engaged, by any exhortations or admonitions, how affecting soever they may be, to a virtuous course; nor have they it in their power to obey the divine law, when the succours of divine grace are withheld from them. From these principles they concluded, that the ministers of the gospel discharged sufficiently their pastoral functions, when they inculcated the necessity of faith in Christ, and proclaimed the blessings of the new covenant to their people. Another, and a still more hideous form of antinomianism, is that which is exhibited in the opinions of other doctors of that sect, who maintain, "That as the elect cannot fall from grace, nor forfeit the divine favour, so it follows, that the wicked actions they commit, and the vio-lations of the divine law with which they are chargeable, are not really sinful, nor are to be considered as instances, of their departing from the law of God; and that, consequently, they have no occasion either to confess their sins, or to break them off by repentance. Thus adultery, for example, in one of the elect, though it appear sinful in the

Ta This second antinomian hypothesis has certainly a still more odious aspect than the first; and it is therefore surprising that our author should use, in the original, hese terms: "Hi tantum statuunt. Electos," &c.

z See Toland's Letter to Le Clerc, in the periodical work of the latter, entitled, Bibliotheque Universelle et Historique, tom. xxiii. p. 505. As also Hornbeck, Summa Controversiarum, p. 800, 812.

sight of men, and be considered universally as an enormous violation of the divine law, yet is not a sin in the sight of God, because it is one of the essential and distinctive characters of the elect, that they cannot do any thing which is either displeasing to God, or prohibited by the law."

xxiv. The public calamities, that flowed from these ve-Latitudinari- hement and uncharitable disputes about religion, afflicted all wise and good men, and engaged several, who were not less eminent for their piety than for their moderation and wisdom, to seek after some method of uniting such of the contending parties as were capable of listening to the dictates of charity and reason, or at least of calming their animosities, and persuading them to mutual forbearance. These pacific ductors offered themselves as mediators between the more violent episcopalians on the one hand, and the more rigid presbyterians and independents on the other; and hoped that, when their differences were accommodated, the lesser factions would fall of themselves. The contests that reigned between the former turned partly on the forms of church government and public worship, and partly on certain religious tenets, more especially those that were debated between the arminians and calvinists. To lessen the breach that kept these two great communities at such a distance from each other, the arbitrators, already mentioned, endeavoured to draw them out of their narrow enclosures, to render their charity more extensive, and widen the paths of salvation, which bigotry and party rage had been labouring to render inaccessible to many good christians. This noble and truly evangelical method of proceeding, procured to its authors the de-nomination of latitudinarians.° Their views indeed were generous and extensive. They were zealously attached to the forms of ecclesiastical government and worship that were established in the church of England, and they recommended episcopacy with all the strength and power

b There is an account of the other tenets of the antinomians, and of the modern disputes that were occasioned by the publication of the Posthumous Works of Crisp. a flaming doctor of that extravagant and pernicions sect, given by Pierre Francois Le Conrayer, in his "Examen des defauts Theologiques," tom. ii. p. 198. Baxter and Tillotson distinguished themselves by their zeal against the antinomians; and they were also completely refuted by Dr. Williams, in his famous book, entitled, "Gospel Truth stated and vindicated," 8vo. 17 1 have been informed, since the first edition of this history was published, that the book, entitled, "Examen des defauts Theologiques," which our author supposes to have been written by Dr. Courayer, is the production of another pen.

^c See Burnet's "History of his own Times," vol. i. book ii. p. 188.

of their eloquence; but they did not go so far as to look upon it as of divine institution, or as absolutely and indispensably necessary to the constitution of a christian church; and hence they maintained, that those who followed other forms of government and worship, were not, on that account, to be excluded from their communion, or to forfeit the title of brethren. As to the doctrinal part of religion, they took the system of the famous Episcopius for their model; and, like him, reduced the fundamental doctrines of Christianity, i. e. those doctrines, the belief of which is necessary to salvation, to a few points. By this manner of proceeding they showed, that neither the epis-copalians, who, generally speaking, embraced the sentiments of the arminians. nor the presbyterians and independents, who as generally adopted the doctrine of Calvin, had any reason to oppose each other with such animosity and bitterness, since the subjects of their debates were matters of an indifferent nature, with respect to salvation, and might be variously explained and understood without any prejudice to their eternal interests. The chief leaders of these latitudinarians were Hales and Chillingworth, whose names are still pronounced in England with that veneration that is due to distinguished wisdom and rational piety.d The respectable names of More, Cudworth, Gale, Whichcot, and Tillotson, add a high degree of lustre to this eminent list. The undertaking of these great men, was indeed bold and perilous; and it drew upon them much opposition and many bitter reproaches. They received, as the first fruits of their charitable zeal, the odious appellations of atheists, deists, and socinians, both from the Roman catholics, and the more rigid of the contending protestant parties; but, upon the restoration of king Charles II. they were raised to the first dignities of the

d The life of the ingenious and worthy Mr. Hales was composed in English by M. Des Maizeaux, and published in 8vo. at London, in the year 1719; it was considerably augmented in the Latin translation of it, which I prefixed to the account of the synod of Dort, drawn from the letters of that great man, and published at Hamburgh in 1724. A life of Mr. Hales, written in French, is to be found in the first volume of the French translation of Chillingworth's "Religion of Protestants," &c. The life of Chillingworth also was drawn up by Des Maizeaux in English; and a French translation of it appeared, in the year 1730, at the head of the excellent book now mentioned, which was translated into that language, and published at Amsterdam, in three volumes 8vo. in the year 1730. Those who are desirous of acquiring a thorough knowledge of the doctrines, government, laws, and present state of the church of England, will do well to read the history of these two men; and more especially to peruse Chillingworth's admirable book already mentioned, I mean, "The Religion of Protestants a safe Way to Salvation."

church, and were deservedly held in universal esteem. It is also well known, that, even at this present time, the church of England is chiefly governed by latitudinarians of this kind, though there be among both bishops and clergy, from time to time, ecclesiastics who breathe the narrow and despotic spirit of Land, and who, in the language of faction, are called high churchmen, or church tories.e

xxv. No sooner was Charles II. re-established on the The state of throne of his ancestors, than the ancient forms of the church of eeclesiastical government and public worship were der Charles

II. and his restored with him; and the bishops reinstated in

successors. their dignities and honours. The moneonformists their dignities and honours. The nonconformists hoped, that they should be allowed to share some part of the honours and revenues of the church; but their expectations were totally disappointed, and the face of affairs changed very suddenly with respect to them. For Charles subjected to the government of bishops the churches of Scotland and Ireland, the former of which was peculiarly attached to the ecclesiastical discipline and polity of Geneva; and, in the year 1662, a public law was enacted, by which all who refused to observe the rites, and subscribe the doetrines, of the church of England, were entirely excluded from its communion. From this period. until the reign of king William III. the nonconformists were in a precarious and changing situation, sometimes involved in calamity and trouble, at others enjoying some intervals of tranquillity and certain gleams of hope, according to the varying spirit of the court and ministry, but never entirely free from perplexities and fears. But, in the year 1689, their affairs took a favourable turn, when a bill for the toleration of all protestant dissenters from the church of England, except the socinians, passed in parliament almost

e See Rapin's "Dissertation on the Whigs and Tories." See an admirable defence of the Intitudinarian divines, in a book entitled, "The Principles and Practices of certain moderate Divines of the church of England, greatly misunderstood, truly represented and defended." London, 1670, in 8vo. This book was written by Dr. Fowler, afterward bishop of Gloucester. N.

[This was the famous Act of Uniformity, in consequence of which the validity of presbyterian ordination was renounced: the ministrations of the foreign churches disowned; the terms of conformity rendered more difficult and raised higher than before the civil wars; and by which, contrary to the manner of proceeding in the times of Elizabeth and Croinwell, who both reserved for the subsistence of each ejected clergyman a fifth part of his benefice, no provision was made for those who should be deprived of their livings. See Wilkins's Concilia Magnae Britanniae et Historiae, tom. iv. p. 573. Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. ii. p. 190, &c. Neal's History of the Puritans, tom. iv. p. 338 p. 190, &c. Neal's History of the Puritans, tom. iv. p. 338

g See the whole fourth volume of Neal's History of the Puritans.

without opposition, and delivered them from the penal laws to which they had been subjected by the act of uniformity, and other acts passed under the house of Stuart.h Nor did the protestant dissenters in England enjoy alone the benefits of this act; for it extended also to the Scots church, which was permitted thereby to follow the ecclesiastical discipline of Geneva, and was delivered from the jurisdiction of bishops, and from the forms of worship that were annexed to episcopacy. It is from this period that the nonconformists date the liberty and tranquillity they have long been blessed with, and still enjoy; but it is also obscrvable, that it is to the transactions that were carried on during this period, in favour of religious liberty, that we must chiefly impute the multitude of religious sects and factions, that start up from time to time in that free and happy island, and involve its inhabitants in the perplexities of religious division and controversy.

xxvi. In the reign of king William, and in the year

1689, the divisions among the friends of episcopacy ran high, and terminated in that famous schism in the church and the church of England, which has never hitherto nonjurors. been entirely healed. Sancroft, archbishop of Canterbury, and seven of the other bishops," all of whom were eminently distinguished both by their learning and their virtue. looked upon it as unlawful to take the oath of allegiance to the new king, from a mistaken notion that James II. though banished from his dominions, remained nevertheless their rightful sovereign. As these scruples were deeply rooted, and no arguments nor exhortations could engage these prelates to acknowledge the title of William III. to the crown of Great Britain, they were deprived of their ecclesiastical dignities, and their sees were filled by other men of eminent merit. The deposed bishops and clergy

h This was called the tolcration act, and it may be seen at length in the Appendix, In Ins was called the toleration act, and it may be seen at length in the Appendix, subjoined to the fourth volume of Neal's History of the Puritans. It is entitled, 'An Act for exempting their Majesties' Protestant Subjects, dissenting from the Church of England, from the Penalties of certain Laws.' In this bill the corporation and test acts are omitted, and consequently still remain in force. The socialians are also excepted; but provision is made for quakers, upon their making a solumn declaration, instead of taking the oaths to the government. This act excuses protestant dissenters from the penalties of the laws therein mentioned, provided they take the oaths to the government, and subscribe the dectrinal articles of the church of England.

I Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. ii n. 23.

I Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. ii. p. 23.

I ii The other nonjuring bishops were, Dr. Lloyd, bishop of Norwich; Dr. Turner, of Ely; Dr. Kenn, of Bathand Wells; Dr. Frampton, of Gioncester; Dr. Thomas, of Worcester; Dr. Lake, of Chichester; Dr. White, bishop of Peterborough, These were Tillotson, Moore, Patrick, Kidder, Fowler, and Cumberland.

formed a new episcopal church, which differed, in certain points of doctrine, and certain circumstances of public worship, from the established church of England. This new religious community were denominated nonjurors, on account of their refusing to take the oath of allegiance, and were also called the high church, on account of the high notions they entertained of the dignity and power of the church, and the extent they gave to its prerogatives and jurisdiction. Those, on the other hand, who disapproved of this schism, who distinguished themselves by their charity and moderation toward dissenters, and were less ardent in extending the limits of ecclesiastical authority, were denominated low churchmen. The bishops, who were deprived of their ecclesiastical dignities, and those who embarked in their cause, maintained openly, that the church was independent on the jurisdiction of king and parliament, subject to the anthority of God alone, and empowered to govern itself by its own laws; that, of consequence, the sentence pronounced against these prelates by the great council of the nation was destitute both of justice and validity; and that it was only by the decree of an ecclesiastical council that a bishop could be deposed. This high notion of the authority and prerogatives of the church was maintained and propagated, with peculiar zeal, by the famous Henry Dodwell, who led the way in this important cause, and who, by his example and abilities, formed a considerable number of champions for its defence; hence arose a very nice and intricate controversy, concerning the nature, privileges, and authority of the church, which has not yet been brought to a satisfactory conclusion.1

names that will be ever pronounced with veneration by such as are capable of esteeming solid, well employed learning and genuine picty, and that will always shine among the brightest ornaments of the church of England.

It The denomination of high church is given, certainly with great propriety, to the nonjurors, who have very prond notions of church power; but it is commonly used in a more extensive signification, and is applied to all those who, though far from being nonjurors, or otherwise disaffected to the present happy establishment, yet form pourpons and ambitious conceptions of the authority and jurisdiction of the church, and would raise it to an absolute independence on all human power. Many such are to be found even among those who go under the general denomination of the low church party.

Dodwell himself was deprived of his professorship of history for refusing to take the oaths of allegiance to king William and queen Mary; and this circumstance, no doubt, augmented the zeal with which he interested himself in the defence of the bishops, who were suspended for the same reason. It was on this occasion that he published his 'Cautionary discourse of Schism, with a particular regard to the case of the bishops who are suspended for refusing to take the new oath.' This book was

xxvn. The nonjurors or high churchmen, who boast with peculiar ostentation of their orthodoxy, and treat High church the low church as unsound and schismatical, differ in several things from the members of the episcopal church, in its present establishment; but they are more particularly distinguished by the following principles; 1. "That it is never lawful for the people, under any provocation or pretext whatever, to resist the severeign." This is called in England passive obedience, and is a doctrine warmly opposed by many, who think it both lawful and necessary, in certain circumstances, and in cases of an urgent and momentous nature, to resist the prince for the happiness of the people. They maintain further, 2. "That the hereditary succession to the throne is of divine institution, and therefore can never be interrupted, suspended, or annulled, on any pretext. 3. That the church is subject to the jurisdiction, not of the civil magistrate, but of God alone, particularly in matters of a religious nature. 4. That consequently Sancroft and the other bishops, deposed by king William III. remained, notwithstanding their deposition, true bishops to the day of their death; and that those who were substituted in their places were the unjust possessors of other men's property. 5. That these unjust possessors of ecclesiastical dignities were rebels against the state, as well as schismatics in the church; and that all therefore who held communion with them were also chargeable with rebellion and schism. 6. That this schism, which rents the church in pieces, is a most heinous sin, whose punishment must fall heavy upon all those who do

fully refuted by the learned Dr. Hody, in the, year 1691, in a work, entitled, "The une reasonableness of a separation from the new bishops; or a freatise out of Ecclesiastical History, showing that although a bishop was unjustly deprived, neither he nor the church ever made a separation, if the successor was not a heretic; translated out of an ancient Greek manuscript, viz. among the Boroccian MSS. in the public library at Oxford. The learned author translated this work afterward into Latin, and prefixed to it some pieces out of ecclesiastical antiquity, relative to the same subject. Dodwell published in 1692 an answer to it, which he called, 'A vindication of the deprived bishops,' &c. to which Dr. Hody replied in a treatise, entitled, 'The deprived bishops,' &c. to which Dr. Hody replied in a treatise, entitled, 'The Case of the Sees vacant by an unjust or uncanonical Deprivation stated, in reply to the Vindication,' &c. The controversy did not end here; and it was the bardest thing in the world to reduce Mr. Dodwell to silence. Accordingly he came forth a third time with his stiff and rigid polemics, and published in 1095, his 'Defence of the Vindication of the deprived bishops.' The preface, which he designed to prefix to this work, was at first suppressed, but appeared afterward under the following title: 'The Doctrine of the Church of England concerning the Independency of the Clergy on the lay power, as to those rights of theirs which are purely spiritual, reconciled with our oath of supremacy and the lay deprivation of the Popish bishops in the beginning of the Reformation.' Several other pamphletwere published on the subject of this controversy. not return sincerely to the true church, from which they

have departed."m

xxviii. It will now be proper to change the scene, and to consider a little the state of the reformed church in Holland. The Dutch calvinists thought themselves happy after the defeat of the arminians, and were flattering themselves with the agreeable prospect of enjoying long, in tranquillity and repose, the fruits of their victory, when new seenes of tumult arose from another quarter. Scarcely had they triumphed over the enemies of absolute predestination, when, by an ill hap, they became the prey of intestine diputes, and were divided among themselves in such a deplorable manner, that, during the whole of this century, the United Provinces were a scene of contention, animosity, and strife. It is not necessary to mention all the subjects of these religious quarrels; nor indeed would this be an easy task. We shall therefore pass over in silence the debates of certain divines, who disputed about some particular, though not very momentous points of doctrine and discipline; such as those of the famous Voet and the learned Des Marcts; as also the disputes of Salmasius, Boxhorn, Voet, and others, concerning usury, ornaments in dress, stage players, and other minute points of morality; and the contests of Appollonius, Trigland, and Videlius, concerning the power of the magistrate in matters of religion and ecclesiastical discipline, which produced such a flaming division between Frederic Spanheim and John Vander Waven. These and other debates of like nature and importance rather discover the sentiments of certain learned men, concerning some particular points of religion and morality, than exhibit a view of the true internal state of the Belgie church. The knowledge of this must be derived from those controversies alone in which the whole church, or at least the greatest, part of its doctors, have been directly concerned.

XXIX. Such were the controversies occasioned in Holland by the philosophy of Des Cartes, and the theological novelties of Cocceius. Hence arose the two powerful and numerous factions, distin-

m See Whiston's Memoirs of his Life and writings, vol. i. p. 30. Hickes's Memoirs of the Life of John Kettlewell, printed at London in 1718. Nouveau Diction. Histor. et Critiq. at the article Collier Ph. Masson, Histor. Critique de la Repub. des Lettres, tom. xiii. p. 298.

guished by the denominations of cocceians and voetians, which still subsist, though their debates are now less violent, and their champions somewhat more moderate, than they were in former times. The cocceian theology and the cartesian philosophy have indeed no common features, nor any thing, in their respective tenets and principles, that was in the least adapted to form a connexion between them; and, of consequence, the debates they excited, and the factions they produced, had no natural relation to, or dependence on, each other. It nevertheless so happened, that the respective votaries of these very different sciences formed themselves into one sect; so far at least, that those who chose Cocceius for their guide in theology, took Des Cartes for their master in philosophy." This will appear less surprising when we consider, that the very same persons who opposed the progress of cartesianism in Holland, were the warm adversaries of the cocceian theology; for this opposition, equally levelled at these two great men and their respective systems, laid the cartesians and cocceians under a kind of necessity of uniting their force in order to defend their cause, in a more effectual manner, against the formidable attacks of their numerous adversaries. The voetians were so called from Gisbert Voet, a learned and eminent professor of divinity, in the university of Utrecht, who first sounded the alarm of this theologico philosophical war, and led on, with zeal, the polemic legions against those who followed the standard of Des Cartes and Coc-

attracted the attention and esteem of many, and Cartesian conseemed more conformable to truth and nature, as troversy. well as more elegant and pleasing in its aspect, than the intricate labyrinths of peripatetic wisdom. It was considered in this light in Holland; it however met there with a formidable adversary, in the year 1639, in the famous Voet, who taught theology at Utrecht with the greatest reputation, and gave plain intimations of his looking upon cartesianism as a system of impiety. Voet was a man of uncommon application and immense learning; he had made an extraordinary progress in all the various branches of crudition and philology; but he was not endowed with a large portion of that philosophical spirit, that judges with

n See Feid. Spanhemii Epistola de noviesimie in Belgio dissidiis, tom. ii. opp. p. 973.

acuteness and precision of natural science and abstract truths. While Des Cartes resided at Utrecht, Voet found fault with many things in his philosophy; but what induced him to cast upon it the aspersion of impiety, was its being introduced by the following principles; "That the person who aspires after the character of a true philosopher must begin by doubting of all things, even of the existence of a Supreme Being; that the nature or essence of spirit, and even of God himself, consists in thought; that space has no real existence, is no more than the creature of fancy, and that, consequently, matter is without bounds."

Des Cartes defended his principles, with his usual acuteness, against the professor of Utrecht; his disciples and followers thought themselves obliged, on this occasion, to assist their master; and thus war was formally declared. On the other hand, Voet was not only seconded by those Belgic divines that were the most eminent, at this time, for the extent of their learning and the soundness of their theology, such as Rivet, Des Marets, and Mastricht, but also was followed and applauded by the greatest part of the Dutch clergy.º While the flame of controversy burned with sufficient ardour, it was considerably augmented by the proceedings of certain doctors, who applied the principles and tenets of Des Cartes to the illustration of theological truth. Hence, in the year 1656, an alarm was raised in the Dutch churches and schools of learning, and a resolution was taken in several of their ecclesiastical assemblies. commonly called classes, to make head against cartesianism, and not to permit that imperious philosophy to make such encroachments upon the domain of theology. The states of Holland not only approved of this resolution, but also gave it new force and efficacy by a public edict, issued out the very same year, by which both the professors of philosophy and theology were forbidden either to explain the writings of Des Cartes to the youth under their care, or to illustrate the doctrines of the gospel by the principles of philosophy. It was further resolved, in an assembly of the clergy, held at Delft the year following, that no candidate for holy orders should be received into the ministry before he made a solemn declaration that he would neither promote the cartesian philosophy, nor disfigure the divine

o See Baillet's Vie de M. Des Cartes, tom ii. chap. v. p. 33. Daniel, Voyage du Mondo de Des Cartes, tom. i. de ses Ocuvres, p. 84.

Laws of a like tenor were afterward passed in the United Provinces, and in other countries. But, as there is in human nature a strange propensity to struggle against authority, and to pursue, with a peculiar degree of ardour, things that are forbidden, so it happened, that all these edicts proved insufficient to stop the progress of cartesianism, which, at length, obtained a solid and permanent footing in the seminaries of learning, and was applied, both in the academies and pulpits, and sometimes indeed very preposterously, to explain the truths and precepts of Christianity. Hence it was, that the United Provinces were divided into the two great factions already mentioned; and that the whole remainder of this century was spent amidst their contentions and debates.

xxxi. John Cocceius, a native of Bremen, and professor

of divinity in the university of Leyden, might certainly have passed for a great man, had his vast ments of Cocerudition, his exuberant fancy, his ardent piety, ceius concern-ing the boly scriptures. and his uncommon application to the study of the scriptures, been under the direction of a sound and solid judgment. This singular man introduced into theology a multitude of new tenets and strange notions, which had never before entered into the brain of any other mortal, or at least had never been heard of before his time; for, in the first place, as has been already hinted, his manner of explaining the holy scriptures was totally different from that of Calvin and his followers. Departing entirely from the admirable simplicity that reigns in the commentaries of that great man, Cocceius represented the whole history of the Old Testament as a mirror, that held forth an accurate view of the transactions and events that were to happen in the church under the dispensation of the New Testament, and unto the end of the world. He even went so far, as to maintain, that the miracles, actions, and sufferings of Christ and of his apostles, during the course of their ministry, were types and images of future events. He affirmed, that by far the greatest part of the ancient prophecies foretold Christ's ministry and mediation, and the rise, progress, and revolutions of the church, not only under the

P Frid. Spanbeim, De novissimis in Belgio dissidiis, tom. ii. opp. p. 959. The reader may also consult the historians of this century, such as Arnold, Weismann, Jager, Caroli, and also Walchius's Histor. Controvers. Germanic. tom. iii

figure of persons and transactions, but in a literal manner, and by the very sense of the words used in these predictions. And he completed the extravagance of this chimerical system, by turning, with wonderful art and dexterity, into holy riddles and typical predictions, even those passages of the Old Testament that seemed designed for no other purpose than to celebrate the praises of the Deity, or to convey some religious truth, or to inculcate some rule of practice. In order to give an air of solidity and plausibility to these odd notions, he first laid it down as a fundamental rule of interpretation, "That the words and phrases of scripture are to be understood in every sense of which they are susceptible; or, in other words, that they signify, in effect, every thing that they can possibly signify;" a rule this, which, when followed by a man who had more imagination than judgment, could not fail to produce very extraordinary comments on the sacred writings. After having laid down this singular rule of interpretation, he divided the whole history of the church into seven periods, conformable to the seven trumpets and seals mentioned in the Revelations.

xxxII. One of the great designs formed by Cocceius, was that of separating theology from philosophy, and of confining the christian doctors, in their explications of the former to the plications of the former, to the words and phrases of the holy scriptures. Hence it was, that, finding in the language of the sacred writers, the gospel dispensation represented under the image of a covenant made between God and man, he looked upon the use of this image as admirably adapted to exhibit a complete and well connected system of religious truth. But while he was labouring this point, and endeavouring to accommodate the circumstances and characters of human contracts to the dispensations of divine wisdom, which they represent in such an inaccurate and imperfect manner, he fell imprudently into some erroneous notions. Such was his opinion concerning the covenant made between God and the Jewish nation by the ministry and the mediation of Moses, "which he affirmed to be of the same nature with the new covenant obtained by the mediation of Jesus Christ." In consequence of this general principle, he maintained, "That the Ten Commandments were promulgated by Moses, not as a rule of obedience, but as a representation of the

covenant of grace; that when the Jews had provoked the Deity, by their various transgressions, particularly by the worship of the golden calf, the severe and servile yoke of the ceremonial law was added to the decalogue, as a punishment inflicted on them by the Supreme Being in his righteous displeasure; that this yoke, which was painful in itself, became doubly so on account of its typical signification; since it admonished the Israelites, from day to day, of the imperfection and uncertainty of their state, filled them with anxiety, and was a standing and perpetual proof that they had merited the displeasure of God, and could not expect, before the coming of the Messiah, the entire remission of their transgressions and iniquities; that indeed good men, even under the Mosaic dispensation, were immediately after death made partakers of everlasting happiness and glory; but that they were nevertheless during the whole course of their lives, far removed from that firm hope and assurance of salvation, which rejoices the faithful under the dispensation of the gospel; and that their anxiety flowed naturally from this consideration, that their sins, though they remained unpunished, were not pardoned, because Christ had not, as yet, offered himself up a sacrifice to the Father to make an entire atonement for them." These are the principal lines that distinguish the cocceian from other systems of theology; it is attended indeed with other peculiarities; but we shall pass them over in silence, as of little moment, and unworthy of notice. These notions were warmly op-posed by the same persons that declared war against the cartesian philosophy; and the contest was carried on for many years with various success. But, in the issue, the doctrines of Cocceius, like those of Des Cartes, stood their ground; and neither the dexterity nor vehemence of his adversaries could exclude his disciples from the public seminaries of learning, or hinder them from propagating, with surprising success and rapidity, the tenets of their master in Germany and Switzerland.q

Exxim. The other controversies, that divided the Belgic church during this century, all arose from the im-

moderate propensity that certain doctors disco-

a See Buillet's Vie de M. Des Cartes, tom. ii. p. 33. Daniel's Voyage du Monde de Des Cartes. Val. Alberti Διπλει καππα, Cartesianismus et Cocceianismus descripti et refutati." Lips. 1678, in 4to.

foot by Roell, vered toward an alliance between the cartesian the use of philosophy and their theological system. This will appear, with the utmost evidence, from the debates excited by Roell and Becker, which surpassed all the others, both by the importance of their subjects and by the noise they made in the world. About the year 1686, certain cartesian doctors of divinity, headed by the ingenious Herman Alexander Roell, professor of theology in the university of Francker, seemed to attribute to the dictates of reason a more extensive authority in religious matters, than they had hitherto been possessed of. The controversy, oceasioned by this innovation, was reducible to the two following questions: "1. Whether the divine origin and authority of the holy scriptures can be demonstrated by reason alone, or whether an inward testimony of the Holy Spirit in the hearts of christians be necessary in order to the firm belief of this fundamental point. Whether the sacred writings propose to us as an object of faith, any thing that is repugnant to the dictates of right reason." These questions were answered, the former in the affirmative, and the latter in the negative, not only by Roell, but also by Vander Wayen, Wesselius, Duker, Ruardus ab Andala, and other doctors, who were opposed in this by Ulric Nuber, an eminent lawyer, Gerard de Vries, and others of inferior note. The flame excited by this controversy spread itself far and wide through the United Provinces; and its progress was increasing from day to day, when the states of Friesland prudently interposed to restore the peace of the church, by imposing silence on the contending parties. Those whose curiosity may engage them to examine with attention and accuracy the points debated in this controversy, will find, that a very considerable part of it was merely a dispute about words; and that the real difference of sentiment that there was between these learned disputants might have been easily accommodated, by proper explications on both sides.

xxxiv. Not long after this controversy had been hushed, Roell alarmed the orthodoxy of his colleagues, and more particularly of the learned Vitringa, by of Roell conof the Son some other new tenets, that rendered the soundness of his religious principles extremely doubtful,

not only in their opinion, but also in the judgment of many Dutch divines; for he maintained, "That the account we have of the generation of the Son in the sacred writings, is not to be understood in a literal sense, or as a real generation of a natural kind;" he also affirmed, "That the afflictions and death of the rightcous are as truly the nenal effects of original sin, as the afflictions and death of the wicked and impenitent;" and he entertained notions concerning the divine decrees, original sin, the satisfaction of Christ, and other points of less moment, which differed in reality, or by the manner of expressing them seemed to differ greatly, from the doctrines received and established in the Dutch church. The magistrates of Friesland used all the precautions that prudence could suggest, to prevent these controversies from being propagated in their province; and enacted several laws for this purpose, all tending toward peace and silence. This conduct however was not imitated by the other provinces, where Roell and his disciples were condemned, both in private and in public, as heretics and corrupters of divine truth." Nor did the death of this eminent man extinguish the animosity and resentment of his adversaries; for his disciples are still treated with severity; and, notwithstanding the solemn protestations they have given of the soundness and purity of their religious sentiments, labour under the imputation of many concealed errors.

s For an account of Roell, see the Bibliotheca Bremens. Theologico Philolog. tom. ii. p. vi. p. 707. Casp. Burmanni Trajectum Eruditum, p. 306.

1 Those who are desirous of the most account of the errors of Roell, will

find them enumerated in a public piece composed by the faculty of theology at Leyden, in order to confirm the sentence of condemnation that had been pronounced against them by the Dutch synods; this piece is entitled, 'Indicium Ecclesiasticum, quo opiniones quædem Cl. H. A. Roellii Synodice dannatæ sunt laudatum a Professoribus Theologiæ in Academia Lugduno Batavia,' Ludg. Batav. 1713, in 4to.

"I This allirmation is somewhat exaggerated; at least we must not conclude from it, that Roell was either deposed or persecuted; for he exercised the functions of his professorship for several years after this at Francker, and was afterward called

to the chair of divinity at Utrecht, and that upon the most honourable and advantageous terms. The states of Friesland published an edict enjoining silence, and forbidding all professors, pastors, &c. in their province to teach the particular opinions of Roell; and this pacific divine sacrificed the propagation of his opinions to mons of Roell; and this pacific divine sacrificed the propagation of his opinions to the love of peace and concord. His notion concerning the Trinity did not essentially differ from the doctrine generally received upon that mysterions and unintelligible subject; and his design seemed to be no more than to prevent christians from humanizing the relation between the Father and the Son. But this was wounding his brethren, the rigorous systematic divines, in a tender point; for if anthropomorphism, or the custom of attributing to the Deity the kind of procedure in acting and judging that is usual among men, who resemble him only as imperfection resembles perfection, was banished from theology, orthodoxy would be deprived of some of its most precious phrases, and our confessions of faith and systems of doctrine would be reduced within much parawer hounds. be reduced within much narrower bounds.

xxxv. The controversy set on foot by the ingenious Balthazar Becker, ininister at Amsterdam, must not be omitted here. This learned ecclesiastic took occasioned by the pe-culiar senti-ments of occasion, from the eartesian definition of spirit; of Becker. the truth and precision of which he was infimately persuaded, to deny boldly all the accounts we have in the holy scriptures of the seduction, influence, and operations of the devil and his infernal emissaries; as also all that has been said in favour of the existence of ghosts, spectres, sorcerers, and magicians. The long and laboured work he published, in the year 1691, upon this interesting subjeet, is still extant. In this singular production, which bears the title of The World Bewitched, he modifies and perverts, with the greatest ingenuity, but also with equal temerity and presumption, the accounts given by the sacred writers of the power of satan and wieked angels, and of persons possessed by evil spirits; he affirms moreover that the unhappy and malignant being, who is called in scripture satan, or the devil, is chained down with his infernal ministers in hell; so that he can never come forth from this eternal prison to terrify mortals, or to seduce the righteous from the paths of virtue. According to the cartesian definition abovementioned, the essence of spirit consists in thought; and from this definition, Becker drew his doctrine; since none of that influence, or of those operations that are attributed to evil spirits, ean be effected by mere thinking." Rather therefore than eall into question the aecuraey or authority of Des Cartes, Beeker thought proper to force the narrations and doetrines of scripture into a conformity with the principles and definitions of this phi-

The connection relates here somewhat obscurely the reasoning which Becker founded upon the cartesian definition of mind or spirit. The tenor and amount of his argument is as follows: "The essence of mind is thought, and the essence of matter is extension. Now, since there is no sort of conformity, or connexion between a thought and extension, mind cannot act upon matter unless these two substances be united, as soul and body are in man; therefore no separate spirits, either good or evil, can act upon mankind. Such acting is miraculous, and miracles can be performed by God alone. It follows of consequence, that the scripture accounts of the actions and operations of good and evil spirits, must be understood in an allegorical sense." This is Becker's argument; and it does, in truth, little honour to his acuteness and sagacity. By proving too much, it proves nothing at all; for if the want of a connexion or conformity between thought and extension renders mind incapable of acting upon matter, it is hard to see how their union should remove this incapacity, since the want of conformity and connexion remains, notwithstanding this union. Beside, according to this reasoning, the Supreme Being cannot act upon material beings. In vain does Becker maintain the affirmative, by having recourse to a miracle; for this would imply, that the whole course of nature was a series of miracles, that is to say, that there are no miracles at all.

losopher. These errors nevertheless excited great tumults and divisions, not only in all the United Provinces, but also in some parts of Germany, where several doctors of the lutheran church were alarmed at its progress, and arose to oppose it. Their inventor and promoter, though refuted victoriously by a multitude of adversaries, and publicly deposed from his pastoral charge, died in the year 1718, in the full persuasion of the truth of these opinions, that had drawn upon him so much opposition, and professed, with his last breath, his sincere adherence to every thing he had written on that subject. Nor can it be said, that this his doctrine died with him; since it is abundantly known, that it has still many votaries and patrons, who either hold it in secret, or profess it publicly.

xxxvi. The curious reader can be no stranger to the multitude of sects, some christian, some half chris-

tian, some totally delirious, that have started up, butch sects; verschorists, hattenists. at different times, both in England and Holland. It is difficult indeed, for those who live in other countries, to give accurate accounts of these separatists, as the books that contain their doctrines and views are seldom dispersed in foreign nations. We have however been lately favoured with some relations, that give a clearer idea of the Dutch sects, called verschorists and hattemists, than we had before entertained; and it will not therefore be improper to give here some account of these remarkable communities. former derives its denomination from Jacob Verschoor, a native of Flushing, who, in the year 1680, out of a perverse and heterogeneous mixture of the tenets of Cocceius and Spinoza, produced a new form of religion equally remarkable for its extravagance and impiety. His disciples and followers were called Hebrews, on account of the zeal. and assiduity with which they all, without distinction of age or sex, applied themselves to the study of the Hebrew language.

The hattemists were so called from Pontian Van Hattem, a minister in the province of Zeland, who was also addicted to the sentiments of Spinoza, and was, on that account, degraded from his pastoral office. The verschorists and

w See Lilienthalii Selectæ Historiæ Literar. p. i. observat.ii. p. 17. Miscellan. Lipsiens. tom. i. p. 361, 361, where there is an explication of a satirical medal, struck to expose the sentiments of Becker. See also Nouveau Diction. Hist. et Critique, tom. i. p. 193.

hattemists resemble each other in their religious systems, though there must also be some points in which they differ; since it is well known, that Van Hattem could never persuade the former to unite their sect with his, and thus to form one communion. Neither of the two have abandoned the profession of the reformed religion; they affect, on the contrary, an apparent attachment to it; and Hattem, in particular, published a treatise upon the Catechism of Heidelberg. If I understand aright the imperfect relations that have been given of the sentiments and principles of these two communities, both their founders began by perverting the doctrine of the reformed church concerning absolute decrees, so as to deduce it from the impious system of a fatal and uncontrollable necessity. Having laid down this principle, to account for the origin of all events, they went a step further into the domain of atheism, and denied "the difference between moral good and evil, and the corruption of human nature." From hence they concluded, "That mankind were under no sort of obligation to correct their manners, to improve their minds, or to endeavour after a regular obedience to the divine laws; that the whole of religion consisted, not in acting, but in suffering; and that all the precepts of Jesus Christ are reducible to this single one, that we bear with cheerfulness and patience the events that happen to us through the divine will, and make it our constant and only study to maintain a permanent tranquillity of mind."

This, if we are not mistaken, was the common doctrine of the two sects under consideration. There were however certain opinions of fancies, that were peculiar to Hattem and his followers, who affirmed, "That Christ had not satisfied the divine justice, nor made an expiation for the sins of men by his death and sufferings, but had only signified to us, by his mediation, that there was nothing in us that could offend the Deity." Hattem maintained, "That this was Christ's manner of justifying his servants, and presenting them blameless before the tribunal of God." These opinions seem perverse and pestilential in the highest degree; and they evidently tend to extinguish all virtuous sentiments, and to dissolve all moral obligation. It does not however appear, that either of these innovations directly recommended immorality and vice, or thought that men might safely follow, without any restraint, the

impulse of their irregular appetites and passions. It is at least certain, that the following maxim is placed among their tenets, that God does not punish men for their sins, but by their sins; and this maxim seems to signify, that, if a man does not restrain his irregular appetites, he must suffer the painful fruits of his licentionsness, both in a present and future life, not in consequence of any judicial sentence pronounced by the will, or executed by the immediate hand of God, but according to some fixed law or constitution of nature. The two sects still subsist, though

year 1669, were alarmed at the progress which The disputes

they bear no longer the names of their founders.

xxxvn. The churches of Switzerland, so early as the

the opinions of Amyraut, De la Place, and Cap-

pel, were making in different countries; and they the Consensus or Form of were apprehensive that the doctrine they had received from Calvin, and which had been so solemnly confirmed by the synod of Dort, might be altered and corrupted by these new improvements in theology. prehension was so much the less chimerical, as at that very time there were, among the clergy of Geneva, certain doctors eminent for their learning and eloquence, who not only adopted these new opinions, but were also desirons, notwithstanding the opposition and remonstrances of their colleagues, of propagating them among the people. To set bounds to the zeal of these innovators, and to stop the progress of the new doctrines, the learned John Henry Heidegger, professor of divinity at Zurich, was employed in the year 1675 by an assembly, composed of the most eminent Helvetic divines, to draw up a form of doctrine, in direct opposition to the tenets and principles of the celebrated French writers mentioned above. The magistrates were engaged, without much difficulty, to give this production the stamp of their authority; and to add to it the other confessions of faith received in the Helvetic church, under the peculiar denomination of the Form of

Concord. This step, which seemed to be taken with pacific views, proved an abundant source of division and discord. Many declared, that they could not conscientiously subscribe this new form; and thus unhappy tumults and

^{*} See Theod. Hasæ Dissert. in Museo Bremensi Theol. Philolog. vol. ii. p. 144. Bibliotheque Belgique, tom. ii. p. 203.

* See Leti Istoria Generrina, part iv. hook v. p. 448, 488, 497, &c.

contests arose in several places. Hence it happened, that the canton of Basil and the republic of Geneva, perceiving the inconveniences that proceeded from this new article of church communion, and strongly solicited, in the year 1686, by Frederic William, elector of Brandenburg, to ease the burdened consciences of their clergy, abrogated this form. It is nevertheless certain, that in the other cantons it maintained its authority for some time after this period; but, in our time, the discords it has excited in many places, and more particularly in the university of Lausanne, have contributed to deprive it of all its authority, and to sink it into utter oblivion.2

2 It must not be imagined, from this expression of our historian, that this form, entitled, the Consensus, was abrogated at Basil by a positive edict. The case stood thus; Mr. Peter Werenfels, who was at the head of the ecclesiastical consistory of that city, paid such regard to the letter of the elector, as to avoid requiring a subscription to this form from the candidates for the ministry; and his conduct, in this respect, was imitated by his successors. The remonstrances of the elector do not seem to have had the same effect upon those that governed the church of Geneva; for the Consensus, or Form of Agreement maintained its credit and authority there until the year 1706, when, without being abrogated by any positive act, it fell into disuse. In several other parts of Switzerland, it was still imposed as a rule of faith, as appears by the letters addressed by George I. king of England, as also by the king of Prussia, in the year 1723, to the Swiss cantons, in order to procure the abrogation of this form or Consensus, which was considered as an obstacle to the union of the reformed and lutheran churches. See the 'Memoires pour servir a l'Histoire des troubles arrivees en Suisse a l'occasion du Consensus,' published in 8vo. at Amsterdam, in the year 1726.

See Christ. Matth. Pfaffii 'Schediasma de Formula Consensus Helvetica,' published in 4to. at Thbingen, in the year 1723. 'Memoires pour servir a l'Histoire des troubles arrivees en Suisse a l'occasion du Consensus.'

troubles arrivees en Suisse a l'occasion du Consensus.'

SECTION II.

CHAPTER III.

CONCERNING THE ARMINIAN CHURCH.

1. THERE sprung forth from the bosom of the reformed church, during this century, two new sects, whose birth and progress were, for a long time, The denomination of armipainful and perplexing to the parent that bore mians, whence. them. These sects were the arminians and quakers, whose origin was owing to very different principles; since the former derived its existence from an excessive propensity to improve the faculty of reason, and to follow its dictates and discoveries; while the lutter sprung up, like a rank weed, from the neglect and contempt of human reason. The arminians derive their name and their origin from James Arminius, or Harmensen, who was first pastor at Amsterdam, afterward professor of divinity at Leyden, and who attracted the esteem and applause of his very enemies, by his acknowledged candour, penetration, and piety. They received also the denomination of remonstrants, from an humble petition, entitled, their Remonstranees, which they addressed, in the year 1610, to the states of Holland, and as the patrons of calvinism presented an address, in opposition to this, which they called

a The most ample account we have of this eminent man is given by Brandt, in his Historia Vitæ Jac. Arminii, published at Leyden in Svo. in 1724; and the year after by me at Branswick, with an additional Preface and some Annotations. See also Nouveau Dictionaire Histor. et Critique, tom. i. p. 471. All the works of Arminius are comprised in one moderate quarto volume. The edition I have now before me was printed at Francfort, in the year 1634. They who would form a just and accurate notion of the temper, genius, and doctrine of this divine, will do well to peruse, with particular attention, that part of his works that is known under the title of his Disputationes publicæ et privalæ. There is, in his manner of reasoning, and also in his phraseology, some little remains of the scholastic jargon of that age; but we find nevertheless in his writings, upon the whole, much of that simplicity and perspicuity which his followers have always looked upon, and still consider, as among the principal qualities of a christian minister. For an account of the arminian confession of faith, and the historical writers who have treated of this sect, see Jo. Christ. Koecherus, E.b. eth. Theol. Symbolice; p. 481

their counter remonstrances, so did they, in consequence thereof, receive the name of counter remonstrants.

11. Arminius, though he had imbibed in his tender years the doctrines of Geneva, and had even received his theological education in the university of that city, yet rejected, when he arrived at the age of manhood, the sentiments, concerning predestination and the divine decrees, that are adopted by the greatest part of the reformed churches, and embraced the principles and communion of those, whose religious system extends the love of the Supreme Being, and the merits of Jesus Christ, to all mankind. As time and deep meditation had only served to confirm him in these principles, he thought himself obliged, by the dictates both of candour and conscience, to profess them publicly, when he had obtained the chair of divinity in the university of Leyden, and to oppose the doctrine and sentiments of Calvin on these heads, which had been followed by the greatest part of the Dutch clergy. Two considerations encouraged him, in a particular manner, to venture upon this open declaration of his sentiments; for he was persuaded, on the one hand, that there were many persons, beside himself, and, among these, some of the first rank and dignity, that were highly disgusted at the doctrine of absolute decrees; and, on the other, he knew that the Belgic doctors were neither obliged by their confession of faith, nor by any other public law, to adopt and propagate the principles of Calvin. Thus animated and encouraged, Arminius taught his sentiments publicly, with great freedom and equal success, and persuaded many of the truth of his doctrine; but as calvinism was at this time in a flourishing state in Holland, this freedom procured him a multitude of enemies, and drew upon him the severest marks of disapprobation and resentment from those that adhered to the theological system of Geneva, and more especially from Francis Gomar, his colleague. Thus commenced that long, tedious, and intricate controversy that afterward made such a noise in Eu-

Arminius died in the year 1609, when it was just

Descrius, in his Funcral Oration on Arminius, Brandt, in his History of his Life, p. 22, and almost all the ecclesiastical historiaus of this period, mention the occasion of this change in the sentiments of Arminius. It happened in the year 1591, as appears from the remarkable letter of Arminius to Gryneus, which hears date that same year, and in which the former proposes to the latter some of his theological doubts. This letter is published in the Biblioth. Brem. Theol. Philolog. tom. iii. p. 384.

beginning to involve his country in contention and discord.c

III. After the death of Arminius, the combat seemed to be carried on, during some years, between the contending parties, with equal success; so that it of arminian-was not easy to foresee which side would gain ism. the ascendant. The demands of the arminians were moderate; they required no more than a bare toleration for their religious sentiments; d and some of the first men in the republic, such as Oldenbarneveldt, Grotius, Hoogerbeets, and several others, looked upon these demands as reasonable and just. It was the opinion of these great men, that as the points in debate had not been determined by the Belgic confession of faith, every individual had an unquestionable right to judge for himself; and that more especially in a free state, which had thrown off the yoke of spiritual despotism and civil tyranny. In consequence of this persuasion, they used their utmost efforts to accommodate matters, and left no methods unemployed to engage the calvinists to treat with christian moderation and forbearance their dissenting brethren. These efforts were at first attended with some prospect of success. Maurice. prince of Orange, and the princess downger his mother, countenanced these pacific measures, though the former became afterward one of the warmest adversaries of the arminians. Hence a conference was held, in the year 1611, at the Hague, between the contending parties; another at Delft, in the year 1613; and hence also that pueific edict issued out in 1614, by the states of Holland, to exhort them to charity and mutual forbearance; not to mention a number of expedients applied in vain to pre-

This toleration was offered them in the confe ence held at the Hague, in the year 1611, provided they would renounce the errors of socinianism. See Trigland, loc. cit. See also Henry Brandt's Collatio scripto Kabita Hagreen item, printed at Zericzee, in 1715.

c The history of this controversy, and of the public discords and tumults it occasioned, is more circumstantially related by Brandt, in the second and third volumes of his History of the Reformation, than by any other writer. This excellent history is written in Dutch; but there is an abridgment of it in French, in three volumes Svo. which has been translated into English. Add to this, Lytenbogard's Ecclesiastical History, written also in Dutch. Limborch i Historia vitæ Episcopii. The Epistolæ Clarorum Virorum, published by Limborch. Those who desire a more concise view of this contest will find it in Limborch's 'Relatio Historica de origine et progressa Controversiarum in Foderato Belgio de Prædestinatione et capitibus annexis,' which is subjoined to the latter editions of his Theologia Christiana, or Body of Divinity. It is true, all these are arminians, and, as impartiality requires our hearing both sides, the reader may consult Trigland's Ecclesiastical History, composed likewise in Dutch, and a prodigious number of polemical writings published against the conjugues. ical writings published against the arminians.

vent the schism that threatened the church. But these measures confirmed, instead of removing, the appreliensions of the calvinists; from day to day they were still more firmly persuaded, that the arminians aimed at nothing less than the ruin of all religion; and hence they censured their magistrates with great warmth and freedom, for interposing their authority to promote peace and union with such adversaries. And those, who are well informed and impartial, must candidly acknowledge, that the arminians were far from being sufficiently cautious in avoiding connexions with persons of loose principles; and that by frequenting the company of those, whose sentiments were entirely different from the received doctrines of the reformed church, they furnished their enemies with a pretext for suspecting their own principles, and presenting their theological system in the worst colours.

The five articles of armicles of another the synod of Dort, was, at this time confined to the doctrines relating to predestination and grace. The sentiments of the arminians, concerning these intricate points, were comprehended in five articles. They held,

"I. That God, from all eternity, determined to bestow salvation on those whom he foresaw would persevere unto the end in their faith in Christ Jesus; and to inflict everlasting punishments on those who should continue in their unbelief, and resist, unto the end, his divine succours.

"2. That Jesus Christ, by his death and sufferings, made an atonement for the sins of all mankind in general, and of every individual in particular; that however none but those who believe in him can be partakers of their divine benefit.

e The writers who have given accounts of these transactions are well known; we shall only mention the first and second volumes of the Histoire de Louis XIII. by Le Vassor, who treats largely and accurately of these religious commotions, and of the civil transactions that were connected with them.

The conduct of the states of Holland, who employed not only the language of persuasion, but also the voice of nuthority, in order to calm these commotions, and restore peace in the church, was defended, with his usual learning and eloquence, by Grotius, in two treatises. The one, which contains the general principles on which this defence is founded, is entitled. De jure summarum potentium circa sacra; the other, in which these principles are peculiarly applied in justifying the conduct of the states, was published, in the year 1613, under the following title; Ordinum Hollandie ac Westfrisiae Pietas a multorum calumniis vindicata.

"3. That true faith cannot proceed from the exercise of our natural faculties and powers, nor from the force and operation of freewill; since man, in consequence of his natural corruption, is incapable either of thinking or doing any good thing; and that therefore it is necessary to his conversion and salvation, that he be regenerated and renewed by the operation of the Holy Ghost, which is the gift of

God, through Jesus Christ.

"4. That this divine grace, or energy of the Holy Ghost, which heals the disorder of a corrupt nature, begins, advances, and brings to perfection every thing that can be called good in man; and that, consequently, all good works, without exception, are to be attributed to God alone, and to the operation of his grace; that nevertheless this grace does not force the man to act against his inclination, but may be resisted and rendered ineffectual by the perverse

will of the impenitent sinner.

"5. That they who are united to Christ by faith are thereby furnished with abundant strength and with succours sufficient to enable them to triumph over the seduction of satan, and the allurements of sin and temptation; but that the question, Whether such MAY fall from their faith, and forfeit finally this state of grace, has not been yet resolved with sufficient perspicuity; and must therefore be yet more carefully examined by an attentive study of what the holy scriptures have declared in relation to this important point."

It is to be observed, that this last article was afterward changed by the arminians, who, in process of time, declared their sentiments with less caution, and positively affirm-

ed, that the saints might fall from a state of grace.

If we are to judge of men's sentiments by their words and declarations, the tenets of the arminians, at the period of time now under consideration, bear a manifest resemblance of the lutheran system. But the calvinists did not judge in this manner; on the contrary, they explained the words and declarations of the arminians according to the notions they had formed of their hidden sentiments; and, instead of judging of their opinions by their expressions,

z The history of the five articles, and more particularly of their reception and progress in England, has been written by Dr. Heylin, whose book was translated into Datch by the learned and eloquent Brandt, and published at Rotterdam in the year 1687.

they judged of their expressions by their opinions. They maintained, that the arminians designed, under these specious and artful declarations, to insinuate the poison of socinianism and pelagianism into unwary and uninstructed minds. The secret thoughts of men are only known to Him, who is the searcher of hearts; and it is his privilege alone to pronounce judgment upon those intentions and designs that are concealed from public view. But if we were allowed to interpret the five articles now mentioned in a sense conformable to what the leading doctors among the arminians have taught in later times concerning these points, it would be difficult to show, that the suspicions of the calvinists were entirely groundless. For it is certain, whatever the arminians may allege to the contrary, that the sentiments of their most eminent theological writers, after the synod of Dort, concerning divine grace, and the other doctrines that are connected with it, approached much nearer to the opinions of the pelagians and semipelagians, than to those of the lutheran church.

v. The mild and favourable treatment the arminians rereceived from the magistrates of Holland, and from
received from the magistrates of Holland, and from
several persons of merit and distinction, encouragagainst the
approsperous turn, or at least that their affairs would take a
prosperous turn, or at least that their cause was not desperate, when an unexpected and sudden storm arose against
them, and blasted their expectations. This change was
owing to causes entirely foreign to religion; and its origin
must be sought for in those connexions, which can scareely
be admitted as possible by the philosopher, but are perpetually presented to the view of the historian. A secret misunderstanding had for sometime subsisted between the
stadtholder Maurice, prince of Orange, and some of the
principal magistrates and ministers of the new republic,
such as Oldenbarueveldt, Grotius, and Hoogerbeets; and
this misunderstanding had at length broke out into an open
emmity and discord. The views of this great prince are
differently represented by different historians. Some al-

plagians; as if they considered man as absolutely passive in the work of his conversion and sanctification; but such an opinion surely has never been the general doctrine of the lutheron church, however rigorously Luther may have expressed himself on that head in some unguarded moments; more especially it may be affirmed that in later times the lutherans are, to a man, semipelagians; and let it not be thought that this is imputed to them as a reproach.

lege, that he had formed the design of getting himself declared count of Holland, a dignity which William I. the glorious founder of Belgic liberty, is also said to have had in view. Others affirm, that he only aspired after a greater degree of authority and influence than scemed consistent with the liberties of the republic; it is at least certain, that some of the principal persons in the government suspected him of aiming at supreme dominion. The leading men abovementioned opposed these designs; and these leading men were the patrons of the arminians. The armenians adhered to these their patrons and defenders, without whose aid they could have no prospect of security or protection. Their adversaries the gomarists, on the contrary, seconded the views, and espoused the interests of the prince, and inflamed his resentment, which had been already more or less kindled by various suggestions, to the disadvantage of the arminians, and of those who protected them. Thus, after mutual suspicions and discontents. the flame broke out with violence; and Maurice resolved the downfall of those who ruled the republic, without showing a proper regard to his counsels; and also of the arminians, who espoused their cause. The leading men, that sat at the helm of government, were cast into prison .-- -Oldenbarneveldt, a man of gravity and wisdom, whose hairs were grown gray in the service of his country, lost his life on a public scaffold; while Grotius and Hoogerbeets were condemued to a perpetual prison, under what

k The truth of this general account of these unhappy divisions will undoubtedly be acknowledged by all parties, particularly at this period of time, when these tunnels and commotions have subsided, and the spirit of party is less bland, partyd, and violent And the candid and ingenuous calvinists who acknowledge this, while not illereby commendately prejudice to their cause. For should they even given, what I reither prejuded to aftern nor deny that their ancest its, carried a vay by the quantities of the

i That Maurice aimed at the dignity of Count of Holland, we learn from Aubery's Memoires pour servir a l'Histoire de Hollande et des autres Provinces Unies,' sect in p. 216, ed. Paris. If we are to believe Anbery, informed by his father, who was, at that time, ambassador of France at the Hagne, Oldenbarneveldt disapproved of this design, prevented its execution, and lost his life by his bold opposition to the views of the prince. This account is looked upon as erroneous by Le Vassor, who takes much pains to refute it, and indeed with success, in his Histoire de Lonis XIII tom. ii. p. ii. p. 123—Le Crete, in his Biblioth. Choisie, tom. ii. p. 134, and in his Hattory of the United Provinces, endeavours to confirm what is related by Aubery; and also anirms that the project, formed by Maurice, had been formed before by his father. The determination of this debated point is not necessary to our present purpo e. It is sufficient to observe, what is acknowledged on all sides, that Oldenbarneveldt and his associates suspected prince Maurice of a design to encroach upon the liberties of the republicand to arrogate to himself the supreme dominion. Hence the zeal of Barneveldt to weaken his influence and to set bounds to his authority; hence the indignation and resentment of Maurice; and hence the downfall of the arminion sect, which enjoyed the patronage, and adhered to the interests, of Oldenbarneveldt and Grotius.

pretext, or in consequence of what accusations or crimes, is unknown to us. As the arminians were not charged with any violation of the laws, but merely with departing from the established religion, their cause was not of such a nature as rendered it cognisable by a civil tribunal. That however this cause might be regularly condemned, it was judged proper to bring it before an ecclesiastical assembly or national synod. This method of proceeding was agreeable to the sentiments and principles of the calvinists, who are of opinion that all spiritual concerns and religious con-

the times, defended their religious opinions in a manner that was far from being consistent with the dictates of moderation and prudence, no rational conclusion can be drawn from this, either against them or the goodness of their cause. For it is well known, both by observation and experience, that unjustifiable things have often been done by men, whose characters and intentions, in the general, were good and upright; and that a good cause has frequently been maintained by methods that would not bear a rigorous examination. What I have said with brevity on this subject is confirmed and amplified by Le Clerc, in his Histoire des Provinces Unies, and the Biblioth. Choisie, tom. ii. p. 134, and also by Grotins, in his ' Apologeticus eorum, qui Hollandia et Westfrisiæ, et vicinis quibusdam nationibus præluerant ante mutationem quæ evenit,' An. 1618. The life of Oldenharneveldt, written in Dutch, was published at the Hague in 4to, in the year 1648. The history of his trial, and of the judgment pronounced on the famous triumvirate, mentioned above, was drawn by Gerard Brandt, from authentic records, and published under the following title: 'Histoire van de Rechtspleginge gehouden in den jgaren 1618, et 1619, omtrent de drie gevangene Heeren Johann Van Oldenbarneveldt, Rombout Hoogerheets, en Hugo de Groot;' a third edition of this book, augmented with annotations, was published in 4to. at Rotterdam, in the year 1723. The 'History of the Life and Actions of Grotius,' composed in Dutch by Caspar Brandt and Adrian Van Cattenburgh, and drawn mostly from original papers, cast a considerable degree of light on the history of the transactions now before us. This famous work was published in the year 1727, in two volumes in folio, at Dort and Amsterdam, under the following title : 'Histoire van het leven des Heeron Huig de Groot, beschreven tot den Anfang van zyn Gesandchap wegens de Koninginne en Kroon evan Zweden aanit Hof van Vrankryck door Caspard Brandt, en vervolgt tot zyn dood door Adrian Van Cattenburgh.' Those who desire to form a true and accurate notion of the character and conduct of Grotius, and to see him as it were near hand, must have recourse to this excellent work; since all the other accounts of this great man are insipid, lifeless, and exhibit little else than a poor shadow, instead of a real and animated substance. The life of Grotius, composed by Burigni in French, and published successively at Paris and Amsterdam, in two volumes Svo. deserves perhaps to be included in this general censure; it is at least a very indifferent and superficial performance. There appeared in Holland a warm vindication of the memory of this great man, in a work published at Delft, in 1727, and entitled, 'Grotii Maues ah iniquis obtrectationibus vindicati; accedit scriptorum ejus, tum editorum tum ineditorum, Conspectus Triples.' See the following note.

1 Dr. Mosheim, however impartial, seems to have consulted more the authors

of one side than of the other; probably because they are more unmerous, and more universally known. When he published this history, the world was not favoured with the Letters, Memoirs, and Negotiations of sir Dudley Carleton; which lord Royston, now earl of Hardwick, drew forth some years ago from his inestimable treasure of historical manuscripts, and presented to the public, or rather at first to a select number of persons, to whom he distributed a small number of copies of these Negotiations, printed at his own expense. They were soon translated both into Dutch and French; and though it cannot be affirmed, that the spirit of party is no where discoverable in them, yet they contain anecdotes with respect both to Oldenbarneveldt and Grotius, that the arminians, and the other patrons of these two great men, have been studious to conceal. These anecdotes, though they may not be at all sufficient to justify the severities exercised against these eminent men, would however have prevented Dr. Mosheim from saying, that he knew not under what pretext they were arrested.

troversies ought to be judged and decided by an ecclesias-

tical assembly or council.m

vi. Accordingly a synod was convoked at Dort, in the year 1618, by the counsels and influence of prince The synod of Maurice," at which were present ecclesiastical bork deputies from the United Provinces, as also from the churches of England, Hessia, Brennen, Switzerland, and the Palatinate. The leading men among the arminians appeared before this famous assembly, to defend their cause; and they had at their head, Simon Episcopius, who was, at that time, professor of divinity at Leyden, had formerly been the disciple of Arminius, and was admired, even by his enemies, on account of the depth of his judgment, the extent of his learning, and the force of his eloquence. This eminent man addressed a discourse, full of moderation, gravity, and elocution, to the assembled divines; but this was no sooner finished, than difficulties arose, which prevented the conference the arminians had demanded, in order to show the grounds, in reason and scripture, on which their opinions were founded. The arminian deputies proposed to begin the defence of their cause by refuting the opinions of the calvinists, their adversaries. This proposal was rejected by the synod, which looked upon the arminians as a set of men that lay under the charge of heresy; and therefore thought it incumbent upon them first to declare and prove their own opinions, before they could be allowed to combat the sentiments of others. The design of the arminians, in the proposal they made, was probably to get the people on their side, by such an unfavourable representation of the calvinistical system, and of the harsh consequences, that seem deducible from it, as might excite a disgust, in the minds of those that were present, against its patrons and abettors. And it is more than probable, that one of the principal reasons, that engaged the members of the synod to reject this proposal,

The calvinists are not particular in this; and indeed it is natural that debates,

purely theological, should be discussed in an assembly of divines.

eral, for the convocation of this famous synod; and by his manner of expressing himself, and particularly by the phrase, Mauritio auctore, would seem to insinuate, that it was by the prince that this assembly was called together. The legitimacy of the manner of convoking this synod was questioned by Oldenbarneveldt, who maintained that the states general had no sort of authority in matters of religion, not even the power of assembling a synod; affirming that this was an act of sovereignty, that belonged to each province separately and respectively. See Carleton's Letters. &c.

was a consideration of the genius and elognence of Episcopius, and an apprehension of the effects they might produce upon the multitude. When all the methods employed to persuade the arminians to submit to the manner of proceeding, proposed by the synod, proved ineffectual, they were excluded from that assembly, and returned home, complaining bitterly of the rigour and partiality with which they had been treated. Their cause was nevertheless tried in their absence, and in consequence of a strict examination of their writings, they were pronounced guilty of pestilential errors, and condemned as corrupters of the true religion. This sentence was followed by its natural effects, which were the excommunication of the arminians, the suppression of their religious assemblies, and the deprivation of their ministers. In this unhappy contest, the candid and impartial observer will easily perceive that there were faults committed on both sides. Which of the contending parties is most worthy of censure is a point, whose discussion is foreign to our present purpose.

vii. We shall not here appreciate either the merit or denerit of the divines, that were assembled in this merit of the divines, that were assembled in this famous synod; but we cannot help observing that famous synod; but we cannot help observing that their sanctity, wisdom, and virtue, have been exaggerated beyond all measure by the calvinists, while their partiality, violence, and their other defects, have been exaggerated with a certain degree of malignity by the arminians. There is no sort of doubt, but that, among the members of this assembly, who sat in judgment upon the arminians, there were several persons equally distinguished by their learning, piety, and integrity, who acted with up-

P All that appeared unfair to the arminisms in the proceedings of this synod, has been collected together in a Datch book, entitled, 'Nulliteten, Mishandelingen, endo anbyllike Procedurin, des Nationalen Synodi gehouden binnen Dordrecht,' &c.

The weiters who have given accounts of the synod of Dort are mentioned by Jo. Albert. Fabricius, in his Biblioth. Grac. vol. xi. p. 723. The most ample account of this famous assembly has been given by Brandt, in the second and third volumes of his History of the Reformation in the United Provinces; but, as this author is an arminian, it will not be improper to compare his relation with a work of the learned Leydekker, in which the piety and justice of the proceedings of this synod are vindicated against the censures of Brandt. This work, which is composed in Dutch, was published in two volumes 4to, at Amsterdam, in the year 1705 and 1707, under the following title; Eere van de Nationale Synode, van Dordrecht voorgestaan en bevestigd tegen de beschuldingen van G. Brandt.' After comparing diligently these two productions, I could see no enormous error in Brandt; for in truth, these two writers do not so much differ about facts, as they do in the reasoning they deduce from them, and in their accounts of the causes from whence they proceeded. The reader will do well to consult the Letters of the learned and worthy Mr. John Hales of Eaton, who was an impartial spectator of the proceedings of this lamons synod, and who relates with candour and simplicity what he saw and heard.

right intentions, and had not the least notion, that the stepsthey were taking, or encouraging, were at all inconsistent with equity and wisdom. On the other hand, it appears with the utmost evidence, that the arminians had reason to complain of several circumstances that strike us in the history of this remarkable period. It is plain, in the first place, that the ruin of their community was a point not only premeditated, but determined even before the meeting of the national synod; and that this synod was not so much assembled to examine the doctrine of the arminians, in order to see whether it was worthy of toleration and indulgence, as to publish and execute, with a certain solemnity, with an air of justice, and with the suffrage and consent of foreign divines, whose authority was respectable, a sentence already drawn up, and agreed upon by those who had the principal direction in these affairs. It is further to be observed, that the accusers and adversaries of the arminians were their judges, and that Bogerman, who presided in this famous synod, was distinguished by his peculiar hatred of that sect; that neither the Dutch nor foreign divines had the liberty of giving their suffrage according to their own private sentiments, but were obliged to deliver the opinions of the princes and magistrates, of whose orders they were the depositaries;" that the influence of the lay deputies, who appeared in the synod, with commissions from the states general and the prince of Orange, was still superior to that of the ecclesiastical members, who sat as judges; and lastly, that the solemn promise, made to the arminians, when they were summoned before the synod, that "they should be allowed the freedom of explaining and defending their opinions, as far as they thought proper, or necessary to their justification," was manifestly violated.

This assertion is of too weighty a nature to be advanced without sufficient proof. Our author quotes no authority for it.

There our author has fallen into a palpable mistake. The Dutch divines had assemblies; nor are they ever depositaries of the orders of their magistrates, whehave lay deputies to represent them both in provincial and national synods. As to the English and other foreign dectors that appeared in the synod of Dort, the case perhaps may have been somewhat different.

See Le Vassor, Histoire du Regne de Louis XIII. tom. iii. livr. xii. p. 365, 366. And Mosheim's preface to the Latin translation of Hale's account of the synod of Port, p. 394-400.

VIII. The arminians, in consequence of the decision of The fate of the synod, were considered as enemies of their country and of its established religion; and they the arminians were accordingly treated with great severity. They were deprived of all their posts and employments, whether ecclesiastical or civil; and, which they looked upon as a yet more intolerable instance of the rigour of their adversaries, their ministers were silenced, and their congregations were suppressed. They refused obedience to the order, by which their pastors were prohibited from performing, in public, their ministerial functions; and thus drew upon themselves anew the resentment of their superiors, who punished them by fines, imprisonment, exile, and other marks of ignominy. To avoid these vexations, many of them retired to Antwerp, others fled to France; while a considerable number, accepting the invitation sent to them by Frederie, duke of Holstein, formed a colony, which settled in the dominions of that prince, and built for themselves a handsome town ealled Frederickstadt, in the dutchy of Sleswyck, where they still live happy and unmolested, in the open profession and free exercise of their religion. The heads of this colony were persons of distinction, who had been obliged to leave their native country on account of these troubles, particularly Adrian Vander Wael, who was the first governor of the new city." Among the persecuted ecclesiastics, who followed this colony, were the famous Vorstius, who, by his religious sentiments, which differed but little from the socinian system, had rendered the arminians particularly odious, Grevinckhovius, a man of a resolute spirit, who had been pastor at Rotterdam, Goulart, Grevius, Walters, Narsius, and others.w

in the year 1625, the arminian exiles experienced the mildness and clemency of his brother and successor, Frederic Henry, under whose admi-

w For an ample account of Vorstins, see Jo. Molleri Cimbria Literata, tom. ii. p. 931, as also p. 242,047, 249, 255, 576, where we find a particular account of the other

ecclesiastics abovementioned.

w The history of this colony is accurately related in the famous letters published by Philip Limborch and christian Hartsocker, entitled, Epistolw prastantium et eruditorum vivorum Ecclesiastica et Theotogica, of which the last edition was published in folio, at Amsterdam, in the year 1704. See also Jo. Molleri Introductio in Histor, Chersonesi Cimbrica, p ii. p. 108, and Pontoppidani Annales Ecclesiae Danicae Diplomatici, tome iii. p 714.

nistration they were recalled from banishment, and restored to their former reputation and tranquillity. Those who had taken refuge in the kingdom of France and in the Spanish Netherlands, were the first that embraced this occasion of returning to their native country, where they erected churches in several places, and more particularly in the cities of Amsterdam and Rotterdam, under the mild shade of a religious toleration. That they might also have a public seminary of learning for the instruction of their youth, and the propagation of their theological principles, they founded a college at Amsterdam, in which two professors were appointed to instruct the candidates for the ministry, in the various branches of literature and science, sacred and profane. Simon Episcopius was the first professor of theology among the arminians; and since his time, the seminary now mentioned has been, generally speaking, furnished with professors eminent for their learning and genius, such as Courcelles, Poelenburg, Limborch, Le Clerc, Cattenburgh, and Wetstein.

x. We have already seen, that the original difference between the arminians and the calvinists was entirely confined to the five points mentioned above,

relative to the doctrines of predectination and grace; and it was the doctrine of the former concerning these points alone that occasioned their condemnation in the Synod of Dort. It is further to be observed, that these five points, as explained at that time by the arminians, seemed to differ very little from the lutheran system. But after the synod of Dort, and more especially after the return of the arminian exiles into their native country, the theological system of this community underwent a remarkable change, and assumed an aspect, that distinguished it entirely from that of all other christian churches. For then they gave a new explication of these five articles, that made them almost coincide with the doctrine of those who deny the necessity of divine succours in the work of conversion, and in the paths of virtue. Nay, they went still further, and, bringing the greatest part of the doctrines of Christianity before the tribunal of reason, they modified them considerably, and reduced them to an excessive degree of

^{*}There is an accurate account of these and the other arminian writers given by Adrian Van Cattenburgh, in his Bibliotheea Scriptorum Remonstructium, printed in 8vo. of Amsterdam, in the year 1728.

simplicity. Arminius, the parent and founder of the community, was, undoubtedly, the inventor of this new form of doctrine, and taught it to his disciples; but it was first digested into a regular system, and embellished with the charms of a masculine eloquence, by Episcopius, whose learning and genius have given him a place among the arminian doctors, next to their founder.2

xi. The great and ultimate end the arminians seem to have in view, is; that christians, though divided The great end have in view, is; that christians, though divided proposed by the arminian in their opinions, may be united in fraternal charsystem, and ity and love, and thus be formed into one family beads. or community, notwithstanding the diversity of their theological sentiments. In order to execute their benevolent purpose, they maintain, that Christ demands from his servants more virtue than faith; that he has confined that belief which is essential to salvation to a few articles: that, on the other hand, the rules of practice he has prescribed are extremely large in their extent; and that charity and virtue ought to be the principal study of true christians. Their definition of a true christian is somewhat la-

2 The life of this eminent man was composed in Latin by the learned and indicious Limboreli, and is singularly worthy of an attentive perusal. It was published at

Amsterdam in Svo. in the year 1701.

y It is a common opinion, that the ancient arminians, who flourished before the synod of Dort, were much more sound in their opinions, and strict in their morals, than those who have lived after this period; that Arminius himself only rejected the cal-vinistical doctrine of absolute decrees, and what he took to be its immediate consequences, adopting in all other points the doctrines received in the reformed churches; but that his disciples, and more especially Episcopius, had boldly transgressed the bounds that had been wisely prescribed by their master, and had gone over to the pelagians, and even to the sociaians. Such, I say, is the opinion commonly entertained concerning this matter. But it appears, on the contrary, evident to me, that Arminius himself had laid the plan of that theological system, that was, in after times, embraced by his followers, and that he had instilled the main principles of it into the minds of his disciples; and that these latter, and particularly Episcopius, did really no more than bring this plan to a greater degree of perfection, and propagate, with more courage and perspicuity, the doctrines it contained. I have the testimony of Arminius to support this notion, beside many others that might be alleged in its behalf; for, in the last will, made by this eminent man, a little before his death, he plainly and positively declares, that the great object he had in view, in all his theological and ministerial labours, was to unite in one community, cemented by the bonds of fraternal charity, all seets and denominations of christians, the papists excepted; his words, as they are recorded in the finneral oration, which was composed on occasion of his death by Bertins, are as follow: " La proposni et docni que ad propaga tionem amplificationemque veritatis religionis Christianæ, veri Dei cultus, communis pietatis, et sanctæ inter homines conversationis, denique ad convenientem Christiano nomini tranquillitatem et pacem juxta verbum Dei possent conferre, excludens ex iis papatum, cum quo nulla unitas fidei, nullum pictatis aut Christiance pacis vinculum servari potest." These words, in their amount, coincide perfectly with the modern system of arminianism, which extend the limits of the christian church, and relaxes the bonds of fraternal communion in such a manner, that christians of all sects and all denominations, whatever their sentiments and opinions may be, papists excepted, may be formed into one religious body, and live together in brotherly love and concord.

titudinarian in point of belief. According to their account of things, every person is a genuine subject of the kingdom of Christ; "1. Who receives the holy scriptures, and more especially the New Testament, as a rule of his faith, however he may think proper to interpret and explain these sacred oracles; 2. Who abstains from idolatry and polytheism, with all their concomitant absurdities; 3. Who leads a decent, honest, and virtuous life, directed and regulated by the laws of God; and, 4. Who never discovers a spirit of persecution, discord, or ill will toward those who differ from him in their religious sentiments, or, in their manner of interpreting the holy scriptures." Thus the wide bosom of the arminian church is opened to all who profess themselves christians, however essentially they may differ from each other in their theological opinions. The papists alone are excluded from this extensive communion, and this because they esteem it lawful to persecute those who will not submit to the yoke of the Roman pontiff. It is not our design here either to justify or condemn these latitudinarian terms of communion; it is true indeed that, if other christian churches adopted them, diversity of sentiments would be no longer an obstacle to mutual love and concord.

a It is not only on account of their persecuting spirit, but also on account of their idolatrous worship, that the arminians exclude the papists from their communion.

See the following note.

b For a full and accurate representation of this matter, the reader need scarcely have recourse to any other treatise than that which is published in the first volume of the works of Episcopius, p. 508, under the following title; 'Verus Theologus Remonstrans, sive veræ Remonstrantium Theologiæ de errantibus dilucida declaratio.' This treatise is written with precision and perspicuity. Le Clerc, in the Deducation prefixed to his Latin translation of Dr. Hammond's Paraphrase and Commentary on the New Testament, gives a brief account of the arminian principles and terms of communion in the following words, addressed to the learned men of that sert; "You declare," says he, "that they only are excluded from your communion who are chargeable with idolatry; who do not receive the holy scriptures as the rule of faith; who trample upon the precepts of Christ by their licentious manners and actions; and who persecute those who differ from them in matters of religion." Many writers affirm, that the arminians acknowledge as their brethren all those who receive that form of doctrine that is known under the denomination of the Apostles' Creed. But that these writers are mistaken, appears sufficiently from what has been already said on this subject; and is further confirmed by the express testimony of Le Clerc, who, in his Biblioth. Ancienne et Mod. tom xxv. p. 110, declares, that it is not true that the arminians admit to their communion all those who receive the Apostles' Creed; his words are, "Ils se trompent; ils," the arminians, "offrent la communion a tous ceux, qui recoivent l'ecriture sainte comme la seule regle de la foi et des mœurs, et qui ne sont ni idolatres ui persecuteurs.'

^{*} The original words of Le Cterc are, "Profiter spletis... cos duntaxat a vobis excludi qui (1) idolate-via sunt contaminati, (2) qui minime habent scripturam pro fidei norma, (3) qui impuris muribus sancta Christi præcepta conculcant, (4) aut qui denique alles religionis causa vexant."

xu. From all this it appears plain enough, that the arminian community was a kind of medley, compo-The arminian sed of persons of different principles, and that, properly speaking, it could have no fixed and stable form or system of doctrine. The arminians however foreseeing that this circumstance might be objected to them as a matter of reproach, and unwilling to pass for a society connected by no common principles or bond of union, have adopted, as their confession of faith, a kind of theological system, drawn up by Episcopius, and expressed, for the most part, in the words and phrases of holy scripture. But as none of their pastors are obliged, either by oath, declaration, or tacit compact, to adhere strictly to this confession, and as, on the contrary, by the fundamental constitution of this community, every one is authorized to interpret its expressions, which are in effect susceptible of various significations, in a manner conformable to their peculiar sentiments; it evidently follows, that we cannot deduce from thence an accurate and consistent view of arminianism, or know, with any degree of certainty, what doctrines are adopted or rejected by this sect. Hence it happens, that the arminian doctors differ widely among themselves concerning some of the most important doctrines of Christianity; nor are they universally agreed or entirely uniform in their sentiments of almost any one point, if we except the doctrines of predestination and grace. They all indeed unanimously adhere to the doctrine that excluded their ancestors from the communion of the reformed churches, even that the love of God extends itself equally to all mankind; that no mortal is rendered finally unhappy by an eternal and invincible decree; and that the misery of those that perish comes from themselves; but they explain this doctrine in a very different manner from that in which it was formerly understood. Be that as it may, this is the fundamental doctrine of the arminians, and whoever opposes it, becomes thereby an adversary to the whole community; whereas those, whose objections are levelled at particular

c This confession of faith is extant in Latin, Dutch, and German. The Latin edition of it is to be found in the works of Episcopius, tom. ii. p. ii. p. 69. Where may be found also a Defence of this confession against the objections of the professors of divinity at Leyden.

d They who will be at the pains of comparing together the theological writings of Episcopius, Courcelles, Limborch, Le Clerc, and Cattenburgh, will see clearly the diversity of sentiments that reigns among the arminian doctors.

tenets, which are found in the writings of the arminian divines, cannot be said, with any degree of propriety, to attack or censure the arminian church, whose theological system, a few articles excepted, is vague and uncertain, and is not characterized by any fixed set of doctrines and principles. Such only attack certain doctors of that communion, who are divided among themselves, and do not agree, even in their explications of the doctrine relating to the extent of the divine love and mercy; though this be the fundamental point that occasioned their separation from the reformed churches.

xiii. The arminian church makes at present but an in-

considerable figure, when compared with the reformed; and, if credit may be given to public state of armi-report, it declines from day to day. The armini-ans have still in the United Provinces thirty-four congregations, more or less numerous, which are furnished with eighty-four pastors; beside these, their church at Frederickstadt, in the dutchy of Holstein, still subsists. It cannot however be said, that the credit and influence of their religious principles have declined with the external lustre of their community; since it is well known, that their sentiments were early adopted in several countries, and were secretly received by many who had not the courage to profess them openly. Every one is acquainted with the change that has taken place in the established church of England, whose clergy, generally speaking, since the time of archbishop Laud, have embraced the arminian doctrine concerning predestination and grace; and, since the restoration of Charles II. have discovered a strong propensity to many other tenets of the arminian church. Beside this, whoever has any acquaintance with the world, must know, that in many of the courts of protestant princes, and, generally speaking, among those persons that pretend to be wiser than the multitude, the following fundamental principle of arminianism is adopted; "That those doctrines, whose belief is necessary to salvation, are very few in number; and that every one is to be left at

e What readers the arminian Confession of Faith an uncertain representation of the sentiments of the community is, the liberty in which every paster is indulged of departing from it, when he finds any of its doctrines in contradiction with his private opinions. See the Introduction to the Arminian Confession of Faith, in the third volume of the Yench abridgment of Brandt's History of the Reformation of the Netherlands.

full liberty, with respect to his private sentiments of God and religion, provided his life and actions be conformable to the rules of piety and virtue." Even the United Provinces, which saw within their bosoni the defeat of arminianism, are at this time sensible of a considerable change in that respect; for while the patrons of calvinism in that republic acknowledge, that the community, which makes an external profession of arminianism, declines gradually both in its numbers and influence, they, at the same time, complain, that its doctrines and spirit gain ground from day to day; that they have even in-innated themselves more or less into the bosom of the established church, and infected the theological system of many of those very pastors who are appointed to maintain the doctrine and authority of the synod of Dort. The progress of arminianism in other countries is abundantly known; and its votaries in France, Geneva, and many parts of Switzerland, are certainly very numerous. ee

ce It may not however be improper to observe here, that the progress of arminianism has been greatly retarded, nay, that its cause daily declines in Germany and several parts of Switzerland, in consequence of the ascendant which the Leihnitian and Wolfian philosophy bath gained in these countries, and particularly among the clergy and men of learning. Leibnitz and Wolf, by attacking that liberty of indifference, which is supposed to imply the power of acting not only without, but against motives, struck at the very foundation of the arminian system. But this was not all; for, by considering that multiplicity of worlds that compose the universe, as one system or whole, whose greatest possible perfection is the ultimate end of creating goodness, and the sovereign purpose of governing wisdom, they removed from the doctrine of predestination those arbitrary procedures and narrow views, with which the calvinists are supposed to have loaded it, and gave it a new, a more pleasing, and a more philosophical aspect. As the Leibnitians laid down this great end, as the supreme object of G d's noiversal dominion, and the scope to which all his dispensations are directed, so they concluded, that if this end was proposed, it must be accom-Hence the doctrine of necessity to fulfil the purposes of a predestination founded in wisdom and goodness; a necessity, physical and mechanical in the motions of material and inanimate things, but a necessity, moral and spiritual in the voluntary determinations of intelligent beings, in consequence of prepollent motives, which produce their effects with certainty, though these effects be contingent, and by no means the offspring of an absolute and essentially immutable tatality. These principles are evidently applicable to the main doctrines of calvinism; by them predestination is confirmed, though modified with respect to its reasons and its ends; by them irresistible grace, irresistible in a moral sense, is maintained upon the hypothesis of prepollent motives and a moral necessity. The perseverance of the saints is also explicable upon the same system, by a series of moral causes producing a series of moral effects. In consequence of all this, several divines of the German church have applied the Leibnitian and Wolfian philosophy to the illustration of the doctrines of Christianity; and the learned Canzins has written a book expressly to show the eminent use that may be made of that philosophy in throwing light upon the chief articles of our faith. See his Philosophia Leibuitiana et Wolfana Usus in Theologia per pracipua fidei capita, auctore Isrcal. Theoph. Cauzio, and of which a second edition was published at Francfort and Leipsic, in 1749. See also Wittenbach's Tentamen Theologia Dogmaticm Methodo Scientifica pertractata, which was published in three vols. 8vo. at Francfort, in 1747. See above all, the famous work of Leibuitz, entitled, Essais de Theodicee, sur la Bonte de Dieu, la Liberte de Phomme, et Porigine din mal. It is repeateble account that the Leibuitian system has been appeared by du mal.' It is remarkable enough; that the Leibnitian system has been embraced by

The external forms of divine worship and ecclesiastical government in the arminian church are almost the same with those that are in use among the presbyterians. As however the leading men among the arminians are peculiarly ambitious of maintaining their correspondence and fraternal intercourse with the church of England, and leave no circumstance unimproved that may tend to confirm this union; so they discover, upon all occasions, their approbation of the episcopal form of ecclesiastical government, and profess to regard it as most ancient, as truly sacred, and as superior to all other institutions of church polity.

CHAPTER IV.

HISTORY OF THE SECT CALLED QUARERS.

The sect of quakers received this denomination, in the year 1650, from Gervas Bennet, Esq. a justice of peace in Derbyshire, partly on account of the The rise of the quakers. convulsive agitations and shakings of the body George Fox. with which their discourses to the people were usually attended, and partly on account of the exhortation addressed to this magistrate by Fox and his companions, who, when they were called before him, desired him, with a loud voice and a vehement emotion of body, to tremble at the word of the Lord. However sarcastical this appellation may be, when considered in its origin, the members of this

very few, scarcely by any of the English calvinists. Can this be owing to a want of inclination toward philosophical discussions? This cannot be said. The scheme of necessity and of partial evits tending to universal good, has indeed been fostered in some parts of Great Britain, and even has turned some zealous arminians into moderate and philosophical calvinists. But the zealous calvinists have, for the most part, held firm to their theology, and blended no philosophical principles with their system; and it is certain, that the most eminent philosophiers have been found, generally speaking, among the arminians. If both calvinists and arminians claim a king, it is certain that the latter alone can boast of a Newton, a Locke, a Clark, and a Boyle.

f Hence, to omit many other circumstances that show inquestionably the truth of this observation, the arminiaus have been at great pains to represent Grotius, their hero and their oracle, as a particular admirer of the constitution and government of the church of England, which he preferred before all other forms of ecclesiastical polity. See what Le Clore has published on this subject, at the end of the edition of Grotius's book, De Veritate Religionis Chris iana, which he gave at the Hague in the year 1724, p. 376.

See George Sewel's History of the Quality, p 23. Neal's History of the Puriface,

19

vol. iv. p. 32.

sect are willing to adopt it, provided it be rightly understood; they prefer nevertheless to be called, in allusion to that doctrine that is the fundamental principle of their association, children, or confessors of light. In their conversation and intercourse with each other, they use no other term of appellation than that of friend.

This sect had its rise in England, in those unhappy times of confusion, anarchy, and civil discord, when every political or religious fanatic, that had formed new plans of government, or invented new systems of theology, came forth with his novelties to public view, and propagated them with impunity among a fickle and unthinking multitude. Its parent and founder was George Fox, a shoemaker, of a dark and niclancholy complexion, and of a visionary and enthusiastic turn of mind. About the year 1647, which was the twenty third year of his age, he began to stroll through several counties in England, giving himself out for a person divinely inspired, and exhorting the people to attend to the voice of the divine word, that lies hid in the hearts of all men. After the execution of Charles I. when all laws, both civil and ecclesiastical, seemed to be entirely suspended, if not extinct, Fox exerted his fanatical powers with new vigour, and formed more ambi-

h Sewel, loc. cit. p. 624.

rems much offended at Mr. Formey on account of his calling George Fox a man of a turbulent spirit, &c. He tells us, on the contrary, that, from all the information worthy of credit which he was able to procure, it appears that Fox was "a man of so meck, contented, easy, stendy, and tender a disposition, that it was a pleasure to be in his company; that he exercised no anthority but over evil, and that every where and in all, but with love, compassion, and long suffering." This account he takes from Penn; and it is very probable that he has looked no farther, unless it be to the curious portrait which Thomas Ellwood, another quaker, has given of Fox, a portrait in which there is such an affected jingle of words, as shows the author to have been more attentive to the arrangement of his sentences, than to a true exhibition of the character of his original; for we are told by Ellwood, that this same George Fox was deep in dwine knowledge, powerful in preaching, fervent in prayer, quick in discerning, sound in judgment, risum teneatis, amici; manly in personage, grave in gesture, conteons in conversation, weighty in communication, &c. &c. After having thus painted George after the fancy of his two brethren, for fancy is the quaker's fountain of light and truth, the letter writer observes, that Dr. Formey has taken his account of George's turbulence and fanaticism from Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History. As Mosheim then is dead, and cannot defend himself, may I be permitted to beg of this anonymous letter writer, who appears to be a candid and a rational man, to cust an eye upon Sewel's History of the Quakers, and to follow this meck, courteous, and modest George, running like a wild man through several counties, refusing bomage to his sovereign, interrupting the ministers in the public celebration of diviue service at Nottingham, Mansfield, and Market Bosworth? It is remarkable, that the very learned and worthy Dr. Henry More, who was not himself without a strong tineture of entusiasm, and who looked upon Penn as a pi

ble number of disciples of both sexes, who were strongly infected with his wild enthusiasm, he excited great tumults in several parts of England; and, in the year 1650, went so far as to disturb the devotion of those that were assembled in the churches for the purposes of public worship, declaring, that all such assemblies were useless and unchristian. For these extravagances, both he and his companions were frequently cast into prison, and chastised, as disturbers of the peace, by the civil magistrate.^k

n. The first association of quakers was composed mostly of visionary fanatics, and of persons that really seemed to be disordered in their brains; and hence they committed many enormities, which the modern quakers endeavour to alleviate and diminish, but which they neither pretend to justify nor to approve. For

& Beside the ordinary writers of the ecclesiastical history of this century, the curious reader will do well to consult Croesii 'Historia Quakeriana, Tribus Libris comprehensa,' the second edition of which was published in Svo. at Amsterdam, in the year 1703. A physician named Kolhansius, who was born a lutheran, but turned quaker, published critical remarks upon this history, under the title of 'Dilucidationes,' which were first printed at Amsterdam, in the year 1696. And it must be acknowledged, that there are many inaccuracies in the history of Croesius; it is however much less faulty than another history of this sect, which was published at Cologn in 12mo. in the year 1692, under the following title; 'Histoire abregee de la naissance et du progres du Kouakerisme avec celle de ses dogmes;' for the anonymous author of this latter history, instead of relating well attested facts, has compiled, without either discernment or choice, such au extravagant medley of truth and falsehood, as is rather cernment or choice, such au extravagant medley of truth and falsehood, as is rather adapted to excite laughter than to administer instruction. See the second book of Croesius's 'Historia Quakeriana,' p. 322, and 376; as also Le Clerc, 'Biblioth. Universelle et Historique,' tom. xxii. p. 53. The most ample and authentic account of this sect is that which was composed by George Sewel, from a great variety of genuine records, and partly from the papers of Fox, its founder, and published under the following title; 'The History of the Christian people called Quakers.' This work is remarkable both for the industry and accuracy which the author has discovered in compiling it. But as Sewel was himself a quaker, so he is sometimes chargeable with concealing, diminishing, or representing under artful colours, many things which if concealing, diminishing, or representing under artful colours, many things which, if impartially related, must have appeared dishonourable, and might have proved detrimental, to his community. It must however be granted, that notwithstanding these defects, Sewel's history is abundantly sufficient to enable an impartial and intelligent reader to form a just and satisfactory idea of this visionary sect. Voltaire has also entertained the public with 'Four Letters,' concerning the religion, manners, and kistory of the quakers, in his 'Melanges de Litterature d'Histoire et de Philosophie,' which are written with his usual wit and elegance, but are rather adapted to amuse than instruct. The conversation between him and Andrew Pitt, an eminent quaker in London, which is related in these Letters, may be true in general; but to render the account of it still more pleasing, the ingenious writer has embellished it with effusions of wit and fancy, and even added some particulars, that are rather drawn from imagination than memory. It is from the books already mentioned, that the French Dissertation on the Religion of the Quakers,' which is placed in the third volume of the splendid work, entitled, 'Ceremonies et coutumes Religiouses de tout les Peuples,' is chiefly compiled, though with less attention and accuracy than might have been expected. A lutheran writer, named Frederic Ernest Meis, has given an account of the English quakers in a German work, entitled, 'Entwurff der Kirchen Ordnung und Gebrauche der Quacker in England.' the greatest part of them were riotous and tumultuous in the highest degree: and even their female disciples, forgetting the delicacy and decency peculiar to their sex, bore their part in these disorders. They ran, like bacchanals, through the towns and villages, declaiming against episcopacy, presbyterianism, and every fixed form of religion; railed at public and stated worship; affronted and mocked the clergy, even in the very exercise of their ministerial functions; kk trampled upon the laws and upon the authority of the magistrates, under the pretext of being actuated by a divine impulse; and made use of their pretended inspiration to excite the most vehement commotions both in state and church. Hence it is not at all surprising, that the secular arm was at length raised against these pernicious fanatics, and that many of them were severely chastised for their extravagance and folly. Cromwell himself, who was, generally speaking, an enemy to no sect, however enthusiastical it might be, entertained uneasy apprehensions from the frantic violence of the quakers, and therefore, in his first thoughts, formed a resolution to suppress their ris-

kk A female, contrary to the modesty of her sex, came into Whitehall chapel stark naked, in the midst of public worship, when Cromwell was there present. Another came into the parliament house with a trenchard in her hand, which she broke in pieces, saying, "Thus shall he be broke in pieces." Thomas Adams, having complained to the protector of the imprisonment of some of his friends, and not finding redress, he took off his cap and tore it in pieces, saying, "So shall the government bear and they house." Several, pretending an extraordinary message from here any want about the streets denouncing the indepent of God assist the protect. heaven, went about the streets, denouncing the judgment of God against the protector and his conneil; and one came to the door of the parliament house with a drawn sword, and wounded several, saying, "He was inspired by the Holy Spirit to kill every man that sat in that house." The most extravagant quaker that appeared in this time, was James Naylor, formerly an officer, a man of parts, and so much admired by these fanatics, that they blusphe mously styled him, "The everlasting son of righteousness; the prince of peace; the only begotten son of God; the fairest among ten thousand." See Neal's History of the Puritans; The Life and Trial of Naylor, p. 6, 7, &c. The anonymous anther of the "Letter to Dr. Formey, F. R. S." seems to have lost sight of the state of quakerism in the time of Fox, when he denies that the charge of turbulence and liquidisin can be proved against him or his friends and charge of turbulence and Ematicism can be proved against him or his friends, and gives the gentle denomination of imprudence to the extravagances exhibited by the quakers under Charles I. and the commonwealth. The single story of Naylor, who was the convert and pupil of Fox, the letters, full of blasphemous absurdity, written to this Rose of Shacon, this new Jesus, by Hannah Stranger, Richard Fairman, and others, show the horrid vein of fanaticism that ran through this visionary seet. See these letters in the "Life and Trial of Naylor," who, though cruelly scourged, was however whipped into his senses, or at least, brought by his sufferings into a calmer state of mind. See also "Satan Inthroned," &c. p. 4, and 5. If quakerism be now in England on a more rational tooting, we may congratulate its members upon the Imppy change, but at the same time condole with them on the approaching annihilation of their sect; for if reason gets in among them, the spirit, I mean their spirit, will soon be quenched, and fancy being no more the only criterion of truth, the fundamental principle of their existence will be destroyed. In such a catastrophe, the abettors of ancient quakerism will find some resource among the methodists.

1 Neal's ! History of the Paritans, vol. iv. p. 153. Sewel's History, &c. passim.

ing community. But when he perceived that they treated with contempt both his promises and threatenings, and were, in effect, too powerful or too headstrong to yield to either, he prudently abstained from the use of force, and contented himself with employing wise measures and precautions to prevent their fomenting sedition among the people, or undermining the foundations of his new sove-

reignty."

in. In process of time, the fumes of this excessive fanaticism began to evaporate, and the ardent im- The progress petuosity of the rising sect seemed gradually to of this sect under Charles II. subside; nor did the divine light, of which the and James II. quakers boast, produce such tumults in church and state, as at the first declaration of their celestial pretensions. Under the reign of Charles II. both their religious doctrine and discipline assumed a more regular and permanent form, by the care and industry of Fox, assisted, in this very necessary undertaking, by Robert Barelay, George Keith, and Samuel Fisher, men of learning and abilities, who became, notwithstanding, members of this strange communi-Fox stood in urgent need of such able assistants; for his gross ignorance had rendered his religion, hitherto, a confused medley of incoherent tenets and visions. The new triumvirate therefore used their utmost endeavours to digest these under certain heads, and to reduce them to a sort of theological system." But such was the change of times, that the wiser and more moderate quakers in England suffered more vexations, and were involved in great-er calamities, than had fallen to the lot of their frantic and turbulent ancestors. These vexations indeed were not so much the consequence of their religious principles, as of their singular customs and manners in civil life. For they would never give to magistrates those titles of honour and pre-eminence that are designed to mark the respect due to their authority; they also refused obstinately to take the oath of allegiance to their sovereign,° and to pay tithes to

m Clarendon tells us, in his 'History of the Rebellion,' that the quakers always persevered in their bitter enmity against Cromwell. See Sewel's History, book i. p. 91, 113, 148, 149.

n For an account of the life and writings of Barclay, see the General Dictionary. Sewel, in his History of the Quakers, gives an ample account of Keith. There is also particular mention made of Fisher, in a German work, entitled, Unschuldige Nachricht, 1750, p. 338.

This refusal to take the oath of allegiance did not proceed from any disaffection to the government, but from a persuasion that all oaths were unlawful, and that

the clergy; hence they were looked upon as rebellious subjects, and on that account were frequently punished with great severity. P Under the reign of James II. and more particularly about the year 1685, they began to see more prosperous days, and to enjoy the sweets of toleration and liberty, which they owed, not to the elemency of the government, but to the friendship of that monarch for the famous William Penn, who had been employed by him in matters of the utmost moment, and had rendered him signal and important services. What James had done, from motives of a personal or political nature, in favour of the quakers, king William III. confirmed and continued, from a zeal for maintaining the rights of conscience, and advancing the cause of religious liberty. From these motives, he procured a full and ample toleration for dissenters of almost all denominations; and the quakers, in consequence of this grant, enjoyed at length, upon a constitutional footing, tranquillity and freedom.

iv. Fatigued with the vexations and persecution which The propagat they suffered in their native country during the tion of quakers reign of Charles II. the quakers looked about for some distant settlements, where they might shelter themselves from the storm; and with this view began to

eventing, even upon the most solemn occasions, was forbidden in the New Testament. They also sincerely believed, that they were as much obliged to obedience by an affirmation, which they were willing to make, as by an oath.

P See a circumstantial account of their sufferings under Charles H. in Nenl's History of the Puritans, vol. iv. p. 313, 353, 396, 432, 510, 518, 552, 569. Burnet's History of his own Times, vol. i. p. 271. Sewel, loc. cit. passim.

9 See Sewel's History of the Quakers.

The indulgence of James II. towards the quakers, and other dissenters from the established church, was, at bottom, founded on a zeal for popery, and designed to favour the Roman catholics. More particularly the order he sent to the lord mayor of London, the 7th November 1687, to dispense with the quakers' not swearing, was evidently designed to open a door to the Roman catholics to bear offices in the state without a legal qualification. At the same time it was probable enough, that a person-al attachment to the famous William Penn may have contributed to render this monarch more indulgent to this sect than he would otherwise have been. The reasons of this attachment are differently represented. Some suppose it to have been owing to the services of his father in the fleet commanded against the Dutch, in the year 1665, by king James, when dake of York. Others attribute this attachment to his personal services. From the high degree of favour he enjoyed at court, they conclude that he was a concealed papist, and assisted the king in the execution of his designs. That the imputation of popery was groundless, appears from his correspondence with Dr. Tillotson, which is published in the Life of Penn, that is prefixed to the first volume of the works of the latter. It is nevertheless certain, that he was very intimate with father Peters, the hot headed jesuit, whose bigotry formed the king's projects, and whose imprudence rendered them abortive. It is also certain, that, in the year 1686, he went over to Holland, in order to persuade the prince of Orange to come into king James's

⁶ Ocurres de M. de Voltaire, tom. iv. p. 182.

disseminate their religious principles in various countries. Attempts of this nature were made in Germany, Prussia, France, Italy, Greece, Holland, and Holstein, but with little success. The Dutch however were, after much importunity, persuaded to allow a certain number of these enthusiasts to settle in Holland, where they still continue to reside. Multitudes of them also went over to America, and formed settlements there not long after the first rise of their sect; and it afterward happened, by a singular concourse of events, that this new world became the chief seat of their prosperity and freedom. William Penn, son of the famous vice admiral of that name, who embraced quakerism in the year 1668, received, in the year 1680, from Charles II. and from the English parliament, the grant of an ample, fertile, but uncultivated province in America, as a reward for the eminent services of his father. This illustrious quaker, who was far from being destitute of parts, and whose activity and penetration were accompanied with an uncommon degree of eloquence, carried over with him into his new dominions a considerable colony of his friends and brethren; and he founded in those distant regions a republic, whose form, laws, and institu-tions, resembled no other known system of government, whose pacific principles and commercial spirit have long blessed it with tranquillity and opulence, and which still continues in a prosperous and flourishing state." The quakers predominate in this colony, both by their influence and their numbers; but all those who acknowledge the existence and providence of one Supreme Being, and show their respect to that Being, either by external worship, or at least by the regularity of their lives and actions, are admitted to the rights and privileges of citizens in this happy republic. The large province that constitutes its territory was called Pennsylvania, from the name of its proprietor; and its capital city was named Philadelphia, from the spirit of union and fraternal love that reigned at

Bishop Burnet, who knew Penn personally, says, that "he was a talking vain man, who had such a high opinion of his own eloquence, that he thought no-

vain man, who had such a high opinion of his own eloquence, that he thought nothing could stand before it; and that he had a tedious luscious way, that was not apt to overcome a man's reason, though it might tire his patience."

"The laws and charters of the colony of Pennsylvania may be seen in Rapin's Ilistory, Penn's Works, and in other collections of public records; they are also inserted in the Bibliotheque Britannique, ton. xy. p. 310, ton. xvi. p. 127. Penn ecuivired a great reputation, both by his writings and the active figure he made in the section of him by Sewel and Burnet.

first, and is still supposed to prevail, more or less, among its inhabitants.

v. Even during the life of their founder, the quakers, The intestine notwithstanding their extraordinary pretensions to disputes and fraternal charity and union, were frequently divided the quakers, into parties, and involved in contests and debates into parties, and involved in contests and debates. These debates indeed which were carried on in the years 1656, 1661, and 1683, with peculiar warmth, were not occasioned by any doctrines of a religious nature, but by a diversity of opinions about matters of discipline, about certain customs and manners, and other affairs of little moment; and they were generally terminated in a short time, and without much difficulty. But, after the death of Fox, which happened in the year 1691, some friends, and more especially George Keith, who was by far the most learned member of the community, excited, by their doctrines and innovations, new discords of a much more serious and momentous kind than those which had before divided the brethren. This fountain of contention was opened in Pennsylvania, where Keith was charged with erroneous opinions concerning several points of theology, and more particularly concerning the human nature of Christ, which he supposed to be twofold, the one spiritual and celestial, the other corporeal and terrestrial.x This and other inventions of Keith would perhaps have passed without censure, among a people who reduce the whole of religion to fancy and a kind of spiritual instinct, had not this learned man animadverted, with a certain degree of severity, upon some of the fantastic notions of the American brethren, and opposed, in a more particular manner, their method of converting the whole history of Christ's life and sufferings into a mere allegory, or symbolical representation of the duties of Christianity. The European quakers dare not so far presume upon the indulgence of the civil and ecclesiastical powers, as to deny openly the reality of the history of the life, mediation, and sufferings of Christ; but in America, where they have nothing to fear, they are said to express themselves, without ambiguity, on this subject, and to maintain publicly, that Christ never existed, but in the hearts of the faithful. This point

w See Sewel's History of the Quakers.

We * Ceremonies et Contames de tous les Pengles du monde, tom. W. p. 141.

Croesii Historia Quakeriana, lib. iii. p. 446.

was debated between Keith and his adversaries, in several general assemblies of the sect held in England, and was at length brought before the parliament. The contest was terminated, in the year 1695, by the excommunication of Keith and his adherents, which so exasperated this famous quaker; that he returned, some years after this, into the bosom of the English church, and died in its communion. His friends and followers continued, for a long time, to hold their assemblies, and exercise their religion, in a state of separation from the rest of the sect; but now, if we may believe public fame, they are reconciled with their brethren.3

vi. The religion of the sect, called quakers, has an air of novelty that strikes at first sight; but, when viewed closely, it will appear to be nothing more than a certain modification of that famous mystic theology, which arose so early as the second century, was fostered and embellished by the luxuriant fancy of Origen, and, passing through various hands, assumed different aspects until it was adopted by the quakers, who set off the motley form with new additions of their own invention. Fox indeed is not chargeable with these inventions; his ignorant and inelegant simplicity places him beyond the reach of suspicion in this matter; but it is, at the same time, undoubtedly certain, that all his doctrine concerning the internal word, and the divine light within, its operations and effects, was either borrowed from the writings of the mystics, which were, at that time, in the

a See Rogers's Christian Quaker, published in 4to. at Loudon, in the year 1699; as also, The Quakers, a Divided People, published in 1708. Unschuldig. Nachright, 1744, p. 496.

y Bishop Burnet, who was certainly better acquainted with the history of Keith, with whom he had been educated, than Dr. Mosheim, attributes his return to the church of England to a much worthier motive than irritation and resentment. He tells us, that Keith, after that the American quakers had appeared to him as little he tens us, that kern, after that the American quakers had appeared to him as thine better than deists, opposed them so warmly, that they sent him back to England. Here he opened a new meeting, and by a printed summons called together the whole party to convince them of these errors. "He continued these meetings," says the bishop, "being still, in outward appearance, a quaker, for some years; till, having prevailed as tur as he saw any appearance of success, he laid aside their exterior, and was reconciled to the church." See Burnet's History of his even Times, vol. ii p. 249.

Z See Burnet, ibid. Sewel's account of the troubles occasioned by Keith, in his History of the Quakers. But Sewel was either magazinained with the true pasture.

History of the Quakers. But Sewel was either unacquainted with the true nature and state of this controversy, which, as he was an illiterate man, may well have been the case, or he has given designedly a false and ambiguous representation of the matter. See the life of Custer, in the Europa Erudita of Rahtlefus,* where this controversy is placed in its true light. Custer was a man of probity, who lived at that time in America, and was an eye witness of these divisions.

Bee Rogers's Christian Quaker, published in the second in the year 1699:

hands of many, or at least picked up from the conversation and expressions of some persons of the mystic order. The tenets however which this blunt and illiterate man expressed in a rude, confused, and ambiguous mauner, were dressed up and presented under a different form by the masterly hands of Barclay, Keith, Fisher, and Penn, who digested them with such sagacity and art, that they assumed the aspect of a regular system. The quakers may therefore be deemed with reason the principal branch of the mystics, as they not only embraced the precepts of their hidden wisdom, but even saw its whole tendency, and adopted without hesitation all its consequences.

b Most people are of opinion, that we are to learn the true doctrine and sentiments of the qunkers from the Catechism of Robert Barclay, and more especially from his Apology for the true Christian Divinity, &c. which was published at London in 4to. in the year 1676, and was translated into several foreign languages. Nor do I deny, that the members of this sect are very desirous that we should judge of their religious sentiments by the doctrine that is exhibited in these books. But if those who are disposed to judge by this rule go so far as to maintain, that these books contain all the religious tenets that have formerly been advanced, or are at present adopted by the people called quakers, they may be refuted, without difficulty, from a great variety of books and records, of unquestionable authenticity. It is necessary to enter into the true spirit of Barclay's writings. This ingenious man appeared as a patron and defender of quakerism, and not as a professed teacher or expositor of its various doctrines: and he interpreted and modified the opinions of this sect after the manner of a champion or advocate, who undertakes the defence of an odions cause. How then does he go to work? In the first pla e, he observes an entire silence in relation to those fundamental principles of Christianity, enneering which it is of great consequence to know the real opinions of the quakers; and thus he exhibits a system of theology that is evidently lame and imperfect. For it is the peculiar business of a prodent apologist to pass over in silence points that are scarcely susceptible of a plansible defence, and to enlarge upon those only which the powers of genius and eloquence may be able to embellish and exhibit in an advantageous point of view. It is observable, in the second place, that Barclay touches in a slight, superficial, and hasty manner, some tenets, which, when amply explained, had exposed the quakers to severe censures; and in this he discovers plainly the weakness of his cause. Lastly, to omit many other observations that might be made here, this writer employs the greatest dexterity and art in saftening and madifying those invidious doctrines which he cannot conceal, and dare not disavow; for which purpose he earefully avoids all those phrases and terms that are made use of by the quakers, and are peculiar to their sect, and expresses their tenets in ordinary language, in terms of a vague and indefinite nature, and in a style that easts a sort of mask over their natural aspect. At this rate the most enormous errors may be held with impunity; for there is no doctrine, however absurd, to which a plausible air muy not be given by following the insidious method of Barclay; and it is well known, that even the doctrine of Spinosa was, with a like artifice, dressed out and disgnised by some of his disciples. The other writers of this sect have declared their sentiments with more freedom, perspicuity, and can-dour, particularly the famous William Penn and George Whitehead, whose writings deserve an attentive peru al preferably to all the other productions of that community. There is, among other writings of these eminent quakers, one in whose composition they were both concerned, and which was published at London in the year 1674, under the following title: 'The Christian Quaker and his Divine Testimony vindicated by Scripture. Reason, and Authorities, against the injurious Attempts that have been lately made by several Adversaries.' The first part of this book was written by Penn; and the second by Whitehead. There is also in Sewel's History, a confession. of faith, that was published by the quakers in the year 1693, during their controversy with Keith; but this confession is composed with great prudence, and is full of any biguity.

vu. The fundamental doctrine of quakerism, from whence all their other tenets are derived, is that famous and ancient opinion of the mystic school, tenet of the "That there lies concealed in the minds of all men a certain portion of divine reason, a spark of the same wisdom that exists in the Supreme Being." Therefore, those who are desirous of arriving at true felicity and eternal salvation; must, according to their system, by self converse, contemplation, and perpetual efforts to subdue their sensual affections, endcavour to draw forth, kindle, and inflame that divine, hidden spark, which is overpowered by the darkness of the flesh, and suffocated, as it were, by that mass of matter with which it is surrounded. They who observe this rule, will feel, say the quakers, a divine glow of warmth and light, and hear a celestial and divine voice proceeding from the inward recesses of their souls; and by this light, and this voice, they will be led to all truth, and be perfectly assured of their union with the Supreme Being. This hidden treasure, which is possessed, though not improved, by all the human race, bears different denominations in the language of this fanatical sect. They frequently call it "divine light," sometimes a "ray of the eternal wisdom," at others, the "heavenly Sophia," whom they suppose married to a mortal, and whose wedding garments some of their writers describe with the most gaudy and pompous eloquence. But the most usual epithets given to this spiritual treasure are those of the internal word, and of Christ within; for as, on the one hand, they adopt that doctrine of Origin, and the ancient mystics, which represents Christ as the eternal reason, or wisdom of God; and, on the other, maintain, that all men are endowed naturally with a certain portion of the divine wisdom; they are thus directly led to affirm, that Christ, or the word of God, dwells and speaks in the hearts of all men.c

vin. All the singularities and wonderful fancies, that are to be found in the religious system of the quakers, are the immediate consequences of the funda- that flow from mental principle now mentioned. For since this mental Christ resides in the inward frame of every mor-

funda-

c It is nevertheless to be observed, that the modern quakers, as appears from the writings of Martyn and others, are, generally speaking, ignorant of the system of their ancestors, and perpetually confound the innate divine light abovementioned, with the operations of the Holy Chost in the minds of the faithful.

tal; it follows, "First, that the whole of religion consists in calling off the mind from external objects, in weakening the influence and ascendant of the outward senses, and in every one's entering deeply into the inmost recesses of his heart, and listening attentively to the divine instructions and commands that the internal word, or Christ within, delivers there; secondly, that the external word, i. e. the holy scripture, neither points out the way of salvation, nor leads men to it; since it only consists of letters and words, which, being void of life, have not a degree of efficacy and power sufficient to illuminate the human mind, and to unite it to God. The only advantage that, in their opinion, results from a perusal of the holy scriptures, is, that they excite the mind to listen to the dictates of the internal word. and to go to the school of Christ, who teaches within them; or, to express the same thing in other words, they look upon the bible as a mute master, who, by signs and figures, points out and discovers that living master and effectual guide who dwells in the mind. Thirdly, that they who are without this written word, such as the Jews, mahometans, and savage nations, are not, on that account either removed from the path, or destitute of the doctrine of salvation, though they indeed want this inferior and subordinate help to its attainment. For if they only attend to this inward teacher, who always speaketh when the man is silent, they will learn abundantly, from him, all that is necessary to be known and practised in order to their final happiness; that of consequence, fourthly, the kingdom of Christ is of a vast extent, and comprehends the whole race of mankind. For all have Christ within them, and therefore, even those who are deprived of the means of knowledge, and live in the grossest ignorance of the christian religion, are capable of obtaining, through him, wisdom here, and happiness hereafter. Hence also they conclude, that those who lead virtuous lives, and resist the impulse of their lusts and passions, whether they be Jews, mahometans, or polytheists, shall be united to God in this life, by means of the Christ that lies hidden within them, and shall enjoy the fruits of this union in the life to come. To these tenets they add, in the fifth place, that a heavy, dark body, composed of corrupt matter, hinders men from discerning, with ease, this hidden Christ, and from hearing his divine and internal voice. Therefore they look upon

it as a matter of the highest importance, to watch against the pernicious consequences of this union between the soul and body, that the latter may not blunt the powers of the former, disturb its tranquillity, or, by the ministry of the outward senses, fill it with the images of vain, sensible, and external objects." The consideration now mentioned engages them, lastly, "To look upon it as utterly incredible, that God should ever again shut up, in the same material habitation, the souls that are set free by death from their bodily prison; and therefore they affirm that the gospel account of the resurrection of the body must either be interpreted in a figurative sense, or be understood as pointing out the creation of a new and celestial

ix. It appears evidently from all this, that the existence

of the man Christ Jesus, together with the cir-

cumstantial accounts we have in scripture of his concerning divine origin his life and actions his satisfaction. Christ. divine origin, his life, and actions, his satisfaction, merits, and sufferings, make no essential part of the theological system of the quakers, which is built upon a different foundation. and derives the whole plan and method of salvation from the Christ within. Hence several members of that sect, as we learn from writers of unquestionable authority, went such an extravagant length as to maintain, that the accounts we have of Jesus Christ, in the gospel history, do not relate to the Son of God, who took upon him the nature of man, but to that Christ within, whose operations are recorded by the sacred historians in a figurative and allegorical language. This opinion, if we may confide in the testimonies of unexceptionable witnesses, is so far from having lost its credit among them, that it is still openly professed by the American quakers. Those of Europe, whether from the force of conviction or the suggestions of prudence, differ entirely from their brethren in this respect; they hold, "That the divine wisdom or reason resided in the Son of the Virgin Mary, and conveyed its instructions to mankind by his ministry;" and they profess to believe, "that this divine man really did and suffered what is recorded concerning him by the sacred writers."

d The quakers adopt all these tenets; they are at least obliged to adopt them, unless they renounce the fundamental principles of their sytem. We have omitted the mention of those points about which they dispute among themselves, that we may not appear to take pleasure in representing them under edious colours.

It is nevertheless certain, that they express themselves in a very ambiguous manner on many points that relate to the history of the divinc Saviour; and, in a more particular manner, their notions concerning the fruits of his sufferings, and the efficacy of his death, are so vague and obscure, that it is very difficult to know what is their real opinion about the degree of this efficacy, and the nature of these fruits. It is still further worthy of observation, that the European quakers, though they acknowledge the reality of the life, actions, and sufferings of Christ, yet do not entirely reject the allegorical interpretation of our Saviour's history mentioned above: for they consider the events that happened to Christ, in the course of his ministry here upon earth, as the signs and emblems of those scenes through which the mental Christ must pass, in order to render us partakers of eternal salvation. Hence they talk in high swoln and pompous strains, like their models the mystics, of the birth, life, sufferings, death, and resurrection of Christ in the hearts of the faithful.

x. The religious discipline, worship, and practice of the quakers flow from the same original source, from Their religious discipline which, as we have already observed, their doctored worship. Their religious discipline which, as we have already observed, their doctored which, as we have already observed. They trine and tenets were immediately derived. They meet for the purposes of religion on the same days which are set apart for the celebration of public worship in all other christian churches; but they neither observe festivals, nor use external rites and ceremonics, nor suffer religion, which they place entirely in the mental worship of the hidden Christ, to be shackled and cramped by positive institutions. All the members of their community, whether male or female, have an equal right to teach and exhort in their public meetings; for who, say they, will presume to exclude from the liberty of speaking to the brethren, those persons in whom Christ dwells, and by whom he speaks? They reject the use of prayers, hymns, and the various outward forms of devotion, by which the public worship of other christian churches is distinguished; and this indeed is an instance of their consistency with themselves, as it is the immediate consequence of their religious system; for, in their judgment, it is not the person who expresses his desires in a set form of words, that can be said to pray truly, but he, on the contrary, who, by a deep recollection, withdraws his mind from every

outward object, reduces it to a state of absolute tranquillity, silences every inward motion and affection, and plunges it, as it were, into the abyss of Deity. They neither observe the institution of baptism, nor do they renew the remembrance of Christ's death, and of the benefits that result from it, by the celebration of the eucharist. They look upon these two institutions as merely Judaical, and allege, that our Saviour observed them for no other end than to show for once, in a visible manner, the mystical purification of the soul, under the figure of baptism, and the spiritual nourishment of the inward man, under that of the eucharist.

xi. The moral doctrine of the quakers, which is remarkable for its excessive austerity, is chiefly comprehended in the two following precepts; first, "That precepts. the faithful are either to avoid entirely every thing that tends to gratify the external senses and passions, every thing that can be ranked under the denomination of sensual or bodily pleasure; or, if such rigorous abstinence be impossible in this present state, and contrary to the evident laws of nature, such pleasure is to be so modified and restrained by reason and meditation, as to prevent its debasing and corrupting the mind. For as the whole attention of the mind must be given to the voice and orders of the internal guide, so, for this purpose, all possible care must be taken to remove it from the contagion of the body, and from all intimate and habitual commerce with corporeal objects." By the second leading precept of morality among the quakers, all imitation of those external manners, that go by the name of civility and politeness, as also several matters of form, usual in the conduct of life, and in the connexious of human society, are strictly prohibited as unlawful. Hence they are easily distinguished from all other christian sects, by their outward deportment and their manner of life. They never salute any person they meet in their way, nor employ in their conversation the usual manner of address, and the appellations that civility and custom have rendered a matter of decency, at least, if not of duty; they never express their respect for magistrates, or persons in authority, either by bodily gestures, titles of honour, or in general by any of the marks of homage that are paid them by persons of all other denominations. They carry their pacific sentiments to such an extravagant length, as to renounce the right of self defence, and let pass with impunity, and even without resistance, the attacks that are made on their possessions, their reputation, nay, on their lives. They refuse to confirm their testimonies by an oath, to appear in behalf of their property before a civil tribunal, or to accuse those who have injured them. To these negative parts of their external conduct, they add peculiar circumstances of a positive kind, that discover the same austere, stiff, proud, and formal spirit; for they distinguish themselves, in a striking manner, from the rest of their fellow citizens, by the gravity of their aspect, the rustic simplicity of their apparel, the affected tone of their voice, the stiffness of their conversation, and the frugality of their tables. It is however affirmed by persons of credit, who are eye witnesses of what passes among the members of this sect, that the modern, and more especially the English quakers, whom trade has furnished with the means of luxury, have departed from this rigid and austere manner of life, and daily grow more reconciled to the outward pleasures and enjoyments of the world. These more sociable quakers are also said to modify and explain the theology of their ancestors, in such a manner, as to render it more rational than it was in its primitive state. At the same time it is certain, that many of the members of this sect have either a false notion, or no notion at all, of that ancient theology.

xII. The principles of this community seem to exclude the very idea of order, discipline, and ecclesiastic-Their form of al government. Its leading members however, began to perceive, in process of time, that without laws and rulers it could not subsist, but must inevitably fall into confusion and ruin. They accordingly erected a council of elders, who discuss and determine matters of a doubtful or difficult nature, and use all possible care and diligence in inspecting the conduct of the brethren, and in preventing whatever they look upon as prejudicial to the interests of the community. The names of those that enter into the state of wedlock are given in to those leading members, who also keep an exact register of the births and deaths that happen in their society. They exercise, moreover, a certain degree of authority over those who speak in their meetings; since it is well known, that in

some places these speakers show their discourses to the ruling elders before they deliver them, in order that they may judge whether or no they are fit to be repeated in public. For since the abuse that was made of the unbounded liberty that every individual had to instruct and exhort the congregation, and to speak and harangue when the pretended spirit moved them, new regulations have been observed; and this liberty has been considerably modified, in several places, to avoid the mockery, contempt, and censure, to which the community was constantly exposed. by the absurd, incoherent, and insipid discourses of many of its members. There are also in some of the more considerable congregations, and more especially in those that are erected at London, certain persons, whose vocation it is to be always prepared to speak to the people, in case none of the congregation find themselves inwardly moved, or disposed to perform that office. The appointment of these professed speakers was designed to remedy an inconveniency that frequently happened in the quaker meetings, even that the whole assembly was dismissed without either instruction or exhortation, because none found themselves moved to speak. It is indeed to be observed, that this public discourse is not looked upon by the quakers as an essential part of their religion and worship; for the brethren and sisters do not meet that they may hear the words of an external teacher, but that they may listen with recollection to the voice of the divine instructer, which every one carries with him in his own breast, or, to use their own phrase. that they may commune with themselves. Nevertheless, as these mute assemblies excite the laughter of their adversaries, and expose them to the reproach of enthusiasm and phrensy, they have, on that account, appointed fixed speakers, to whom they give a small salary, that the whole time of their meeting may not be passed in silence.d

The quakers have, annually, a general assembly of the whole sect, which meets at London, the week before Whitsunday, and is composed of deputies from all their particular congregations. They still complain, notwithstanding the toleration they enjoy, of certain severities and hard-

The truth of this account of fixed speakers, appointed to discourse and exhort, when the spirit does not move any of the other brethren, and rewarded for their pains, is denied by the writer of the Letter to Dr. Formey; we leave the decision of the matter to those who have an opportunity of examining the fact.

VOL. IV.

ships; but these are entirely owing to their obstinate refusal to pay those tithes, which, by the laws of the land, are designed for the support of the established church.

CHAPTER V.

CONCERNING THE MENNONITES, OR ANABAPTISTS

1. After various scenes of trial and perplexity, the mennonites at length found, during this century, the tranquillity they had long sought after in vain. fortunes of the They arrived indeed at this state of repose by very slow steps; for though, in the preceding age, they were admitted to the rights and privileges of citizens in the United Provinces, yet it was a long time before their solicitations and pleas of innocence could engage the English, the Swiss, and Germans, to receive them in their bosom, and to abrogate the laws that had been enacted against The civil magistrates, in these countries, had still before their eyes the enormities committed by the ancient anabaptists; and beside, they could not persuade themselves, that a set of men, who looked upon all oaths as sinful, and declared that magistracy and penal laws have no place in the kingdom of Christ, had the qualities and sentiments that are necessary to constitute a good citizen. Hence we find, even in this century, several examples of great severities employed against the anabaptists, and some instances of even capital punishments being inflicted on them. But now, that the demonstrations of their innocence and probity are clear and unquestionable, they enjoy the sweets of security and repose, not only in the United Provinces, but also in England, Germany, and Prussia, where they procure, by their honest industry, and particularly by their

The severities exercised in Switzerland against the mennonites are recorded by Ottius, in his Annal. Anabapt. p. 337, and more particularly those that they suffered in the year 1693, by Hottinger, in his German work, entitled, Schweizerische Kirchen Historie, vol. i. p. 1101, nor, even in this present century, have they been treated more mildly in the cauton of Berne, as appears from Schyn's Historia Mennonitar. cap. x. p. 289, in which we find the letters of the states general of the United Provinces interceding with that canton in their behalf. A severe persecution was set ou foot against them in the Palatinate in the year 1694, which was suspended by the intercession of William III. king of Great Britain. See Schyn, ibid. p. 265. Bishop Burnet mentions some instances of anabaptists suffering death in England during the Eventeenth century, in the first volume of his History of his even Times

application to trade and commerce, an ample subsistence

for themselves and their families.

II. The wiser members of this community easily perceived, that their external tranquillity would neither the be stable nor permanent, unless their intestine distored among cords were removed, and their ancient disputes, about trifling and unimportant matters, charitably terminated. They accordingly used their most zealous endeayours to diffuse the sweets of charity and concord throughout their sect; nor were their labours altogether unsuccessful. In the year 1630, a considerable part of the anabaptists of Flanders, Germany, and Friesland, concluded their debates, in a conference held at Amsterdam, and entered into the bonds of fraternal communion, each, notwithstanding, reserving to themselves a liberty of retaining certain opinions. This association was renewed, and confirmed by new resolutions, in the year 1649, by the anabaptists of Flanders and Germany, between whom great divisions had reigned.f All these formed a bond of union with those branches of the sect that were most distinguished by their moderation; and they mitigated and corrected, in various respects, the rigorous laws of Menno and his successors.

divided into two large sects, the one comprehenddivided into two large sects, the one comprehending the more refined anabaptists, remarkable for
their austerity, who are also called Flemings or Flandrians;
and the others called, in the Dutch language, the grosser
anabaptists, who are of a milder complexion, and an easier
and more moderate character, and go commonly under the
denomination of Waterlandians. We have given already
a particular account of the origin and etymology of these
denominations. Each of these sects is subdivided into a
variety of branches, more especially the refined and austere
anabaptists, who have not only produced two separate societies, distinguished by the names of Groningenists, and
Dantzigers or Prussians, but also a considerable number of
more obscure and inconsiderable factions, which differ in
doctrine, discipline, and manners; and agree in nothing

Herm. Schyn, Plenior Deductio Historia Mennonit. p. 41, 42.

g So called, because they met at certain stated times in the city of Groningen.

h They derive this denomination from their adopting the manners and discipline of the Prussians

but the name of anabaptists, and in some ancient opinions that have been unanimously embraced by all the members of that sect. All the refined anabaptists are the rigid followers of Simon Menno, and steadfastly maintain, though not all with the same degree of severity and rigour, the sentiments of their chief on the following points; the human nature of Christ; the obligation that binds us to wash the feet of strangers in consequence of our Saviour's command; the necessity of excommunicating and of avoiding, as one would do the plague, not only avowed sinners, but also those who depart, even in some light instances, from the simplicity of their ancestors, and are tainted with any appearance of evil; the contempt that is due to human learning, and other matters of less moment. It is however to be observed, that in our times, some of the congregations of this refined sect have been gradually departing from this austere system, and are proceeding, though with a slow pace, toward the opinions and discipline of the more moderate anabaptists.

The external government and discipline, that is administered by three distinct orders of persons. The first order is that of the bishops or presbyters, who always preside in the consistory, and are alone invested with the power of administering the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's supper. The second is that of the teachers, who are set apart for the purposes of public instruction, and the celebration of divine worship. The third comprehends the deacons, who are chosen out of both sexes. These three orders compose the consistory, or council, by which the church is governed. All matters of importance are proposed, examined, and decided, in the meetings of the brethren. The ministers are elected to their holy office by their suffrages, and are all, the deacons excepted, installed by public prayers, attended with imposition of hands.

v. Among the inferior sects of the rigid anabaptists, the most considerable is that which passes under the denomination of uckewallists, and is so called after its founder Uke Walles, a native of Friesland. This rustic, rigid, and ignorant sectary, not only exhorted his

i See a German work, entitled, Nachrichten von dem gegenwardigen Zustande der Menoniten, by Rues, 1743.

followers to maintain the primitive and austere doctrine of Menno, without suffering it to be softened or altered in the smallest degree, but also took it into his head to propagate, jointly with another innovator, named John Leus, in the year 1637, a singular opinion concerning the salvation of Judas, and the rest of Christ's murderers. To give an air of plausibility to the favourable opinion he entertained concerning the eternal state of this archapostate, he invented the following odd hypothesis, "That the period of time that extended from the birth of Christ to the descent of the Holy Ghost, and was, as it were, the distinctive term that separated the Jewish from the christian dispensation, was a time of deep ignorance and darkness, during which the Jews were void of light, and entirely destitute of divine succour; and that, of consequence, the sins and enormities that were committed during this interval were in a great measure excusable, and could not merit the severest displays of the divine justice." This idle fiction met with no indulgence, either from the mennonites on the one hand, or from the magistrates of Groningen on the other; for the former excluded its inventor from their communion. and the latter banished him from their city. He fixed his residence in the adjacent province of East Friesland, and there drew after him a considerable number of disciples, whose descendants still subsist in the neighbourhood of Groningen, Friesland, and also in Lithuania and Prussia, and have their own religious assemblies, separate from those of the other mennonites. As they have little intercourse with any but those of their own communion, it is not an easy matter to know, with certainty, whether they persevere in the singular opinion that proved so detrimental to the interest of their leader. It is at least certain, that they follow scrupulously the steps of their original founder Menno, and exhibit a lively image of the primitive manners and constitution of the mennonites. They rebaptize all those who leave other christian churches to embrace their communion. Their apparel is mean beyond expression, and they avoid every thing that has the most distant appearance of elegance or ornament. They let their beards grow to an enormous length; their hair, uncombed, lies in a disorderly manner on their shoulders; their countenances are marked with the strongest lines of

dejection and inelancholy; and their habitations and household furniture are such as are only fitted to answer the demands of mere necessity. Such, moreover, is the severity of their discipline, that any member of their community, who departs in the smallest instance from this austere rule, is immediately excluded from the society, and avoided by all the brethren as a public pest. Their inspectors or bishops, whom they distinguish from the ministers, whose office is to preach and instruct, are chosen by an assembly composed of all the congregations of the sect .-The ceremony of washing the feet of strangers, who come within the reach of their hospitality, is looked upon by them as a rite of divine institution. We shall not enlarge upon the other circumstances of their ritual, but only observe, that they prevent all attempts to alter or modify their religious discipline, by preserving their people from every thing that bears the remotest aspect of learning and science; from whatever, in a word, might have a tendency to enlighten their devout ignorance.

vi. The more moderate, who are called the grosser, or The Water- less scrupulous anabaptists, are composed of certain inhabitants of Waterland, Flanders, Friesland, and Germany, who entered into an association, as has been already observed, and commonly pass under the denomination of Waterlandians. This community have abandoned the severe discipline and singular opinions of Menno, whom nevertheless they generally respect as their primitive parent and founder, and have advanced a step nearer than the other anabaptists to the religious doctrines and customs of other christian churches. They are however divided into two distinct sects, which bear the respective denominations of Frieslanders and Waterlandians, and are both without bishops, employing no other ecclesiastical ministers than presbyters and deacons. Each congregation of this sect is independent on all foreign jurisdiction, having its own ecclesiastical council or consistory, which is composed of presbyters and deacons. The supreme spiritual power is nevertheless in the hands of the people, without whose consent nothing of importance can be carried into execution. Their presbyters are, generally speaking, men of learning, and apply themselves with success to the study of physic and philosophy. And there is a public professor supported, at present, by the sect at Amsterdam, for the instruction of their youth in the vari-

vn. One of these Waterlandian sects was divided, in the

ous branches of philosophy and sacred erudition.

year 1664, into two factions, of which the one were called galenists, and the other apostoolians, and apostoolians, and apostoolians. The founder of the former was Galen Abraham Haan, a doctor of physic, and pastor of a mennonite congregation at Amsterdam, who has received the applause even of his enemies, on account of his uncommon penetration and eloquence. This eminent anabaptist, in imitation of the arminians, considered the christian religion as a system that laid much less stress upon faith than upon practice; and he was for receiving into the communion of the mennonites all those who acknowledged the divine origin of the books of the Old and New Testament, and led holy and virtuous lives. Such, in his judgment, were true christians, and had an undoubted right to all the rights and privileges that belong to that character. These comprehensive terms of communion were peculiarly favourable to his own theological sentiments, since his notions concerning Christ's divinity, and the salvation of mankind by his death and merits, were very different from those of the mennonites, and coincided a good deal with the socinian system.

Several persons opposed the sentiments of this latitudinarian, and more especially Samuel Apostool, an eminent pastor among the mennonites at Amsterdam, who not only defended, with the utmost zeal, the doctrine generally received among the mennonites, in relation to the divinity of Christ and the fruits of his death, but also maintained that ancient hypothesis of a visible and glorious church of Christ upon earth, that was peculiar to this sect. Thus a controversy was kindled, which produced the division now mentioned; a division which the zealous efforts of several of the wisest and most respectable members of this community have hitherto proved insufficient to heal. The galenists are not less disposed than the arminians to admit, as members of their community, all those who call

k For a more particular account of these two mennonites, see Selvin's Deduct of the mior Histor. Mennovit. cap. vv. p. 318, and xviii. p. 237.

themselves christians; and they are the only sect of the anabaptists who reject the denomination of mennonites. The apostoolians, on the contrary, admit to their communion those only who profess to believe all the points of doctrine which are contained in their public confession of faith.¹

CHAPTER VI.

CONCERNING THE SOCINIANS AND ARIANS.

I. About the commencement of this century, the sect of the socinians seemed to be well established, and The flourish-ing state of the socinians. their affairs were even in a flourishing situation. In Transylvania and Lucko they enjoyed the liberty of holding, without molestation, their religious assemblies, and professing publicly their theological opinions. The advantages that attended their situation in Poland were still more considerable; for they had at Racow a public seminary of learning, which was furnished with professors eminently distinguished by their erudition and genius, together with a press for the publication of their writings; they had also a considerable number of congregations in that district, and were supported by the patronage of several persons of the highest distinction. Elated with this scene of prosperity, they began to form more extensive views, and aimed at enlarging the borders of their community, and procuring it patrons and protectors in other countries. There are in being authentic records. from which it appears, that they sent emissaries with this view, about the commencement of this century, into Holland, England, Germany, and Prussia, who endeavoured to make proselytes to socinianism in these countries, among men of learning and men in power. For it is remarkable that the socinians, in propagating their religious principles, have always followed a quite different method from that which has been observed by other seets. been the general practice of sectaries and innovators to

¹ Casp. Commelini Descriptio Urbis Amstelodami, tom. i. p. 500. Stoupa's Religion des Hollandois, p. 20. Benthem's Hollandischer Schulund Kirchen Staat, p. i. ch. xix, p. 530.

endeavour to render themselves popular, and to begin by gaining the multitude to their side; but the disciples of Socious, who are perpetually exalting the dignity, prerogatives, and authority of reason, have this peculiarity in their manner of proceeding, that they are at very little pains to court the favour of the people, or to make proselytes to their cause among those who are not distinguished from the multitude by their rank or their abilities. It is only among the learned and the great that they seek for disciples and patrons with a zealous assiduity.

ii. The effect of the missions now mentioned, though

they were conducted and executed by persons The progress of whom the greatest part were eminent, both on account of their rank and abilities, was nevertheless far from answering the views and expectations of the community. In most places their success was doubtful, at best but inconsiderable; in some however they were favourably received, and seemed to employ their labours to purpose. They had no where a more flattering prospect of success than in the academy of Altorf, where their sentiments and their cause were promoted with dexterity by Ernest Sohner, an acute and learned peripatetician, who was professor of physic and natural philosophy. This subtile philosopher, who had joined the socinians during his residence in Holland, instilled their principles into the minds of his scholars with much greater facility, by his having acquired the highest reputation both for learning and piety. The death indeed of this eminent man, which happened in the year 1612, deprived the rising society of its chief ornament and support; nor could the remaining friends of socinianism carry on the cause of their community with such art and dexterity, as to escape the vigilant and severe eye of the other professors. Their secret designs were accordingly brought to light, in the year 1616; and the contagion of socinianism, which was gathering strength from day to day, and growing imperceptibly into a reigning system, was all of a sudden dissipated and extinguished by the vigilant severity of the magistrates of Nuremberg. The foreign students, who had been infected with these doctrines, saved themselves by flight; while the natives, who were chargeable with the same reproach, accepted of the remedies that were presented to them by the VOI., IV. 00

healing hand of orthodoxy, and returned quietly to their

former theological system.m

ni. The establishment of the socinians in Poland, though The decline of it seemed to rest upon solid foundations, was nesocial and the sufer-ings of its ve-taries in Po-were withdrawn in the year 1638, by a public decree of the diet. It happened in this year that some of the students of Racow vented, in an irregular and tumultuons manner, their religions resentment against a crucifix, at which they threw stones, till they beat it down out of its place. This act of violence excited such a high degree of indignation in the Roman catholics, that they yowed revenge, and fulfilled this yow in the severest manner; for it was through their importunate solicitations that the terrible law was enacted at Warsaw, by which it was resolved, that the academy of Racow should be demolished, its professors banished with ignominy, the printing house of the socinians destroyed, and their churches shut. All this was executed without the smallest alleviation or the least delay, notwithstanding the efforts made by the powerful patrons of the socinians to ward off the blow.° But a catastrophe, still more terrible, awaited them; and the persecution now mentioned was the forerunner of that dreadful revolution, which, about twenty years afterward, brought on the entire rain of this community in Poland; for by a public and soleum act of the diet held at Warsaw, in the year 1658, all the socinians were banished for ever from the territory of that republic, and capital punishment was denounced against all those who should either profess their opinions, or harbour their persons. The unhappy exiles were, at first, allowed the space of three years to settle their affairs, and to dispose of their possessions; but this term was afterward abridged by the crnelty of their enemies, and reduced to two years. In the year 1661, the terrible edict was renewed; and all the socinians that

Crypto Socinianismi, Altorfine quondam Academiæ infesti, areano.

We have a circumstantial account of the Bourishing state of the Racovian academy, while it was under the direction of the learned Martin Ruarus, in the Cimbria Literata of Mollerus, tom. i. p. 572, where we learn that Ruarus was a untive of Holting and below the proceedings to the socialize restant.

stein, who became a proselyte to the sociain system.

o Epistola de Wissowatii vita in Sandii Biblioth, Antitrinitar, p. 233. Gust. Georg. Zeltneri Historia Crypto Socinianismi Altoreni, vol. i. p. 299.

m The learned Gustavus George Zeltner, formerly professor of divinity in the academy of Altorf, composed an ample and learned account of this theological revolution, drawn principally from manuscript records, which was published at Leipsic, in the year 1729, in two volumes, in 4to, by Gebauer, under the following title; Historia Granto Socialianismi, Altoriae quandam Academia infesti, arcano.

171

yet remained in Poland were barbarously driven out of that country, some with the loss of their goods, others with the loss of their lives, as neither sickness, nor any domestic consideration, could suspend the execution of

that rigorous sentence.p

iv. A part of these exiles, who sought for a refuge among their brethren in Transylvania, sunk under among their brethren in Transylvania, such the burden of their calamities, and perished amidst the social and the social the social and their canada and the social and their canada and their social and their so the hardships to which they were exposed. considerable number of these unhappy emigrants were dispersed through the adjacent provinces of Silesia, Brandenburg, and Prussia; and their posterity still subsists in those countries. Several of the more eminent members of the sect, in consequence of the protection granted them by the duke of Breig, resided for some time at Crossen, in Silesia. Others went in search of a convenient settlement for themselves and their brethren, into Holland, England, Holstein, and Denmark. Of all the socinian exiles, none discovered such zeal and industry for the interests and establishment of the sect as Stanislaus Lubieniecius, a Polish knight, distinguished by his learning, and singularly esteemed by persons of the highest rank, and even by several sovereign princes, on account of his eloquence, politeness, and prudence. This illustrious patron of socinianism succeeded so far in his designs, as to gain the favour of Frederic III. king of Denmark; Christian Albert, duke of Holstein; and Charles Lewis, elector palatine; and thus had almost obtained a secure retreat and settlement for the socinians, about the year 1662, at Altena, Frederickstadt, and Manheim; but his measures were disconcerted, and all his hopes entirely frustrated by the opposition and remonstrances of the clergy established in these countries; he was opposed in Denmark by Suaniugius, bishop of Zealand, in Holstein by Reinboth, and in the palatinate by John Lewis Fabricius. Several other attempts were made, in different countries, in favour of

written by the socinians of Crossen.

P Stanislai Lubieniecii Historia Reformat, Polonica, Vib. iii. c. xvii. xviii. p. 279. Equitis Poloni Vindicia pro Unitariorum in Polonia Keligionis libertate apud Sandium, an Biblioth. Antitrinitar p. 267.

4 Labieniccii Historia Reformat. Poton. cap. xviii. p. 285, where there is a letter

r See Sandii, Bibliotheca Antitrinitar. p. 165. Historia Vita Lubieniecii, prefixed to his Historia Reformationis Polonica, p. 7, 8. Molleri Introductio in Histor. Chersones. Cimbrica, p. ii. p. 105, and his Cimbria Literata, tom. ii. p. 487. Jo. Henr. Heideggeri Vila Joh. Lud. Fabricii, subjoined to the works of the latter, p. 38.

socinianism; but their success was still less considerable; nor could any of the European nations be persuaded to grant a public settlement to a sect, whose members denied

the divinity of Christ.

v. The remains therefore of this unfortunate community are, at this day, dispersed through different countries, particularly in the kingdoms of England and Prussia, the electorate of Brandenburg, and the United Provinces, where they lie more or less concealed, and hold their religious assemblies in a clandestine manner. They are indeed said to exercise their religion publicly in England, not in consequence of a legal toleration, but through the indulgent connivance of the civil magistrate. Some of them have embraced the communion of the arminians; others have joined with that sect of the anabaptists that

The socinians in Eugland have never made any figure as a community, but have rather been dispersed among that great variety of sects that have arisen in a country where liberty displays its most glorious fruits, and at the same time exhibits its most striking inconveniences. Beside, few occlesiastics, or writers of any note, have adopted the theological system now under consideration, in all its branches. The so-- cinian doctrine relating to the design and efficacy of the death of Christ had indeed many abettors in England during the XVIIth century; and it may be presumed, without temerity, that its votaries are rather increased than diminished in the present; but those divines who have abundaned the athunasian bypothesis, concerning the Trinity of persons in the Godhead, have more generally gone into the arian and semiarian notions of that inexplicable subject, than into those of the sociaians, who deny that Jesus Christ existed before his appearance in the human nature. The famous John Biddle, after having maintained, both in public and in private, during the reign of Charles, and the protectorship of Cromwell, the unitarian system, erected an independent conservation in Landon which in the only Privil abundance of the contraction in Landon which is the contraction of pendent congregation in London, which is the only British church we have heard of, in which all the peculiar doctrines of socinianism were inculcated; for, if we may give credit to the account of Sir Peter Pett, this congregation held the following notions; "That the fathers under the old covenant had only temporal promises; that saving faith consisted in universal obedience performed to the commands of God and Christ, that Christ arose again only by the power of the Father, and not his own; that justifying faith is not the pure gift of God, but may be acquired by men's natural abilities; that faith cannot believe any thing contrary to, or above reason; that there is no original sin; that Christ hall not the same body now in glory, in which he suffered and rose again; that the saints shall not have the same body in heaven which they land on earth; that Christ was not Lord or King before his resurrection, or Priest before his ascension; that the saints shall not, before the day of judgment, enjoy the bliss of heaven; that God doth not certainly know future contingencies; that there is not any anthority of fathers or general conneils in determining matters of faith; that Christ, before his death, had not any dominion over the angels; and that Christ, by dying, made not satisfaction for us." See the preface to sir Peter Pett's Happy Future State of England, printed at London in 1688.

s The socinians, who reside at present in the district of Mark, used to meet, some years ago, at stated times, at Koningswald, a village in the neighbourhood of Francfort, on the Oder. See the 'Recneil de Litterature, de Philosophie et d'Histoire,' published at Amsterdam in the year 1731, in 8vo." p. 44. They published, in the year 1716, at Berlin, their confession of faith, in the German language, which is to be found, with a refutation thereto annexed, in a book, entitled, 'Den Theologischen 11cb. Opfern.'

part x. p. 852.

Tr * The author of this collection was one Jordan, who was paster of a church in the neighbourhood of Bertin.

are distinguished by the name of galenists; and in this there is nothing at all surprising, since neither the arminians nor anabaptists require from those that enter into their communion an explicit or circumstantial declaration of their religious sentiments. It is also said, that a considerable number of this dispersed community became members of the religious society called collegiants.t Amidst these perpetual changes and vicissitudes, it was not possible that the socinians could maintain an uniform system of doctrine, or preserve unaltered and entire the religious tenets handed down to them by their ancestors. On the contrary, their peculiar and distinctive opinious are variously explained and understood both by the learned and illiterate members of their community, though they all agree in rejecting the doctrine of the Trinity, and that also of the divinity and satisfaction of Jesus Christ."

vi. After the socinians, as there is a great affinity be-

tween the two sects, it is proper to mention the arians, who had several celebrated writers in this Arians. century, such as Sandius and Biddle. Of those who also passed under the general denomination of antitrinitarians and unitarians, there are many that may be placed in the class of the socinians and arrans; for the term unitarian is very comprehensive, and is applicable to a great variety of persons, who, notwithstanding, agree in this common principle, that there is no real distinction in the divine nature. The denomination of arian is also given in general to all who consider Jesus Christ as inferior and subordi-

This community, of which there is an account given in the beginning of the following chapter, called their religious meetings collegies, a Dutch word, which signi-

w For an account of Sandius, father and son, see Arnold and other writers. The life of Biddle is to be found in the Nouveau Diction. Historique et Critique, tom. i. p. ii. p. 288. [] Dr Moslieim places Biddle improperly among the arians; it is mnnifest that he belongs to the socinions, since, in the third article of his confession of faith, he professeth to believe that Christ has no other than a human nature. See the Socinian Tracts, entitled, 'The faith of one God,' &c. published at London, in 4to. in 1691. See also above, note rr.

following chapter, called their religious meetings collegies, a Dutch word, which signifies congregation or assembly, and hence they were denominated collegiants.

u Many examples might be alleged in proof of this; it will be sufficient to mention that of the learned Crellius, who, though he was professor of theology among the socinians, yet differed in his opinious, about many points of doctrine, from the sentiments of Socinus and the Racovian catechism, and would not be called a socinian, but an artemonils.* See the Journal Lilleraire, tom xvii. p. i p. 150, and the account I have given of this celebrated man in my Syntagm. Dissertationum ad sanctiores Disciplinas pertinentium, p. 352. Unschuld. Nachricht, 1750, p. 942. Nouveau Diction. Historique et Critique, tom. ii. p. ii p. 88. This last citation is erroneous; there is no account of Crellius in the place here referred to.

w For an account of Sandius, father and som, see Arnold and other writers. The

Tr * After Artemon, who tived under the reign of the emperor Serverus, and denied the pre-existence and divinity of Jesus Christ.

nate to the Father. But as this subordination may be understood and explained in a variety of ways, it is evident that the term arian, as it is used in modern language, is susceptible of different significations; and that, of consequence, the persons to whom it is applied cannot be all considered in the same point of light with the ancient arians, nor supposed to agree perfectly with each other in their religious tenets.

CHAPTER VII.

CONCERNING SOME SECTS OF INFERIOR NOTE.

1. It will not be amiss to take notice here of a few sects of inferior consequence and note, which we could not mention with propriety in the history of the larger and more extensive communities that we have been passing in review, and which nevertheless we cannot omit, for several reasons. While the disputes and tumults that the arminian system produced in Holland, in the year 1619, were at the greatest height, then arose that religious society, whose members hold at Rhinsberg, in the neighbourhood of Leyden, a solemn assembly every half year, and are generally known under the denomination of collegiants.* This community was founded by three brothers, whose name was Vander Kodde, who passed their days in the obscurity of a rural life, but are said to have been men of eminent piety, well acquainted with sacred literature, and great enemies to religious controversy. They had for their associate Anthony Cornelius, a man also of a mean condition, and who had no qualities that could give any degree of weight or credit to their cause. The descendants and followers of these men acquired the name of collegiants from this particular circumstance, that they call their religious assemblies colleges. All are admitted to the communion of this seet who acknowledge the divinity of the holy scriptures, and endeavour to live suitably to their precepts and doctrines, whatever their peculiar sentiments may be concerning the nature of the Deity, and the truths of Christianity. Their numbers are

very considerable in the provinces of Holland, Utrechts Friesland, and Westfriesland. They meet twice every week, namely, on Sundays and Wednesdays, for the purposes of divine worship; and after singing a psalm or hymn, and addressing themselves to the Deity by prayer, they explain a certain portion of the New Testament. The female members of the community are not allowed to speak in public; but all others, without any exception founded on rank, condition, or incapacity, have a right to communicate the result of their meditations to the assembly, and to submit their sentiments to the judgment of the brethren. All likewise have an unquestionable right to examine and oppose what any of the brethren has advanced, provided their opposition be attended with a spirit of christian charity and moderation. There is a printed list of the passages of scripture, that are to be examined and illustrated at each of their religious meetings; so that any one who is ambitious of appearing among the speakers, may study the subject before hand, and thus come fully prepared to descant upon it in public. The brethren, as has been already observed, have a general assembly twice a year at Rhinsberg, where they have ample and convenient houses for the education of orphans and the reception of strangers; and there they remain together during the space of four days, which are employed in hearing discourses that tend to edification, and exhortations that are principally designed to inculcate brotherly love and sanctity of manners. The sacrament of the Lord's supper is also administered during this assembly; and those adult persons, that desire to be baptized, receive the sacrament of baptism, according to the ancient and primitive manner of celebrating that institution, even by immersion. Those of the brethren that reside in the province of Friesland, have at present an annual meeting at Lewarden, where they administer the sacraments, as the considerable distance at which they live from Rhinsberg renders it inconvenient for them to repair thither twice a year. We shall conclude our account of the collegiants by observing, that their community is of a most ample and extensive kind; that it comprehends persons of all ranks, orders, and sects, who profess themselves christians, though their sentiments concerning the person and doctrine of the divine founder of Christianity be extremely different: that

it is kept together, and its union maintained, not by the authority of rulers and doctors, the force of ecclesiastical laws, the restraining power of creeds and confessions, or the influence of certain positive rites and institutions, but merely by a zeal for the advancement of practical religion, and a desire of drawing instruction from the study of the holy scriptures.

11. In such a community, or rather amidst such a multitude as this, in which opinion is free, and every one is permitted to judge for himself in religious matters, dissensions and controversies can searcely have place. However, a debate, attended with some warmth, arose, in the year 1672, between John and Paul Bredenburg, merchants of Rotterdam, on the one side, and Abraham Lemmerman and Francis Cuiper, merchants of Amsterdam, on the other. John Bredenburg had erected a particular society, or college, in which he gave a course of lectures upon the religion of nature and reason; but this undertaking was highly disapproved of by Lemmerman and Cuiper, who were for excluding reason altogether from religious inquiries and pursuits. During the heat of this controversy, Bredenburg discovered a manifest propensity toward the sentiments of Spinoza; nay, he even defended them publiely, and yet, at the same time, professed a firm attachment to the christian religion.2 Other debates of less con-

⁷ See the 'Dissertation sur les usages de ceux qu'on appelle en Hollande Cosligiens et Rhinobourgeois,' in the 'Ceremonies Religieuses des tous les Peuples du Monde,' tom. iv. p. 323. As also a Dutch book, containing an account of the collegiants, and published by themselves, under the following title: 'De Oerspronek, Natuur, Handelwyz en Oogmerk der zo genaamde Rynburgscho Vergadering,' at Amsterdam, in 4to in the year 1786.

Amsterdam, in sto in the year 1786.

The names of John Bredenburg and Francis Cuiper are well known among the followers and adversaries of Spinoza; but the character and profession of these two disputants are less generally known. Bredenburg, or as he is otherwise called, Breitenburg, was a collegical, and a merchant of Rotterdam, who propagated in a public manner the doctrine of Spinoza, and pretended to demonstrate mathematically its conformity to the dictates of reason. The same man not only professed Christianity, but moreover explained, recommended, and maintained, the christian religion in the meetings of the collegicants, and asserted, on all occasions, its divine original. To reconcile these striking contradictions, he declared, on the one hand, that reason and Christian 'y were in direct opposition to each other; but maintained, on the other, that we were obliged to believe, even against the evidence of the strongest mathematical demonstratics, the religions doctrines comprehended in the holy scriptures; this indeed was adding absurdity to absurdity. He affirmed that leath was twofold, theological and philosophical; and that those propositions, which were false in theology, were true in philosophy. There is a brief, but accurate account, of the character and sentiments of Bredenburg, in the learned work of the Jew, Isaac Oroha, entitled, 'Certamen Philosophicum propugnate veritatis, diving et naturalis adverses to Bredenburgi principia, ex quibus, quod religio rationi repugnat, domonstrate nititur.' This work, which contains Bredenburg's pretended demonstrations of the philosophy of Spinoza, was first published in Syo, of

sequence arose in this community, and the effect of those dissensions was a division of the collegiants into two parties which held their assemblies separately at Rhinsberg. This division happened in the year 1686, but it was healed about the commencement of the present century, by the death of those who had principally occasioned it; and then the collegiants returned to their former union and concord.²

of no mean genius, and remarkable for a natural of no mean genius, and remarkable for a natural and masculine eloquence. This man was born in the Romish communion, entered into the order of the jesuits, and, being dismissed by them, became a member of the reformed church, and performed, with reputation, the ministerial functions in France, Switzerland, and Holland. He at length erected a new community, which resided successively at Middleburg in Zealand and at Amsterdam. In the year 1670 it was transplanted to Hervorden, a town in Westphalia, at the particular desire of the princess Elizabeth, daughter of the elector palatine, and abbess of Hervorden. It was nevertheless driven from thence, notwith-

Anisterdam, in the year 1703, and afterward in 12mo. at Brussels, in 1731. Francis Cuiper, who was the antagonist of Bredenburg, acquired a considerable reputation by his Arcana Atheismi detecta, i. e. The Secrets of Atheism detected. He was a bookseller at Amsterdam; and it was he that published, among other things, the Bibliotheca Fratrum Polonorum seu Unitariorum. Those who have a tolerable acquaintance with the literary history of this century, know that Cuiper, on account of the very book which he wrote against Bredenburg, was suspected of Spinozism, though he was a collegiant, and a zealous defender of the christian faith, as also of the perfect conformity that there is between right reason and true religion. Dr. Mosheim said a little before, in the text, that Lemmerman and Cuiper were for excluding reason altogether from religion; how then can he consistently say here of the latter, that he was a defender of the conformity that there is between reason and religion?

a Reside the authors who have been already mentioned, those who understand the German language may consult the curious work of Simon Frederic Rues, entitled, Nachrichten vom Zustande der Mennoniten, p. 267.

To From this expression of our author, some may be led to imagine, that Labbadie was expelled by the jesuits from their society; and many have, in effect, entertained this notion. But this is a palpable mistake; and whoever will be at the pains of consulting the letter of the abbe Goujet to father Niceron, published in the Memoires des Hommes illustres, tom. xx. p. 142, 143, will find that Labbadie had long solicited his discharge from that society, and, after many refusals, obtained it at length in an honourable manner, by a public act signed at Boardeaux, by one of the provincials, the 17th of April 1639. For a full account of this restless, turbulent, and visionary man, who, by his plans of reformation, conducted by a zeal destitute of prudence, produced much tunnit and disorder, both in the Romish and reformed churches, see his Life, composed with learning, impartiality, and judgment, by the Rev. Mr. Chauffepied, in his supplement to Mr. Bayle, entitled, Nouveau Dictionaire Historique et Critique.

This illustrious princess seems to have had as prevailing a taste for fanaticism, as her grandfather king James I. of England had for scholastic theology. She carried

standing the protection of this illustrious princess; and, in the year 1672, settled at Altena, where its founder died two years after his arrival. After the death of Labbadie, his followers removed their wandering community to Wiewert, in the district of North Holland, where it found a peaceful retreat, and soon fell into oblivion; so that few, if any traces of it, are now to be found.

Among the persons that became members of this sect. there were some whose learning and abilities gave it a certain degree of credit and reputation, particularly Anna Maria Schurman, of Utrecht, whose extensive crudition rendered her so famous, in the republic of letters, during the last century. The members of this community, if we are to judge of them by their own account of things, did not differ from the reformed church so much in their tenets and doctrines, as in their manners and rules of discipline;

on a correspondence with Penn, the famons quaker, and other members of that extravagant sect. She is nevertheless celebrated by certain writers, on account of her application to the study of philosophy and poetry. That a poetical fancy may have rendered her susceptible of fanatical impressions, is not impossible; but how these impressions could be reconciled with a philosophical spirit, is more difficult to im-

1. d Labbadic always declared, that he embraced the doctrines of the reformed church. Nevertheless, when he was called to perform the ministerial functions to a French church at Middleburg in Zealand, he refused to subscribe their confession of faith. Beside, if we examine his writings, we shall find that he entertained very odd and singular opinions on various subjects. He maintained, among other things, "That God might, and did, on certain occasions, deceive men; that the holy scripture was not sufficient to lead men to salvation, without certain particular illuminations and revelations from the Holy Ghost; that in reading the scriptures, we ought to give less attention to the literal sense of the words than to the inward suggestions of the spirit, and that the efficacy of the word depended apon him that preached it; that the falthful ought to have all things in common; that there is no subordination or distinction of rank in the true church of Christ; that Christ was to reign a thousand years upon earth; that the contemplative life is a state of grace and union with God, and the very height of perfection; that the christian, whose mind is contented and calm, sees all things in God, enjoys the Deity, and is perfectly indifferent about every thing that passes in the world; and that the christian arrives at that happy state by the exercise of a perfect self denial, by mortifying the flesh and all sengual affections, and by mental prayer." Beside these, he had formed singular ideas of the Old and New Testument, considered as covenants, as also concerning the subbath and the true nature of a christian church.

It is remarkable enough, that almost all the sectories of an enthusiastical turn, were desirons of entering into communion with Labbadie. The brownists offered him their church at Middleburg, when he was suspended by the French synod from his pastoral functions. The quakers sent their two leading members, Robert Barcklay and George Keith to Amsterdam, while he resided there, to examine his doctrine; and, after several conferences with him, these two commissioners offered to receive him into their communion, which he refused, probably from a principle of ambition, and the desire of remaining head of a sect. Nay, it is said, that the famous William Pennmade a second attempt to gain over the labbadists; and that he went for that purpose to Wiewert, where they resided after the death of their founder, but without success. We do not pretend to answer for the certainty of these facts; but shall only observe, that they are related by Mollerus in his Cimbria Literata on the authority of a MS. Journal, of which several extracts have been given by Joach. Fred. Feller, in his Trimest. ix. Monumentorum ineditorum, sect. iii. A. 1717, p. 498—500.

for their founder exhibited, in his own conduct, a most austere model of sanctity and obedience, which his disciples and followers were obliged to imitate: and they were taught to look for the communion of saints, not only in the invisible church, but also in the visible one, which, according to their views of things, ought to be composed of none but such persons as were distinguished by their sanctity and virtue, and by a pious progress toward perfection. There are still extant several treatises composed by Labbadie, which sufficiently discover the temper and spirit of the man, and carry the evident marks of a lively and glowing imagination, that was not tempered by the influence of a sober and accurate judgment. And as persons of this character are sometimes carried, by the impetuosity of passion and the seduction of fancy, both into erroneous notions and licentious pursuits, we are not perhaps to reject, in consequence of an excessive charity, the testimonies of those who have found many things worthy of censure, both in the life and doctrine of this turbulent enthusiast.

'iv. Among the fanatical contemporaries of Labbadie, was the famous Antoinette Bourignon de la Porte, a native of Flanders, who pretended to be divinely inspired, and set apart, by a particular interposition of Heaven, to revive the true spirit of Christianity, that had been extinguished by theological animosities and debates. This female enthusiast, whose religious feelings were accompanied with an unparalleled vivacity and ardour, and whose fancy was exuberant beyond all expression, joined to these qualities a volubility of tongue, less wonderful indeed, yet much adapted to seduce the unwary. Furnished with these useful talents, she began to propagate her theological system, and her enthusiastical notions made a great noise in Flanders, Holland, and some parts of Germany, where she had resided some years. Nor was it only the ignorant multitude that swallowed down with facility her visionary doctrines; since it is well known that several learned and ingenious men were persuaded of their truth, and caught the contagion of her fanaticism. After experiencing various

c See Mollerus's Cimbria Literata, tom. iii. p. 35, et Isagoge ad Histor. Chersones. Cimbrica, p. ii. cap. v. p. 121. Arnold, Histor. Ecclesiast. vol. i. p. ii. lib. xvii. cap. xxi. p. 1186. Weisman, Hist. Eccles. Sæc, xvii. p. 297. For an account of the two famous companions of Labbadic, viz. Du Lignon and Yvon, see Mollerus's Cimbria Literata, om. ii. p. 472, 1020.

turns of fortune, and suffering much vexation and mockeries on account of her religious fancies, she ended her days at Francker, in the province of Friesland, in the year 1680. Her writings were voluminous; but it would be a fruitless attempt to endeavour to draw from them an accurate and consistent scheme of religion. For the pretended divine light, that guides people of this class, does not proceed in a methodical way of reasoning and argument; it discovers itself by flashes, which shed nothing but thick darkness in the minds of those who investigate truth with the understanding and do not trust to the reports of fancy, that is so often governed by sense and passion. An attentive reader will however learn something by perusing the writings of this fanatical virgin; he will be persuaded, that her intellect must have been in a disordered state; that the greatest part of her divine effusions were borrowed from the productions of the mystics; and that, by the intemperance of her imagination, she has given an additional air of extravagance and absurdity to the tenets she has derived from these pompons enthusiasts. If we attend to the main and predominant principle that reigns throughout the incoherent productions of Bourignon, we shall find it to be the following; That the christian religion neither consists in knowledge nor in practice, but in a certain internal feeling and divine impulse, that arises immediately from communion with the Deity. Among the more considerable patrons of this fanatical doctrine, we may reckon Christian Bartholomew de Cordt, a jausenist, and priest of the oratory at Mechlin, who died at Nordstrandt, in the dutchy of Sleswick; and Peter Poiret, a man of a bold and penetrating genius, who was a great master of the cartesian philosophy. This latter has shown, in a striking manner, by his own example, that knowledge and ignorance, rea-

^{*}See for an ample account of Bourignon, the following writers; Moller. Cimbria Literata, tom. ii. p. 85 Introductio in Histor. Chersonesi Cimbriae, p. ii. p. 151. Bayle's Dictionaire, tom. i at the article Bourignon. Arnold, Historia Eccles. et Hæret. vol. ii. p. See also Poirct's Epist. de Auctoribus Mysticis, sect. xiv. p. 565. This treatise of Poirct is inserted at the end of his book, De Eruditione Solida et Superficiaria, vol. ii edit. 4to.

g Molleri Cimbria Literata, tom. ii p. 149.

h Poiret dressed out in an artificial manner, and reduced to a kind of system, the wild and incoherent fancies of Bourignon, in his large work, entitled, 'L'Occonomie Divine, on Systeme Universel,' which was published, both in French and Latin, at Am. terdam, in the year 1686, in seven volumes, 8vo. For an account of this mystic philosopher, whose name and voluminous writings have made such a noise, see 'Bibliotheea Brem Theolog, Philot. tom. iii, p. i. p. 76.

son and superstition, are often divided by thin partitions; and that they sometimes not only dwell together in the same person, but also, by an unnatural and unaccountable union, lend each other mutual assistance, and thus engen-

der monstrous productions.

v. The same spirit, the same views, and the same kind of religion that distinguished Bourignon, were The Philadel-observable in an English, and also a female fanatic, named Jane Leadley. who, toward the conclusion of this century, seduced by her visions, predictions, and doctrines, a considerable number of disciples, among whom there were some persons of learning; and thus gave rise to what was called the Philadelphian society. This woman was of opinion that all dissensions among christians would cease, and the kingdom of the Redeemer become, even here below, a glorious scene of charity, concord, and felicity, if those who bear the name of Jesus, without regarding the forms of doctrine or discipline that distinguish particular communions, would all join in committing their souls to the eare of the internal guide, to be in-structed, governed, and formed by his divine impulse and suggestions. Nay, she went still further, and declared in the name of the Lord, that this desirable event would happen; and that she had a divine commission to proclaim the approach of this glorious communion of saints, who were to be gathered together in one visible universal church, or kingdom, before the dissolution of this earthly globe. This prediction she delivered with a peculiar degree of confidence, from a notion that her Philadelphian society was the true kingdom of Christ, in which alone the divine spirit resided and reigned. We shall not mention the other dreams of this enthusiast, among which the famous doctrine of the final restoration of all intelligent beings to perfection and happiness held an eminent place. Leadley was less fortunate than Bourignon in this respect. that she had not such an eloquent and ingenious patron as Poiret to plead her eause, and to give an air of philosophy to her wild reveries. For Pordage and Broinley, who were the chief of her associates, had nothing to recommend them but their mystic picty and contemplative turn of mind. Pordage, more especially, was so far destitute of the powers of elocution and reasoning, that he even surpassed Jacob Boehmen, whom he admired, in obscurity and nonsense; and, instead of imparting instruction to his readers, did no more than excite in them a stupid kind of awe by a high sounding jingle of pompous words.

i See Jo. Wolf. Jaegeri Historia Sacra et Civilis, Sac. xvii. Decenn. x. p. 90. Petri Poireti Bibliotheca Mysticor. p. 161, 174, 283, 286.

OF THE

ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY

OF THE

EIGHTEENTH CENTURY.

1. The history of the christian church, during the present age, instead of a few pages, would alone require Introductory a volume, such are the number and importance observation. of the materials that it exhibits to an attentive inquirer. is therefore to be hoped that, in due time, some able and impartial writer will employ his labours on this interesting subject. At the same time to render the present work as complete as possible, and to give a certain clue, to direct those who teach or who study ecclesiastical history, through a multitude of facts that have not yet been gathered together, and digested into a regular order, we shall draw here a general sketch that will exhibit the principal outlines of of religion since the commencement of the present century. That this sketch may not swell to too great a size, we shall omit the mention of the authors who have furnished materials for this period of church history. Those that are acquainted with modern literature must know, that there are innumerable productions extant, from whence such a variety of lines and colours might be taken, as would render this rough and general draught a complete and finished piece.

11. The doctrines of Christianity have been propagated in Asia, Africa, and America, with equal zeal, both by the protestant and popish missionaries. But we cannot say the same thing of the true spirit of the gospel, or of the religious discipline and institutions that it recommends to the observance

the prosper-ous state of the church in

of christians; for it is an undeniable fact, that many of

those whom the Romish missionaries have persuaded to renounce their false gods, are christians only as far as an external profession and certain religious ceremonies go; and that, instead of departing from the superstitions of their ancestors, they observe them still, though under a different form. We have indeed pompous accounts of the mighty success with which the ministry of the jesuits has been attended among the barbarous and unenlightened nations; and the French jesuits in particular are said to have converted innumerable multitudes in the course of their missions. This perhaps cannot be altogether denied, if we are to call those converts to Christianity who have received some faint and superficial notions of the doctrines of the gospel; for it is well known, that several congregations of such christians have been formed by the jesuits in the East Indies, and more especially in the kingdoms of Carnate, Madura, and Maraya, on the coast of Malabar, in the kingdom of Tonquin, the Chinese empire, and also in certain provinces of America. These conversions have, in outward appearance, been carried on with particular success, since Anthony Veri has had the direction of the foreign missions, and has taken such special care, that neither hands should be wanting for this spiritual harvest, nor any expenses spared that might be necessary to the execution of such an arduous and important undertaking. But these pretended conversions, instead of effacing the infamy under which the jesuits labour, in consequence of the iniquitous conduct of their missionaries in former ages, have only served to augment it, and to show their designs and practices in a still more odious point of light. For they are known to be much more zealous in satisfying the demands of their avarice and ambition, than in promoting the cause of Christ; and are said to corrupt and modify, by a variety of inventions, the pure doctrine of the gospel, in order to render it more universally palatable, and to increase the number of their ambiguous converts.

in. A famous question arose in this century, which made a great noise in the Romish church, relating to the conduct of the jesuits in China, and their man-The famous ner of promoting the cause of the gospel, by permitting the new converts to observe the religious rites and customs of their ancestors. This question was decided to the disadvantage of the mis-

contest relating to the lawfulness of allowing the Chinese christians to ob-serve their ancient rites.

sionaries, in the year 1704, by Clement XI. who, by a solemn edict, forbad the Chinese christians to practice the religious rites of their ancestors, and more especially those that are celebrated by the Chinese in honour of their deceased parents, and of their great lawgiver Confucius.-This severe edict was nevertheless considerably mitigated, in the year 1715, in order to appease, no doubt, the resentment of the jesuits, whom it exasperated in the highest degree. For the pontiff allowed the missionaries to make use of the word tien, to express the divine nature, with the addition of the word tchu, to remove its ambiguity, and make it evident, that it was not the heaven, but the Lord of heaven, that the christian doctors worshipped; he also permitted the observance of those rites and ceremonies that had so highly offended the adversaries of the jesuits, on condition that they should be considered merely as marks of respect to their parents, and as tokens of civil homage to their lawgivers, without being abused to the purposes of superstition, or even being viewed in a religious point of light. In consequence of this second papal edict, the Chinese converts to Christianity are allowed considerable liberties; among other things, they have in their houses tablets, on which the names of their ancestors, and particularly of Confucius, are written in golden letters; they are allowed to light candles before these tablets, to make offerings to them of rich perfumes, victuals, fruits, and other delicacies, nay, to prostrate the body before them until the head touches the ground. The same ceremony of prostration is performed by the Chinese christians at the tombs of their ancestors.

The first of these papal edicts, which was designed to prevent the motley mixture of Chincse superstition with the religious institutions of Christianity, was brought into China, in the year 1705, by cardinal Tournon, the pope's legate; and the second, which was of a more indulgent nature, was sent, in the year 1721, with Mezzabarba, who went to China with the same character. Neither the emperor nor the jesuits were satisfied with these edicts. Tournon, who executed the orders of his ghostly master with more zeal than prudence, was, by the express command of the emperor, thrown into prison, where he died in the

year 1710. Mezzabarba, though more cautious and prudent, yet returned home without having succeeded in his negotiation; nor could the emperor be engaged, by either arguments or entreaties, to make any alteration in the institutions and customs of his ancestors. At present the state of Christianity in China being extremely precarious and uncertain, this famous controversy is entirely suspended; and many reasons induce us to think, that both the pontiffs and the enemies of the jesuits will unite in permitting me latter to depart from the rigour of the papal edicts, and to follow their own artful and insinuating methods of conversion. For they will both esteem it expedient and lawful to submit to many inconveniences and abuses, rather than to risk the entire suppression of popery in China.

iv. The attempts made since the commencement of the present century, by the English and Dutch, and. more especially by the former, to diffuse the light of Christianity through the benighted regions of Asia and America, have been carried on with more assiduity and zeal than in the preceding age. That the lutherans have borne their part in this salutary work, appears abundantly from the Danish mission, planned with such piety, in the year 1706, by Frederic IV. for the conversion of the Indians that inhabit the coast of Malabar, and attended with such remarkable success. This noble establishment, which surpasses all that have been yet erected for the propagation of the gospel, not only subsists still in a flourishing state, but acquires daily new degrees of perfection under the auspicious and munificent patronage of that excellent monarch Christian VI. We will indeed readily grant, that the converts to Christianity that are made by the Danish missionaries, are less numerous than those which we find in the lists of the popish legates; but it may be affirmed, at the same time, that they are much better christians, and far excel the latter in the sincerity and zeal that accompany their profession. There is a great difference between christians in reality and christians in appearance; and it is very certain, that the popish missionaries are much more

b Tournon had been made, by the pope, patriarch of Antioch; and Mezzabarba, to add a certain degree of weight to his mission, was created patriarch of Alexandria After his return, the latter was promoted to the bishopric of Lodi, a preferment which, though inferior in point of station to his imaginary patriarchate, was yet more valuable in point of case and profit. See a fuller account of this mission in Dr. Mosheim's 'Authentic Memoirs of the Christian Church in China,' p. 26, &c. N.

ready, than the protestant doctors, to admit into their communion proselytes, who have nothing of Christianity but the name.

We have but-imperfect accounts of the labours of the Russian clergy, the greatest part of whom lie yet involved in that gross ignorance that covered the most unenlightened ages of the church. We learn nevertheless from the modern records of that nation, that some of their doctors have employed, with a certain degree of success, their zeal and industry in spreading the light of the gospel in those provinces that lie in the neighbourhood of Siberia.

v. While the missionaries now mentioned exposed themselves to the greatest dangers and sufferings, in order to diffuse the light of divine truth in these remies of the mote and darkened nations, there arose in Europe, where the gospel had obtained a stable footing, a multitude of adversaries, who shut their eyes upon its excellence, and endcavoured to eclipse its immortal lustre.— There is no country in Europe were infidelity has not exhaled its poison; and scarcely any denomination of christians among whom we may not find several persons, who either aim at the total extinction of all religion, or at least endeayour to invalidate the authority of the christian system. Some carry on these unhappy attempts in an open manner, others under the mask of a christian profession; but no where have these enemies of the purest religion, and consequently of mankind, whom it was designed to render wise and happy, appeared with more effrontery and insolence, than under the free governments of Great Britain and the United Provinces. In England, more especially, it is not uncommon to meet with books, in which not only the doctrines of the gospel, but also the perfections of the Dcity, and the solemn obligations of piety and virtue, are impudently called in question, and turned into derision.

centence, stand in need of some correction. Many books have indeed been published in England against the divinity, both of the Jewish and christian dispensations; and it is justly to be lamented, that the inestimable blessing of religious liberty, which the wise and good have improved to the glory of Christianity, by setting its doctrines and precepts in a rational light, and bringing them back to their primitive simplicity, has been so far abused, by the pride of some, and the ignorance and licentiousness of others, as to excite an opposition to the christian system, which is both designed and adapted to lead men, through the paths of wisdom and virtue, to happiness and perfection. It is nevertheless carefully to be observed, that the most eminent of the English unbelievers were far from renouncing, at least in their writings and profession, the truths of what they call natural religion or denvire the or change ble existence.

Such impious productions have cast a deserved reproach on the names and memories of Toland, Collins, Tindal, and Woolston, a man of an inauspicious genius, who made the most audaeious, though senseless attempts, to invalidate the miraeles of Christ. Add to these Morgan, Chubb, Mandeville, and others. And writers of the same class will soon be found in all the countries of Enrope, particularly in those where the reformation has introduced a spirit of liberty, if mercenary booksellers are still allowed to publish, without distinction or reserve, every wretehed production that is addressed to the passions of men, and designed to obliterate in their minds a sense of religion and virtue.

vi. The sect of atheists, by which, in strictness of speech, those only are to be meant who deny the existence and moral government of an infinitely wise and powerful Being, by whom all things subsist, is reduced to a very small number, and may be considered as almost totally extinct. Any that yet remain under the influence of this unaccountable delusion, adopt the system of Spinoza, and suppose the universe to be one vast substance, which excites and produces a great variety of motions, all uncontrollably necessary, by a sort of internal force, which they carefully avoid defining with perspicuity and precision.

The deists, under which general denomination those are comprehended who deny the divine origin of the gospel in particular, and are enemies to all revealed religion in general, form a motley tribe, which, on account of their jarring opinions, may be divided into different classes. The most decent, or, to use a more proper expression, the least extravagant and insipid form of deism, is that which aims at an association between Christianity and natural religion, and represents the gospel as no more than a republication of the original law of nature and reason, that was more or less obliterated in the minds of men. This is the hypothesis of Tindal, Chubb, Mandeville, Morgan, and several others, if we are to give credit to their own declarations, which indeed ought not always to be done without caution. This also appears to have been the sentiment

and obligations of virtue and morality. Dr. Mosheim is more especially mistaken, when he places Collins, Tindal, Morgan, and Chubb, in the list of those who called in question the perfectious of the Deity, and the obligations of virtue; it was sufficient to put Mandeville, Woolston, and Toland, in this infamous class.

of an ingenious writer, whose eloquence has been ill employed in a book, entitled, Essential Religion distinguished from that which is only Accessory; of for the whole religious system of this author consists in the three following points: That there is a God; that the world is governed by his wise providence; and that the soul is immortal; and he maintains, that it was to establish these three points by his ministry, that Jesus Christ eame into the world.

vii. The church of Rome has been governed, since the

commencement of this century, by Clement XI. Innocent XIII. Benedict XIII. Clement XII. and church, and Benedict XIV. who may be all considered as men its pontiffs. of eminent wisdom, virtue, and learning, if we compare them with the pontiffs of the preceding ages. Clement XI. and Prosper Lambertini, who at present fills the papal chair under the title of Benedict XIV. stand much higher in the list of literary fame, than the other pontiffs now mentioned; and Benediet XIII. surpassed them all in piety, or at least in its appearance, which, in the whole of his conduct, was extraordinary and striking. It was he that conceived the laudable design of reforming many disorders in the ehureh, and restraining the corruption and licentiousness of the clergy; and for this purpose held a council, in the palaee of the Lateran, in the year 1725, whose acts and decrees have been made public. But the event did not answer his expectations; nor is there any probability that Benediet XIV. who is attempting the execution of the same worthy purpose, though by different means, will meet with better success.

We must not omit observing here, that the modern bishops of Rome make but an indifferent figure in Europe, and exhibit little more than an empty shadow of the authority of the ancient pontiffs. Their prerogatives are diminished, and their power is restrained within very narrow bounds. The sovereign princes and states of Europe, who embrace their communion, no longer tremble at the thun-

Muralt, a Swiss, author of the "Lettres sur les Anglois et sur les Francois," is as follows: "Lettres sur la Religion essentielle a l'Homme, distinguee de ce qui n'en est que l'accessoire." There have been several excellent refutations of this book published on the continent, among which the "Lettres sur les vrais principes de la Religion," in two volumes 8vo. composed by the late learned and ingenious Mr. Bouillet, deserve particular notice.

[Te This history was published while Benedict XIV, was yet alive.

der of the Vatican, but treat their anathemas with indifference and contempt. They indeed load the holy father with pompous titles, and treat him with all the external marks of veneration and respect; yet they have given a mortal blow to his authority. by the prudent and artful distinction they make between the court of Rome and the Roman pontifi. For, under the cover of this distinction, they buffet him with one hand, and stroke with the other: and, under the most respectful profession of attachment to his person, oppose the measures, and diminish still more, from day to day, the authority of his court. A variety of modern transactions might be alleged in confirmation of this, and more especially the debates that have arisen in this century, between the court of Rome, and those of France, Naples, Sardinia, and Portugal, in all which that ghostly court has been obliged to yield, and to discover its extreme insignificancy and weakness.

There have been no serious attempts made in later times to bring about a reconciliation between the protestant and Romish churches; for, not-withstanding the pacific projects formed by private persons with a view to this union, it is justly considered as an impracticable scheme. The dif-

ficulties that attend its execution were greatly augmented by the famous bull of Clement XI. entitled Unigenitus, which deprived the peacemakers of the principal expedient they employed for the accomplishment of this union, by putting it out of their power to soften and mitigate the doctrines of popery, that appeared the most shocking to the friends of the reformation. This expedient had been frequently practised in former times, in order to remove the disgust that the protestants had conceived against the church of Rome; but the bull Unigenitus put an end to all these modifications, and in most of those points that had occasioned our separation from Rome, represented the doctrine of that church in the very same shocking light in which they had been viewed by the first reformers. This shows, with the utmost evidence, that all the attempts the Romish doctors have made, from time to time, to give an air of plausibility to their tenets, and render them palatable, were so many snares insidiously laid to draw the protestants into their communion; that the specious conditions they proposed as the terms of a reconciliation. were

perfidious stratagems; and that consequently there is no sort of dependence to be made upon the promises and de-

clarations of such a disingenuous set of men.

1x. The intestine discords, tumults and divisions, that reigned in the Romish church, during the preced- Intestine diing century, were so far from being terminated in the Romish this, that new fuel was added to the flame, and the church. animosities of the contending parties grew more vehement from day to day. These divisions still subsist. suits are at variance with the dominicans, and some other religious orders, though these quarrels make little noise, and are carried on with some regard to decency and prudence; the dominicans are on bad terms with the franciscans; the controversy concerning the nature, lawfulness, and expediency of the Chinese ceremonies still continues, at least in Europe; and were we to mention all the debates that divide the Romish church, which boasts so much of its unity and infallibility, the enumeration would be endless. The controversy relating to jansenism, which was one of the principal sources of that division which reigned within the papal jurisdiction, has been carried on, with great spirit and animosity in France and in the Netherlands. The jansenists, or, as they rather choose to be called, the disciples of Augustin, are inferior to their adversaries the jesuits, in numbers, power, and influence; but they equal them in resolution, prudence, and learning, and surpass them in sanctity of manners and superstition, by which they excite the respect of the people. When their affairs take an unfavourable turn, and they are oppressed and persecuted by their victorious enemies, they find an asylum in the Netherlands. For the greatest part of the Roman catholics in Spanish Flanders, and all the members of that communion that live under the jurisdiction of the United Provinces, embrace the principles and doctrines of Jansenius.f Those that inhabit the United provinces have almost renounced their allegiance

This assertion is too general. It is true, that the greatest part of the Roman catholics in the United Provinces are jansenists, and that there is no legal toleration of the jesuits in that republie. It is nevertheless a known fact, and a fact that cannot be indifferent to those who have the welfare and security of these provinces at heart, that the jesuits are daily gaining ground among the Dutch papists. They have a flourishing chapel in the city of Utrecht, and have places of worship in several other cities, and in a great number of villages. It would be worthy of the wisdom of the rulers of the republic to put a stop to this growing evil, and not to suffer in a protestant country a religious order which has been suppressed in a popish one, and declared enemies of the state.

to the pope, though they profess a warm attachment to the doctrine and communion of the church of Rome; nor are either the exhortations or threatenings of the holy father sufficient to banish the obstinacy of these wayward children, or to reduce them to a state of subjection and obedience.

x. The cause of the jansenists acquired a peculiar de-The debates gree of credit and reputation, both in this and the preceding century, by a French translation of the New Testament, made by the learned and pious Paschasius Quenel, a priest of the oratory, and accompanied with practical annotations, adapted to excite lively impressions of religion in the minds of men. The guintessence of jansenism was blended, in an elegant and artful manner, with these annotations, and was thus presented to the reader under the most pleasing aspect. The jesuits were alarmed at the success of Quenel's book, and particularly at the change it had wrought in many, in favour of the theological doctrines of Jansenius; and to remove out of the way an instrument which proved so advantageous to their adversaries, they engaged that weak prince Louis XIV. to solicit the condemnation of this production at the court of Rome. Clement XI. granted the request of the French monarch, because he considered it as the request of the jesuits; and, in the year 1713, issued out the famous bull Unigenitus, in which Quenel's New Testament was condemned, and an hundred and one propositions contained in it pronounced heretical. This bull, which is also known by the name of The Constitution, gave a favourable turn to the affairs of the jesuits; but it was highly detrimental to the interests of the Romish church, as many of the wiser members of that communion candidly acknowledge. For it not only confirmed the protestants in their separation, by convincing them that

To show what a political weathercock the infallibility of the holy father was upon this occasion, it may not be improper to place here an anecdote which is related by Voltaire in his Siccle de Louis XIV. vol. ii. under the article Jansenisme. The credit of the teller weighs but light in the balance of historical fame; the ancedote however is well attested, and is as follows: "The abbe Renaudot, a learned Prenchman, happening to be at Rome the first year of the pontificate of Clement XI. went one day to see the Pope, who was fond of men of letters, and was himself a learned man, and found his holiness reading father Quenel's book. On seeing Renaudot enter the apartment, the pope said, in a kind of rapture: 'Here is a most excellent book! we have nobody at Rome that is capable of writing in this manner: I wish I could engage the author to reside here?' And yet this same book was condemned afterward by this same pope."

the church of Rome was resolved to adhere obstinately to its ancient superstitions and corruptions; but also offended many of the Roman catholics, who had no particular attachment to the doctrines of Jansenius, and were only bent on the pursuit of truth and the advancement of piety. It must also be observed, that the controversy relating to jansenism was much heated and augmented, instead of being mitigated or suspended, by this despotic and ill judged edict.

judged cdict.

x₁. The dissensions and tumults excited in France by

this edict were violent in the highest degree. A commotions considerable number of bishops, and a large body in France ecasioned by composed of persons eminently distinguished by this bull. their piety and erudition, both among the clergy and laity, appealed from the bull to a general council. It was more particularly opposed by the cardinal De Noailles, archbishop of Paris, who, equally unmoved by the authority of the pontiff and by the resentment and indignation of Louis XIV. made a noble stand against the despotic proceedings of the court of Rome. These defenders of the ancient doctrine and liberties of the Gallican church were persecuted by the popes, the French monarch, and the jesnits, from whom they received an uninterrupted series of injuries and affronts. Nay, their entire ruin was aimed at by these unrelenting adversaries, and was indeed accomplished in part, since some of them were obliged to fly for refuge to their brethren in Holland; others forced by the terrors of penal laws, and by various acts of tyranny and violence, to receive the papal edict; while a considerable number, deprived of their places, and ruined in their fortunes, looked for subsistence and tranquillity at a greater distance from their native country. The issue of this famous contest was favourable to the bull, which was at length rendered valid by the authority of the parliament, and was registered among the laws of the state. This contributed, in some measure, to restore the public tranquillity, but it was far from diminishing the number of those who complained of the despotism of the pontiff; and the kingdom of France is still full of appellants, who reject the authority of the bull, and only wait for a favourable opportunity of reviving a controversy, which is rather

This was the name that was assumed by those who appealed from the bull and the court of Rome to a general council.

²⁰

suspended than terminated, and of kindling anew a flame that is covered without being extinguished.

xII. Amidst the calamities in which the jansenists have been involved, they have only two methods left of maintaining their cause against their powerful stances that contribute to adversaries, and these are their writings and their support the cause of janmiracles. The former alone have proved truly senism in useful to them; the latter gave them only a transitory reputation, which, being ill founded, contributed in the issue to sink their credit. The writings in which they have attacked both the pope and the jesuits are innumerable; and many of them are composed with such eloquence, spirit, and solidity, that they have produced a remarkable The jansenists, however, looking upon all human means as insufficient to support their cause, turned their views toward supernatural succours, and endeavoured to make it appear, that their cause was the peculiar object of the divine protection and approbation. For this purpose they persuaded the multitude, that God had endowed the bones and ashes of certain persons, who had distinguished themselves by their zeal in the cause of Jansenius, and had, at the point of death, appealed a second time from the pope to a general council, with the power of healing the most inveterate diseases. The person whose remains were principally honoured with this marvellous efficacy, was the abbe Paris, a man of family, whose natural character was dark and melancholy; his superstition excessive beyond all credibility; and who, by an austere abstinence from bodily nourishment, and the exercise of other inhuman branches of penitential discipline, was the voluntary cause of his own death. To the miracles which were said to be wrought at the tomb of this fanatic, the jansenits added a great variety of visions and relations, to which they audaciously attributed a divine origin; for several members of the community, and more especially those who resided at Paris, pretended to be filled with the Holy Ghost; and, in consequence of this prerogative, delivered instructions, predictions, and exhortations, which, though frequently extravagant, and almost always insipid, yet moved the passions, and attracted the admiration, of the ignorant multi-

i The imposture that reigned in these pretended miracles has been detected and exposed by various authors; but by none with more acuteness, perspicuity, and penetration, than by the ingenious Dr. Douglas, in his excellent Treatise on Miracles, eatitled *The Criterion*, which was published by Millar in the year 1754.

tude. The prudence however of the court of France, put a stop to these fanatical tumults and false miracles; and, in the situation in which things are at present, the jansenists have nothing left but their genius and their pens to maintain their cause.^k

xiii. We can say but very little of the Greek and eastern churches. The profound ignorance in which they live, and the despotic yoke under which they The state of the eastern church. groan, prevent their forming any plans to extend their limits, or making any attempts to change their state. The Russians, as we had formerly occasion to observe, assumed, under the reign of Peter the Great, a less savage and barbarous aspect than they had before that memorable period; and in this century have given some grounds to hope that they may one day be reckoned among the civilized nations. There are nevertheless immense multitudes of that rugged people, who are still attached to the brutish superstition and discipline of their ancestors; and there are several in whom the barbarous spirit of persecution still so far prevails, that, were it in their power, they would cut off the protestants and all other sects that differ from them, by fire and sword. This appears evident from a variety of circumstances, and more especially from the book which Stephen Javorski has composed against heretics of all denominations.

The Greek christians are said to be treated at present by their haughty masters with more elemency and indulgence than in former times. The nestorians and monophysites in Asia and Africa persevere in their refusal to enter into the communion of the Romish church, notwithstanding the earnest entreaties and alluring offers that have been made from time to time by the pope's legates, to conquer their inflexible constancy. The Roman Pontiffs have frequently attempted to renew, by another sacred expedition, their former connexions with the kingdom of Abyssinia; but they have not yet been able to find out a method of escaping the vigilance of that court, which still persists in its abhorrence of popery. Nor is it at all probable that the embassy, which is now preparing at Rome for the Abys-

Things are greatly changed since the learned author wrote this paragraph. The storm of just resentment that has arisen against the jesuits, and has been attended with the extinction of their order in Portugal, France, and in all the Spanish dominions, has disarmed the most formidable adversaries of jansenism, and must consequently be considered as an event highly in a trable to the jansenists.

sinian emperor, will be attended with success. The monophysites propagate their doctrine in Asia with zeal and assiduity, and have not long ago gained over to their communion a part of the nestorians who inhabit the maritime coasts of India.

xiv. The lutheran church, which dates its foundation from the year 1517, and the confession of Augs-The external state of the luster theran church prosperity the secular return of these memorable periods in the years 1717 and 1730. It received, some years ago, a considerable accession to the number of its members; by the emigration of that multitude of protestants which abandoned the territory of Saltzburg and the town of Berchtolsgaden, in order to breathe a free air, and to enjoy inmolested the exercise of their religion. One part of these emigrants settled in Prussia, another in Holland, and many of them transplanted themselves and their families to America, and other distant regions. This circomstance contributed greatly to propagate the doctrine, and extend the reputation, of the lutheran church, which thus not only obtained a footing in Asia and America, but also formed several congregations of no small note in these remote parts of the world. The state of lutheranism at home has not been so prosperous, since we learn, both from public transactions, and also from the complaints of its professors and patrons, that, in several parts of Germany, the lutheran church has been injuriously oppressed, and unjustly deprived of several of its privileges and advantages, by the votaries of Rome.

xv. It has been scarcely possible to introduce any change into the system of doctrine and discipline that is received in that church, because the ancient confessions and rules that were drawn up to point out the tenets that were to be believed, and the rites and ceremonics that were to be performed, still remain in their full authority, and are considered as the sacred guardians of the lutheran faith and worship. The method however of illustrating, enforcing, and defending the doctrines of Christianity, has undergone several changes in the lutheran church. Toward the commencement of this century, an artless simplicity was generally observed by the ministers of that communion, and all philosophical terms and abstract reasonings were entirely laid aside, as more adapted to ob-

scure than to illustrate the truths of the gospel. But in process of time a very different way of thinking began to take place; and several learned men entertained a notion, that the doctrines of Christianity could not maintain their ground, if they were not supported by the aids of philosophy, and exhibited and proved in a geometrical order.

The adepts in jurisprudence, who undertook, in the last century, the revision and correction of the body of ecelesiastical law that is in force among the lutherans, earried on their undertaking with great assiduity and spirit; and our church government would at this day bear another aspect, if the ruling powers had judged it expedient to listen to their counsels and representations. We see indeed in several places, evident proofs that the directions of these great men, relating to the external form of ecclesiastical government, discipline, and worship, are highly respected; and that their ideas, even of the doctrinal part of religion, have been more or less adopted by many. Hence it is not at all surprising, that warm disputes have arisen between them and the rulers of the church, concerning several points. The lutheran doctors are apprehensive that, if the sentiments of certain of these reformers took place, religion would become entirely subservient to the purposes of civil policy, and be at length converted into a mere state machine; and this apprehension is not peculiar to the clergy alone, but is also entertained by some persons of piety and candour, even among the civilians.

xvi. The liberty of thinking, speaking, and writing; concerning religious matters, which began to prevail in the last century, was, in this, still further enemies.

vail in the last century, was, in this, still further enemies. contirmed and augmented; and it extended so far as to encourage both infidels and fanatics to pour forth among the multitude, without restraint, all the effusions of their enthusiasm and extravagance. Accordingly we have seen, and still see, numbers of fanatics and innovators start up from time to time, and, under the influence of enthusiasm, or of a disordered brain, divulge their crude fancies and dreams among the people, by which they either delude many from the communion of the established church, or at least occasion contests and divisions of the most disagreeable kind. We mentioned formerly several of these disturbers of the tranquillity of the church, to whom we may add here the notorious names of Tennhart, Gichtelius, Uberfeld, Rosenbach, Bredel, Scizius, Roemeling,

and many others, who either imagined that they were divinely inspired, or, from a persuasion of their superior capacity and knowledge, set up for reformers of the doctrine and discipline of the church. Many writers drew their pens against this presumptuous and fanatical tribe; though the greatest part of those who composed it were really below the notice of men of character, and were rather worthy of contempt than of opposition. And indeed it was not so much the force of reason and argument, as the experience of their bad success, that convinced these fanatics of their folly, and made them desist from their chimerical projects. Their attempts could not stand the trial of time and common sense; and therefore, after having made a transitory noise, they fell into oblivion. Such is the common and deserved fate of almost all the fanatical ringleaders of the deluded populace; they start up all of a sudden, and make a figure for a while; but, generally speaking, they ruin their own cause by their imprudence or obstinacy, by their austerity or perverseness, by their licentious conduct or their intestine divisions.

xvn. Many place in this fanatical class the brethren of Herrenhut. Herrenhut, who were first formed into a religious by the famous count Zinzendorff; and afterward grew so numerous, that their emigrants were spread abroad in all the countries of Europe, reached even as far as the indies, and formed settlements in the remotest quarters of the globe. The Herrenhutters call themselves the descendants of the Bohemian and Moravian brethren, who, in the fifteenth century, threw off the despotic yoke of Rome, animated by the zealous exhortations and heroic example of John Huss. They may however be said, with more propriety, to imitate the example of that famous community, than to descend from those who composed it; for it is well known, that there are very few Bohemians and Moravians in the fraternity of the Herrenhutters; and it is extremely doubtful, whether even this small number are to be considered as the posterity of the ancient Bohemian brethren, that distinguished themselves so early by their zeal for the reformation.

If we are to give credit to the declarations of the *Herrenhutters*, they agree with the lutherans in their doctrine and opinions, and only differ from them in their ecclesiastical discipline, and in those religious institutions and rules of life which form the resemblance between the *Bohemium*

brethren and the disciples of Zinzendorff. There are indeed many who doubt much of the truth of this declaration, and suspect that the society now under consideration, and more especially their rulers and ringleaders, speak the language of lutheranism when they are among the lutherans, in order to obtain their favour and indulgence; and those who have examined this matter with the most attention, represent this fraternity as composed of persons of different religions, as well as of various ranks and orders. Be that as it may, it is at least very difficult to guess the reason that induces them to live in such an entire state of separation from the lutheran communion, and to be so ambitiously zealous in augmenting their sect, if the only difference between them and the lutherans lies in the nature of their discipline, and in certain rites and institutions that do not belong to the essence of religion. For the true and genuine followers of Jesus Christ are but little concerned about the outward forms of ecclesiastical government and discipline, knowing that real religion consists in faith and charity, and not in external rites and institutions.

1 It is somewhat surprising to hear Dr. Mosheim speak in such vague and general terms of this sect, without taking the least notice of their pernicions doetrines and their fingitious practices, that do not only disfigure the sacred truths of the gospel, but also sap all the foundations of morality. To be persuaded of this, the reader, beside the accounts which Rimius has given of this enormous sect, will do well to consult a curious preface, prefixed to the French translation of a Pastoral Letter against Fanalicism, addressed by Mr. Stinstra, an anabaptist minister in Friesland, to his congregation, and puplished at Leyden in the year 1752. It may not be amiss to add here a passage, relating to this odious community, from the bishop of Gloucester's treatise. entitled, The Doctrine of Grace. The words of that great and eminent prelate are as follows; "As purity respects practice, the Morarians give us little trouble. If we may eredit the yet unconfuted relations, both in print and in MS. composed by their own members, the participants in their most sacred mysterious rites, their tractices in the consummation of marriage are so horribly, so unspeakably fingitious, that this people seem to have no more pretence to be put into the number of christian sects, than the Turlupins of the thirteenth century, a vagaboud crew of miscreants, who rambled over Italy, France, and Germany, calling themselves the brothers and sisters of the free spirit, who in speculation, professed that species of atheism called pantheism, and in practice, pretended to be exempted from all the obligations of morality and religion." See Doctrine of Grace, 12mo. vol. ii. p. 153. As to the doctrines of this seet, they open a door to the most licentious effects of fanatieism. Such among many others are the following, drawn from the express declarations of count Zinzendorff, the head and founder of the community. That the law is not a rule of life to a believer; that the moral law belongs only to the Jews; that a converted person cannot sin against light. But of all the singularities for which this sect is famous, the notions they entertain of the organs of generation in both sexes are the most enormously wild and extravagant "I consider," says count Zinzendorff, in one of his sermons. "the parts for distinguishing both sexes in christians, as the most honourable of the whole body, my Lord and God having partly inhabited them, and partly worn them himself. This raving sectary looks upon the conjugal act as a piece of scenery, in which the male represents Christ the linsband of souls, and the female the church. "The married brother," says he, "knows matrimony, respects it, but does not think upon it of his own accord; and thus the precious member of the covenant, i.e. the penis, is so much forgot, becomes so useless, and consequently is reduced to such a natural

xviii. It was the opinion of many, that the succours The state of philosophy were absolutely necessary to stem the forming the latter of the torrent of superstition, and stop its growing progress, and that these alone were adapted to accomplish this desirable purpose. Hence the study of philosophy, which, toward the conclusion of the last century, seemed to decline, was now revived, established upon a more rational footing, and pursued with uncommon assiduity and ardour. The branch of philosophy, which is commonly known under the denomination of metaphysics, was generally preferred, as it leads to the first principles of things; and the improvements made in this important science were very considerable. These improvements were owing chiefly to the genius and penetration of the immortal Leibnitz, who cast a new light upon metaphysics, and gave this interesting branch of philosophy a more regular form. This science received a still greater degree of perfection from the philosophical labours of the acute and indefatigable Wolf, who reduced it into a scientific order, and gave its decisions the strength and evidence of a geometrical demonstration. Under this new and respectable form it captivated the attention and esteem of the greatest part of the German philosophers, and of those in general who pursue truth through the paths of strict evidence; and it was applied with great ardour and zeal to illustrate and confirm the great truths both of natural and revealed religion. This application of the first philosophy gave much uneasiness to some pious men, who were extremely solicitous to preserve pure and unmixed the doctrines of Christianity; and it was accordingly opposed by them with great eagerness and obstinacy. Thus the ancient contest between philosophy and theology, faith and reason, was unhappily revived, and has been carried on with much animosity for several years past. For many are of opinion, that this metaphysical philosophy inspires youthful minds with notions that are far from being favourable to the doctrines, and more especially to the positive institutions, of religion; that, seconded by the

numbness, by not being used, that afterward, when he is to marry, and use it, the Saviour unit restore him from this deadness of hody. And when an Esther by grace, and sister according to her make, gets sight of this member, her senses are shut up, and she holily perceives, that God the Son was a boy. Ye holy matrons, who as wives are about your vicechrists, honour that precions sign with the utmost veneration." We beg the chaste reader's pardon for presenting him with this odious specimen of the horrors of the Moravian theology.

warmth of fancy, at that age of levity and presumption, it engenders an arrogant contempt of divine revelation, and an excessive attachment to human reason, as the only infallible guide of man; and that, instead of throwing new light on the science of theology, and giving it an additional air of dignity, it has contributed, on the contrary, to cover it with obscurity, and to sink it into oblivion and con-

xix. In order to justify this heavy charge against the metaphysical philosophy, they appeal to the writings of Laurent Schmidt, whom they com-monly call the Wertheim interpreter, from the

place of his residence. This man, who was by no means destitute of abilities, and had acquired a profound knowledge of the philosophy now under consideration, undertook, some years ago, a new German translation of the holy scriptures, to which he prefixed a new system of theology, drawn up in a geometrical order, that was to serve him as a guide in the exposition of the sacred oracles. This undertaking proved highly detrimental to its author, as it drew upon him from many quarters severe marks of opposition and resentment; for, scarcely had he published the five books of Moses, as a specimen of his method and abilities, when he was not only attacked by several writers, but also brought before the supreme tribunal of the empire, and there accused as an enemy of the christian religion, and a caviller at divine truth. This severe charge was founded upon this circumstance only, that he had boldly departed from the common explication of certain passages in the books of Moses, which are generally supposed to prefigure the Messiah." On this account he was cast into prison, and his errors were looked upon as capitally criminal; but he luckily escaped the vigilance of his keepers, and saved himself by flight.

xx. The bare indication of the controversies that have

divided the lutheran church since the commence-

ment of this century would make up a long list. The contre-The religious contests that were set on foot by the pietislical, and other religious

I m Dr. Mosheim gives here but the half of the accusation brought against Schmidt. in the year 1737, when he was charged with attempting to prove, that there was not the smallest trace or vestige of the doctrine of the Trinity, nor any prediction pointing out the Messiah, to be found in the five books of Moses. It was by the authority of an imperial edict, addressed by Charles VI. to the princes of the empire, that Schmidt was imprisoned

contests, divide the lutheran pietists were carried on in some places with animosity, in others with moderation, according to the characters of the champions, and the temper and spirit of the people. These contests however have gradually subsided in process of time, and seem at present to be all reduced to the following question, "Whether a wicked man be capable of acquiring a true and certain knowledge of divine things, or be susceptible of any degree or species of divine illumination." The controversy that has been excited by this question is considered by many as a mere dispute about words; its decision, at least, is rather a matter of curiosity than importance. Many other points, that had been more or less debated in the last century, occasioned keen contests in this, such as the eternity of hell torments; the reign of Christ upon earth during a thousand years; and the final restoration of all intelligent beings to order, perfection, and happiness. The mild and indulgent sentiments of John Fabricius, professor of divinity at Helmstadt, concerning the importance of the controversy between the lutherans and Roman catholics, excited also a warm debate; for this dector, together with his disciples, went so far as to maintain, that the difference between the two churches was of so little consequence, that a lutheran might safely embrace popery. The famous controversies that have been carried on between certain divines and some eminent civilians, concerning the rites and obligations of wedlock, the lawful grounds of divorce, and the nature and guilt of concubinage, are sufficiently known. Other disputes of inferior moment, which have been of a sudden growth, and of a short duration, we shall pass over in silence, as the knowledge of them is not necessary to our forming an accurate idea of the internal state of the lutheran church.

aspect under which it has been already describaspect under which it has been already describthe reformed ed. For though there be every where extant certain books, creeds, and confessions, by which the
wisdom and vigilance of ancient times thought proper to
perpetuate the truths of religion, and to preserve them from
the contagion of heresy; yet in most places, no person is
obliged to adhere strictly to the doctrines they contain; and

This description the reader will find above, at the beginning of the last century

those who profess the main and fundamental truths of the christian religion, and take care to avoid too great an intimacy with the tenets of socinianism and popery, are deemed worthy members of the reformed church. Hence, in our times, this great and extensive community comprehends, in its bosom, arminians, calvinists, supralapsarians, sublapsarians, and universalists, who live together in charity and friendship, and unite their efforts in healing the breach, and diminishing the weight and importance of those controversies that separate them from each other. This

Would make the ignorant and unwary apt to believe, that the reformed church allows its members certain approaches toward popery and socinianism, provided they do not carry these approaches too far, even to an intimate union with them. This representation of the reformed church is too glaringly false to proceed from ignorance; and Dr. Mosheim's extensive knowledge places him beyond the suspicion of an involuntary mistake in this matter. It is true, this reflection bears hard upon his candour; and we are extremely sorry that we cannot, in this place, do justice to the knowledge

of that great man, without arraigning his equity.

P Nothing can be more unfair, or at least more inaccurate than this representation of things. It proceeds from a supposition that is quite chimerical, even that the reformed churches in England, Scotland, Holland, Germany, Switzerland, &c. form one general body, and have, beside their respective and particular systems of government and discipline, some general laws of religious toleration, in consequence of which they admit a variety of sects into their communion. But this general hierarchy does not exist. The friends of the reformation, whom the multiplied hirrors and absurdities of popery obliged to abandon the communion of Rome, were formed, in process of time, into distinct ecclesiastical bodies, or national churches, every one of which has its peculiar form of government and discipline. The toleration that is enjoyed by the various sects and denominations of curistians arises in part from the elemency of the ruling powers, and from the charity and forbearance which individuals think them-

selves bound to exercise, one toward another. See the following note.

reproach, is, on the contrary, a proof, that the true and genuine spirit of the gospet, which is a spirit of forbearance, mekness, and charity, prevails among the members of the reformed churches. But it must be carefully observed, that this charity, though it discovers the amiable bond of peace, does not, by any means, imply uniformity of sentiment, indifference about truth, or suppose that the reformed churches have relaxed or departed from their system of doctrine. Indeed, as there is no general reformed church, so there is no general reformed Creed or Confession of Faith. The established church of England has its peculiar system of doctrine and government, which remains still unchanged, and in tall force; and to which an assent is demanded from all its members, and in a more especial, solemn, and express manner from those who are its ministers. Such is the case with the national reformed churches in the United Provinces. The dissenters in these countries, who are tolerated by the state, have also their respective honds of ecclesiastical union: and such of them, particularly in England and Ireland, as differ from the establishment only in their form of government and worship, and not in matters of doctrine, are treated with indulgence by the more moderate members of the national church, who look upon them as their brethren.

Construction of this work, I mistook, in a moment of inadvertency, the construction of this sentence in the original Latin, and rendered the passage as if Dr. Mosheim had represented the reformed churches as diminishing the weight and importance of those controversies that separate them from the church of Rome; whereas he represents them, and indeed what he says is rather an encomium than a reproach, as diminishing the weight of those controversies which separate them from each other. One of the circumstance that made me is the more easily into this mistake, was my

moderation is indeed severely censured by many of the reformed doctors in Switzerland, Germany, and more especially in Holland, who lament, in the most sorrowful strains, the decline of the ancient purity and strictness that characterized the doctrine and discipline of the church, and sometimes attack, with the strongest marks of indignation and resentment, these modern contemners of primitive orthodoxy. But as the moderate party has an evident superiority in point of numbers, power, and influence, these attacks of their adversaries are, generally speaking, treated with the utmost indifference.

Whoever therefore considers all these things with due attention, will be obliged to acknowledge, Projects of retained that neither the lutherans nor arminians have, at the reformed and the lutherans. this day, any further subject of controversy or debate with the reformed church, considered in a general point of view, but only with individuals, with private persons that are members of this great community.

having read, the moment before I committed it, Dr. Mosheim's insinuation with respect to the spirit of the church of England in the very next page, where he says very inconsiderately, "that we may judge of that spirit by the conduct of Dr. Wake, who formed a project of peace and union between the English and Galliean churches, founded upon this condition, that each of the two communities should retain the greatest part of their peculiar doctrines." This is supposing, though upon the foundation of a mistaken fact, that the church of England, at least, is making evident approaches to the church of Rome. When I had made the mistake, which turned really an encomium into an accusation, I thought it incumbent on me to defend the reformed church against the charge of an approximation to popery. For this purpose I observed, in note z of the 4to, edition, "that the reformed churches were never at such a distance from the spirit and doctrine of the clinical of Rome as they are at this day; and that the improvements in science, that characterize the last and the present age, seem to render a relapse into Romish superstition morally impossible in those who have been once delivered from its baneful influence." The ingenious anthor of the Confessional did not find this reasoning conclusive; and the objections he has started against it do not appear to me unsurmonntable. I have therefore thrown upon paper some farther throughts upon the present state of the reformed religion, and the influence of improvements in philosophy upon its advancement; and these thoughts the reader will find in the second appendix.

with equal truth, in regard to the Intheraus, whose doctrine concerning the corporal presence of Christ in the eucharist, and the communication of the properties of his divine, to his human nature, is rejected by all the reformed churches, without exception. But it is not universally true, even with respect to the arminians; for though these latter are particularly favoured by the church of England; though arminianism may be said to have become predominant among the members of that church, or at least to have lent its influence in mitigating some of its articles in the private sentiments of those who subscribe them; yet the Thirty Nine Articles of the church of England still maintain their anthority; and when we judge of the doctrine and discipline of any church, it is more natural to form this judgment from its established Creeds and Confession of Taith, than from the sentiments and peniciples of particular persons. So that, with respect to the church of England, the direct contrary of what Dr. Mosheim asserts is strictly true; for it is rather with that church, and its rule of faith, that the lutherans are ut variance, than with private persons, who, prompted by a spririt of christian moderation, mitigate some of its doctrines, in order charitably to extend the limits of its eemmunion. But, if we turn our view to the reformed churches in Hol-

For the church, considered in its collective and general character, allows now to all its members the full liberty of entertaining the sentiments they think most reasonable, in relation to those points of doctrine that formerly excluded the lutherans and arminians from its communion, and looks upon the essence of Christianity and its fundamental truths as in no wise affected by these points, however variously they may be explained by the contending parties. But this moderation, instead of facilitating the execution of the plans that have been proposed by some for the reunion of the lutheran and reformed churches, contributes rather to prevent this reunion. or at least to render it much more difficult. For those among the lutherans who are zealous for the maintenance of the truth, complain, that the reformed church has rendered too wide the way of salvation, and opened the arms of fraternal love and communion, not only to us, lutherans, but also to christians of all sects and all denominations. Accordingly we find that when, about twenty years ago, several emineut doctors of our communion, with the learned and celebrated Matthew Pfaff at their head, employed their good offices with zeal and sincerity in order to our union with the reformed church; this pacific project was so warmly opposed by the greatest part of the lutherans, that it came to nothing in a short time.

xxni. The church of England, which is now the chief and leading branch of that great community that The present goes under the denomination of the reformed state of the church et church, continues in the same state, and is govern-

land, Germany, and a part of Switzerland, the mistake of our author will appear still more palpable; for some of these churches consider certain doctrines, both of the arminians and lutherans, as a just cause of excluding them from their communion. The question here is not, whether this rigour is laudable; it is the matter of fact that we are examining at present. The church of England indeed, if we consider its present temper and spirit, does not look upon any of the errors of the lutherans as fundamental, and is therefore ready to receive them into its communion; and the same thing may, perhaps, be affirmed of several of the reformed churches upon the continent. But this is very far from being a proof, that the lutherans have at this day, as Dr. Mosneim asserts, no further subject of controversy or debute with these churches; it only proves, that these churches nourish a spirit of toleration and charity corthy of imitation.

The project of the very pious and learned Dr. Pfaff for uniting the lutheran and reformed churches, and the reasons on which he justified this project, are worthy of the truly christian spirit, and do honour to the accurate and sound judgment of that most eminent and excellent divine." And it is somewhat surprising, considering the proofs of moderation and judgment that Dr. Mosheim has given in other parts of this valuable history, that he neither mentions the project of Dr. Pfaff with applause, ner the stiffness of the lutherans on this occasion, with any mark of disapprobation.

To a Seekhis learned author's Collect a Scriptorum Leen borum of "a r in enter P ale to deef an information of the attention at Hall in Saxony, in the jear 1725.

ed by the same principles, that it assumed at the revolution under the reign of king William III. The established form of church government is episcopacy, which is embraced by the sovereign, the nobility, and the greatest part of the people. The presbyterians, and the numerous sects of different denominations that are comprehended under the general title of nonconformists, enjoy the sweets of religious liberty, under the influence of a legal toleration. Those indeed who are best acquainted with the present state of the English nation, tell us, that the dissenting interest declines from day to day, and that the cause of nonconformity owes this gradual decay, in a great measure, to the lenity and moderation that are practised by the rulers of the established church. The members of this church may be divided into two classes, according to their different ideas of the origin, extent, and dignity of episcopal jurisdiction. For some look upon the government of bishops to be founded on the authority of a divine institution, and are immoderately zealous in extending the power and prerogatives of the church; others, of a more mild and sedate spirit, while they consider the episcopal form of government as far superior to every other system of ecclesiastical polity, and warmly recommend all the precautions that are necessary to its preservation and the independence of the clergy, yet do not carry this attachment to such an excessive degree, as to refuse the name of a church to every religious community that is not governed by a bishop, or to defend the prerogatives and pretensions of the episcopal order with an intemperate zeal." These two classes are sometimes involved in warm debates, and oppose each other with no small degree of animosity, of which this present century has exhibited the following remarkable example. Dr. Benjamin Hoadley, the present bishop of Winchester, a prelate eminently distinguished by the accuracy of his judgment, and the purity of his flowing and manly eloquence, used his utmost endeavours, and not without success, to lower the authority of the church, or at least to

The learned and pious archbishop Wake, in a letter to father Courrayer, dated from Croydon House, July 9, 1724, expresseth himself thus: "I bless God that I was born and have been bred in an episcopal church; which I am convinced has been the government established in the christian church from the very time of the apostles. But I should be unwilling to affirm, that where the ministry is not episcopal, there is no church, nor any true administration of the sacraments. And very many there are among us who are zealous for episcopacy, yet dare not go so far as to annul the ordinances of God performed by any other ministry.

reduce the power of its rulers within narrow bounds. On the other hand, the church and its rulers found several able defenders; and, among the rest, Dr. John Potter, now archbishop of Canterbury, who maintained the rights and pretensions of the clergy with great eloquence and crudition. As to the spirit of the established church of England, in relation to those who dissent from its rule of doctrine and government, we see it no where better than in the conduct of Dr. Wake, archbishop of Canterbury, who formed a project of peace and union between the English and Gallican churches, founded upon this condition, that each of the two communities should retain the greatest part of their respective and peculiar doctrines.^w

xxiv. The unbounded liberty which every individual in

England enjoys of publishing, without restraint, his religious opinions, and of worshipping God in the manner he thinks the most conformable to reason and scripture, naturally produces a variety of sects, and gives rise to an uninterrupted succession of controversies about theological matters. It is scarcely possible for any historian, that has not resided for some time in England, and examined with attention, upon the spot, the laws, the privileges, the factions, and opinions of that free and happy people, to give a just and accurate account of these religious sects and controversies. Even the names of the greatest part of these sects have not as yet reached us, and many of those that are come to our knowledge. we know but imperfectly. We are greatly in the dark with respect to the grounds and principles of these controversies, because we are destitute of the sources from whence proper information must be drawn. At present the ministerial labours of George Whitefield, who has formed a

Frenchmen on this subject, particularly with Du Pin, the ecclesiastical historian; and no doubt the archbishop, when he assisted Courrayer in his Defence of the validity of the English Ordinations, by furnishing him with unanswerable proofs drawn from the registers at Lambeth, had it in his view to remove certain groundless prejudices, which, while they subsisted among Roman catholies, could not but defeat all projects of peace and union between the English and Gallican churches. The interests of the protestant religion could not be in safer hands than archbishop Wake's. He who had so ably and so successfully defended protestantism, as a controversial writer, could not surely form any project of peace and union with a Roman catholic church, the terms of which would have reflected on his character as a negotiator. This note has been misunderstood and censured by the acute author of the Confessional. This censure gave occasion to the third Appendix, which the reader will find at the end of this volume, and in which the matter contained in this note is fully illustrated, and the conduct of archbishop Wake set in its true light.

community, which he proposes to render superior in sanctity and perfection to all other christian churches, make a considerable noise in England, and are not altogether destitute of success. If there is any consistency in this man's theological system, and he is not to be looked upon as an enthusiast, who follows no rule but the blind impulse of an irregular fancy, his doctrine seems to amount to these two propositions: "That true religion consists alone in holy affections, and in a certain inward feeling, which it is impossible to explain; and that christians ought not to seek truth by the dictates of reason, or by the aids of learning, but by laying their minds open to the direction and influence of divine illumination."

xxv. The Dutch church is still divided by the controversies that arose from the philosophy of Des Carof the Dutch tes and the theology of Cocceius; though these controversies be carried on with less bitterness and animosity at present than in former times. It is even to be hoped that these contests will soon be totally extinguished; since it is well known that the newtonian philosophy has expelled cartesianism from almost all the academies and schools of learning in the United Provinces. We have already mentioned the debates that were occasioned by the opinions of Roell. In the year 1703, Frederic Van Leenhof was suspected of a propensity toward the system of Spinoza, and drew upon him a multitude of adversaries, on account of a remarkable book, entitled, Heaven upon Earth; in which he maintained literally, that it was the duty of christians to rejoice always, and to suffer no feelings of affliction and sorrow to interrupt their gaiety. The same accusations were brought against an illiterate man, named William Deurhoff, who, in some treatises composed in the Dutch language, represented the Divine Nature under the idea of a certain force, or energy, that is diffused throughout the whole universe, and acts in every part of the great fabric. The most recent controversies that have made a noise in Holland, were those that sprung from the opinions of Mr. James Saurin, and Mr. Paul Maty, on two very different subjects. The former, who was minister of the French in the Hague, and acquired a shining reputation by his genius and eloquence, fell into an error, which, if it may be called such, was at least an error of a very pardonable kind. For, if we ex-

cept some inaccurate and unwary expressions, his only deviation from the received opinions consisted in his maintaining, that it was sometimes lawful to swere from truth, and to deceive men by our speech in order to the attainment of some great and important good.* This sentiment was not relished, as the most considerable part of the reformed churches adopt the doctrine of Augustin, "That a lie or a violation of the truth can never be allowable in itself, or advantageous in the issue.' The conduct of Maty was much more worthy of condemnation; for, in order to explain the mystery of the Trinity, he invented the following unsatisfactory hypothesis; "That the Son and the Holy Ghost were two finite Beings, that had been created by God, and at a certain time were united to the Divine nature. 279 xxvi. The particular confession of faith, that we have

already had occasion to mention under the denomination of the Formulary of Agreement or The disputes Concord, has, since the commencement of this land concernentury, produced warm and vehement contests sus, or Form of sus, or Form of the Agreement of this land Consensus, or Form of the Agreement Contests sus, or Form of the Agreement Contests sus, or Form of the Agreement Contests sus, or Form of the Cont in Switzerland, and more especially in the canton of Berne. In the year 1718, the magistrates of Berne published an order, by which all professors and pastors, particularly those of the university and church of Lausanne, who were suspected of entertaining any erroneous opinions, were obliged to declare their assent to this For-

IF x See Saurin's Discours Historiques, Theologiques, Critiques, et Moraux, sur les crenements les plus memorables du Vieux et du Nouveau Testament, tom. i. of the folio edition.

Ty Dr. Mosheim, in another of his learned productions, has explained in a more accurate and circumstantial manner the hypothesis of Maty, which amounts to the following propositions; "That the Father is the pure Deity; and that the Son and the Holy Ghost are two other persons, in each of whom there are two natures; one divine, which is the same in all the three persons, and with respect to which they are one and the same God, having the same numerical divine essence: and the other a finite and dependent nature, which is united to the divine nature in the same manner in which the orthodox say, that Jesus Christ is God and Man." See Moshemi Dissertationes ad Historiam Ecclesiasticam pertinentes, published at Altena, in the year 1743, vol. ii. p. 498. But principally the original work of Mr. Maty, which was published, at the Hague, in the year 1729, under the following title; Lettre d'un Theologien a un autre Theologien sur le Mystere de Trinite. The publication not this hypothesis was unnecessary, as it was really destitute even of the merit of novelty, being very little more than a repetition of what Dr. Thomas Burnet, prebendary of Sarum, and rector of West Kington in Wiltshire, had said, about ten years before, upon this mysterions subject, which nothing but presumption can make any man attempt to render intelligible. See a treatise published, without his name, by Dr. Burnet, in the year 1720, under the following title; 'The Scripture Trinity intelligibly explained; or, An Essay toward the Demonstration of a Trinity in Unity from Reason and Scripture, in a Chain of Consequences from certain Pinciples, &c. by a divine of the church of England. See also the same author's 'Scripture Doctrine of the Redemption of the World by Chri. t. intelligibly explained,' &c. Ty Dr. Mosheim, in another of his learned productions, has explained in a

mulary, and to adopt it as the rule of their faith. This injunction was so much the more grievous, as no demand of that kind had been made for some time before this period; and the custom of requiring subscription to this famous confession had been suspended in the case of several. who were promoted in the academy, or had entered into the church. Accordingly, many pastors and candidates for holy orders refused the assent that was demanded by the magistrates, and some of them were punished for this refusal. Hence arose warm contests and heavy complaints, which engaged the king of Great Britain, and the states general of the United Provinces, to offer their intercession, in order to terminate these unhappy divisions; and hence the Formulary under consideration lost much of its credit and authority. Nothing memorable happened during this period in the German churches. The reformed church that was established in the palatinate, and had formerly been in such a flourishing state, suffered greatly from the persecuting spirit and the malignant counsels of the votaries of Rome.

different countries of Europe, have never hitherto been able to form a separate congregation, or
to celebrate publicly divine worship, in a manner conformable to the institutions of their sect; though it is well
known that, in several places, they hold clandestine meetings of a religious kind. The person that made the principal figure among them in this century, was the learned
Samuel Crellius, who died in an advanced age at Amsterdam; he indeed preferred the denomination of artemonite before that of socinian, and really departed, in many
points, from the received doctrines of that sect.

The arians found a learned and resolute patron in William Whiston, professor of mathematics in the university of Cambridge, who defended their doctrine in various productions, and chose rather to resign his chair, than to renounce his opinions. He was followed in these opinions, as is commonly supposed, by Dr. Samuel Clarke, a man of great abilities, judgment, and learning, who, in the year 1724, was charged with altering and modifying the ancient and orthodox doctrine of the Trinity.² But it must argue

of knowledge, and so much hurt the spirit of charity, as the controversies that have

a great want of equity and candour, to rank this eminent man in the class of arians, taking that term in its proper and natural signification; for he only maintained what is

been carried on in the christian church in relation to the doctrine of the Trinity. Mr. Whiston was one of the first divines who revived this controversy in the eighteenth century. About the year 1706, he began to entertain some doubts about the proper cternity and omniscience of Christ. This led him to review the popular doctrine of the Trinity; and, in order to execute this review with a degree of diligence and circumspection suitable to its importance, he read the New Testament twice over, and also all the ancient genuine monuments of the christian religion till near the conclusion of the second century. By this inquiry, he was led to think, that, at the incarnation of Christ, the Logos, or Eternal Wisdom, supplied the place of the rational soul or wreyna; that the cternity of the Son of God was not a real distinct existence, as of a son properly coelerna! with his father by a true eternal generation, but rather a metaphysical existence in potentia, or in some sublimer manner in the father, as his wisdom or word; that Christ's real creation or generation, for both these terms are used by the earliest writers, took place some time before the creation of the world: that the council of Nice itself established no other eternity of Christ; and, finally, that the arian doctrine in these points was the original doctrine of Christ himself, of his holy apostles, and of the most primitive christians. Mr. Whiston was confirmed in these sentiments by reading Novatian's Treatise concerning the Trinity; but more especially by the perusal of the Apostolical Constitutions, the antiquity and authenticity of which he endeavoured, with more zeal than precision and prudence, to prove, in the third part of his Primitive Christianity Revived.

This learned visionary, and upright man, was a considerable sufferer by his opinions. He was not only removed from his theological and pastoral functions, but also from his mathematical professorship, as if arianism had extended its baneful influence even to the science of lines, angles, and surfaces. This measure was undoubtedly singular, and it appeared rigid and severe to all those, of both parties, who were dispassionate enough to see things in their true point of light. And indeed, though we should grant that the good man's mathematics might, by erroneous conclusions, have corrupted his orthodoxy, yet it will still remain extremely difficult to comprehend, how his heterodoxy could hurt his mathematics. It was not therefore consistent, either with elemency or good sense, to turn Mr. Whiston out of his mathematical chair, because he did not believe the explication of the Trinity that is given in the athanasian creed; and I mention this as an instance of the unfair proceedings of immoderate zeal, which often confounds the plainest distinctions, and deals its punish-

ments without measure or proportion.

Dr. Samuel Clarke stepped also aside from the notions commonly received concerning the Trinity; but his modification of this doctrine was not so remote from the popular and orthodox hypothesis, as the sentiment of Whiston. His method of inquiring into that incomprehensible subject was modest, and, at least, promised fair as a guide to truth. For he did not begin by abstract and metaphysical reasonings in his illustrations of this doctrine, but turned his first researches to the word and to the testimony, persuaded that, as the doctrine of the Trinity was a matter of mere revelation, all human explications of it must be tried by the declarations of the New Testament, interpreted by the rules of grammar, and the principles of sound criticism. It was this persuasion that produced the doctor's famous book, entitled, The Scripture Doctrine of the Trinity, wherein every Text in the New Testament relating to that Doctrine is distinctly considered, and the Divinity of our blessed Saciour, according to the Scriptures, proved and explained. The doctrine which this learned divine drew from his researches, was comprehended in hy propositions, which, with the proper illustrations, form the second part of this work. The reader will find them there at full length. We shall only observe here, that Dr. Clarke, if he was careful in scarehing after the true meaning of those scripture expressions, that relate to the divinity of the Son and the Holy Ghost, was equally circumspect in avoiding the accusation of heterodoxy, as appears by the scries of propositions now referred to. There are three great rocks of heresy, on which many hold adventurers on this antipacific ocean have been seen to split violently. These rocks are tritheism, sabellianism, and arianism. Dr. Clarke got evidently clear of the first, by denying the self existence of the Son and the Holy Ghost, and by maintaining their derivation from, and subordination to the Father. He laboured hard to avoid the second, by acknowledging the

commonly called the arminian subordination, which has been, and is still adopted by some of the greatest men in England, and even by some of the most learned bishops of This doctrine he illustrated with greater care that nation. and perspicuity than any before him had done, and taught

personality and distinct agency of the Son and the Holy Ghost; and he flattered himself with having escaped from the dangers of the third, by his asserting the eternity, for the doctor believed the possibility of an eternal production which Whiston could not digest, of the two divine subordinate persons. But with all his circumspection, Dr. Clarke did not escape opposition and censure. He was abused and answered, and heresy was subdivided and modified, in order to give him an opprobrious title, even that of semiarian. The convocation threatened, and the doctor calmed by his prudence the apprehension and fears which his scripture doctrine of the Trinity had excited in that learned and reverend assembly. An authentic account of the proceedings of the two houses of convocation upon this occasion, and of Dr. Clarke's conduct in consequence of the complaints that were made against his book, may be seen in a piece supposed to have been written by the Rev. Mr. John Lawrence, and published at London in Svo. in the year 1714, under the following title: 'An Apology for Dr. Clarke, containing an account of the late Proceedings in Convocation upon his Writings concerning the Trinity.' The true copies of all the original papers relat-

ing to this affair are published in this apology.

If Dr. Clarke was attacked by authority, he was also combated by argument. The learned Dr. Waterland was one of his principal adversaries, and stands at the head of a polemical body composed of eminent divines, such as Gastrel, Wells, Nelson, Mayo, Knight, and others, who appeared in this controversy. Against these, Dr. Clarke, unawed by their numbers, defended himself with great spirit and perseverance, in several letters and replies. This prolonged a controversy, which may often be suspended through the fatigue of the combatants, or the change of the mode in the ological researches, but which will probably never be terminated; for nothing affords such an endless subject of debate as a doctrine above the reach of human understanding, and expressed in the ambiguous and improper terms of human language, such as persons, generation, substance, &c. which in this controversy either convey no ideas at all, or false ones. The inconveniences, accordingly, of departing from the divine simplicity of the scripture language on this subject, and of making a matter of mere revelation an object of human reasoning, were palpable in the writings of both the contending parties. For if Dr. Clarke was accused of verging toward arianism, by maintaining the derived and cansed existence of the Son and the Holy Ghost, it seemed no less evident that Dr. Waterland was verging toward tritheiser, by maintaining the self existence and independence of these divine persons, and by asserting that the subordination of the Son to the Father is only a subordination of office, and not of BATURE. So that if the former divine was deservedly called a semiarian, the latter might, with equal justice, be denominated a semitritheist. The difference between these two learned men lay in this, that Dr. Clarke, after making a faithful collection of the texts in scripture that relate to the Trinity, thought proper to interpret them by the maxims and rules of right reasoning, that are used on other subjects: whereas Dr. Waterland denied that this method of reasoning was to be admitted in illustrating the doctrine of the Trinity, which was far exalted above the sphere of human reason, and therefore he took the texts of scripture in their direct, literal, and grammatical sense. Dr. Waterland, however, employed the words persons, subsistence, &c. as useful for fixing the notion of distinction; the words uncreated, eternal, and immutable, for uscertaining the divinity of each person; and the words interior generation and procession, to indicate their union. This was departing from his grammatical method, which ought to have led him to this plain conclusion, that the Son and the Holy Ghost, to whom divine attributes are ascribed in scripture, and even the denomination of God to the former, possess these attributes in a manner which it is impossible for us to understand in this present state, and the understanding of which is consequently unessential to our sulvation and happiness. The doctor, indeed, apologizes in his queries, p. 321, for the use of these metaphysical terms, by observing, that "they are not designed to enlarge our views, or to add any thing to our stock of ideas, but to secure the plain fundamental truth, That Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, are all strictly divine, and uncreated; and yet are not three Gods, but one God." It is, however, difficult to comprehend how terms, that neither cularge our views, nor give

that the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost are equal in nature, and different in rank, authority, and subordination. great number of English writers have endeavoured, in a variety of ways, to invalidate and undermine the doctrine of the Holy Trinity; and it was this consideration that engaged a lady, be eminently distinguished by her orthodoxy and opulence, to leave by her testament a rich legacy as a foundation for a lecture, in which eight sermons are preached annually by a learned divine, who is nominated to that office by the trustees. This foundation has subsisted since the year 1720, and promises to posterity an ample collection of learned productions in defence of this branch of the christian faith.

us ideas, can secure any truth. It is difficult to conceive what our faith gains by being entertained with a certain number of sounds. If a Chinese should explain a term of his language which I did not understand, by another term, which be knew heforehand that I understood as little, his conduct would be justly considered as an insult against the rules of conversation and good breeding; and, I think it is an equal violation of the equitable principles of candid controversy, to offer as illustrations, propositions or terms that are as unintelligible and obscure as the thing to be illustrated. The words of the excellent and learned Stillingfact in the Preface to his Vive ed. The words of the excellent and learned Stillingfleet, in the Preface to his Vindication of the Doctrine of the Trinity, administer a plain and a wise rule, which, were it observed by divines, would greatly contribute to heal the wounds which both truth and charity have received in this controversy. "Since both sides yield, says he, that the matter they dispute about is above their reach, the wisest course they can take is, to assert and defend what is revealed and not to be peremptory and quarrelsome about that which is acknowledged to he above our comprehension; I mean as to the manner how the three persons partake of the divine nature.

Those who are desirous of a more minute historical view of the manner in which the trinitarian controversy has heen carried on during this present century, may consult a pamphlet, entitled, 'An Account of all the considerable Books and Pamphlets that have been wrote on either Side in the Controversy concerning the Trinity since the year 1712; in which is also contained, an Account of the Pamphlets written this last year, on each side, by the Dissenters, to the end of the year 1719. This pamphlet was published at London in the year 1720. The more recent treatises on the subject

of the Trinity are sufficiently known.

a It will appear to those who read the preceding note z, that Dr. Mosheim has here mistaken the true hypothesis of Dr. Clarke, or, at least, expresseth it imperfectly; for what he says here is rather applicable to the opinion of Dr. Waterland. Dr. Clarke maintained an equality of perfections between the three Persons; but a subordination of nature in point of existence and derivation.

b Lady Moyer.

APPENDIX II.

SOME OBSERVATIONS, RELATIVE TO THE PRESENT STATE OF THE REFORMED RE-LIGION, AND THE INFLUENCE OF IMPROVEMENTS IN PHILOSOPHY AND SCIENCE ON ITS PROPAGATION AND ADVANCEMENT;

OCCASIONED BY SOME PASSAGES IN THE PREFACE TO A BOOK ENTITLED, THE CONFESSIONAL.

In one of the notes,^a which I added to those of Dr. Mosheim, in my translation of his Ecclesiastical History, I observed that "the reformed churches were never at such a distance from the spirit and doctrine of the church of Rome as they are at this day; that the improvements in science, that characterize the last and the present age, seem to render a relapse into Romish superstition morally impossible in those who have been once delivered from its baneful influence; and that, if the dawn of science and philosophy toward the end of the sixteenth and the commencement of the seventeenth centuries, was favourable to the cause of the reformation, their progress, which has a kind of influence even upon the multitude, must confirm us in the principles that occasioned our separation from the church of Rome."

This reasoning did not appear conclusive to the ingenious author of the confessional, who has accordingly made some critical reflections upon it in the preface to that work. However, upon an impartial view of these reflections, I find that this author's excessive apprehensions of the progress of popery have had an undue influence on his method of reasoning on this subject. He supposes, preface, p. 59 and 60, that the improvements in science and philosophy, in some popish countries, have been as considerable as in any reformed country; and afterward asks, "what intelligence we have from these popish countries of a proportionable progress of religious reformation? Have we no reason to suspect, adds he, that, if an accurate account were to be taken, the balance, in point of conversions, in the most improved of these countries, would be greatly against the reformed religion?"

a See volume ii. p. 573, of the quarto edition. This note was occasioned by my mistaking, in a moment of inadvertency, the true sense of the passage to which it relates. This mistake I have corrected in the octavo edition, and in the supplement to the quarto edition.

I cannot see how these observations, or rather conjectures, even were they founded in truth and fact, tend to prove my reasoning inconclusive. I observed, that the progress of science was adapted to confirm us protestants in the belief and profession of the reformed religion; and I had here in view, as every one may see, those countries in which the protestant religion is established; and this author answers me by observing, that the progress of the reformation in some popish countries is not proportionable to the progress of science and philosophy in these countries. This, surely, is no answer at all; since there are in popish countries accidental circumstances that counteract, in favour of popery, the influence of those improvements in science, which are in direct opposition to its propagation and advancement; circumstances that I shall consider presently, and which do not exist in protestant states. This subject is interesting; and I therefore presume, that some farther thoughts upon it, will not be disagreeable to the can-

The sagacious author of the confessional cannot, I think, seriously call in question the natural tendency of improvements in learning and science to strengthen and confirm the cause of the reformation. For as the foundations of popery are a blind submission to an usurped anthority over the understandings and consciences of men, and an implicit credulity that adopts, without examination, the miracles and visions that derive their existence from the crazy brains of fanatics, or the Incrative artifice of impostors, so it is unquestionably evident, that the progress of sound philosophy, and the spirit of free inquiry it produces, strikes directly at these foundations. I say the progress of sound philosophy, that the most unattentive reader may not be tempted to imagine, as the author of the confessional has been informed, preface, page 60, that "improvements in philosophy have made many sceptics in all churches, reformed and unreformed." For I am persuaded, that as true Christianity can never lead to superstition, so true philosophy will never be a guide to infidelity and scepticism. We must not be deceived with the name of philosophers, which some poets and wits have assumed in our days, particularly apon the continent, and which many lavish upon certain subtile refiners in dialectics, who bear a much greater resemblance of overweening sophists, than of real

sages. We must not be so far lost to all power of distinguishing, as to confound, in one common mass, the philosophy of a Bacon, a Newton, a Boyle, and a Niewentyt, with the incoherent views and rhetorical rants of a Bolingbroke, or the flimsy sophistry of a Voltaire. And though candour must acknowledge, that some men of true learning have been so unhappy as to fall into infidelity, and charity must weep to see a Hume and D'Alembert joining a set of men that are unworthy of their society, and covering a dark and uncomfortable system with the lustre of their superior talents, yet equity itself may safely affirm, that neither their science nor their genius are the causes of their scepticism.

But if the progress of science and free inquiry have a natural tendency to destroy the foundations of popery, how comes it to pass that in popish countries the progress of the reformation bears no proportion to the progress of science? and how can we account for the ground which popery, if the apprehensions of the author of the confes-

sional are well founded, gains even in England?

Before I answer the first of these questions, it may be proper to consider the matter of fact, and to examine, for a moment, the state of science and philosophy in popish countries; this examination, if I am not mistaken, will confirm the theory I have laid down with respect to the influence of philosophical improvement upon true religion. Let us then turn our view first to one of the most considerable countries in Europe, I mean Germany; and here we shall be struck with this undoubted fact, that it is in the protestant part of this vast region only, that the improvements of science and philosophy appear, while the barbarism of the fifteenth century reigns, as yet, in those districts of the empire that profess the Romish religion. The celebrated M. D'Alembert, in his treatise, entitled, De l'abus de la Critique en matiere de Religion, makes the following remarkable observation on this head; "We must acknowledge, though with sorrow, the present superiority of the protestant universities in Germany over those of the Romish persuasion. This superiority is so striking, that foreigners who travel through the empire, and pass from a Romish academy to a protestant university, even in the same neighbourhood, are induced to think that they have rode, in an hour, four hundred leagues, or lived, in that

short space of time, four hundred years; that they have passed from Salamanca to Cambridge, or from the times of Scotus to those of Newton. Will it be believed, says the same author, in succeeding ages, that, in the year 1750, a book was published in one of the principal cities of Europe, Vienna, with the following title; "Systema Aristotelicum de formis substantialibus et accidentibus absolutis, i. e. The Aristotelian System concerning substantial forms and absolute accidents?" Will it not rather be supposed, that this date is an error of the press, and that 1550 is the true reading? See D'Alembert's Melanges de Literature, d'Histoire & de Philosophic, vol. iv. p. 376. This fact seems evidently to show the connexion that there is between improvements in science and the free spirit of the reformed religion. The state of letters and philosophy in Italy and Spain, where cannon law, monkish literature, and scholastic metaphysics, have reigned during such a long course of ages, exhibits the same gloomy spectacle. Some rays of philosophical light are now breaking through the cloud in Italy; Boscovich, and some geniuses of the same stamp, have dared to hold up the lamp of science, without feeling the rigour of the inquisition, or meeting with the fate of Galilei. If this dawning revolution be brought to any degree of perfection, it may, in due time, produce effects that at present we have little hopes of.

France, indeed, seems to be the country which the author of the confessional has principally in view, when he speaks of a considerable progress in philosophy in popish states that has not been attended with a proportionable influence on the reformation of religion. He even imagines, that "if an account were to be taken, the balance, in point of conversions, in this most improved of the popish countries, would be greatly against the reformed religion." The reader will perceive, that I might grant this, without giving up any thing that I maintained in the note which this judicious author censures. I shall however examine this notion, that we may see whether it is to be adopted without restriction; and perhaps it may appear, that the improvements in philosophy have had more influence on the spirit of religion in France than this author is willing to

allow.

And here I observe, in the first place, that it is no easy matter either for him or for me to calculate the number of

VOL. IV. 28

conversions that are made, on both sides, by priests armed with the secular power, and protestant ministers discouraged by the frowns of government and the terrors of persecution. If we judge of this matter by the external face of things, the calculation may, indeed, be favourable to his hypothesis, since the apostate protestant comes forth to view, and is publicly enrolled in the registers of the church, while the converted papist is obliged to conceal his profession, and to approach the truth, like Nicodemus, secretly and by night. This evident diversity of circumstances, in the respective proselytes, shows that we are not to form our judgment by external appearances, and renders it but equitable to presume, that the progress of knowledge may have produced many examples of the progress of reformation, which do not strike the eye of the public. It is not, in effect, to be presumed, that if either a toleration, or even an indulgent connivance, were granted to French protestants, many would appear friends of the reformation, who, at-present, have not sufficient strength of mind to become martyrs, or confessors, in its cause. History informs us of the rapid progress the reformation made in France in former times, when a legal toleration was granted to its friends. When this toleration was withdrawn, an immense number of protestants abandoned their country, their relations, and their fortunes, for the sake of their religion. But when that abominable system of tyranny was set up, which would neither permit the protestants to profess their religion at home, nor to seek for the enjoyment of religious liberty abroad; and when they were thus reduced to the sad alternative of dissimulation or martyrdom, the courage of many failed, though their persuasion remained the In the south of France many continued, and still continue, their profession, even in the face of those booted apostles, who are sent, from time to time, to dragoon them into popery. In other places, particularly in the metropolis, where the empire of the mode, the allurements of court favour, the dread of persecution, unite their influence in favour of popery, the public profession of protestantism lies under heavy discouragements, and would require a zeal that rises to heroism; a thing too rare in modern times! in a word, a religion, like popery, which forms the main spring in the political machine, which is doubly armed with allurements and terrors, must damp the fortitude of

the feeble friend to truth, and attract the external respect

even of libertines, freethinkers, and sceptics.

But, in the second place, if it should be alleged, that men eminent for learning and genius have adhered seriously to the profession of popery, the fact cannot be denied. But what does it prove? It proves only that, in such persons, there are circumstances that counteract the natural influence of learning and science. It cannot be expected that the influence of learning and philosophy will always obtain a complete victory over the attachment to a superstitious church, that is riveted by the early prejudices of education, by impressions formed by the examples of respectable personages who have professed and defended the doctrine of that church, by a habit of veneration for authority, and by numberless associations of ideas, whose combined influence gives a wonderful bias to the mind, and renders the impartial pursuit of truth extremely difficult. Thus knowledge is acquired with an express design to strengthen previous impressions and prejudices. Thus many make considerable improvements in science, who have never once rentured to review their religious principles, or to examine the authority on which they have been

Others observe egregious abuses in the Romish church, and are satisfied with rejecting them in secret, without thinking them sufficient to justify a separation. This class is extremely numerous; and it cannot be said that the improvements in science have had no effect upon their religious sentiments. They are neither thorough papists nor entire protestants; but they are manifestly verging toward

the reformation.

Nearly allied to this class is another set of men, whose case is singular, and worthy of attention. Even in the bosom of the Romish church they have tolerably just notions of the sublime simplicity and genuine beauty of the christian religion; but, either from false reasonings upon human nature, or an observation of the powerful impressions that authority makes upon the credulity, and a pompous ritual upon the senses of the multitude, imagine that Christianity, in its native form, is too pure and elevated for vulgar souls, and therefore countenance and maintain the absurdities of popery, from a notion of their utility. Those who conversed intimately with the sublime Fene-

lon, archbishop of Cambray, have declared, that such was the nature of his sentiments with respect to the public re-

ligion of his country.

To all this I may add, that a notion of the necessity of a visible universal church, and of a visible centre or bond of union, has led many to adhere to the papacy, considered in this light, who look upon some of the principal and fundamental doctrines of the Romish church to be erroneous and extravagant. Such is the case of the learned and worthy Dr. Courrayer, whose upright fortitude in declaring his sentiments obliged him to seek an asylum in England; and who, notwithstanding his persuasion of the absurdities which abound in the church of Rome, has never totally separated himself from its communion. And such is known to be the case with many men of learning and picty in that church.

Thus it happens, that particular and accidental circumstances counteract, in favour of popery, the natural effects of improvements in learning and philosophy, which have their full and proper influence in protestant countries, where any thing that resembles these circumstances is di-

rectly in favour of the reformed religion.

But I beg that it may be attentively observed, in the third place, that notwithstanding all these particular and accidental obstacles to the progress of the reformation among men of knowledge and letters, the spirit of the reformation has, in fact, gained more ground than the ingenious author of the confessional scems to imagine. I think it must be allowed, that every branch of superstition that is retrenched from popery, and every portion of authority that is taken from its pontiff, is a real gain to the cause of the reformation; and though it does not render that cause absolutely triumphant, yet prepares the way for its progress and advancement. Now, in this point of view, I am persuaded it will appear that, for twenty or thirty years past, the reformation, or at least its spirit, has rather gained than lost ground in Roman Catholic states. In several countrics, and more particularly in France, many of the gross abuses of popery have been corrected. We have seen the saintly legend, in many places, deprived of its fairest honours. We have seen a mortal blow given in France to the absolute power of the pope. What is still more surprising, we have seen, even in Spain and Portugal, strong

lines of a spirit of opposition to the pretended infallible ruler of the church. We have seen the very order, that has been always eonsidered as the chief support of the papacy. the order of the jesuits, the fundamental characteristic of whose institute is an inviolable obligation to extend beyond all limits, the despotic authority of the Roman pontiffs: we have seen, I say, that order suppressed, banished, covered with deserved infamy, in three powerful kingdoms; and we see, at this moment, their credit declining in other Roman eatholic states. We see, in several popish countries, and more especially in France, the holy scriptures more generally in the hands of the people than in former times. We have seen the senate of Venice, not many months ago. suppressing, by an express edict, the officers of the inquisition in all the small towns, reducing their power to a shadow in the larger cities, extending the liberty of the press; and all this in a steady opposition to the repeated remonstrances of the court of Rome. These, and many other facts that might be collected here, facts of a recent date, show that the essential spirit of popery, which is a spirit of unlimited despotism in the pretended head of the church, and a spirit of blind submission and superstition in its members, is rather losing than gaining ground, even in those countries that still profess the religion of

If this be the case, it would seem, indeed, very strange, that popery, which is losing ground at home, should be gaining it abroad, and acquiring new strength, as some imagine, even in protestant countries. This, at first sight, must appear a paradox of the most enormous size; and it is to be hoped that it will continue to appear such, upon the closest examination. While the spirit and vigour of popery are actually declining on the continent, I would fondly hope, that the apprehensions of some worthy persons, with respect to its progress in England, are without foundation. To account for the growth of popery, in an age of light, would be incumbent upon me, if the fact were true. Until this fact be proved, I may be excused from undertaking such a task. The famous story of the golden tooth, that employed the laborious researches of physicians, chemists, and philosophers, stands upon record, as a warn-

b France, Spain, and Portugal.

- This edict was issent out in the mouth of February, 1767

ing to those who are over hasty to account for a thing which has no existence. My distance from England, during many years past, renders me, indeed, less capable o judging concerning the state of popery, than those who are upon the spot. I shall therefore confine myself to

few reflections upon this interesting subject.

When it is said that popery gains ground in England one of the two following things must be meant by this ex pression; either that the spirit of the established and othe reformed churches is leaning that way; or that a number of individuals are made proselytes, by the seduction of po pish emissaries, to the Romish communion. With respec to the established church, I think that a candid and accu rate observer must vindicate it from the charge of a spiri of approximation to Rome. We do not live in the days o a Laud; nor do his successors seem to have imbibed hi spirit. I do not hear that the claims of church power are carried high in the present times, or that a spirit of intoler ance characterizes the episcopal hierarchy. And though it were to be wished, that the case of subscription were to be made easier to good and learned men, whose scruples deserve indulgence, and were better accommodated to what is known to be the reigning theology among the episcopal clergy, yet it is straining matters too far to allege the demand of subscription as a proof that the established church is verging toward popery. As to the protestant dissenting churches in England and Ireland, they stand so avowedly clear of all imputations of this nature, that it is utterly unnecessary to vindicate them on this head. If any thing of this kind is to be apprehended from any quarter within the pale of the reformation, it is from the quarter of fanaticism, which, by discrediting free inquiry, crying down human learning, and encouraging those pretended illuminations and impulses which give imagination an undue ascendant in religion, lays weak minds open to the seductions of a church, which has always made its conquests by wild visions and false miracles, addressed to the passions and fancies of men. Cry down reason, preach up implicit faith, extinguish the lamp of free inquiry, make inward experience the test of truth; and then the main barriers against popery will be removed. Persons who follow this method possibly may continue protestants; but there is no security against their becoming papists, if the occasion is presented. Were they placed in a scene where artful priests and enthusiastic monks could play their engines of conversion, their pro-

testant faith would be very likely to fail.

If by the supposed growth of popery be meant, the success of the Romish emissaries in making proselytes to their communion, here again the question turns upon a matter of fact, upon which I cannot venture to pronounce. There is no doubt but the Romish hierarchy carries on its operations under the shade of an indulgent connivance; and it is to be feared that its members are wiser, i. e. more artful and zealous, in their generation, than the children of light. The establishment of the protestant religion inspires, it is to be feared, an indolent security into the hearts of its friends. Ease and negligence are the fruits of prosperity; and this maxim extends even to religion. It is not unusual to see a victorious general sleep upon his laurels, and thus give advantage to an enemy, whom adversity renders vigilant. All good and true protestants will heartily wish that this were otherwise. They will be sincerely afflicted at any decline that may happen in the zeal and vigilance that ought ever to be employed against popery and popish emissaries, since they can never cease to consider poperv as a system of wretched superstition and political despotism, and must particularly look upon popery in the British isles as pregnant with the principles of disaffection and rebellion, and as at invariable enmity with our religious liberty and our happy civil constitution. But still there is reason to hope, that popery makes very little progress, notwithstanding the apprehensions that have been entertained on this subject. The insidious publications of a Taafe and a Philips, who abuse the terms of charity, philanthropy, and humanity, in their flimsy apologies for a church whose tender mercies are known to be cruel, have alarmed many well meaning persons. But it is much more wise, as well as noble, to be vigilant and steady against the enemy, than to take the alarm at the smallest of his motions, and to fall into a panic, as if we were conscious of our weakness. Be that as it will, I return to my first principle, and am still persuaded, that the protestant church, and its prevailing spirit, are at this present time, as averse to popery, as they were at any period since the reformation, and that the thriving state of learning and philosophy is adapted to

confirm them in this well founded aversion. Should it even be granted, that proselytes to poperly have been made among the *ignorant* and unwary, by the emissaries of Rome, this would by no means invalidate what I here maintain; though it may justly be considered as a powerful incentive to the zeal and vigilance of rulers, temporal and spiritual, of the pastors and people of the reformed churches, against the encroachments of Rome.

The author of the Confessional complains, and perhaps justly, of the bold and public appearance which popery has of late made in England. "The papists," says he, "strengthened and animated by an influx of jesuits, expelled even from popish countries, for crimes and practices of the worst complexion, open public mass houses, and affront the laws of this protestant kingdom in other respects, not without insulting some of those who endeavour to check their insolence. And we are told, with the utmost coolness and composure, that popish bishops go about here, and exercise every part of their function, without offence, and without observation." This is, indeed, a circumstance that the friends of reformation and religious liberty cannot behold without offence; I say, the friends of religious liberty; because the maintenance of all liberty, both civil and religious, depends on circumscribing popery within proper bounds; since popery is not a system of innocent speculative opinions, but a yoke of despotism, an enormous mixture of princely and priestly tyranny, designed to enslave the consciences of mankind, and to destroy their most sacred and invaluable rights. But, at the same time, I do not think we can, from this public appearance of popery, rationally conclude that it gains ground; much less, as the author of the Confessional suggests, that the two hierarchies, i.e. the episcopal and the popish, are growing daily more and more into a resemblance of each other. The natural reason of this bold appearance of popery is the spirit of toleration, that has been carried to a great height, and has rendered the execution of the laws against papists, in the time past, less rigorous and severe.

How it may be proper to act with regard to the growing insolence of popery, is a matter that must be left to the wisdom and elemency of government. Rigour against any thing that bears the name of a religion, gives pain to a candid and generous mind: and it is certainly more eligible

to extend too far, than to circumscribe too narrowly, the

bounds of forbearance, and indulgent charity.

If the dangerous tendency of popery, considered as a pernicious system of policy, should be pleaded as a sufficient reason to except it from the indulgence due to merely speculative systems of theology; if the voice of history should be appealed to, as declaring the assassinations, rebellions, conspiracies, the horrid scenes of carnage and desplation, that popery has produced; if standing principles and maxims of the Roman church should be quoted, which authorize these enormities; if it should be alleged, finally, that poperv is much more malignant and daugerous in Great Britain than in any other protestant country; I acknowledge that all these pleas against popery are well ounded, and plead for modifications to the connivance which the clemency of government may think proper to grant to that unfriendly system of religion. All I wish is, hat mercy and humanity may ever accompany the execuion of justice; and that nothing like merely religious persecution may stain the British annals. And all I maintain with respect to the chief point under consideration is that the public appearance of popery, which is justly complained of, is no certain proof of its growth, but rather shows its ndiscretion than its strength, and the declining vigour of our zeal than the growing influence of its maxims.

APPENDIX III.

A CHECUMSTANTIAL AND EXACT ACCOUNT OF THE CORRESPONDENCE THAT WAS CARRIED ON IN THE YEAR 1717 AND 1718, BETWEEN DR. WILLIAM WAKE, ARCH DISHOP OF CANTERBURY, AND CERTAIN DOCTORS OF THE SORBONNE AT PARIS, RELATIVE TO A PROJECT OF UNION BETWEEN THE ENGLISH AND GALLICAN CHURCHES.

-Magis amica veritas.

When the famous Bossuet, bishop of Meaux, laid an insidious snare for unthinking protestants, in his artful " Exposition of the Doctrine of the Church of Rome," the pious and learned Dr. Wake unmasked this deceiver; and the writings he published on this occasion gave him a distinguished rank among the victorious champions of the protestant cause. Should any person, who had perused these writings, be informed, that this "pretended champion of the protestant religion, had set on foot a project for union with a popish church, and that with concessions in favour of the grossest superstition and idolatry,"d he would be apt to stare; at least, he would require the strongest possible evidence for a fact, in all appearance, so contradictory and unaccountable. This accusation has, nevertheless, been brought against the eminent prelate. by the ingenious and intrepid author of the Confessional; and it is founded upon an extraordinary passage in Dr. Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History; where we are told, that Dr. Wake "formed a project of peace and union between the English and Gallican churches, founded upon this condition, that each of the two communities should retain the greatest part of their respective and peculiar doctrines." This passage,

d See the Confessional, 2d edition, Pref. p. Ixxvi.

e See the English Translation of Mosheim's History, vol. ii. p. 576. Dr. Mosheim had certainly a very imperfect idea of this correspondence; and he seems to have been misted by the account of it, which Kiorningius has given in his Dissertation De Cansecrationibus Episcoporum Anglorum, published at Helmstadt in 1739; which account, notwithstanding the means of information its author seemed to have by his journey to England, and his conversations with Dr. Courraver, is full of mistakes. Thus Kiorningius tells us, that Dr. Wake submitted to the judgment of the Romish doctors, his correspondents, the conditions of peace between the two churches, which he had drawn up; that he sent a learned man, Dr. Wilkins, his chaplain, to Paris, to forward and complete, if possible, the projected union; that in a certain assembly, held at Paris, the difficulties of promoting this union without the pope's concurrence were insisted

though it is, perhaps, too uncharitably interpreted by the author already mentioned, would furnish, without doubt, just matter of censure, were it founded in truth. I was both surprised and perplexed while I was translating it. I could not procure immediately proper information with respect to the fact, nor could I examine Mosheim's proofs of this strange assertion, because he alleged none. Destitute of materials, either to invalidate or confirm the fact, I made a slight mention, in a short note, of a correspondence which had been carried on between archbishop Wake and Dr. Du Pin, with the particulars of which I was not acquainted; and, in this my ignorance, only made a general observation, drawn from Dr. Wake's known zeal for the protestant religion, which was designed, not to confirm that assertion, but rather to insinuate my disbelief of it. It never could come into my head, that the interests of the protestant religion would have been safe in archbishop Wake's hands, had I given the smallest degree of credit to Dr. Mosheim's assertion, or even suspected that that eminent prelate was inclined to form a union between the "Eng-

upon by some men of high rank, who seemed inclined to the union, and that these difficulties put an end to the conferences; that, however, two French divines, whom he supposes to be Du l'in and Girardin, were sent to England to propose new terms.— It now happens unluckily for Mr. Kiorningius's reputation as an historian, that not one syllable of all this is true, as will appear sufficiently to the reader, who peruses with attention the account, and the pieces, which I here lay before the public. But one of the most egregious errors in the account given by Kiorningius, is at page 61 of his Dissertation, where he says, that archbishop Wake was so much elated with the prospect of success in the scheme of an accommodation, that he acquainted the divines of Geneva with it in 1719, and plainly intimated to them, that he thought it an account thing then recogniting the prosteriors with each other. easier thing than reconciling the protestants with each other. Let us now see where Kiorningins received this information. Why, truly, it was from a letter of Dr. Wake to professor Turretin of Geneva, in which there is not one syllable relative to a scheme of union between the English and Gallican churches; and yet Kiorningius quotes a passage in this letter as the only authority he has for this affirmation. The case was thus : Dr. Wake, in the former part of his letter to Turretin, speaks of the sufferings of the Hungarian and Piedmontese churches, which he had successfully endeavoured to alleviate, by engaging George 1. to intercede in their behalf; and then proceeds to express his desire of healing the differences that disturbed the union of the protestant churches abroad. Interim, says he, dum hac, it e. the endeavours to relieve the Hungarian and Piedmontese churches, feliciter peraguntur, ignoscite, Fratres Dilectissimi, si majoris quidem laboris atque difficultatis, sed longe maximi nobis commodi inceptum vobis proponam; unionem nimirum, &c. Professor Turretin, in his work, entitled, Nubes Testium, printed only the latter part of Dr. Wake's letter, beginning with the words, Interim dum hac feliciter, uti spero, peraguntur; and Kiorningius, not having seen the preceding part of this letter, which relates to the Hungarian and Piedmontese churches, and with which these words are connected, took it into his head that these words were relative to the scheme of union between the English and Gallican churches.-Nor did he only take this into his head by way of conjecture, but he affirms, very sturdily and positively, that the words have this signification: Hecverba, says he, tangunt pacis cum Gallis instauranda negotium, quod ex temporum rationibus, manifestum est. To show him, however, that he is grossly mistaken, I have published, among the sunexed pieces. No xx, the whole Letter of archbishop Wake to Turretin.

lish and Gallican churches, founded on this condition, that each of the two communities should retain the greatest

part of their respective and peculiar doctrines."

If the author of the Confessional had given a little more attention to this, he could not have represented me, as confirming the fact alleged by Mosheim, much less as giving it, what he is pleased to call the sanction of my approbation. I did not confirm the fact; for I only said there was a correspondence on the subject, without speaking a syllable of the unpleasing condition that forms the charge against Dr. Wake. I shall not enter here into a debate about the grammatical import of my expressions; as I have something more interesting to present to the reader, who is curious of information about archbishop Wake's real conduct in relation to the correspondence already mentioned. I have been favoured with authentic copies of the letters which passed in this correspondence, which are now in the hands of Mr. Beauvoir of Canterbury, the worthy son of the clergyman who was chaplain to lord Stair in the year 1717, and also with others, from the valuable collection of manuscripts left by Dr. Wake to the library of Christ's Church College in Oxford. It is from these letters that I have drawn the following account, at the end of which copies of them are printed, to serve as proofs of the truth of this relation, which I publish with a disinterested regard to truth. This impartiality may be, in some measure, expected from my situation in life, which has placed me at a distance from the scenes of religious and ecclesiastical contention in England, and cut me off from those personal connexions, that nourish the prejudices of a party spirit, more than many are aware of; but it would be still more expected from my principles, were they known.

From this narrative, confirmed by authentic papers, it

will appear with the utmost evidence;

1st. That archbishop Wake was not the *first mover* in this correspondence, nor the person that *formed the project* of union between the English and Gallican churches.

2dly. That he never made any concessions, nor offered to give up, for the sake of peace, any one point of the established doctrine and discipline of the church of England, in order to promote this union.

3dly. That any desires of union with the church of Rome, expressed in the archbishop's letters, proceeded from the

hopes, well founded, or illusory, is not my business to examine here, that he at first entertained of a considerable reformation in that church, and from an expectation that its most absurd doctrines would fall to the ground, if they could once be deprived of their great support, the papal authority; the destruction of which authority was the very

basis of this correspondence.

It will further appear, that Dr. Wake considered union in external worship, as one of the best methods of healing the uncharitable dissensions that are often occasioned by a variety of sentiments in point of doctrine, in which a perfect uniformity is not to be expected. This is undoubtedly a wise principle, when it is not carried too far; and whether or no it was carried too far by this eminent prelate, the candid reader is left to judge, from the following relation.

In the month of November, 1717, archbishop Wake wrote a letter to Mr. Beauvoir, chaplain to the earl of Stair, then ambassador at Paris, in which his grace acknowledges the receipt of several obliging letters from Mr. Beauvoir. This is manifestly the first letter which the prelate wrote to that gentleman, and the whole contents of it are matters of a literary nature. In answer to this letter, Mr. Beauvoir, in one dated the 11th of December, 1717, O. S.

The perusal of this letter, which the reader will find among the pieces here subjoined, No. I. is sufficient to remove the suspicions of the author of the Confessional, who seems inclined to believe, that archbishop Wake was the first morer in the project of uniting the English and Gallican churches. This author having mentioned Mr. Beauvoir's letter, in which Du Pin's desire of this union is communicated to the archbishop, asks the following question; Can any man be certain that Beauvoir mentioned this merely out of his own head, and without some previous occasion given, in the archbishop's letter to him, for such a conversation with the Sorbonne doctors? I answer to this question, that every one who reads the archbishop's letter of the 28th of November, to which this letter of Mr. Beauvoir's is an answer, may be very certain that Dr. Wake's letter did not give Mr. Beauvoir the least occasion for such a conversation, but relates entirely to the benedictine edition of St. Chrysostom, Martene's Thesaurus Anecdotorum, and Moreri's Dictionary. But, says our author, there is an &c. in this copy of Mr. Beauvoir's letter, very suspiciously placed, as if to cover something improper to be disclosed. But really if any thing was covered here, it was covered from the archbishop as well as from the public, since the very same &c. that we see in the printed copy of Mr. Beauvoir's letter, stands in the original. Besides, I would be glad to know, what there is in the placing of this &c. that can give rise to suspicion? The passage of Beauvoir's letter runs thus; They, the Sorbonne doctors, talked as if the whole kingdom was to appeal to the future General Council, &c. They wished for a union with the church of England, as the most effectual means to unite all the Western churches. It is palpably evident, that the &c. here has not the least relation to the union in question, and gives no sort of reason to suspect any thing but the spirit of discontentment, which the insolent proceedings of the court of Rome had excited among t

^{*} See the 2d edition of the Confessional, Pref. p. laxviii. Note W.
! The other reflections that the author has there made upon the correspondence between archbishop Wake and the doctors of the Sorbonne, are examined in the following note.

gives the archbishop the information he desired, about the method of subscribing to a new edition of St. Chrysostom, which was, at that time, in the press at Paris, and then mentions his having dined with Du Pin, and three other doctors of the Sorbonne, who talked as if the whole kingdom of France was to appeal, in the affair of the Bull Unigenitus, to a future general council, and who "wished for an union with the church of England, as the most effectual means to unite all the western churches." Mr. Beauvoir adds, that Dr. Du Pin had desired him to give his duty to the archbishop.³ Here we see the first hint, the very first overture that was made relative to a project of union between the English and Gallican churches; and this hint comes originally from the doctors of the Sorbonne, and is not at all occasioned by any thing contained in preceding letters from archbishop Wake to Mr. Beauvoir, since the one only letter, which Mr. Beauvoir had hitherto received from that eminent prelate, was entirely taken up in inquirics about some new editions of books that were then publishing at Paris.

Upon this the archbishop wrote a letter to Mr. Beauvoir, in which he makes honourable mention of Du Pin as an author of merit; and expresses his desire of serving him, with that benevolent politeness which reigns in our learned prelate's letters, and seems to have been a striking line in his amiable character. Dr. Du Pin improved this

See the Letters subjoined, No. II.

h This handsome mention of Dr. Du Pin, made by the archbishop, gives new subject of suspicion to the author of the Confessional. He had learned the fact from the article Wake, in the Biographia Britannica; but, says he, we are left to guess what this handsome mention was; had the biographer given us this letter, together with that of November 27, they might probably, (it would have been more accurate to have said pos-SIELY) have discovered what the biographer did not want we shauld know, namely, the share Dr. Wake had in rorming the project of an union between the two churches.* This is guessing with a witness; and it is hard to imagine how the boldest calculator of probabilities could conclude from Dr. Wake's handsome mention of Dr. Du Pin, that the former had a share, of any kind, in forming the project of union now under consideration. But the ingenious guesser happens to be quite mistaken in his conjecture; and I hope to convince him of this, by satisfying his desire. He desires the letter of the 27th, or rather the 28th, of November; I have referred to it in the preceding note, and he may read it at the end of this account.† He desires the letter in which handsome mention is made of Du Pin; and t can assure him, that in that letter there is not a single syllable relative to an union. The passage that regards Dr. Du Pin is as follows; I am much obliged to you, says Dr Wake, in his letter to Mr. Beauvoir, dated January 2, 1717-18, for making my mane known to Dr. Du Pin. He is a gentleman by whose labours I have profited these many years. And I do really admire how it is possible for one man to publish so much, and yet so correctly, as he has generally done. I desire my respects to him; and that if there he any thing here whereby I may be verviceable to him, he will freely command me. Such was the archbishop's handsome mention of Du Pin:

favourable occasion of writing to the archbishop a letter of thanks, dated January 31, (February 11,) 1717-18;

and it evidently shows that, till then, there never had been any communication between them. Yet these are all the proofs which the author of the Confessional gives

of the probability that the archbishop was the first mover in this affair.

But his grace accepted the party, a formal treaty commences, and is carried on in a correspondence of some length, &c. says the author of the Confessional. And I would candidly ask that author, upon what principles of Christianity, reason, or charity, Dr. Wake could have refused to hear the proposals, terms, and sentiments, of the Sorbonne doctors, who discovered an inclination to unite with his church? The author of the Confessional says clsewhere, that it was, at the best officious and presumptuous in Dr. Wake to enter into a negotiation of this nature without authority from the church or the government." But the truth is, that he entered into no negotiation or treaty on this head; he considered the letters that were written on both sides as a personal correspondence between individuals, which could not commence a negotiation, until they had received the proper powers from their respective sovereigns. And I do think the arcbbishop was greatly in the right to enter into this correspondence, as it seemed very likely, in the then circumstances of the Gallican church, to serve the protestant interest, and the cause of reformation. If, indeed, in the course of this correspondence, Dr. Wake had discovered any thing like what Mosheim imputes to him, even a disposition toward an union, founded upon the condition that each of the two churches should retain the greatest part of their respective and pseudiar doctrines, I should think his conduct liable to censure But no such thing appears in the archbishop's letters, which I have subjoined to this account, that the candid examiner may receive full satisfaction in this affair. Mosheim's mistake is palpable, and the author of the Confessional seems certainly to have been too hasty in adopting it. He alleges, that the archbishop might have maintained the justice and othodoxy of every individual article of the church of England, and yet give up some of them for the sake of peace. But the archbishop expressly declares in his letters, that he would give up none of them, and that though he was a friend to peace, he was still a greater friend to truth. The auther's reflection, that without some concessions on the part of the archbishop, the treaty could not have gone a step farther, may be questioned in theory; for treaties are often carried on for a long time, without concessions on both sides, or perhaps on either ; and the archbishop might hope, that Du Pin, who had yielded several things, would still yield more; but this reflection is overturned by the plain fact. Besides. I repeat what I have already insimnated, that this correspondence does not deserve the name of a treaty.* Proposals were made only on Du Pin's side; and these proposals were positively rejected by the archbishop, in his letters to Mr. Beauvoir. Nor did he propose any thing in return to either of the Sorbonne doctors, but that they should entirely renounce the authority of the pope, hoping, though perhaps too fancifully, that when this was done, the two churches might come to an agreement about other matters, as far as was necessary. But the author of the Conjessional supposes that the archbishop must have made some concessions; because the letters on both sides were sent to Rome, and received there as so many traphies gained from the enemies of the church. This supposition, however, is somewhat hasty. Could nothing but concessions from the archbishop make the court of Rome consider them in that light? Would they not think it a great triumph, that they had obliged Du Pin's party to give up the letters as a token of their submission, and defeated the archbishop's design of engaging the Gallican church to assert its liberty, by throwing off the papal yoke? If Dr. Wake made concessions, where are they? And if these were the trophies, why did not the partisans of Rome publish authentic copies of them to the world and the author of the Confessional ever hear of a victorious general, who caref it hid under ground the standards he had taken from the enemy? This, indeed, is a new method of dealing with trophies. Our author, however, does not as yet, quit his hold, he alleges that the French divines could not have acknowledged the catholic beneralence of the archbishop, if he made no concessions to them. This re isoning would be plausible, if charity toward those that err, consisted in embracing their errors; but this is a definition of charity, that, I fancy, the ingenious author will give up, upon second thoughts. Dr. Wake's catholic benevolence consisted in his esteem for the merit and learning of his correspondents, in his compassion for their servitule and their errors, in his desire of the reformation and liberty of their church, and his propensity to live in friendship

^{*} Id. in.n. hattv. - in bel win te that I be. Tites hate to

in which, toward the conclusion, he intimates his desire of an union between the English and Gallican churches, and observes, that the difference, in most points, between them, was not so great as to render a reconciliation impracticable; and that it was his earnest wish, that all christians were united in one sheepfold. His words are; "Unum addam cum bona venia tua, me vehementer optare, ut unionis inter Ecclesias Anglicanam et Gallicanam ineundæ via aliqua inveniri posset: non ita sumus ab invicem in plerisque dissiti, ut non possimus mutuo reconciliari. Atque utinam christiani omnes essent unum ovile." The archbishop wrote an answer to this letter, dated February 13-24, 1717-18, in which he asserts, at large, the purity of the church of England, in faith, worship, government, and discipline, and tells his correspondent, that he is persuaded that there are few things in the doctrine and constitution of that church, which even he himself, Du Pin, would desire to see changed; the original words are; "Aut ego vehementer fallor, aut in ea pauca admodum sunt, quæ vel tu -immutanda velles; and again, Sincere judica, quid in hae nostra Ecclesia invenias, quod jure damnari debeat, aut nos atra licreticorum, vel etiam schismaticorum nota inurere." The zeal of the venerable prelate goes still farther; and the moderate sentiments which he observed in Dr. Du Pin's letter induced him to exhort the French to maintain, if not to enlarge, the rights and privileges of the Gallican church, for which the present disputes, about the constitution Unigenitus, furnish the most favourable occasion. He also expresses his readiness to concur in improving any opportunity, that might be offered by these debates, to form a union; that might be productive of a further reformation, in which not only the most rational protestants, but also a considerable number of the Roman catholic churches should join with the church of England: "si exhine," says the archbishop, speaking concerning the commotions excited by the constitution, "aliquid amplius elici possit ad unionem nobiscum Ecclesiasticam incundam; unde forte nova quædam reformatio exoriatur in quam non solum ex protestantibus optimi quique, verum etiam pars magna ecclesiarum communionis Romano catholicæ una nobiscum conveniant."

and concord, as far as was possible, with all that bear the christian name. And this disposition, so suitable to the benevolent genius of Christianity, will always reflect a true and solid glory upon his character as a christian bishop.

Hitherto we see, that the expressions of the two learned doctors of the English and Gallican churches, relating to the union under consideration, are of a vague and general nature. When they were thus far advanced in their correspondence, an event happened, which rendered it more close, serious, and interesting, and even brought on some particular mention of preliminary terms, and certain preparatives for a future nogotiation. The event I mean, was a discourse delivered, in an extraordinary meeting of the Sorbonne, March 17-28, 1717-18, by Dr. Patrick Piers de Girardin, in which he exhorts the doctors of that society to proceed in their design of revising the doctrines and rules of the church, to separate things necessary from those which are not so, by which they will show the church of England that they do not hold every decision of the pope for an article of faith. The learned orator observes farther, upon what foundation it is difficult to guess, that the English church may be more easily reconciled than the Greek was; and that the disputes between the Gallican church and the court of Rome, removing the apprehensions of papal tyranny, which terrified the English from the catholic communion, will lead them back into the bosom of the church, with greater celerity than they formerly fled from it: "Facient," says he, "profecto offensiones, quæ vos inter et Senatum Capitolinum videntur intervenisse, ut Angli, deposito servitutis metu, in ecclesiæ gremium revolent alacrius, quam olim inde, quorumdanı exosi tyrannidem, avolarunt. Meministis ortas inter Paulum et Barnabam dissentiones animorum tandem eo recidisse, ut singuli propagandæ in diversis regionibus Fidei felicius insudaverunt sigillatim, quam junctis viribus fortasse insudassent." This last sentence, in which Dr. Girardin observes, that Paul and Barnabas probably made more converts in consequence of their separation, than they would have done had they travelled together and acted in concert, is not a little remarkable; and, indeed, the whole passage discovers rather a desire of making proselytes, than an inclination to form a coalition founded upon concessions and some reformation on the side of popery. It may, perhaps, be alleged, in opposition to this remark, that prudence required a language of this kind, in the infancy of a project of VOI., 1V.

union, whatever concessions might be offered afterward to

bring about its execution. And this may be true.

After the delivery of this discourse in the Sorbonne, Dr. Du Pin showed to Girardin. archbishop Wake's letter, which was also communicated to cardinal De Noailles, who admired it greatly, as appears by a letter of Dr. Piers de Girardin to Dr. Wake, written, I believe, April 18-29, 1718. Before the arrival of this letter, the archbishop had received a second from Dr. Du Pin, and also a copy of Girardin's discourse. But he does not seem to have entertained any notion, in consequence of all this, that the projected union would go on smoothly. On the contrary, he no sooner received these letters, than he wrote to Mr. Beauvoir, April 15, 1718, that it was his opinion, that neither the regent nor the cardinal would ever come to a rupture with the court of Rome; and that nothing could be done, in point of doctrine, until this rupture was brought about. He added, that fundamentals should be distinguished from matters of lesser moment, in which differences or errors might be tolerated. He expresses a curiosity to know the reception which his former letter to Dupin had met with; and he wrote again to that ecclesiastic, and also to Girardin, May 1, 1718, and sent both his letters toward the end of that month.

The doctors of the Sorbonne, whether they were set in motion by the real desire of an union with the English church, or only intended to make use of this union as a means of intimidating the court of Rome, began to form a plan of reconciliation, and to specify the terms upon which they were willing to bring it into execution. Mr. Beauvoir acquaints the archbishop, July 16, probably N.S. 1718, that Dr Du Pin had made a rough draught of an essay toward an union, which cardinal De Noailles desired to peruse before it was sent to his Grace; and that both Du Pin and Girardin were highly pleased with his Grace's letters to them. These letters, however, were written with a truly protestant spirit; the archbishop insisted, in them, upon the truth and orthodoxy of the articles of the church of England, and did not make any concession, which supposed the least approximation to the peculiar doctrines, or the smallest approbation of the ambitious pretensions, of the church of Rome; he observed, on the contrary, that it was now the time for Dr. Du Pin, and his brethren of the Sorbonne, to declare openly their true sentiments, with respect to the superstition and tyranny of that church; that it was the interest of all christians to unmask that court, and to reduce its authority to its primitive limits; and that, according to the fundamental principle of the Reformation in general, and of the church of England in particular, Jesus Christ is the only founder, source, and head of the church. Accordingly, when Mr. Beauvoir had acquainted the archbishop with Du Pin's having formed a plan of union, his grace answered in a manner which showed that he looked upon the removal of the Gallican church from the jurisdiction of Rome as an essential preliminary article, without which no negotiation could even be commenced. "To speak freely, says the prelate, in his letter of the 11th of August, to Mr. Beauvoir, I do not think the regent, the duke of Orleans, yet strong enough in his interest, to adventure at a separation from the court of Rome. Could the Regent openly appear in this, the divines would follow, and a scheme might fairly be offered for such an union, as alone is requisite, between the English and Gallican church. But, till the time comes when the state will enter into such a work, all the rest is mere speculation. It may amuse a few contemplative men of learning and probity, who see the errors of the church, and groan under the tyranny of the court of Rome. It may dispose them secretly to wish well to us, and think charitably of us; but still they must call themselves catholics, and us heretics; and, to all outward appearance, say mass, and act so as they have been wont to do. If, under the shelter of Gallican privileges, they can now and then serve the state, by speaking big in the Sorbonne, they will do it heartily; but that is all, if I am not greatly mistaken."

Soon after this, the archbishop received Du Pin's commonitorium, or advice relating to the method of reuniting the English and Gallican churches; of the contents of which it will not be improper to give here a compendious account, as it was read in the Sorbonne, and was approved of there, and as the concessions it contains, though not sufficient to satisfy a true protestant, are yet such as one would not expect from a very zealous papist. Dr. Du Pin, after some reflections, in a tedious preface, on the reformation, and the present state of the church of England, reduces the controversy between the two churches to three

heads, viz. articles of faith, rules and ceremonies of ecclesiastical discipline, and moral doctrine, or rules of practice; and these he treats, by entering into an examination of the xxxix articles of the church of England. The first five of these articles he approves. With regard to the vith, which affirms that the scripture contains all things necessary to salvation, he expresses himself thus: "This we will readily grant, provided that you do not entirely exclude tradition, which doth not exhibit new articles of faith, but confirms and illustrates those which are contained in the sacred writings, and places about them new guards to defend them against gainsayers," &c. The doctor thinks that the Apocryphal Books will not occasion much difficulty. He is, indeed, of opinion, that "they ought to be deemed canonical, as those books concerning which there were doubts for some time; yet, since they are not in the first, or Jewish canon, he will allow them to be called Deuterocanonical. He consents to the xth article, which relates to free-will, provided by the word power be understood what school divines call potentia proxima, or a direct and immediate power, since without a remote power of doing good works, sin could not be imputed.

With respect to the xth article, which contains the doctrine of justification, Dr. Dn Pin expresses thus the sentiments of his brethren; "We do not deny that it is by faith alone that we are justified, but we maintain that faith, charity, and good works, are necessary to salvation; and this is acknowledged in the following, i. c. the xnth artiele.33k

Concerning the xmth article, the doctor observes, "that there will be no dispute, since many divines of both communions embrace the doctrine contained in that article," viz. that works done before the grace of Christ are not pleasing to God, and have the nature of sin. He indeed thinks "it very harsh to say, that all those actions are sinful which have not the grace of Christ for their source;" but

The original words are; "Hoc laborter admittenus, modo non excludatur Traditio, qua Articulos Fidei novos non exhibet, sed confirmat et explicat en, qua in Sacris Literis habentur; ac adversus aliter sapientes munit eos novis cautionibus, ita nt non nova dicantur, sed antiqua nove."

L' The original words are; "Fide sola in Christum nos justificari, quod Articulo Mino exponitur, non inficiamur; sed fide, charitate, et adjunctis bonis operibus, qua ampiro precessorie sunt ad salutem ut articulo companio precessorie sunt ad salutem ut articulo companio."

omnino necessaria sunt ad salutem, ut articulo sequenti agnoscitur "

he considers this rather as a matter of theological dis-

cussion than as a term of fraternal communion.1

On the xivth article, relating to works of supererogation, undoubtedly one of the most absurd and pernicions doctrines of the Romish church, Dr. Du Pin observes, that "works of supererogation, mean only works conducive to salvation, which are not matter of strict precept, but of counsel only; that the word, being new, may be rejected, provided it be owned that the faithful do some such works."

The doctor makes no objections to the xv, xv1, xv1, and xv111th articles.

His observation on the xixth is, that, to the definition of the church, the words, under lawful pastors, ought to be added; and that though all particular churches, even that of Rome, may err, it is needless to say this in a Confession of Faith.

He consents to the decision of the xxth article, which refuses to the church the power of ordaining any thing that is contrary to the word of God; but he says, it must be taken for granted, that the church will never do this in matters which overturn essential points of faith, or, to use his own words, quæ fidei substantiam evertant.

It is in consequence of this notion that he remarks, on the xxi article, that general councils, received by the universal church, cannot err; and that, though particular councils may, yet every private man has not a right to re-

ject what he thinks contrary to Scripture.

As to the important points of controversy contained in the xxxx article, the doctor endeavours to mince matters as nicely as he can, to see if he can make the cable pass through the eye of the needle; and for this purpose observes, that souls must be purged, i.e. purified from all defilement of sin, before they are admitted to celestial bliss; that the church of Rome doth not affirm this to be done by fire; that indulgences are only relaxations or remissions of temporal penalties in this life; that the Roman catholics do not worship the cross, nor relics, nor images, nor even saints before their images, but only pay them an external respect, which is not of a religious nature; and

¹ De Articulo XIIImo nulla lis crit, cum multi theologi in eadem versentur sententia. Durius videtur id dici, eas omnes actiones que ex gratia Christi non fiunt, esse peccata. Nolim tamen de hacre desceptari, nisi inter theologos.

that even this external demonstration of respect is a matter of indifference, which may be laid aside or retained without harm.

He approves of the xxii^d article, and does not pretend to dispute about the xxiith, which ordains the celebration of divine worship in the vulgar tongue. He, indeed, excuses the *Latin* and *Greek* churches for preserving their ancient languages; alleges, that great care has been taken that every thing be understood by translations; but allows, that divine service may be performed in the vulgar tongue, where that is customary.

Under the xxvth article he insists, that the five Romish sacraments be acknowledged as such, whether instituted

immediately by Christ or not.

He approves of the xxvr^b and xxv11th articles; and he proposes expressing that part of the xxv111th that relates to transubstantiation, which term he is willing to omit entirely, in the following manner; "that the bread and wine are really changed into the body and blood of Christ, which last are truly and really received by all, though none but the faithful partake of any benefit from them." This extends also to the xx1xth article.

Concerning the xxx, he is for mutual toleration, and would have the receiving the communion in both kinds held indifferent, and liberty left to each church to preserve, or change, or dispense, on certain oceasions, with its customs.

He is less inclined to concessions on the xxxxst article, and maintains that the Sacrifice of Christ is not only commemorated, but continued, in the Eucharist, and that every

communicant offers him along with the priest.

He is not a warm stickler for the eelibaey of the clergy, but consents so far to the xxx114 article, as to allow that priests may marry, where the laws of the church do not prohibit it.

In the xxxxxx^d and xxxxy^d articles he acquiesees with-

out exception

He suspends his judgment with respect to the xxxvth, as

he never perused the homilies mentioned therein.

As to the xxxvi, he would not have the English ordinations pronounced null, though some of them, perhaps, are so; but thinks that, if an union be made, the English clergy ought to be continued in their offices and benefices,

either by right or indulgence, sive ex jure, sive ex indulgentia Ecclesia.

He admits the xxxviith, so far as relates to the authority of the civil power; denies all temporal and all immediate spiritual jurisdiction of the pope; but alleges, that, by virtue of his primacy, which moderate, he ought to have said immoderate, church of England men do not deny, he is bound to see that the true faith be maintained; that the canons be observed every where; and, when any thing is done in violation of either, to provide the remedies prescribed for such disorders by the canon laws, secundum leges canonicas ut malum resarciatur, procurare. As to the rest, he is of opinion, that every church ought to enjoy its own liberties and privileges, which the pope has no right to infringe. He declares against going too far; the expression is vague, but the man probably meant well; in the punishment of heretics, against admitting the inquisition into France, and against war without a just cause.

The xxxviii and xxxix articles he approves. More-

The xxxviiith and xxxixth articles he approves. Moreover, in the discipline and worship of the church of England, he sees nothing amiss; and thinks no attempts should be made to discover, or prove, by whose fault the schism was begun. He further observes, "that an union between the English and French bishops and clergy may be completed, or at least advanced, without consulting the Roman pontiff, who may be informed of the union as soon as it is accomplished, and may be desired to consent to it; that, if he consents to it, the affair will then be finished; and that, even without his consent, the union shall be valid; that, in case he attempts to terrify by his threats, it will then be expedient to appeal to a general council." He concludes by observing, "that this arduous matter must first be discussed between a few; and if there be reason to hope that the bishops, on both sides, will agree about the terms of the designed union, that then application must be made to the civil powers, to advance and confirm the work," to which he wishes all success.

It is from the effect which these proposals and terms made upon archbishop Wake, that it will be most natural

m Unio seri potest aut saltem promoveri, inconsulto Pontisice, qui, sacta unione, de ea admonébitur, ac suppliciter rogabitur, ut velit ei consentire. Si consentiet, jam peracta res erit; sin abnuat, nibilominus valebit hac unio. Et si minas intentet, ad Concilium Generale appellabitur

to form a notion of his sentiments with respect to the church of Rome. It appears evident, from several passages in the writings and letters of this eminent prelate, that he was persuaded that a reformation in the church of Rome could only be made gradually; that it was not probable that they would renounce all their follies at once: but that, if they once began to make concessions, this would set in motion the work of reformation, which in all likelikood, would receive new accessions of vigour, and go on until a happy change were effected. This way of thinking might have led the archbishop to give an indulgent reception to these proposals of Du Pin, which contained some concessions, and might be an introduction to more. And yet we find that Dr. Wake rejected this peace, as insufficient to serve as a basis; or ground work, to the desired union. On receiving the peace, he immediately perceived that he had not sufficient ground for carrying on this negotiation, without previously consulting his brethren, and obtaining a permission from the king for this purpose. Besides this, he was resolved not to submit either to the direction of Dr. Du Pin, nor to that of the Sorbonne, in relation to what was to be retained, or what was to be given up, in the doctrine and discipline of the two churches; nor to treat with the church of Rome upon any other footing, than that of a perfect equality in point of authority and power. He declared more especially, that he would never comply with the proposals made in Dr. Du Pin's Commonitorium, of which I have now given the contents: observing that, though he was a friend to peace, he was still more a friend to truth; and that, unless the Roman catholics gave up some of their doctrines and rites, an union with them could never be effected. All this is contained in a letter written by the Archbishop to Mr. Beauvoir, on receiving Du Pin's Commonitorium. This letter is dated August 30, 1718, and the reader will find a copy of it subjoined to this Appendix." About a month after, his Grace wrote a letter to Dr. Du Pin, dated October 1, 1718, in which he complains of the tyranny of the pope, exhorts the Gallican doctors to throw off the papal yoke in a national council, since a general one is not to be expected; and declares, that this must be the great preliminary and fundamental principle of the projected union, which being

settled, an uniformity might be brought about in other matters, or a diversity of sentiments mutually allowed, without any violation of peace or concord. The archbishop commends, in the same letter, the candour and openness that reigns in the Commonitorium; entreats Dr. Du Pin to write to him always upon the same footing, freely and without disguise and reserve; and tells him, he is pleased with several things in that piece, and with nothing more than with the doctor's declaring it as his opinion, that there is not a great difference between their respective sentiments; but adds, that he cannot at present give his

sentiments at large concerning that piece.

Dr. Wake seems to have aimed principally, in this correspondence, at bringing about a separation between the Gallican church and the court of Rome. The terms in which the French divines often spoke about the liberties of their church, might give him some hope that this separation would take place, if ever these divines were countenanced by the civil power of France. But a man of the archbishop's sagacity could not expect that they would enter into an union with any other national church all at once. He acted, therefore, with dignity, as well as with prudence, when he declined to explain himself on the proposals contained in Du Pin's Commonitorium. To have answered ambiguously, would have been mean; and to have answered explicitly, would have blasted his hopes of separating them from Rome, which separation he desired upon the principles of civil and ecclesiastical liberty, independent on the discussion of theological tenets. The archbishop's sentiments in this matter will still appear farther from the letters he wrote to Mr. Beauvoir, in the months of October, November, and December, 1718, and the January following, of which the proper extracts are here subjoined.^p It appears from these letters that Dr. Wake insisted still upon the abolition of the pope's jurisdiction of over the Gallican church, and leaving him no more than a "primacy of rank and honour, and that merely by ceclesiastical authority, as he was once bishop of the imperial city;" to which empty title our prelate seems willing to have consented, provided it was attended with no infringe-

o See this letter to Du Pin, No. V, as also the archbishop's letter to Dr. P. Piers de Girardin, No. VI.
p See No. IV, VII, VIII, IX, X.

ment of the independency and privileges of each particular country, and each particular church. "Si quam prærogativam," says the archbishop in his letter to Girardin, after having defied the court of Rome to produce any precept of Christ in favour of the primacy of its bishop, "ecclesiæ concilia sedis imperialis episcopo concesserint, etsi cadente imperio etiam ea prerogativa excidisse merito possit censeri, tamen, quod ad me attinet, servatis semper regnorum juribus, ecclesiarum libertatibus, episcoporum dignitate, modo in cæteris conveniatur, per me licet, suo fruatur qualicumque Primatu: non ego illi locum primum, non inanem honoris titulum invideo. At in alias ecclesias dominari, &c. hæc nec nos unquam ferre potuimus, nec vos debetis."

It appears farther, from these letters, that any proposals or terms conceived by the archbishop, in relation to this project of union, were of a vague and general nature, and that his views terminated rather in a plan of mutual toleration, than in a scheme for effectuating an entire uniformity. The scheme that seemed to his Grace the most likely to succeed, was, that "the independence of every national church, or any other, and its right to determine all matters that arise within itself, should be acknowledged on both sides; that, for points of doctrine, they should agree, as far as possible, in all articles of any moment, as in effect the two churches either already did, or easily might; and in other matters, that a difference should be allowed until God should bring them to an union in them also." It must be, however, though the expression is still general, that the archbishop was for "purging out of the public of-fices of the church all such things as hinder a perfect communion in divine service, so that persons coming from one church to the other might join in prayers, and the holy sacrament, and the public service." He was persuaded, that, in the liturgy of the church of England, there was nothing but what the Roman catholics would adopt, except the single Rubric relating to the cucharist; and that in the Romish liturgy there was nothing to which Protestants object, but what the more rational Romanists agree might be laid aside, and yet the public offices be never the

⁷ No. VI.

r See the pieces subjoined to this Appendix. No. VIII

[&]quot; Ibid. id.

worse, or more imperfect, for the want of it. He therefore thought it proper to make the demands already mentioned the ground work of the project of union, at the beginning of the negotiation; not that he meant to stop here, but that, being thus far agreed, they might the more easily go farther, descend to particulars, and render their scheme

more perfect by degrees. The violent measures of the court of Rome against that part of the Gallican church which refused to admit the constitution Unigenitus as an ecclesiastical law, made the archbishop imagine that it would be no difficult matter to bring this opposition to an open rupture, and to engage the persons concerned in it to throw off the papal yoke, which seemed to be borne with impatience in France. The despotic bull of Clement XI. dated August 28, 1718, and which begins with the words, Pastoralis officii, was a formal act of excommunication, thundered out against all the anticonstitutionists, as the opposers of the bull Unigenitus were called; and it exasperated the doctors of the Sorbonne in the highest degree. It is to this that the archbishop alludes, when he says, in his letter to Mr. Beauvoir, dated the 23d of January, 1718," "At present he, the pope, has put them out of his communion. We have withdrawn ourselves from his; both are out of communion with him, and I think it is not material on which side the breach lies." But the wished for separation from the court of Rome, notwithstanding all the provocations of its pontiff, was still far off. Though, on numberless occasions, the French divines showed very little respect for the papal authority, yet the renouncing it altogether was a step, which required deep deliberation, and which, however inclined they might be to it, they could not make, if they were not seconded by the state. But from the state they were not likely to have any countenance. The regent of France was governed by the abbe Du Bois, and the abbe Du Bois was aspiring eagerly after a cardinal's cap. This circumstance, not more unimportant than many secret connexions and trivial views that daily influence the course of public events, the transactions of government, and the fate of nations, was sufficient to stop the Sorbonne and its doctors in the midst of their career; and in effect, it contributed greatly

to stop the correspondence of which I have been now giving an account, and to nip the project of union in the bud.

The correspondence between the archbishop and the two doctors of the Sorbonne had been carried on with a high degree of secrecy. This secrecy was prudent, as neither of the corresponding parties was authorized by the civil powers to negotiate an union between the two churches; and, on Dr. Wake's part, it was partly ewing to his having nobody that he could trust with what he did. He was satisfied, as he says in a letter to Mr. Beauvoir, that most of the high church bishops and clergy would readily come into such a design; but these, adds his grace, are not men either to be confided in, or made use of, by me.

The correspondence, however, was divulged; and the project of union engrossed the whole conversation of the city of Paris. Lord Stanhope and lord Stair were congratulated thereupon by some great personages in the royal palace. The duke Regent himself, and abbe Du Bois, minister of foreign affairs, and Mr. Joli De Fleury, the attorney general, gave the line at first, appeared to favour the correspondence and the project, and let things run on to certain lengths. But the Jesuits and Constitutioners sounded the alarm, and overturned the whole scheme, by spreading a report, that cardinal De Noailles, and his friends, the Jansenists, were upon the point of making a coalition with the heretics. Hereupon the regent was intimidated, and Du Bois had an opportunity of appearing a meritorious candidate for a place in the sacred college. Dr. Piers Girardin was sent for to court, was severely reprimanded by Du Bois, and strictly charged, upon pain of being sent to the Bastile, to give up all the letters he had received from the archbishop of Canterbury, as also a copy of all his own. The doctor was forced to obey; and all the letters were immediately sent to Rome, as so many tro-

y Dr. Wake seems to have been sensible of the impropriety of carrying on n negotiation of this nature without the approbation and countenance of government. "I have always," says he, in his letter to Mr. Beauvoir, which the render will find at the end of this appendix, No. XI. "took it for granted, that no step should be taken toward an union, but with the knowledge, approbation, and even by the authority of civil powers. All, therefore, that has passed hitherto, stands clear of any exception as to the civil magistrate. It is only a consultation, in order to find out a way how an union might be made, if a fit occasion should hereafter be offered."

2 See the letters subjoined. No. IV.

phies, says a certain author, gained from the enemies of the church. The archbishop's letters were greatly admired, as striking proofs both of his catholic benevolence and extensive abilities.

Mr. Beauvoir informed the archbishop, by a letter dated February 8, 1719, N. S. that Dr. Du Pin had been summoned, by the abbe Du Bois, to give an account of what had passed between him and Dr. Wake. This step naturally suspended the correspondence, though the archbishop was at a loss, at first, whether he should look upon it as favourable, or detrimental, to the projected union. The letters which he wrote to Mr. Beauvoir and Dr. Du Pin after this, express the same sentiments which he discovered through the whole of this transaction. The letter to Dr. Du Pin, more especially, is full of a pacific and reconciling spirit; and expresses the archbishop's desire of cultivating fraternal charity, with the doctors, and his regret at the ill success of their endeavours toward the projected union. Du Pin died before this letter, which was retarded by some accident, arrived at Paris. Before the archbishop had heard of his death, he wrote to Mr. Beauvoir, to express his concern that an account was going to be published of what had passed between the two doctors and himself; and his hope, "that they would keep in generals, as the only way to renew the good design, if occasion should serve, and to prevent themselves trouble from the reflections of their enemies," on account, as the archbishop undoubtedly means, of the concessions they had made, which, though insufficient to satisfy true protestants, were adapted to exasperate bigoted papists. The prelate adds, in the conclusion of this letter, "I shall be glad to know that your doctors still continue their good opinion of us. For, though we need not the approbation of men on our own account; yet I cannot but wish it as a means to bring them, if not to a perfect agreement in all things with us, which is not presently to be expected, yet to such an union as may put an end to the odious charges against, and conse-

a These trophics were the defeat of the moderate part of the Galliean church, and the ruin of their project to break the papal yoke and unite with the church of England. See above, note h, page 65, where the conclusion which the author of the Confessional has drawn from this expression is shown to be groundless.

b See his letter to Mr. Beauvoir, in the pieces subjoined, No. XI. dated February 5, 1718-19, O. S. that is, February 16, 1719, N. S.
c See bid. No. XI.—XVIII.

d See his letter to Mr. Beauvoir, No. XV.

quential aversion of us, as heretics and schismatics, and, in

truth, make them cease to be so."

Dr. Du Pin, whom the archbishop very sincerely lamented, as the only man, after Mr. Ravechet, on whom the hopes of a reformation in France seemed to depend, left behind him an account of this famous correspondence. Some time before he died, he showed it to Mr. Beauvoir, and told him, that he intended to communicate it to a very great man, probably the regent. Mr. Beauvoir observed to the doctor, that one would be led to imagine, from the manner in which this account was drawn up, that the archbishop made the first overtures with respect to the correspondence, and was the first who intimated his desire of the union; whereas it was palpably evident that he, Dr. Du Pin, had first solicited the one and the other. Du Pin, acknowledged this freely and candidly, and promised to rectify it, but was prevented by death.

It does not, however, appear that Du Pin's death put a final stop to the correspondence; for we learn by a letter from the archbishop to Mr. Beauvoir, dated August 27, 1719, that Dr. Piers Girardin frequently wrote to his Grace. But the opportunity was past; the appellants from the bull Unigenitus, or the anticonstitutionists, were divided; the court did not smile at all upon the project, because the regent was afraid of the Spanish party and the Jesuits; and therefore the continuation of this correspond-

ence after Du Pin's death was without effect.

Let the reader now, after having perused this historical account, judge of the appearance which Dr. Wake makes in this transaction. An impartial reader will certainly draw from this whole correspondence the following conclusions: That archbishop Wake was invited to this correspondence by Dr. Du Pin, the most moderate of all the Roman catholic divines; that he entered into it with a view to improve one of the most favourable opportunities that could be offered, of withdrawing the church of France from the jurisdiction of the pope, a circumstance which must have immediately weakened the power of the court of Rome; and, in its consequences, offered a fair prospect of a farther reformation in doctrine and worship, as the case happened in the church of England, when it happily threw off the papal yoke; that he did not give Du Pin. or any of the doctors of the Sorbonne, the smallest reason to hope that the church of England would give up any one point of belief or practice to the church of France; but insisted, on the contrary, that the latter should make alterations and concessions, in order to be reconciled to the former; that he never specified the particular alterations, which would be requisite to satisfy the rulers and doctors of the church of England; but only expressed a general desire of an union between the two churches, if that were possible, or at least of a mutual toleration of each other; that he never flattered himself that this union could be perfectly accomplished, or that the doctors of the Gallican church, would be entirely brought over to the church of England; but thought, that every advance made by them, and every concession, must have proved really

advantageous to the protestant cause.

The pacific spirit of Dr. Wake did not only discover itself in his correspondence with the Romish doctors, but in several other transactions in which he was engaged by his constant desire of promoting union and concord among christians. For it is well known, that he kept up a constant friendly correspondence with the most eminent ministers of the foreign protestant churches, and showed a fraternal regard to them, notwithstanding the difference of their discipline and government from that of the church of England. In a letter written to the learned Le Clerc in the year 1716, he expresses, in the most cordial terms, his affection for them, and declares positively, that nothing can be farther from his thoughts, than the notions adopted by certain bigoted and furious writers, who refuse to embrace the foreign protestants as their brethren, will not allow their religious assemblies the denomination of churches, and deny the validity of their sacraments. He declares, on the contrary, these churches to be the true christian churches, and expresses a warm desire of their union with the church of England. It will be, perhaps, difficult to find, in any epistolary composition, ancient or modern, a more elegant simplicity, a more amiable spirit of meekness, moderation, and charity, and a happier strain of that easy and unaffected politeness which draws its expressions from a natural habit of goodness and humanity, than we meet with in this letter. We see this active and benevolent pre-

^{*}See an extract of it among the pieces subjoined. No XIX.

late still continuing to interest himself in the welfare of the protestant churches abroad. In several letters, written in the years 1718 and 1719, to the pastors and professors of Geneva and Switzerland, who were then at variance about the doctrines of predestination and grace, and some other abstruse points of metaphysical theology, the archbishop recommends earnestly to them a spirit of mutual toleration and forbearance, entreats them particularly to be moderate in their demands of subscription to articles of faith, and proposes to them the example of the church of England, as worthy of imitation in this respect. In one of these letters, he exhorts the doctors of Geneva not to go too far in explaining the nature, determining the sense, and imposing the belief of doctrines, which the Divine Wisdom has not thought proper to reveal clearly in the holy scriptures, and the ignorance of which is very consistent with a state of salvation; and he recommends the prudence of the church of England, which has expressed these doctrines in such general terms, in its articles, that persons who think very differently about the doctrines, may subscribe the articles, without wounding their integrity.f His letters to professor Schurer of Berne, and the excellent and learned John Alfonso Turretin of Geneva, are in the same strain of moderation and charity, and are here subjoined, as every way worthy of the reader's perusal. But what is more peculiarly worthy of attention here is a letter, written May 22, 1719, to Mr. Jablonski of Poland, who, from a persuasion of Dr. Wake's great wisdom, discernment, and moderation, had proposed to him the following question, viz. "Whether it was lawful and expedient for the Lutherans to treat of an union with the church of Rome; or whether all negotiations of this kind ought not to be looked upon as dangerous and delusive?" The archbishop's answer to this question contains a happy mixture of protestant zeal and christian charity. He gives the strongest cautions to the Polish Lutherans against entering into any treaty of union with the Roman catholics, otherwise than on a footing of perfect equality, and in consequence of a previous renunciation, on the part of the latter, of the tyranny, and even of the superiority and jurisdiction, of the

See the pieces here subjoined, No. XX. a See these letters, No. XXI, XXII, XXIII. b Ibid. No. XXV.

church of Rome and its pone of; and as to what concerns points of doctrine, he exhorts them not to sacrifice truth to temporal advantages, or even to a desire of peace. It would carry us too far, were we to give a minute account of Dr. Wake's correspondence with the protestants of Nismes, Lithuania, and other countries; it may, however, be affirmed, that no prelate, since the Reformation, had so extensive a correspondence with the protestants abroad, and

none could have a more friendly one.

It does not appear, that the dissenters in England made to the archbishop any proposals relative to an union with the established church; or that he made any proposals to them on that head. The spirit of the times, and the situation of the contending parties, offered little prospect of success to any scheme of that nature. In queen Anne's time, he was only bishop of Lincoln; and the disposition of the House of Commons, and of all the tory part of the nation, was then so unfavourable to the dissenters, that it is not at all likely that any attempt toward reuniting them to the established church would have passed into a law. And in the next reign, the face of things was so greatly changed in favour of the dissenters, and their hopes of recovering the rights and privileges, of which they had been deprived, were so sanguine, that it may be well questioned whether they would have accepted the offer of an union, had it been made to them. Be that as it will, one thing is certain, and it is a proof of archbishop Wake's moderate and pacific spirit, that, in the year 1714, when the spirit of the court and of the triumphant part of the ministry was, with respect to the whigs in general, and to dissenters in particular, a spirit of enmity and oppression, this worthy prelate had the courage to stand up in opposition to the Schism bill, and to protest against it as a hardship upon the dissenters. This step, which must have blasted his credit at court, and proved detrimental to his private interest, as matters then stood, showed that his regard for the dissenters was friendly and sincere. It is true, four years after this, when it was proposed to repeal the Schism bill and the act against Occasional Conformity, both at once, he disapproved of this proposal. And this eircumstance has been alleged as an objection to the encomiums that have been given to his tender regard for the dissenters, or, at least, as a proof that he changed his mind; and that

VOI. IV.

Wake, bishop of Lincoln, was more their friend than Wake, archbishop of Canterbury. I do not pretend to justify this change of conduct. It seems to have been, indeed, occasioned by a change of circumstances. The dissenters, in their state of oppression during the ministry of Bollingbroke and his party, were objects of compassion; and those who had sagacity enough to perceive the ultimate object which that ministry had in view in oppressing them, must have interested themselves in their sufferings, and opposed their oppressors from a regard to the united causes of protestantism and liberty. In the following reign, the credit of the dissenters rose; and, while this encouraged the wise and moderate men among them to ple d with prudence and with justice their right to be delivered from several real grievances, it elated the violent, and violent men there are in all parties, nay even in the cause of moderation, to a high degree. This rendered them formidable to all those who were jealous of the power, privileges, and authority, of the established church; and archbishop Wake was probably of this number. He had protested against the shackles that were imposed upon them when they lay under the frowns of government; but apprehending, perhaps, that the removing these shackles in the day of prosperity would render their motions toward power too rapid, he opposed the abrogation of the very acts which he had before endeavoured to stifle in their birth. In this, however, it must be acknowledged, that the spirit of party mingled too much of its influence with the dictates of prudence; and that prudence, thus accompanied, was not very consistent with Dr. Wake's known principles of equity and moderation. As I was at a loss how to account for this part of the archbishop's conduct, I addressed myself to a learned and worthy clergyman of the church of England, who gave me the following answer; "archbishop Wake's objection to the repeal of the schism act was founded on this consideration only, that such a repeal was needless, as no use had been made, or was likely to be made, of that act. It is also highly probable, that he would have consented without hesitation to rescind it, had nothing farther been endeavoured at the same time. But, considering what sort of spirit was then shown by the dissenters and others, it ought not to be a matter of great wonder if he was afraid, that from the repeal of the other act. viz.

that against occasional conformity, considerable damage might follow to the church, over which he presided; and even supposing his fears to be excessive, or quite groundless, yet certainly they were pardonable in a man who had never done, nor designed to do, any thing disagreeable to the dissenters in any other affair, and who, in this, had the concurrence of some of the greatest and wisest of the English lords, and of the earl of Ilay, among the Scotch,

though a professed presbyterian."

However some may judge of this particular incident, I think it will appear from the whole tenor of archbishop Wake's correspondence and transactions with christian churches of different denominations, that he was a man of a pacific, gentle, and benevolent spirit, and an enemy to the feuds, animosities, and party prejudices, which divide the professors of one holy religion, and by which Christianity is exposed to the assaults of its virulent enemies, and wounded in the house of its pretended friends. To this deserved culogy, we may add what a learned and worthy divine, has said of this eminent prelate, considered as a controversial writer, even, "that his accurate and superior knowledge of the nature of the Romish hierarchy, and of the constitution of the church of England, furnished him with victorious arms, both for the subversion of error and the defence of truth."

i Dr. William Richardson, master of Emanuel college in Cambridge, and canon of Lincoln. See his noble edition, and his very elegant and judicious continuation of bishop Godwin's Commentarius de Præsulibus Angliæ, published in the year 1743, at Cambridge. His words (p. 167) are; "Nemo uspiam Ecclesiæ Romanæ vel Anglicanæ statum penitus cognitum et exploratum habuit; et proinde in disputandi arenam prodiit tum ad oppugnandum tum ad propugnandum instructissimus.

AUTHENTIC COPIES OF THE ORIGINAL LETTERS, FROM WHICH THE PRECEDING ACCOUNT IS DRAWN.

NO. I.

A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Lambeth, Nov. 28th, S. V. 1717.

I am indebted to you for several kind letters and some small tracts, which I have had the favour to receive from you. The last, which contains an account of the new edition that is going on of Chrysostome, I received yesterday. It will, no donbt, be a very valuable edition; but, as they propose to go on with it, I shall hardly live to see it finished. They do not tell us, to whom here we may go for subscriptions; and it is too much trouble to make returns to Paris. They should, for their own advantage, say, where subscriptions will be taken in London, and where one may call for the several volumes as they come out, and pay for the next that are going on.

Among the account of books you were pleased to send me, there is one with a very promising title, Thesaurus Anecdotorum, 5 volumes. I wish I could know what the chief of those anecdotes are, it may be a book very well worth having. I admire they do not disperse some sheets of such works. What they can add to make Moreri's Dictionary so very voluminous, I cannot imagine. I bought it in two exorbitant volumes, and thought it big enough so. While I am writing this, company is come in, so that I am forced to break off; and I can only assure you, that, upon all occasions, you shall find me very sincerely,

Reverend Sir,

Your faithful friend,

W. CANT.

N. B. This is the earliest letter in the whole collection; and, by the beginning of it, seems to be the first which the archbishop wrote to Mr. Beauvoir.

NO. 11.

A LETTER FROM MR. BEAUVOIR TO ARCHBISHOP WAKE.

I had the honour of your Grace's letter of the 28th ultimo but Sunday last, and therefore could not answer it

sooner. A person is to be appointed to receive subscriptions for the new edition of St. Chrysostome, and deliver the copies. Enclosed is an account of the Thesaurus Anecdotorum. Dr. Du Pin, with whom I dined last Monday, and with the Syndic of the Sorbonne, and two other doctors, tells me, that what swells Moreri's Dictionary are several additions, and particularly the families of Great Britain. He hath the chief hand in this new edition. They talked as if the whole kingdom was to appeal to the future general council, &c. They wished for an union with the church of England, as the most effectual means to unite all the western churches. Dr. Du Pin desired me to give his duty to your Grace, upon my telling him, that I would send you an arrest of the parliament of Paris relating to him, and a small tract of his. I have transmitted them to Mr. Prevereau, at Mr. Secretary Addison's office.

NO. III.

A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Aug. 50, 1718.

I TOLD you in one of my last letters, how little I expected from the present pretences of an union with us. Since I received the papers you sent me, I am more convinced that I was not mistaken. My task is pretty hard, and I scarce know how to manage myself in this matter. To go any farther than I have done in it, even as a divine only of the church of England, may meet with censure; and, as archbishop of Canterbury, I cannot treat with these gentlemen. I do not think my character at all inferior to that of an archbishop of Paris; on the contrary, without lessening the authority and dignity of the church of England, I must-say it is in some respects superior. If the cardinal were in earnest for such an union, it would not be below him to treat with me himself about it. I should then have a sufficient ground to consult with my brethren, and to ask his Majesty's leave to correspond with him concerning it. But to go on any farther with these gentlemen, will only expose me to the censure of doing what, in my station, ought not to be done without the king's knowledge; and it would be very odd for me to have an authoritative permission to treat with those who have no manner of authority to treat with me. However, I shall venture at some answer or other to both their letters and

papers; and so have done with this affair.

I cannot tell well what to say to Dr. Du Pin. If he thinks we are to take their direction what to retain and what to give up, he is utterly mistaken. I am a friend to peace, but more to truth. And they may depend upon it, I shall always account our church to stand upon an equal foot with theirs; and that we are no more to receive laws from them, than we desire to impose any upon them. In short, the church of England is free, is orthodox. She has a plenary authority within herself, and has no need to recur to any other church to direct her what to retain, or what to do. Nor will we, otherwise than in a brotherly way, and in a full equality of right and power, ever consent to have any treaty with that of France. And therefore, if they mean to deal with us, they must lay down this for the foundation, that we are to deal with one another upon equal terms. If, consistently with our own establishment, we can agree upon a closer union with one another, well; if not, we are as much, and upon as good grounds, a free independent church, as they are. And, for myself, as archbishop of Canterbury, I have more power, larger privileges, and a greater authority, than any of their archbishops. From which, by the grace of God, I will not depart; no, not for the sake of an union with them.

You see, sir, what my sense of this matter is; and may perhaps think that I have a little altered my mind, since this affair was first set on foot. As to my desire of peace and union with all other christian churches, I am still the same. But with the doctor's Commonitorium I shall never comply. The matter must be put into another method; and whatever they think, they must alter some of their doctrines, and practices too, or an union with them can never be effected. Of this, as soon as I have a little more leisure, I shall write my mind as inoffensively as I can to

them, but yet freely too.

If any thing is to come of this matter, it will be the shortest method I can take of accomplishing it, to put them in the right way. If nothing, as I believe nothing will be done in it, 'tis good to leave them under a plain knowledge of what we think of ourselves and our church; and to let them see, that we neither need nor seek the

union proposed, but for their sake as well as our own; or rather neither for theirs nor ours; but in order to the promotion of a catholic communion, as far as is possible,

among all the true churches of Christ.

I have now plainly opened my mind to you; you will communicate no more of it than is fitting to the two doctors, but keep it as a testimouy of my sincerity in this affair; and that I have no design, but what is consistent with the honour and freedom of our English church, and with the security of that true and sound doctrine which is taught in it; and from which no consideration shall ever make me depart. I am,

Reverend Sir,
Your affectionate friend
and brother,

W. CANE.

NO. IV.

FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Det. 8, 1718.

WHATEVER be the consequence of our corresponding with the Sorbonne doctors about matters of religion, the present situation of our affairs plainly seems to make it necessary for us so to do. Under this apprehension I have written, though with great difficulty, two letters to your two doctors, which I have sent to the secretary's office, to go, with the next packet, to my lord Stair. I beg you to inquire after them; they make up together a pretty thick packet, directed to you. In that to Dr. Du Pin, I have, in answer to two of his MSS. described the method of making bishops in our church. I believe he will be equally both pleased and surprised with it. I wish you could show him the form of consecration, as it stands in the end of your large common prayer books. The rest of my letters, both to him and Dr. Piers, is a venture which I know not how they will take, to convince them of the necessity of embracing the present opportunity of breaking off from the pope, and going one step farther than they have yet done in their opinion of his authority; so as to leave him only a primacy of place and honour; and that merely by ecclesiastical authority, as he was once bishop of the imperial city. I hope they both show you my letters; they are this time very long, and upon a nice point. I shall be very glad if you can any way learn how they take the freedom I have used, and what they really think of it. I cannot so much trust to their answers, in which they have more room to conceal their thoughts, and seldom want to overwhelm me with more compliments than I desire, or am well able to bear.

Pray do all you can to search out their real sense of, and motions at, the receipt of these two letters; I shall thereby be able the better to judge how far I may venture hereafter to offer any thing to them upon the other points in difference between us. Though, after all, I still think, if ever a reformation be made, it is the state that must govern the church in it. But this between ourselves.

NO. V.

A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO DR. DU PIN, DATED October 1st, 1718.

Spectatissimo Viro, cruditorum sua gentis, si non et sui saculi principi; Dno L. Ell. du Pin Doctori Parisiensi.

Gul. prov. div. Cant. Archs. in omnibus εὐΦξονεῖν και ευπεά τλειν.

Div est, amplissime Domine, ex quo debitor tibi factus sum ob plures tractatus MSS, quos tuo beneficio a dilecto milii in Christo D. Beauvoir accepi. Perlegi diligenter omnes, nec sine fructu; plurima quippe ab iis cognitu dignissima, vel primum didici, vel clarius intellexi; beatamque his difficillimis temporibus censeo Ecclesiam Gallicanani, quæ talem sibi in promptu habeat doctorem, in dubiis Consiliarinm, in juribus suis tuendis advocatum; qui et possit et audeat, non modo contra snos vel erroneos vel perfidos symmystas diguitatem ejus tueri, sed et ipsi summo Pontifici, ut olim B. Apostolus Paulus Petro, in faciem resistere, quia reprehensibilis est. Atque utinam hæc quæ jam Romæ aguntur, tandem aliquando omnibus vobis animum darent ad jura vestra penitus asserenda! Ut deinceps non ex pragniaticis, ut olini, sanctionibus; non, nt hoc fere tempore, ex concordatis; non ex præjudicatis hominum opinionibus res vestras agatis; sed ea authoritate qua decet Ecclesiam tam illustris ac præpotentis imperii; quæ nullo jure, vel divino, vel humano, alteri olim aut Ecclesiæ aut Homini subjicitur; sed ipsa jus habet intra se sua negotia terminandi: et in omnibus sub Rege suo Christianissimo. populum suum commissum propriis suis legibus et sanction-

ibus gubernandi.

Expergiscimini itaque, viri eruditi; et quod ratio postulat, nec refragatur religio, strenue agite. Hoc bonorum subditorum erga Regem suum officium, Christianorum erga Episcopos, suos, heu! nimium extraneorum tyrannide oppressos, pietas exigit, flagitat, requirit. Excutite tandem jugum istud, quod nec patres vestri, nec vos ferre potuistis. Hic ad Reformationem non prætensam, sed veram, sed justam, sed necessariam Ecclesiæ nostræ primus fuit gra-Quæ Cæsaris erant, Cæsari rediddimus; quæ Dei, Deo. Coronæ Imperialia Regni nostri suum suprematum, Episcopatui suam ¿¿¡‹‹», Ecclesiæ suam libertatem restituit, vel eo solum nomine semper cum honore memorandus, Rex Henricus VIII. Hæc omnia sub pedibus conculcaverat idem ille tunc nobis, qui jam vobis inimicus. Sæpius authoritas Papalis intra certos fines legibus nostris antea fuerat coercita; et iis quidem legibus, quas siquis hodie inspiceret, impossibile ei videretur eas potuisse aliqua vel vi vel astutia, perrumpere. Sed idem obis accidit quod illis, qui Dæmoniacum vinculis ligare voluere. Omnia frustra tentata; nihil perfecere inania legum repagula, contra, nescio quos prætextus potestatis divinæ nullis humanis constitutionibus subditæ. Tandem defatigato regno dura necessitas sua jura tuendi oculos omnium aperuit. Proponitur quæstio Episcopis ac Clero in utriusque provinciæ synodo congregatis, an Episcopus Romanus in Sacris Scripturis habeat aliquam majorem jurisdictionem in regno Angliæ quam quivis alius externus Episcopus? In partem sanam, justam, veram utriusque concilii suffragia concurrere. Quod Episcopi cum suo Clero statuerant, etiam Regni Academiæ calculo suo approbarunt, Rex cum Parliamento sancivit; adeoque tandem, quod unice fieri poterat, sublata penitus potestas, quam nullæ leges, nulla jura, vel Civilia vel Ecclesiastica, intra debitos fines unquam poterant continere. En nobis promptum ac paratum exemplum; quod sequi vobis gloriosum, nec minus posteris vestris utile fuerit! Quo solo pacem, absque veritatis dispendio, tueri valeatis; ac irridere bruta de Vaticano fulmina; quæ jamdudum ostenditis vobis non ultra terrori esse, utpote, a Sacris Scripturis edoctis, quod maledictio absque causa prolata non superveniet. Prov. xxvi. 2.

State ergo in libertate qua Christus vos donaverit;

YOL. IV.

Frustra ad Concilium generale nunquam convocandum res vestras refertis. Frustra Decretorum vim suspendere curatis, quæ ab initio injusta, erronea, ac absurda, ac plane nulla crant. Non talibus subsidiis vobis opus est. Regia permissione, Authoritate sua a Christo commissia, Archicpiscopi et Episcopi vestri in concilium nationale coeant; Academiarum, Cleri ac præcipue utrorumque principis Theologicæ Facultatis Parisiensis consilium atque auxilium sibi assumant; sic muniti quod æquum et justum fuerit decernant; quod decreverint etiam civili authoritate firmandum curent; nec patiantur factiosos homines alio res vestras vocare, aut ad judicem appellare qui nullam in vos authoritatem exposcere debeat, aut si exposcat, merito a vobis recusari et poterit et debuerit.

Ignoscas, vir πολυμαθέςαλε, indignationi dicam an amori meo, si forte aliquanto ultra modum commoveri videar ab iis quæ vobis his proximis annis acciderint. Veritatem Christi omni qua possum animi devotione colo. Hanc vos tuemini; pro hac censuras Pontificias subiistis, et porro

ferre parati estis.

Ille, qui se pro summo ac ferre unico Christi vicario venditat, veritatem ejus sub pedibus proterit, conculcat. Justitiam veneror; Ac proinde vos injuste, ac plane tyrannice, si non oppressos, at impetitos, at comminatos; at ideo non solum non penitus obrutos, subversos, prostratos, quia Deus furori ejus obicem posuit, nec permiserit vos in ipsius manus incidere; non possum non vindicare, et contra violentum oppressorem, meum qualecunque suffragium ferre.

Jura ac libertates inclyti regui, celeberrimæ ecclesiæ, præstantissimi Cleri cum honore intucor. Hæc Papa reprobat, contemuit; Et dum sic alios tractat, merito se aliis castigandum, certe intra justos fines coercendum, exhibit. Siquid ei potestatis supra alios Episcopos Christus commiserit, proferantur tabulæ; jus evincatur; cedere

non recusamus.

Siquam prærogativam Ecclesia Concilia sedis Imperialis Episcopo concesserint, etsi cadente Imperio, etiam ea prærogativa excidisse merito possit censeri; tamen quod ad me attinet, servatis semper regnorum juribus, ecclesiarum libertatibus, episcoporum dignitate, modo in cæteris conveniatur, per me licet, suo fruatur, qualicunque primatu; non ego illi locum primum; non inanem honoris titulum invideo. At in alias ecclesias dominari; Episcopatum, cujus partem Christus unicuique Episcopo in solidum reliquit, tantum non in solidum sibi soli vindicare; siquis ejus injustæ Tyrannidi sese opposuerit, cælum ac terram in illius perniciem commovere. Hæc nec nos unquam ferre potuimus, nec vos debetis. In hoc pacis fundamento si inter nos semel conveniatur, in cæteris aut idem sentiemus omnes, aut facile alii aliis dissentiendi libertatem absque pacis jactura concedernus.

Sed abripit calamum meum nescioquis 'Erestiat pos dum de vestris injuriis nimium sum sollicitus, et forte liberius quam

par esset, de his rebus ad te scripsisse videbor.

Ego vero uti ea omnia, quæ tu in tuo Commonitorio exaraveris, etiam illa in quibus ab invicem dissentimus, grato animo accipio; ita ut aperte, ut candide et absque omni fuco porro ad me scribere pergas, eaque apinoia qua amicum cum amico agere deceat, imprimis a te peto; eo te mihi amiciorem fore existimans, quo simplicius, quo planius, quicquid censeris, libere dixeris.

Nec de Commonitorio tuo amplius aliquid hoc tempore reponam; in quo cum plurima placeant, tum id imprimis, quod etiam tuo judicio, non adeo longe ab invicem distemus, quin si de fraterna unione ineunda publica aliquando authoritate deliberari contigerit, via facile inveniri poterit ad pacem inter nos stabiliendam, salva utrinque Ecclesiæ

Catholicæ fide ac veritate.

Quod ad alteros tuos tractatus de Constitutione Episco-porum in Ecclesiis vacantibus, siquidem Papa legitime re-quisitus, facultatis suas personis a Rege nominatis obsti-nate pernegaverit; in iis sane reperio quod non tua eruditione et judicio sit. Quare ne prorsus à ou un conscience discedam, ordinem tibi breviter delineabo constituendi Episcopos in liac Reformata nostra Ecclesia.

Tu judicabis, an aliquid magis canonice vel excogitari

vel statui potuerit.

NO. VI.

A LETTER FROM ARCHEISHOP WAKE TO DR. P. PIERS GIRARDIN, WRITTEN IN

Præstantissimo Viro, Consummatissimo Theologo, Dno Patricio Piers de Girardin, szcræ facultatis Parisiensis Theologiæ Doctori.

Gul. Prov. div. Cant. Archs. Gratiam, Pacem, ac Salutem in Domino.

Post prolixiores epistolas eruditissimo confratri tuo Dºº Di Du Pin hoc ipso tempore exaratas; quasque ego paulo

minus tuas, quam illius existimari velim, facilius a te veniam impetrabo, vir spectatissime, si aliquanto brevius ad te rescribam; et in illis quidem animi mei vel amori vel indignationi libere indulsi; eaque simplicitate, qua decet Christianum et maxime Episcopum, quid vobis, mea saltem sententia, factu opus sit, aperte exposui. Siquid vel tuo vel illius judicio, asperius quam par esset, a me exciderit, cum vestri causa adeo commotus fuerim, facile id homini tam benevole erga vos animato, uti spero, condonabitis; unaque reminiscemini, nullam unquam vobis stabilem inter vos pacem, aut Catholicam cum aliis unionem, haberi posse, dum aliquid ultra merum honoris primatum ac apoedpiar Pontifici Romano tribuitis. Hoc nos per aliquot sæcula experti sumus; vos jam sentire debetis, qui, nescio quo insano ipsius beneficio, adeo commodam occasionem nacti estis, non tam ab illius decretis appellandi, quam ab ipsius dominio ac potestate vos penitus subducendi. Ipse vos pro Schismaticis habet ; qualem vos eum censere debetis. Ipse a vestra communione se suosque separandos publice demunciat. Quid vobis in hoc casu faciendum? Liceat mihi veteris illius Cæsareæ Episcopi Firmiliani verbis respondere; sic olim Stephanum Papam acriter quidem, sed non ideo minus juste, castigavit. "Vide qua imperitia repre-hendere audeas eos qui contra mendacium pro veritate nituntur. Peccatum vero quam magnum tibi exaggerasti, quando te a tot gregibus scidisti; excidisti enim te ipsum, noli te fallere. Siquidem ille est vere Schismaticus, qui se a communione ecclesiastica unitatis apostatam fecerit. Dum enim putas onmes a te abstineri posse, solum te ab onmi-

bus abstinuisti." Cypr. Op. Epist. 75.

Agite ergo, viri eruditi, et quo vos divina providentia vocat, libenter sequimini. Clemens Papa vos abdicavit; a sua et suorum communione repulit, rejecit. Vos illius authoritati remuntiate. Cathedræ Petri, quæ in omnibus Catholicis Ecclesiis conservatur, adhærete. Etiam nostram ne refugiatis communionem; quibuscum si non in omnibus omnino doctrinæ Christianæ captibus conveniatis, at in præcipuis, at in fundamentalibus, at in omnibus articulis fidei ad salutem necessariis plane consentitis; etiam in cæteris, uti speramus, brevi consensuri. Nobis certe eo minus vos vel Hæreticos vel Schismaticos fore confidite, quod a Papa ejecti pro Hæreticis et Schismaticis Romæ æstimemini. Sed contrahenda vela, nec indulgendum

huic meo pro vobis zelo; etsi sit secundum scientiam.

Prudentibus loquor; vos ipsi, quod dico, judicate.

Ad literas tuas, præstantissime Domine, redeo; in quibus uti tuum de mediocritate mea judicium, magis ex affectu erga me tuo, quam secundum merita mea prolatum, gratanter accipio, ita in eo te nunquam falli patiar, quod me pacis Ecclesiasticæ amantissimum credas; omniaque illi consequendæ danda putem, præter veritatem. tum ad illam promovendam tu jamjam contuleris, ex sex illis propositionibus quas tuis inseruisti literis, gratus agnosco; ac nisi ambitiose magis quam hominem privatum deceat, me facturum existimarem, etiam eruditissimis illis confratribus tuis Doctoribus Sorbonicis, quibus priores meas literas communicasti, easdem per te gratias referrem. Sane Facultas vestra Parisiensis, uti maximum in his rebus pondus merito habere debeat, sive numerum, sive dignitatem, sive denique eruditionem suorum, membrorum, spectemus; ita a vobis exordium sumere debebit unio illa inter nos tantopere desiderata, siquidem eam aliquando iniri voluerit Deus.

Interim gratulor vobis post illustrissimum Card. Noaillium, alterum illum Ecclesiæ Gallicanæ, fidei Catholicæ Columnam et Ornamentum, procuratorem regium, D. D. De Joly de Fleury. Quem virum ego non jam primum ex tuis literis debito prosequi honore didici, verum etiam ob ea quæ vestri causa his proximis annis publice egerit, antea suspicere, et pene venerari, consueveram. Sub his ducibus, quid non sperandum in publicum vestrum ac Catholicæ Ecclesiæ commodum? Intonet de Vaticano Pontifex Rom. fremant inter vos ipsos conjurata turba, Romanæ curiæ servi magis quam suæ Galliæ fideles subditi. His præsidiis ab eorum injuriis tuti, vanas eorum iras con-

temnere valeatis.

Ego vero, uti omnia vobis publice fausta ac felicia precor, ita tibi, spectatissime vir, me semper addictissimum fore promitto. De quo quicquid alias senseris, id saltem ut de me credas jure postulo; me sincere veritatem Christi et amare et quærere; et, nisi omnino me fallat animus, etiam assecutum esse. Nulli Christiano inimicus antehac aut fui aut deinceps sum futurus; sic de erroribus eorum, qui a me dissident, judico, ut semper errantes Deo judicandos relinquam. Homo sum, errare possum; sic vero animatus audacter dicam, Hæreticus esse nolo. Te vero, siquidem id permittas, fratrem; sin id minus placeat,

saltem id indulgebis, ut me vere et ex animo profitear, excellentissime Domine, tui amantissimum,

W.C.

NO. VII.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Nov. 6, O. S. 1718.

Your last letter gives me some trouble, but more curiosity. I little thought, when I wrote to your two doctors, that my letters should have been read, much less copies of them given, to any such great persons as you mention. I write in haste, as you know, and trust no amanuensis to copy for me, because I will not be liable to be betrayed. And upon a review of my foul and only copy of them, since I had your account from Paris, I find some things might have been more accurately expressed, had I took more time to correct my style. But I wish that be the worst exception against them. I fear the freedom I took in exhorting them to do somewhat in earnest, upon so fair a provocation, with regard to the papal authority, though excused as well as I could, will hardly go down so effectually as I could wish with them. This raises my curiosity, to know truly and expressly how that part of my letters operated on both your doctors; which, by a wary observation, you may in good measure gather from their discourse. I cannot tell whether they showed my letters to you; if they did, I am sure you will think I did not mince the matter with them in that particular.

Of your two doctors, Dr. Piers seems the more polite; he writes elegantly both for style and matter; and has the freer air, even as to the business of an union. Yet I do not despair of Dr. Du Pin, whom, thirty years ago, in his collection of tracts relating to church discipline, I did not

think far from the kingdom of God.

NO. VIII.

EXTRACT OF A RETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Nov. 18, 1718.

At present, my more particular curiosity leads me to know the sentiments of the leading men in France with regard to the court of Rome; from which, if we could

once divide the Gallican church, a reformation in other matters would follow of course. The scheme that seems to me most likely to prevail, is, to agree in the independence, as to all matters of authority, of every national church on any others; and in their right to determine all matters that arise within themselves; and for points of doctrine to agree, as far as possible, in all articles of any moment, as in effect we either already do, or easily may; and for other matters, to allow a difference, till God shall bring us to an union in those also. One only thing should be provided for, to purge out of the public offices of the church such things as hinder a perfect communion in the service of the church, that so whenever any come from us to them, or from them to us, we may all join together in prayers and the holy sacraments with each other. In our liturgy, there is nothing but what they allow of, save the single rubic relating to the Eucharist: in theirs nothing but what they agree may be laid aside, and yet the public offices be never the worse, or more imperfect for want of it. Such a scheme as this, I take to be a more proper ground of peace, at the beginning, than to go to more particulars; if in such a foundation we could once agree, the rest would more easily be built upon it. If you find occasion, and that it may be of use, you may extract this project, and offer it to their consideration, as what you take to be my sense in the beginning of a treaty. Not that I think we shall stop here; but that, being thus far agreed, we shall the more easily go into a greater perfection hereafter. I desire you to observe as much as you can, when it is I may the most properly write to the doctors. I took the subject of the Pope's authority in my last, as arising naturally from the present state of their affairs, and as the first thing to be settled in order to an union. How my freedom in that respect has been received, I desire you freely to communicate.

NO. IX.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

I am glad the two doctors seem to receive my last letters so well. The truth is, that while they manage as they do with the court of Rome. nething will be done to any

purpose. And all ends in trifling at the last. We honestly deny the Pope all anthority over us; they pretend, in words, to allow him so much as is consistent with what they call their Gallican privileges; but let him never so little use it contrary to their good liking, they protest against it, appeal to a general council, and then mind him as little as we can do. In earnest, I think we treat his holiness not only with more sincerity, but more respect than they; for to own a power, and yet keep a reserve to obey that power only so far, and in such cases as we make ourselves judges of, is a greater affront than honestly to confess that we deny the power, and for that reason refuse to obey it. But my design was partly to bring them to this, and partly to see how they would bear, at least the proposal, of totally breaking off from the court and bishop of Rome.

What you can observe, or discover, more, of their inclinations in this particular, will be of good use; especially if it could be found out what the court would do, and how far that may be likely to countenance the clergy in such a separation. In the mean time, it cannot be amiss to cultivate a friendship with the leading men of that side, who may in time be made use of to the good work of reforming in earnest the Gallican church. I am a little unhappy that I have none here I yet dare trust with what I do; though I am satisfied most of our high church bishops and clergy would readily come into such a design. But these are not men either, to be confided in, or made use of, by

Your assured friend,

W. CANT.

P. S. Did Cardinal De Noailles know what authority the archbishop of Canterbury has got by the reformation, and how much a greater man he is now than when he was the pope's Legatus Natus, it might encourage him to follow so good a pattern, and be assured, in that case, he would lose nothing by sending back his cardinal's cap to Rome. I doubt your doctors know little of these matters.

NO. X.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHEISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Jan. 23, O. S. 1718.

WHEN you see my letter, for I conclude the doctor will show it you, you may do well to bring on the discourse of our episcopal rights and privileges in England; and particularly of the prerogatives of the archbishop of Canterbury, which I believe are greater than those of the archbishop of Rheims, or of all the archbishops in France. This may raise in them a curiosity to know more of this matter, which, if they desire, I will take the first little leisure I have to give them a more particular account of it. We must deal with men in their own way, if we mean to do any good with them. They have been used to a pompous ministry, and, like the Jews heretofore, would despise the Messiah himself, if he should come in a poor and low estate to them. And therefore, though for myself, I account all temporal grandeur as nothing; nay I am afraid it has rather hurt the church of Christ, and the true spirit of piety and religion, than done any real service to either; yet it may be a means of disposing these gentlemen to a more favourable thought of, and inclination toward, a reformation; to convince them that they return to the truth of Christianity, and leave the corruptions of Rome, without losing any honour, any power, that a servant of Christ would desire to be troubled withal. Had the first reformers in France yielded to this scheme, as we in England showed them an example, the whole Gallican church had come in to them, and been at this day as we are now; we must therefore hit off the blot which they made; and satisfy their ambition so far as to show them, that they may reform, without giving up either their authority or revenues; and be still as great, but much better bishops, under our circumstances, than under their own.

As to the Pope's authority, I take the difference to be only this; that we may all agree, without troubling ourselves with the reason, to allow him a primacy of order in the episcopal college; they would have it thought necessary to hold communion with him, and allow him a little canonical authority over them, as long as he will leave them to prescribe the bounds of it. We fairly say we know of

no authority he has in our realm; but for actual submission

to him, they as little mind it as we do.

At present he has put them out of his communion; we have withdrawn ourselves from his; both are out of communion with him, and I think it is not material on which side the breach lies.

` [NO. XI.

A LETTER FROM ARCHEISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

February 5, 1718-19. O. S.

I po not doubt but that mine of the 18th of January, with the two enclosed for my Lord Stair and Dr. Du Pin, are before this come safe to you. I should not be sorry if, upon this late transaction between the doctor and ministry, you have kept it in your hands, and not delivered it to him, I had just begun a letter to Dr. Piers, but have thrown aside what I wrote of it, since I received your last; and must beg the favour of you to make my excuse to him, with the tenders of my hearty service, till I see a little more what the meaning of this present inquisition is. I am not so unacquainted with the finesses of courts, as not to apprehend, that what is now done, may be as well in favour of the doctor's attempt as against it. If the Procureur General be indeed well affected to it, he might take this method, not only to his own security, but to bring the affair under a deliberation, and give a handle to those whom it chiefly concerns, to discover their sentiments of it. But the matter may be also put to another use, and nobody can answer that it shall not be so; and till I see what is the meaning of this sudden turn, I shall write no more letters for the French ministry to examine, but content myself to have done enough already to men who cannot keep their own counsel, and live in a country where even the private correspondence of learned men with one another must be brought to a public inquiry, and be made the subject of a state inquisition. I am not aware that in any of my letters there is one line that can give a just offence to the court. I have always took it for granted, that no step should be taken toward an union, but with the knowledge and approbation, and even by the anthority, of civil powers; and indeed, if I am in the right, that nothing can be done to any purpose in this case but by throwing off the

pope's authority, as the first step to be made in order to it, it is impossible for any such attempt to be made by any power less than the king's. All therefore that has passed hitherto, stands clear of any just exception as to the civil magistrate; it is only a consultation, in order to find out a way how an union might be made, if a fit occasion should hereafter be offered for the doing of it. Yet still I do not like to have my letters exposed in such a manner, though satisfied there is nothing to be excepted against in them, and I think I shall be kind to the doctors themselves, to suspend, at least for a while, my farther troubling of them. I hope you will endeavour, by some or other of your friends, to find out the meaning of this motion; from whom it came; how far it has gone; what was the occasion of it; and what is like to be the consequence of it; what the abbe Du Bois says of my letters, and how they are received by him and the other ministers. I shall soon discover whether any notice has been taken of it to our ministry; and I should think if the abbe spoke to your lord about it, he would acquaint you with it.

NO. XII.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

February 24, 1718.

I no not at all wonder that the cardinals Rohan and Bissi should do all they can to blacken the good cardinal de Noailles, and in him the party of the anticonstitutionists, but especially the Sorbonne, their most weighty and learned adversaries; and I am sensible that such a complaint is not only the most proper to do this, but to put the court itself under some difficulties, which way soever it acts upon it. But I am still the more curious to learn, if it were possible, not only the proceedings of the ministry above board hereupon, but their private thoughts and opinions about it. I am under no concern upon my own account, farther than that I would be unwilling to have my letters scanned by so many great men, which will scarcely bear the judgment of my very friends. You must do me the favour to get out of your doctors what will be most obliging to them, whether to continue to write to them, or to be silent for a while, till we see what will be the effect of this inquiry. In the mean time, it grows

every day plainer what I said from the beginning, that no reformation can be made but by the authority, and with the concurrence of the court; and that all we divines have to do, is to use our interest to gain them to it, and to have a plan ready to offer to them, if they should be prevailed

upon to come to it.

I am at present engaged in two or three other transactions of moment to the foreign protestants, which take up abundance of my time; God knows what will be the effect of it. Nevertheless, if I can any way help to promote this, though I am at present without any help, alone, in this project, I shall do my utmost, both to keep up my poor little interest with the two doctors and their friends, and to concert proper methods with them about it. The surest way will be, to begin as well, and to go as far as we can, in settling a friendly correspondence one with another; to agree to own each other as true brethren, and members of the catholic christian church; to agree to communicate in every thing we can with one another, which, on their side, is very easy, there being nothing in our offices, in any degree contrary to their own principles; and would they purge out of theirs what is contrary to ours, we might join in the public service with them, and yet leave one another in the free liberty of believing transubstantiation or not, so long as we did not require any thing to be done by either in pursuance of that opinion. The Lutherans do this very thing; many of them communicate not only in prayers, but the communion with us; and we never nquire whether they believe consubstantiation, or even pay any worship to Christ as present with the elements, so long as their outward actions are the same with our own, and they give no offence to any with their opinions.

P. S. Since this last accident, and the public noise of an union at Paris, I have spoken something more of it to my friends here, who, I begin to hope, will fall in with it. I own a correspondence, but say not a tittle how far, or in what way, I have proceeded, more than that letters have passed, which can no longer be a secret. I have never

shown one of my own or the doctor's to any body.

NO. XIII.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

March 16, S. V. 1713.

I THANK you for your account of what passed between Mons. Hop and you relating to the project of an union. I doubt that gentleman will not be pleased with it; because, indeed, the Gallican church will never unite with any church that has not an orderly episcopacy in it. I am very sorry my poor letters are made so public. The next thing will be, that either the imprudence of our friends, or the malice of our enemies, will print them; and then I shall have censures enough for them, perhaps some reflections printed upon them, or answers made to them; but this shall not engage me in any defence of them, or in taking any farther notice of them. I beg you to keep those I have written to yourself from all view; for I have no copies of them, and I wrote them as I do my other ordinary letters, without any great thought or consideration, more than what my subject, as I was writing, led me in that instant to. This is the liberty to be taken with a friend, where one is sure what he writes shall go no farther; but, for the same reason, will require the strictest suppression from any other view. I cannot yet guess what this turn means, nor how it will end; I wish your doctors could give you some farther light into it.

P. S. I entreat you never to forget me to the two good doctors, whom I love and honour; keep up the little interest I have with them. As soon as ever the present turn is over, I will write to Dr. Girardin. I hope my letters will not always be carried as criminals before the Secretary of State, though I am persuaded he bears no ill will to

me.

NO. XIV.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHEISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

April 29, 1711

I am much concerned to hear that Dr. Du Pin decays so fast; I feared, by his last letter, that he was sinking apace. Pray, is there any good print of him taken these last years? for I have one that was made when he was a young man-

I am sorry Dr. Piers grows faint hearted. I never thought any thing could be done as to a reformation in France. without the authority of the court; but I was in hopes the regent and others might have found their account in such an attempt; and then the good disposition of the bishops, clergy, and Sorbonne, with the parliament of Paris, would have given a great deal of spirit and expedition to it. I have done what was proper for me in that matter. I can now go no farther, till the abbot Du Bois is better disposed; yet I shall still be pleased to keep up a little esteem between those gentlemen, which will do us some good, if it does not do them any service. I am apt to think, the good old man, Du Pin, does not think us far from the kingdom of heaven. I have with this sent a letter of friendship to Dr. Piers, which you will be so kind as to send him, with my kind respects.

NO. XV.

ENTRACT FROM A LETTER OF ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO DR. DU PIN, DATED Lambeth, May 1, 1719.

N. B. Du Pin was dead before it arrived at Paris.

Speraveram equidem tua auctoritate, constantia, eruditione, pictate, moderatione, quæ omnia adeo in te perfecta esse noscuntur, ut vix in aliis singula, præclari aliquid ad Dei gloriam. Ecclesiæque Gallicanæ ntilitatem perfici potuisse. Crediderim advenisse tempus, in quo excusso Romanæ tyrannidis jugo, una nobiscum in candem communionem coalescerctis. In dogmatibus, prout a te candite proponuntur, non admodum dissentimus: in regimine Ecclesiastico minus: in fundamentalibus, sive doctrinam sive disciplinam spectemus, vix omnino. Quam facilis crat ab his initiis ad concordiam progressus, modo animos haberemus ad pacem compositos! Sed hoc principibus seculi non arridet, unionis inimicis etiam plurimum displicet: neque nobis forte dabit Deus esse tam felicibus, ut ad hujusmodi unionem nostram qualemcunque opera conferamus. Relinquamus hoc illi, in cujus manu sunt rerum omnium tempora et occasiones. Sufficiat voluisse aliquid in tam insigni opere, forte et semina in terram projecisse, quæ fructum tandem multiplicem proferant. Interim, quod nemo nobis denegare possit, nos invicem ut fratres, ut ejusdem mystici corporis membra, amplectamur.

NO. XVI.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

Feb. 9, S. V. 1713-20.

I HEARTILY wish there were either spirit or inclination enough in the Sorbonne to go on with our friend the abbe's project; but the fire decays, men's inclinations cool; the court will do nothing, and you are very sensible that without the court nothing can be done in any such affair. Nevertheless, their good opinion of the church of England should be kept up as much as possible; we should encourage them all we can to account of us as of brethren, who have only thrown off, what they are weary of, the tyranny of the court of Rome, without any change in any fundamental article, either of the doctrine or government of the catholic church. And upon this ground I shall be ready to continue a brotherly correspondence with any of their great men, provided it be done with such caution as may not expose my letters to be made prisoners to a secretary of state, a thing which can never become my character, and may carry an ill aspect, even in our own court, till the thing be rightly understood.

NO. XVII.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM THE ARCHBISHOP TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

March 31, 1720.

I THANK you for your account of the present state of the French church. It is a very odd one indeed; but will settle into an agreement at last. When once the appellants begin to break, the court will drive all the obstinate, as they will call them; I should name them, the honest men, of courage and constancy, to a compliance.

NO. XVIII.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM THE ARCHBISHOP TO MR. BEAUVOIR.

April 19, O. S. 1729.

I PERCEIVE, by some late letters from him, Piers Girardin, that he begins to despair of the business of the constitution. He has reason; the cardinal de Noailles is ensuared, and has gone too far to retire. The new archbishop

of Cambray will be a cardinal, and this affair of the constitution must procure the calot for him. The regent himself is afraid of the Spanish party, and the Jesuits; and he will gain, or at least appease them. For all these reasons, the doctrine of the church, and the Gallican liberties, must be abandoned; and on the slight pretence of a commt. of no esteem with the opposite party, an accommodation will certainly be made; and those who will not voluntarily go, shall be driven into it. If our poor friend be one of those who must hereby suffer, why may he not consider of a retreat hither? and since he cannot yet bring on an union with the two churches, unite himself with ours, from which I am sure his principles, and I believe his inclinations, are not greatly distant? But this must be managed very tenderly, and rather by a kind of rallying, than a direct proposal of it. If he inclines to it, he will easily understand your meaning; if not, it is best not to go on far with him in a matter in which you will have no good success.

NO. XIX.

EXTRACT OF A LETTER FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO MR. LE CLERC-

April, 1713.

Novum Testamentum Gallicum, notis tuis feliciter ornatum, totum, nec sine fructu, perlegi. Præfatione tua eidem præfixa mirifice affectus sum; legi, relegi, quin et sæpius deinceps repetam. Ita me in ipso præsertim ejus initio commovit, ut veræ pietatis in ea relucentem spiritum nunquam satis laudare possim, vel animo meo satis alte im-

primere.

Et quamvis in annotationibus tuis quædam liberius dicta occurrant, quæ non æque omnibus placeant, neque mihi ipsi ubique satisfaciant; fero tamen, et vel in ipso tuo a communi sententia discessu aliquid mihi invenire videor, quod ignoscere magis quam acerbius reprehendere debeam, multo minus inclementius damnare. Libertatem prophetandi, modo pia ac sobria sit, cum charitate, ac mansuetudine conjuncta, nec contra analogiam fidei semel sanctis traditæ, adeo non vituperandam, ut etiam probandam censeam. De rebus adiaphoris cum nemine contemnendum puto. Ecclesias reformatas, etsi in aliquibus a nostra Anglicana dissentientes, libenter amplector. Optarem equidem regimen Episcopale bene temperatum, et ab omni in-

justa dominatione sejunctum, quale apud nos obtinet, et, siquid ego in his rebus sapiam, ab ipso Apostolorum ævo in Ecclesia receptum fuerit, et ab iis omnibus fuisset retentum; nec despero quin aliquando restitutum, si non ipse videam, at posteri videbunt. Interim absit ut ego tam fer-rei pectoris sim, ut ob ejusmodi defectum, sie mihi absque omni invidia appellare liceat, aliquas carum a communione nostra abscindendas credam; aut cum quibusdam furiosis inter nos scriptoribus, cas unlla vera ac valida sacramenta habere, adeoque vix Christianos esse pronuntiem. Unionem arctiorem inter omnes reformatos procurare quovis pretio vellem. Hæc si in regimine Ecclesiastico, ac publicis Ecclesiarum officiis obtineri potuit; aut ego plurimum fallor, aut id solum brevi conduceret ad animorum inter cos unionem conciliandam; et viam sterneret ad plenam in omnibus majoris momenti dogmatibus concordiam stabiliendam. Quantum hoc ad religionis nostræ securitatem conduceret; quantum etiam ad Pseudo-catholicorum Romanensium conversionem, cacus sit qui non videat. Sed abripuit me longius quam par esset hæc semper mihi dulcis de pace ac unione Ecclesiarum Reformatarum cogitatio. &c. &c.

NO. XX.

ARCHBISHOP WAKE'S LETTER TO THE PASTORS AND PROFESSORS OF GENEVA.

April 8, 1712.

Quantus literis vestris nihil mihi gratius potuit afferri, non tamen absque summo dolore, vix oculis siccis, eas perlegi; neque credo quenquam esse tam ferrei pectoris, qui ad ea mala quæ in illis referuntur non perhorrescat; mireturque talia ab hominibus erga homines, a popularibus erga populares suos, a Christianis denique erga Christianos, idque, quod fidem omnem exuperare valeat, etiam religionis causa, fieri et perpetrari.

Vos interim, venerandi viri, quod vestri erat officii, sedulo præstitistis. Delegatos Ecclesiarum Hungaricarum amice accepistis. Querimoniam corum, ca qua par erat charitate atque sympathia fraterna audivistis; nullaque mora adhibita ad remedium malis ipsorum inveniendum omnes vestras cogitationes convertistis. Per illustres magistratus vestros cæteros Reformatæ Religionis principes

atque senatores, ad persecutiones horum fratrum vestrorum serio considerendas, excitavistis; et ut suam authoritatem interponerent ad sedandas eorum oppressiones enixissime obsecrastis.

Denique, nequid vel minimi ponderis desideretur quo studium vestrum in hoc tam insigni charitatis opère exequendo ostendatis, etiam mea qualicunque opera uti voluistis, animum Augustissimi Regis nostri commovendum, ne in hac tam gravi sua necessitate afflictis Christi servis deesset.

O amorem vere Christianum! et qualem deceat ejusdem corporis membra erga se invicem habere! Dignum profecto et vobis, et eximio illo vestro congressu, opus; ut quo præcipue tempore convenistis ad laudes Dei celebrandas, qui per duo jam secula Religionem Reformatam vobis incolumem servaverit: eodem etiam illam ipsam Religionem Evangelicam in aliis regionibus oppressam, concussam, ac tantum non extremum quasi spiritum trahentem, sublevetis, et si fieri possit, in integrum restituatis.

Ego vero, fratres charissimi, et propria voluntate motus, et vestro tam illustri exemplo impulsus, adeo codem vobiscum ardore accendor, ut hihil uon tentandum putem, quo vestris tam piis, tam justis, tamque benignis conatibus

optatum successum compararem.

Imprimis igitur nobilem virum Comitem Sunderlandiæ Primarium Regis Ministrum sedulo adivi. Literas vestras illi communicavi; Petii, oravi, ut in hac re suam mihi operam atque auxilium concedere vellet; utque simul Regiam Majestatem adiremus; non quod de ipsius prompta voluntate dubitarem, sed ut quæ in hac causa facienda essent, co majori vigore atque promptitudine perficerentur. Successit, sere ultra speni, conatus noster. Utriusque Ecclesiæ tum Hungaricæ tum vicinæ Vallensis, oppressiones Regi, co quo par crat effectu, exposuimus. Favorem ejus atque authoritatem apud Cæsarem Regenique Sardiniæ obnixe imploravimus, ut ab his tam injustis vexationibus, eorum jussu et mandatis, liberentur Et præcipue quod ad Pedemoutanas Ecclesias attinet, ctiam adhortati sumus, ut jure suo a Rege Sardiniæ postularet, ut pacta in his que Religionis exercitium concernent, earum gratia inita meliori side in posterum observentur. Annuit votis nostris Rex Serenissimus. Neque dubito quin legatis suis jamdudum præceperit, ut omnem

quam possunt operam suo nomine impendant, quo ab istis adeo iniquis oppressionibus utriusque Ecclesiæ membra liberentur. Orandus Deus ut tantis Principis conatibus, in hac tam justa, tam pia, tam religioni Christianæ proficua interpellatione aspirare dignetur; et oppressis suis servis exoptatam requiem tandem concedere, pro immensa sua

misericordia velit.

Interim, dum hæc feliciter, uti spero, peraguntur, ignoscite, fratres dilectissimi, si majoris quidem laboris atque difficultatis, sed longe maximi omnibus commodi, inceptum, vobis proponam; in quo et sæpe alias et hoc tempore complures primariæ dignitatis viri summo studio allaborant; et quod ab omnibus, quibus puritas Evangelii reipsa cordi sit, una secum allaborandum sperant. Jamdudum sentitis quo mea tendit adhortatio; ad unionem nimirum inter omnes quæ ubique sunt Ecclesias, quæ his ultimis seculis a communione, seu verius tyrannide Pontificis Romani sese subduxerunt, sedulo promovendam. Quin hoc fieri possit, si quidem animum ad concordiam promptum omnes attulerimus, nullatenus dubitandum est. Quin fieri

debeat, nemo prudens negaverit, &c. &c.

Vos interim, F. C. hoc agite, ut saltem inter vos ipsos pax atque concordia inviolabiliter conservetur. Summo quippe dolore, anno præterito, accepi dissentiones inter vos ortas fuisse, de capitulis aliquot circa doctrinam de Gratia Universali, aliisque quæstionibus longe dissicillimis; in quibus optimi viri et doctissimi Theologi idem per omnia haudquaquam sentiunt. Angit hoc sane, idque non mediocriter, animum meum. Et quanivis nollem vobis videri &>>> poemioxo xeir, aut in alienam, quod aiunt, messem falcem meam immittere; permittite tamen ut in spiritu charitatis, eoque quo erga vos feror amore fraterno, vos obsecrem, et in Domino obtester, ut in hujusmodi rebus quatenus id fieri possit, idem sentiatis omnes; quod si id non assequi valeatis, ut saltem sic alii alios feratis, ut 2: 1lum sit inter vos schisma, nullus querimoniæ aliquorum adversus alios locus; ut non nimium curiosi sitis in iis determinandis quæ Deus non admodum clare revelaverit, quæque absque salutis dispendio tuto nesciri poterint. Quæ sapientissimi prædecessores nostri, in omnibus suis confessionibus, caute tractanda censuerant, eaque moderatione, ut universi in iis subscribendis consentirent; et a quorum prudenti cautela sicubi postea discessum fuerit: contentiones, lites, inimicitæ, aliaque infinita incommoda,

protinus subsecuta sunt.

In his disquisitionibus Lutherani a reformatis dissident; nec reformati ipsi prorsus inter se conveniunt. Ecclesia Anglicana optimo concilio, exemplo ab omnibus imitando, nullius conscientiæ, his in rebus, jugum imponit. Quæ de illis in articulis suis statuerit, talia sunt, ut ab omnibus exæquo admittantur. His contenta, nec ipsa aliquid amplius requirit curiosius statuere. Hinc summa inter nos pax cum sobria sentiendi libertate conjuncta. Utinam et vobis iisdem conditionibus, concordia stabiliatur! Utque veteri confessione vestra Helvetica contenti, neque alicui permitteretis aliter docere; neque ab aliquo quidpiam profitendum requireretis, ultra id quod ab initio requisitum fuerit. Cum tamen summi illi viri Calvinus et Beza, ut de aliis taceatur, secus de his articulis sentirent, quam alii plures; quos tamen non solum tolerandos, sed et pro fratribus habendos rite ac sapienter judicarunt.

Hoc vobis non modo pacem inter vos ipsos conciliabit, verum etiam concordiam cum aliis Ecclesiis Ecclesiis Reformatis sartam tectam tuebitur. Absque hujusmodi temperamine, unio illa cum Protestantibus, tantopere desiderata, nullo modo iniri poterit; vos, igitur, serio líæe, ut par est, considerate; nec a nobis, a plerisque aliis Reformatis, etiam a vestris antecessoribus novis ac durioribus

impositionibus secedite, &c. &c.

N. B. The former part of this letter, which relates to the interession of Archbishop Wake in behalf of the Hungarian and Piedmontesc churches, has never been hitherto published. The latter part, beginning with these words: "Interim dum have feliciter peraguntur, ignoscite," &c. was inserted by Professor Turretin of Geneva, in his work, entitled, Nubes Testium. The words, "Interim dum have," &c. were, from an ignorance of their connexion with what goes before, supposed by some learned men to relate to the projected union between the English and Gallican churches; and Kiorningins, who says, in his Dissertation De Consecrationibus Episcoporum Anglorum, that Dr. Wake communicated this project to the divines of Geneva, fell into this mistake, and probably drew Dr. Mosheim after him.

NO. XXI.

EXTRACT FROM ARCHBISHOP WARE'S LETTER TO PROFESSOR SCHURER AT BERNE.

July, 1710.

De Anglia nostra te peramanter et sentire et scribere plurimum gaudeo. Quanquam enim non adeo cœcus sim

patriæ meæ amator, ut non plurima hic videam quæ vel penitus sublata vel in melius mutata quovis pretio vellem, tamen aliqua etiam in hac temporum fæce occurrere, optimis etiam seculis digna, et quæ ipsa primæva Ecclesia Christiana probare, ne dicam et laudare, potuisset, et tuæquissime agnoscis et nos nobis gratulamur.

NO. XXII.

TO PROFESSOR TURRETIN,

Speaking of Bishop Davenant's opinion as agreeable to his own.

July, 1718.

UTINAM sic sentiremus omnes! Et, fundamentalibus religionis articulis semper salvis, nihil ultra ab aliquo subscribendum requireremus, quod bonorum hominum conscientiis oneri esse potest, certe Ecclesiæ utilitatem parum promovebit. Ut enim de hac Ecclesiarum Reformatarum utilitate paucis dicam. Primum carum stabilimentum in hoc consistere ut omnes sese, quantum fieri possit, contra Papalem potentiam ac tyrannidem tueantur, nemini credo, dubium esse possit. Ut in hunc finem quam arctissime inter se uniantur, et in idem corpus coalescant; adeo ut siquid aliqua ex iis Ecclesiæ damni aut detrimenti a communi hoste fuerit illatum, id ab omnibus tanquam suum haberetur, concedi etiam necesse est.

Ut denique pax et concordia cujuslibet Ecclesiæ Reformatæ inter suos, ac cum aliis omnibus ejusmodi Ecclesiis conservetur; unicuique viro bono, sed præsertim Ecclesiarum illarum magistratibus atque ministris totis viribus enitendum esse, adeo clare apparet, ut nulla probatione

firmiori indigeat.

Afterward.

Quid in hac re aliud faciendum restat, nisi ut tua et anicorum tuorum auctoritate primo facultas vestra Theologica, Magistratus, Ministri, Cives Genevenses; deinde corum
exemplo atque hortatu reliqua etiam fœderis Helvetici
membra Reformata omnem lapidem moveant, ut pacem
Ecclesiis Bernensibus restituant? Neque id ego sic fieri
vellem, ut non simul et religionis veritati et doctrinæ puritati consulatur. Subscribant Ministri, Professores, Theo-

logi, Confessioni vestræ veteri, anno editæ. Prohibeantur, sub quavislibet pæna, ne ullam in concionibus, scriptis, thesibus, prælectionibus sententiam publice tueantur illi confessioni quovis modo contrarium. Id solum caveatur, ne multiplicentur hujusniodi subscriptiones absque necessitate; neque stricte nimis inquiratur in privatas hominum eruditorum sententias; modo suis opinionibus frui pacifice velint; et neque docendo, neque disputando, neque scribendo, a publica confessione secedere, aut errores suos, si tamen errores revera fuerint, in scandalum cujusvis, multo magis Ecclesiæ aut Reipublicæ divulgare. Habes, vir spectatissime, sententiam meam.

NO. XXIII.

EXTRACT FROM A LETTER OF ARCHBISHOP WAKE TO PROFESSOR SCHURER AT BERNE.

July, 1719.

Quæ de formula Consensus mihi narras, abunde placent; qui uti nolim laqueum absque causa injici conscientiis bonorum atque eruditorum hominum; ita neque fræna laxanda censeo quibuscunque novatoribus ad pacem publice turbandam; caque vel scribenda vel docenda, quæ viris piis jure scandalum præbeant, quæque Confessioni vestræ olim stabilitæ falsitates notam injuria inurere videantur. Intra hos igitur limites si steterint Magistratus vestri, neque aliquid amplius a Lausannensibus requirant, nisi ut hoc demum fine formulæ Consensus subscribant; sperandum est nullum schisma, ca de causa, inter vos exoriturum. Pacem publicam tueri, etiam in rebus ad fidem spectantibus, Magistratus Christianus et potest et debet. Conscientiis hominum credenda imponere, nisi in rebus claris et perspicuis, et ad salutem omnino necessariis nec potest, nec debet. Quod si contra faciat, subditis tamen semper licebit ad Apostolorum exemplar, si quidem aliquid falsi, aut incertæ veritatis iis subscribendum injunxerint, obedire Deo potius quam hominibus.

NO. XXIV.

EXTRACTS FROM ARCHBISHOP WAKE'S LETTER TO PROFESSOR TURRETIN,
IN ANSWER TO ONE FROM HIM, DATED

December 1, 1718,

RES Bernensium Ecclesiasticas nondum penitus tranquillas esse et doleo et miror; coque magis, quod hiscetemporibus hæ decretis divinis altercationes ubique fere alibi ad exitum sint perductæ. Quæ mea sit de iis sententia, nec adhuc cuiquam aperte declaravi, neque, ut deinceps patefaciam, facile me patiar induci. Hoc apud nos, tum ex mandatis regiis, tum ex diu servata, utinam semper servanda, consuetudine fixum est atque stabilitum, neque a quoquam exquirere quid de his rebus sentiat, modo articulis religionis, publica auctoritate constitutis, subscribat; neque in concionibus aut etiam disputationibus theologicis, aliquid amplius de iis determinare, quam quod illi articuli expresse statuant et ab omnibus ad Ministerii munus admittendis profitendum requirant.

Then follows an historical narrative of the rise, and occasion and censure, of the Lambeth articles; as also of the rise and progress of Arminianism under the reign of James I. and Charles I. and of the subsiding of all disputes of that kind under Charles II. He then subjoins;

Et quidem illud imprimis observatu dignum æstimo, quam moderate, quam prudenter, in hac tam difficili disquisitione, optimi illi viri, martyres ac consessores Christi constantissimi, quos Divina Providentia ad Reformandam hanc nostram Ecclesiam seligere dignatus est, se gesserunt. Non illi curiositati cujusvis aliquid indulgendum putarunt; sed incertis hominum hypothesibus de decretis divinis alicujus fidem alligare fas esse censucrunt. Sciebant quam inscrutabilia sint consiliæ Dei; et quanto intervallo omnes nostras cogitationes exuperent. Ideoque non religiose minus quam sapienter inter justos terminos sese continuerunt; neque in necessariis ad fidem nostram de hisce mysteriis stabiliendam deficientes; neque in non-necessariis determinandis officiosi; unde forte pro vera fide errorem, pro pace discordiam, pro fraterna unione ac charitate divisionem, odia, inimicitias in Ecclesiam Christi inducere poterant.

Hæc fuit eorum simplicitas vere evangelica; pietate non minus quam sapientia commendabilis; eoque magis suspicienda, ac fere pro divina habenda, quod tot annorum experientia reperta sit non solum optimam fuisse pacis ac concordiæ regulam, verum etiam unicum contra schismata

et divisiones remedium.

Speaking afterward of the Consensus, he adds;

Sunt igitur horum articulorum pars maxima illius gencris, in quibus ab invicem dissentire nobis omnibus liceat, absque dispendio veritatis. Quia sunt ejusmodi de quibus Deus consilium suum non adeo clare aut præcise revelaverit, quin etiam eruditissimi atque perspicacissimi viri in suis de iis determinationibes errare possint, aut potius nunquam certi esse possunt se non erasse. Quid vero imprudentius, quid arrogantius, quid denique humilitate, non jam dico Christianorum, sed et hominum non nimium sibi blandientium indignius esset, quam de rebus adeo obscuris, adeo incertis, adeo inter ipsos ejusdem Communionis Symmystas adhuc litigatis, distincte aliquid definire; et ab aliis auferre eani quam nos nobis quasi jure nostro asserimus sentiendi libertatem, O quantum potuit insana Pidavia! Et in aliorum conscientias, quam omnes verbis rejicimus, plerique re exerere cupimas, dominandi libido! Benedictus Deus, qui alium plerumque, in hoc nostro orbe, animum indiderit!

NO. XXV.

ARCHBISHOP WAKE'S LETTER TO DR. JABLONSKI, IN ANSWER TO THE TWO FOLLOWING QUESTIONS;

An de Unione Evangelicarum cum Ecclesia Romana agendum sit ? Vel, An omnis ea de Re Tractatio tanquam periculosa et fallax omnino sit evitanda?

Quod de sædere nescio quo cum Pontisiciis ineundo scribis soumiare temeriaros quosdam apud vos homines sure tranquillitatis magis quam veritatis amatores; non possum non mirari ecquod inde commodi Ecclesiis Reformatis proponunt. Adeone ulli e nostris aut incognita aut inexperta est Romanensium superbia atque tyrannis, ut credatur vel illos a suo sastigio potestatis, ac insallibilitatis, nostri gratia, sese dimissuros, vel nos eorum causa ad servitutem tam din rejectam ultro iterum redituros? Hoc tam perniciosum, tam insame sacinus, ab animis omnium nostrorum longe avertat Deus! Imo potius bona, patriam, parentes, omnia relinquamus quam ut sic inveniamur iterposories àrisous; quidni enim ipsis hic Apostoli vocibus utar?

Neque tamen sic intelligi vellem quasi omnem omnino de pace tractatum etiam cum Pontificiis refugiendum pu-

tarem. Tractemus, si libet; sed ut decet, cum æqualibus. Neque aut nos in illos potestatem indebitam nobis arrogemus, neque illis in nos concedamus. Christiani sunt illi? et nos Christiani. Catholici? et nos Catholici. Errare nos possumus? etiam illi possunt errare. Liberi sunt illi a dominio nostro? neque nos illis ulla in re subditi sumus. Si igitur cum illis omnino sit agendum, ante ounnia necesse fuerit in prævias conditiones tractandi convenire; utque mutuo statuatur, nullam esse inter eos vel inter nos infallibilitatis prærogativam, alterutri nostrum a Christo concessam. Posse utrinque errari, forte et utrinque erratum esse. Utrorumque ergo dogmata libere examinanda, et ad amussim verbi Dei exigenda. Renuntiandum insuper pretensæ auctoritati tum summi quem vocant Pontificis, tum Ecclesiæ Romanæ in alias Christi Ecclesias: ut sic, ab corum dominatione tuti, ex æquo cum illis agerc possimus. De pluribus atque præcipuis Doctrinæ Christianae capitibus, in quibus utrinque consentimus, nulla lis erit. De cæteris consideretur imprimis quousque invicem concordari valeat; et in quibus nondum in eaudem sententiam concurri potest, quæratur porro, an talia sint, quæ salva pace mutuo tolerari nequeant. Si hoc conveniatur, quæratur denique de Liturgia Publica, an talem nobis exhiberi curabunt, ut omnes simul ad eundem Dei cultum amice accedere valeamus. Si qui sint Romanæ Ecclesia Symmystæ adeo æqui, ut his conditionibus sincere nobiscum agere velint, non video cur ab eorum colloquio abstineamus. Absque hujusmodi stipulatione præmissa frustra cum iis tractabimus; nisi sub pacis concilianda prætextu veritatem renuntiare decreverimus.

Habes, vir clarissime, meam qualemcunque hac de re sententiam. Extemporaneam quidem illam, nec pro materiæ dignitate satis ponderatam; sed tamen justam, et, nisi ego plurimum fallor, talem a qua absque extremo periculo nunquam a nostris discedi possit. Faxit Deus, ut in hisce considerandis non tam nostra quæramus quam ca quæ sint Jesu Christi! Nec adeo hujus seculi pacem amemus, ut futuri præmia amittamus. Tibi, vir præstantissime, sapientiam, prudentiam, eruditionem non vulgarem concessit Deus; etiam constantiam in veritate tuenda, pro qua tanta et huc usque passus fueris, et deinceps pati te paratum ostendis. Tuo itaque exemplo alios instruas, neque concordiam atque unionem cum illis Christi discipulis

ubi justis conditionibus iniri possit, pertinaceter refugere; neque iniquis conditionibus stolide timideve, admittere; aut vana spe pacis deliniti, ad servitutis Papalis jugum colla submittere, quod neque nos, neque patres nostri ferre potuere. Hoc tam grave scandalum, tam perniciosam prævaricationem ab Ecclesiis Reformatis ut semper avertat Deus, summo ardore precatur,

Spectatissime Vir, Frater tuus in Christo colendissimus, &c. Maii 22, 1719,

CAUTIONARY NOTES

TU

THE READERS OF DR. MOSHEIM'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY.

BY SAMUEL JONES, D. D.

ALTHOUGH I hold Dr. Mosheim in high esteem for historical abilities, erudition and candour, generally speaking, yet think it might be of use for the reader to bear in mind the following particulars.

1. He seems to consider the church of Rome as the true church, or the church of Christ, at least until the Reformation, although she had be-

come, for centuries, Mystery Babylon, and the Man of Sin, &c.

2. All that deviated from her and bore testimony against her corruptions, before Luther, and many of those after, he brands with the most hateful names, such as heretics, schismatics, sectaries, fanatics, faction, pests, holders of pestilential errors, motley tribe, detestable fanatics, &c. &c.

3. At the same time many of these sectories, according to his own account, carried the reformation much farther than Luther, and from better motives, though not with equal success.

4. The account we have of these sectaries is chiefly from their energial

mies, which abates much of its credit.

5. Many of these sectaries were Baptists, for hundreds of years back,

though they were known by various other names.

- 6. Although he allows that dipping was the ancient and primitive mode of Baptism, yet he inveighs more bitterly against the Baptists than any other sect.
- 7. The Arminians, Arians and Socinians, he treats with considerable respect.

8. He often speaks of the bishops and other prelates as pions and learned, while in other places he inveighs against them for ignorance, and

every species of wickedness.

9. The conversion of the heathen he considers as a pious work, though it was often effected by frand, intrigue, fire and sword; and the converts, such as they were, deprived of their liberty, and subjected to the tyranny of the church.

10. He intimates that the Baptists were unfavourable to magistrates

and penal laws, but gives no anthority.

11. That the Baptists require no explicit or circumstantial declaration of their religious sentiments, from those that enter into their communion, as he says, is equally unfounded.

12. That our author is not perfectly candid, consistent and accurate on all occasions, has been observed by his translator.

PHILADELPHIA, 4th MONTH, 10th. 1811.

[The following Vindication was published at the end of the edition of Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, printed in Philadelphia in 1800. It is but just to remark, that, as far as regards the religious society called Quakers, the translation of A. Maclaine is very faulty; the translator has interwoven his own sentiments in such a manner with those of the original author, both in the notes and in the text, that it is impossible for a mere English reader to distinguish them; and in divers instances, he has entirely contradicted him. This will be evident to all, if a literal translation of Mosheim shall ever be published.*

JONATHAN EVANS. SAMUEL P. GRIFFITTS.]



VINDICATION OF THE QUAKERS.

TO THE EDITORS OF THE FIRST AMERICAN EDITION OF MOSHEIM'S ECCLE-STASTICAL HISTORY.

An American edition of Moshem's ecclesiastical history being nearly completed, in which is contained a very false account of the principles, doctrine, and discipline of our religious society; a very erroneous character of George Fox, and divers other misrepresentations and untrue charges; and although full answers and refutations of these calumnies have been heretofore published, yet as this work may fall into the hands of persons unacquainted with the true state of facts, we think it a point of justice due to the cause of truth and to our religious society, and for the information of candid and unprejudiced minds, briefly to give what from authentic histories and our own knowledge we are ascertained is a just narration.

Men who consider themselves accountable for their words and actions, and think it highly criminal to deceive

To a candid reader it would evidently appear, that Mr. Maclaine " has interwoven

21s own sentiments" with unusual prejudice and actionny.

[&]quot;The editor or the present edition, since the above note was sent for publication, has obtained a literal and accurate translation, from a Latin 4to. copy in the library at Cambridge. And, by comparing it with A. Maclaine's translation, discovers that Mr. M. has taken an unauthorised freedom with his author; and, in many instances, been very profuse in invective.

others, by either disguising or falsification, who are well informed and acquainted with the facts and subjects they relate or write upon, are entitled to greater credit than professed and avowed opposers, who from mistaken motives publish distortions and misconstructions. From the misrepresentations and wrong accounts given by our adversaries, we have no doubt Mosheim has taken most of his narrative.

The true character of George Fox has been drawn by men of the first respectability and the fullest information; men who were conversant with him from his youth to his close; and a cloud of witnesses and authentic testimonies can be produced to prove, that he was a pious, sober, solid and exemplary man, and no fanatic; eminently qualified for the work he was raised up to promote. As we wish to be brief, we shall omit recurring to other documents, and only cite a few sentences from a preface to George Fox's Journal, written by William Penn, as follows: "He was a man that God endowed with a clear and wonderful depth, a discerner of others' spirits, and very much a master of his own.

"He was of an innocent life, no busy body nor self-seeker, neither touchy nor critical. So meek, contented, modest, steady, tender, it was a pleasure to be in his company.

"As he was unwearied, so he was undaunted in his services for God. For in all things he acquitted himself like a man, a new and heavenly minded man, a divine and a naturalist, and all of God Almighty's making. I have been surprised at his questions and answers in natural things, that whilst he was ignorant of useless and sophistical science, he had in him the foundation of useful and commendable knowledge, and cherished it every where.

"Thus he lived and sojourned among us, and as he lived, so he died, feeling in his last moments the same eternal

power that had raised and preserved him."

Instead of the first association of Quakers "being mostly composed of visionary fanatics, and of persons that really seemed to be disordered in their brains," William Penn, in his aforesaid preface, gives the names of a number of eminent men who became members, of this society, and who were instrumental with many others, in spreading and propagating the doctrines which they had esponsed, and also of establishing a discipline and church government which

imist be allowed to be a compact and well regulated system

of good order.

The charge of their "running like bacchanals through the towns and villages, declaiming against episcopacy, presbyterianism and every fixed form of religion, &c. trampling upon the laws, and making use of their pretended inspirations to excite the most vehement commotions both in church and state," and divers other scandalous aspersions

we deny

That tumults were raised by their opposers, is very true. and also that they refused complying with laws which they conceived as violating the rights of conscience; but that in any one instance they offered violence to the person of any man, or departed from their peaceable testimony, is false, That they bore beatings, imprisonment and death, with patience, meekness and perseverance, praying for their enemics, is a fact indisputable and of great notoriety; so that in time, when the clouds of prejudice were dissipated, and their innocence fully manifested, way was made in the minds of rulers for their toleration; and this may with truth be said, that such of them as keep true to their principles, are as good members of civil society as any other people, and have never been found in any plots or combinations against the governments, which, in the course of providence, have been set over them.

The conduct of James Naylor, in his dark and bewildered state, we freely condemn; but his punishment was rigorous in the extreme. That two or three weak persons were deluded and paid a sort of divine honour to him, is confessed; but that this was in any degree countenanced by our religious society, is positively denied; but on the contrary, was fully reprobated by them. Although James Naylor had lamentably missed his way, yet we have reason to believe, he was, through divine mercy, restored to a sound mind. He published a condemnation of his misconduct, and we reverently hope he died in peace with

God and love to all men.

As to the absurd story of "one of these people going to the parliament house with a drawn sword, and wounding several, and saying he was inspired by the Holy Spirit to kill every man that sat in that house," it is a very fiction, and we deny that any acknowledged member among us ever was guilty of such conduct. We have also made diligent search, and cannot find any account of a female going naked, as mentioned in the same

note, and believe it is untrue.

or divide us.

That George Keith was a man of learning and a member of our society, and wrote several pieces in support of our tenets, is true; but that he gave way to a contentious spirit, and endeavoured to lay waste what he himself had assisted to build up; and was, after much patient labour and forbearance disowned by friends, we acknowledge; and that an opposition was made to the establishment of meetings for discipline, by some through ignorance, who afterward saw their error and condemned it, and by others from mistaken motives; but that our fundamental opinions have been the same from the first promulgation of them, we confidently assert.

We believe the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be of divine original, and give full credit to the historical facts, as well as the doctrines therein delivered; and never had any doubt of the truth of the actual birth, life, sufferings, death, resurrection and ascension of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, as related by the evangelists, without any mental or other reserve, or the least diminution, by allegorical explanation; and there is not, nor ever has been, any essential difference in faith or practice between Friends in Europe and America; but a correspondence is regularly maintained, and love, harmony and unity have been preserved down to this day; and we hope and believe, under divine favour, nothing will be able to scatter

We do not wish to meddle with those called mystics, or to adopt many of their expressions. We presume there were sincerely religious people among them; but we think religion is a simple thing, the work of the Spirit of God in the hearts of men; and as to our tenets and history we refer to Fox, Barclay, Penn, Sewel, Gough, &c. and declare, that we never had, nor now have, any other doctrines to publish, and that there are no religious opinions or practices among us which have not been made known to the world.

When any person by submitting to the influence and operation of the Spirit of God, becomes thereby qualified, and is called to the work of the ministry, after having made full proof thereof to the satisfaction of the congregation, he or she is accepted and recommended as such: but as to

any person being appointed with a stipend, small or great, or preparing a sermon to be delivered in our meetings, to be previously examined, or without such examination, there never was any such practice among us. Our ministers, elders, overseers, and other friends appointed to religious services, receive no pecuniary pay, but spend their time and their own money freely on such occasions, at home and abroad; yet proper attention is given to those in low or poor circumstances of every description, besides contributing our full proportion to the support of the general poor. Equally untrue is the insinuation that we are ashamed of our silent meetings, having experienced them to be both profitable and refreshing, as by waiting on the Lord, we renew our strength in him.

Having referred to divers books for further information respecting us, and a more minute refutation of the other false charges, we shall content ourselves at present with

this general answer.

Signed by direction and in behalf of a meeting representing the religious society called Quakers in Pennsylvania, New-Jersey, &c. held in Philadelphia, the 22d. of 11th. Month, 1799.

JOHN DRINKER, CLERK.

SAMUEL ETHERIDGE, JUN'R.

Respected Friend,

WE are authorized by the representative body of the Yearl's Meeting of Friends for New England, who, as a standing committee, have the general care of whatever may arise affecting the society, during the intervals of that meeting; to request of thee, that in order to refute some of the gross misrepresentations of the Society of Friends, contained in Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History, now republishing by thyself, thou wilt be so obliging as to annex to that work some extracts which we now forward to thee, together with a short Summary of our History, Doctrine and Discipline, as printed in London, in the year 1800. We feel desirous, that the Summary should be added, in the hope, that future Historians, finding it in connexion with so celebrated a work, will be so candid as to give our own account of our principles, rather than to take the account from those who were wanting either in knowledge, or liberality toward us.

We are,

very respectfully,

thy friends,

ELISHA THORNTON.
THOMAS ARNOLD.
SAMUEL RODMAN.
WILLIAM ROTCH, jun'r.
THOMAS HOWLAND.

The following corrections of the MISREPRESENTATIONS, principally of the Translator, of Mosheim, were written by gentlemen who had not (all of them) seen the preceding Vindication of the Quakers, which was penned in Philadelphia. This will account for the repetition of the same things, which, in a few instances, appears.

REFUTATION, &c.*

OF MOSHEIM'S ECCLESIASTICAL HISTORY OF THE

QUAKERS.

It is not to be wondered at, that Mosheim, in his Ecclesiastical History, should have said some things amiss. among the many things which he has said; and as his work is much read, and in general deservedly admired, his ill report extends further, and does more injury, than that of an inferior author. What degree of credit is to be given to that part, which treats of the Society called Quakers, will, I hope, appear from the following remarks; in making which, I wish to acquit him of ill will, and to allow for his want of personal acquaintance with his subject, and the strangeness with which the simplicity of a Friend

must appear in the eye of a Lutheran.

His account of our origin, needlessly inserted in his history of the Arminians, wherein we are compared to a rank weed, springing up from the neglect of reason, may be passed over, as a rhetorical flourish; inserted to fill up the antithesis, or to serve as a foil to relieve the subject on which he was treating. But, when he makes us his more immediate theme, in whatever he is deficient, or whatever foreign matter he may have allowed himself to add, we have a right to expect, that in his assertions he be wel founded and accurate. In the following passages, taker from Maclaine's 8vo. English edit. of 1768, he appears to me to be, either ill informed, inaccurate, or liable to a charge of malevolence, which it is not pleasant to bring against so learned and instructive an author. In page 29 line 2, the Quakers are said to have made use of their pre-

[&]quot;The following is taken from a small 12mo, vol. by Joseph Gurney Bevan; entitled, "A Refutation of some Modern Misrepresentations of the Society of Friends, &c. &c. Printed in London, in 1800.

tended inspiration to excite the "most vehement commotions in church and state." Now I appeal to the page of English history, and defy any man to show that in the state, any commotions were ever excited by these people, much less if that were possible, through design. White-lock, a member of parliament, and a circumstantial recorder of transactions at the time of which Mosheim treats, although he now and then mentions the Quakers, relates no commotion to which they gave rise. As to the church, it is possible, she might be troubled at seeing her authority disputed; but as the avowed ground of all dissent is the apprehension of error in the establishment from which it separates, the Friends must be contented with the com-

mon lot of Reformers, as to the public opinion.

The next ill founded, or unfounded, assertion of which I shall take notice, is in page 30, line 14, &c. where Mosheim confederates Barclay, Keith, and Fisher, into a triumvirate, in order to raise that beautiful fabric of our discipline, which he seems to think could never have arisen from what he calls the gross ignorance of Fox. As I may have future occasion to vindicate George Fox's memory from this and other aspersions, it will here only be necessary to seek for the authority on which Mosheim rests his assertions. As he cites none, and in citations he is generally liberal, it is not very unfair to suppose he had none; but as the discipline has been an object of admiration, and George Fox an object of contempt, it was difficult to believe that it had him for its author; and therefore not unnatural to ascribe it to others. Nevertheless, our own historical memoirs do not ascribe the establishment of the discipline, to either of those three persons. If we understand by discipline, either the setting up of the monthly meetings, or the mode of proceeding in them, we have no records from which we can even infer that Barclay, Keith, and Fisher, had any share in it; or that it was not chiefly, it not wholly, brought about by the means of Fox. it is from his works alone, that we have any clear account of the business. He describes circumstantially his journies through England, for the purpose of establishing monthly meetings. It was in 1667, the year in which Barclay, then nineteen years old, joined the Society; and whose youth therefore, both as a man and a Friend, makes it improbable he should so soon be a colleague of George Fox. As to Samuel Fisher, he died in 1665, in prison, where he had been about a year and a half; and of about the last four years of his life he was three years and a half

in different prisons.*

As to the rules of the society, whoever will consult the printed collection of them,† will see that they have flowed from time to time, from the yearly meeting itself; but much of the substance of them is to be found in Fox's epistles of 1668, and 1669, in the collection of them printed in 1698, when his memory was still fresh. Penn's account is dated 1694. Fox died in 1690.

The assertion, at page 42, line 20, that they never salute any person they meet by the way, is entirely false. False also is it, that they refuse to appear on behalf of their property before a civil tribunal. These circumstances are mentioned, untrue as they are, as proofs of an austere, stiff, proud, and formal spirit. The tame relinquishing of their property, and non-resistance of injury, are however ill adduced as a proof of stiffness; nor are the rustic simplicity of their apparel, and the frugality of their tables, mentioned just after, much better selected as instances of their pride. Such are the inconsistencies of writers who meddle with that which they do not thoroughly understand, or investigate.

One thing more is asserted respecting the elders, in these words "It is well known that in some places these speakers, the ministers, show their discourses to the ruling elders, before they deliver them," &c. Which the places are, is not menioned; but this I may say, that such a practice is not as Mosheim says, "well known;" and, as one who hath been, for many years, intimately acquired with the concerns of one large meeting, and much in the way of knowing the general practices of the body, and of those relating to ministers and elders in particular, I may add, that I never knew of any such practice. It may further be noted, that the story supposes our preachers to write their discourses; a thing entirely disapproved by the soci-

ety, and repugnant to our principles.

With two more gross misrepresentations I intend to close this part of my remarks on Mosheim. One is the account,

^{*} Prefatory Epistle to Fisher's Works, by Ellis Hookes.
† Extracts from the minutes and advices of the Yearly Meeting, from its first institution. 4to 1783.

that "there are in some of the most considerable congregations, and more especially in those that are erected in London, certain persons, whose vocation it is to be always prepared to speak to the people, to prevent meetings from being wholly silent." The other is that, "these appointed speakers have a small salary." From my long residence in London, the chief seat of this pretended provision, and personal acquaintance with all the ministers in it of our society, I can with confidence pronounce the first of these to be false; and, being false, the latter falls of course.

Sections VI. to XI. inclusive, give us the author's account of Quaker Doctrines. In these sections much is marked as quotation, but without reference to any author; and is, probably, not any creed of the Quakers, but, a creed for the Quakers, compiled out of the author's apprehension of their tenets. He sets out, in his career of description, with a similitude which he has found between the Quakers and the ancient Mystics; a comparison, however, which, on the whole, does our friends no injury, although it is not drawn with perfect accuracy. For it appears, from this very Ecclesiastical History, that whatever were the failings of the Mystics, they seem not only to have been repositories of genuine piety through the corrupt and dark ages of the church; but that in that period of it in which, says our author,* "its corruption was complete, and the abuses that it permitted were gone to the greatest height of enormity," "if any sparks of real piety subsisted," to continue the use of his own words, "they were only to be found among the Mystics. For this sect, renouncing the subtlety of the schools, the vain contentions of the learned, with all the acts and ceremonies of external worship, exhorted their followers to aim at nothing but internal sauctity of heart, and communion with God, the centre and source of perfection."

Some of the falsehoods of the Eleventh Section, at p. 42, have been already noted: it seems therefore only, but scarcely, necessary to observe, that if we take his account of Quaker morality altogether, it will not subject its professors to censure. First he tells us "that the faithful are to avoid every thing that tends to gratify the external

senses and passions," this however is no tenet of the Quakers, "or," and a useful word this or is, "such pleasure is to be so modified by reason and meditation, as to prevent its debasing and corrupting the mind." This latter alternative, which I believe no Friend will disclaim, is so much like what the apostle James calls, "to keep himself unspotted by the world," that if the poor Quakers do but also "visit the widows and fatherless in their affliction," it will be hard for such as our author to deny, that they have

arrived at the substance of true religion.

I have already testified my readiness to believe that the

account of our society by Mosheim, fraught as it is with maccuracy, may, nevertheless, not be intentionally malevolent. It is to be remembered that he lived at a distance from the places where the members of the society which he was describing had their abode; and his literary friends in England were, probably, such as were themselves but little acquainted with our principles. This I am warranted in supposing, from having frequently observed how little even some of our countrymen, with whom we daily converse, enter into an examination of our motives; which, as formerly they had the lot to be misrepresented and traduced, because our manners were dissimilar to those of the age, and novel; so now these seem to have become too familiar, to excite much curiosity respecting the principles from which they arise. We are just considered as a good sort of people in the main, who refuse to fight, and to swear, and to pay tithes; and while the improved manners of the age allow that for these, and other singularities, we ought not to be molested, the public in general cares little further about us; and seldon inquires a reason of the hope that is in us. But the excuses which I willingly seek for Mosheim. I cannot so readily find for his translator. It behoved him when he found in his author so distorted a portrait, to have inquired, to have seen, whether it were a true resemblance of the original; and not himself to have heightened the caricature. Let us begin with his note respecting the character of Fox, whom he labours to represent as a man of a turbulent spirit. The first part of the note gives an account of an anonymous defender of the Quakers, who had represented Fox as a nicek, contented, easy, steady man. The testimony of this author, Maclaine rejects, because he supposes him to draw his account from

Penn, who was intimate with Fox; and from Ellwood, who had been in his company. Maclaine however chooses to refer us to Sewel, from whose history he selects three instances of Fox's opposition to "ministers in the public celebration of divine service, at Nottingham, Mansfield, and Market Bosworth." I shall not stop long to inquire whether the sermon be a part of divine service; nor to admit that Fox frequently, in the early part of his career, did promulgate his doctrines in the public places for worship; but, as the passages are short, shall lay the words of Sewel before my readers. The transactions are all of the year 1649, and may therefore easily be found in any edition of Sewel. Mine is the first English one, 1722. Nottingham -he "went away to the steeple house, where the priest took for his text these words of the apostle Peter." "We have a most," probably a mistake for more, * "sure word of prophecy, whereunto ye do well that ye take heed, as unto a light that shineth in a dark place, until the day dawn, and the day star arise in your hearts." "And he told the people that this was the scripture, by which they were to try all doctrines, religions, and opinions. G. Fox hearing this, felt such mighty power and godly zeal working in him, that he was made to cry out. O! no, it is not the scripture, but it is the Holy Spirit, by which the holy men of God gave forth the scriptures, whereby opinions, religions, and judgments, are to be tried. That it was it, which led into all truth, and gave the knowledge thereof. For the Jews had the scriptures, and yet resisted the Holy Ghost, and rejected Christ, the bright morning star, and persecuted him and his apostles; though they took upon them to try their doctrine by the scriptures; but they erred in judgment, and did not try them aright, because they did it without the Holy Ghost. He thus speaking, the officers came and took him away and put him in a nasty stinking prison." Before I proceed, I would just remark how little Mosheim, when he said that the modern Quakers misapprehend the doctrines of their ancestors, &c. see his note at page 38, would have thanked his translator for referring to this passage. Sewel adds that the sheriff who examined Fox, was so little apprehensive of his turbulence, that he] took him to his own house from the common prison: that he was so

[&]quot;It seems by the way, not easy, in our ansistion to find a testiation to find

much affected with the interview, that he sent for a woman with whom he had traded, confessing that he had wronged her, and must make restitution; and that he and some others were moved to exhort the people to repentance. Such were the effects of Fox's declaration, whether turbulent or otherwise.

The account Sewel gives of the transaction at Mansfield is this. "Whilst G. Fox was in this place, he was moved to go to the steeple house, and declare there the truth to the priest and the people; which doing, the people fell on him, and struck him down, almost smothering him, for he was cruelly beaten and bruised with their hands, bibles, and sticks. Then they hauled him out, who was hardly able to stand, and put him into the stocks, where he sat some hours; and they having brought horsewhips, threatened to whip him. After some time they had him before the magistrates, at a knight's house; who, seeing how ill he had been used, set him at liberty, after much threatening. But the rude multitude stoned him out of the town." Here was certainly turbulence; but I think the passage not well adduced to prove it upon Fox.

Respecting Market Bosworth, Sewel gives this short relation; "Coming into the public place of worship, he (G. F.) found Nathaniel Stephens preaching, who was priest of the town where G. Fox was born; here G. Fox taking occasion to speak, Stephens told the people he was mad, and that they should not hear him; though he had said before to one colonel Purfoy, concerning him, that there was never such a plant bred in England. The people now being stirred up by the priest, fell upon G. Fox,

and his friends, and stoned them out of the town."

NOTES,*

PROPOSED TO BE ADDED TO THE REFUTATION OF MOSHEM.

For a further historical correction of the account Mosheim has given of the Society, the inquiring reader may find in John Gough's History of the people called Quakers, London, printed 1789, a pretty full account of the difficulties occasioned by the defection and disownment of George Keith, by the Monthly Meeting of Philadelphia; also the doings of the Yearly Meeting of London, Vol. 111. p. 333, 386, &c.

Also for the History of James Naylor's fall, treatment, recovery, and restoration to the Society, Vol. I. p. 236, &c. or to Joseph Gurney Be-

ven's History of the Life of Naylor.

As Mosheim refers to the general, without saying what Dictionary, for the Life of Robert Barclay, the reader is referred to the account of that worthy man's life, published by William Penn and others, his contemporaries, at the beginning of the folio volume of his writings, 1692, comprised in about 40 pages.

As Mosheim refers to a German work for the life of Samuel Fisher, the English reader is referred to an interesting account of him, written by William Penn, annexed to a folio volume of Fisher's Works, printed 1679.

As mention is likewise made of Voltaire's four Letters concerning the Quakers, which composes a part of a splendid French work, the reader is referred to "a Letter from one of the people called Quakers to Francis de Voltaire," written for their correction, by Josiah Martin, London, second edition, 1742, in which are added, Interesting Extracts from a number of learned Writers, both ancient and modern, in support of the Truth and Friends.

For a correct account of the Faith of the people called Quakers, in our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, the reader is referred to Henry Tuke's Collection from the writings of Friends, ancient and modern, and

from the Society; London, printed 1801.

For a more correct and full account of the moral principles, &c. of the Society, than is given by Mosheim, the reader is referred to Thomas Clarkson's Portraiture of Quakerism, printed in London and New-York, 1806.

^{*} The above additional notes and references were sent for publication after the preceding and following matter was put in type, and in part printed, which prevented bein being placed where they would have appeared to more advantage.

ON

THE ARTICLE QUAKERS,

BY ANOTHER HAND.

The writer of these remarks, on a careful perusal of the history of the sect called Quakers, by Dr. Mosheim, was surprised to find his account of their principles and practises, in several particulars, so illy accord with the true character and principles of that society. And the result of further examination into their ancient history, has been a conviction, that the learned author has not given the history of the Quakers with his usual accuracy, if indeed with his usual candour. An apprehension has hence arisen in the writer, that, as truth is the object of all genuine history, he would be wanting in his devotion thereto, and to the feelings of his own mind, without attempting to correct some of its errors.

The same principles oblige him to remark, in justice to the author, that having perused an exact and literal translation of this article from the original Latin, he is convinced that the translator has used that liberty, which in his preface, he says he has taken, of "adding a few sentences, to render an observation more striking, a fact more clear, a portrait more finished;" but in such a way, however, in respect to the Quakers, as highly to aggravate the unfavourable account which the author himself had given; and that many of the most odious epithets, given the society in the translation, are not to be found in the original. As, for instance, George Fox, and his friends, "strolling" and "running like bacchanals through the towns and villages," page 147, 148.

One cannot, without surprise and regret, observe our eminent author instancing, note, page 147, the conduct of Naylor; of the woman, who, he says, went naked into the chapel at Whitehall, and of the man who "came to

the door of the parliament house with a drawn sword, and wounded several, saying he was inspired by the Holy Spirit, to kill every man that sat in that house." Even admitting the facts correctly stated, and that they were all professed Quakers, as examples of the conduct of that society, without making one distinguishing or qualifying observation, more especially when we recur to the very judicious observations he makes, in respect to the reflections, by some cast upon the Lutheran church with "a view to render them ridiculous, or odious," for the conduct of some of its particular members. "In the happiest times," says he, sect. 38, p. 55, "and in the best modelled communities, there will always remain sufficient marks of human imperfection; at least, in the imprudence and mistakes of some, and the impatience and severity of others, but it must betray a great want of sound judgment, as well as candour and impartiality, to form a general estimate of the state and character of a whole church, upon such particular instances of imperfection and error." But our regret is increased under a strong apprehension that our author in this, as in some other particulars, by implicitly following some of the polemical writers of the day, most inimical and invidious toward this society, has been incorrect, as to facts and circumstances, as well as the application of them; how otherwise could he have stated the man with a drawn sword, if indeed such an event took place, to have been a Quaker? a people, whom he elsewhere represents, as holding it a fundamental principle to reject the use of violence, and the sword, even in the most urgent cases of self defence; not only holding it speculatively, but as practically adhering to it in all their conduct. This consideration ought to have been sufficient to invalidate the charge, as against the society, even if it had not been publicly denied by them at the time; which circumstance, as well as those relating to the deviation of Naylor, never could have escaped the notice of our author, had he investigated with his usual accuracy, and with that candour and impartiality he so highly recommends; he would then have satisfactorily discovered, that Naylor's misconduct, to which he alludes, and that of his followers, who were few, was openly disapproved by George Fox at the time, and by the society in general; that Naylor himself soon after repented of, and publicly condenined it. But

we cannot impeach Dr. Mosheim's integrity and candour as an historian, so far as to suppose, that if he had investigated the circumstances with his usual industry and accuracy, he would have stained the character and memory of Naylor, who was, before and after that event to his death, esteemed a pious and religious man, and of no inferior talents, by recording that event only of his life, and that, without noticing his after condemnation of it, much less that he would have left it as a stain attached to the society which condemned it at the time.

The difficulty excited by George Keith, which is represented by our author, as the most serious discord among the Quakers, and as issuing in his excommunication and the reconciliation of his followers with their brethren, does not indicate a very unsound state of the religious body, or its being destitute of that principle of vitality, which most effectually facilitates the healing of wounds. And whilst our author prefers to connect their reconciliation with an "if we may believe public fame," when he might have rested on authentic history, it is remarkable that he useth no if, in asserting that Keith returned to the bosom of the English Church, which can hardly be truly said of a man that never went out from it; Keith having, previous to his joining the Quakers, been a presbyterian, and not a churchman. It is further remarkable, that neither our author, nor his translator, who, on the authority of Burnet, assigns a more worthy motive for his return, were able to mention a single Quaker; that Keith, "though by far the most learned member of the community," after labouring some years, and having prevailed as far as he saw any prospect of success, carried back with him to the bosoni of the church. From this issue, then, of the "most serious discord among the Quakers," our author had no occasion to condole with them on the approaching "annihilation of their sect," however he might imagine he would have, "if reason gets in among them," note, page 148.

It may here pertinently be remarked, without pretending to decide whether Keith ever became a churchman in principle, or whether a country living was given him in his old age, as a reward for his indetatigable, though unsuccessful labours, to detach a portion of the Quakers from their religious communion; that it appears from the printed accounts of his disputations with the Quakers in Lon-

don, after his disownment, that he held he had ever been ' orthodox in the christian faith whilst walking among them; hence it became an easy task for them both to vindicate the principles which they really held, and to exculpate themselves from others before falsely charged upon them by their adversaries, and which he now revived against them, by quoting his own writings during that period; to which circumstance, as a means, may be in part owing, that though a number had at first adhered to him. he carried none eventually away. Whether Keith, during this period of labour in London, was converted to the principles of the church of England, and only feigned to hold his former principles as a Quaker, in order more effectually to succeed in carrying off some of them; or whether he really believed himself, as he said, to have been orthodox, and so was rewarded with a benefice, though a Quaker in principle, is not now material to inquire; yet one or the other of these we must believe to be true; unless we believe a sudden conversion took place at the period when these labours ceased, and the benefice was conferred.*

The limits of these remarks will not admit a correction of every error, but if the man and the woman, who predicted to the parliament and to Cromwell, their being broken to pieces, and the rending of the government from him and his house, with the emblematic breaking of the earthen vessel, and the tearing of the cap, really carried those messages under an apprehension of religious duty; there is nothing very objectionable in the manner; and if the other predictions of the Quakers in those days, which our author says were numerous, were as exactly and literally fulfilled, as those two he has selected, it might go far toward shaking the opinion of any candid and unprejudiced man, however strongly he might be fixed in the opinion, that all prophecy had ceased. There are however several misrepresentations of the principles and doctrine of this society so palpable and gross, and at the same time in points so im-

When the foregoing was penned, the writer had never seen J. G. Bevan's "Vindication" on this subject: he observes, page 9; "As to poor Keith, Mosheim and his translator are at variance respecting the motives of what Mosheim calls his return to the bosom of the English church. His uniting with her, for it must be recollected that he had been a presbyterian, was more likely to have been occasioned, by the warmth of her bosom, to his declining years in a country living, than by exasperation at the disownment by the Friends, or reconciliation with a body, from which I apprehend he had never strated."

portant, it would be doing too great injustice to pass then unnoticed.

In sect. vi. p. 153, of their religion in a general point of view, having adopted the opinion that their religion was merely a revival of that of the ancient mystics, it is vere evident he has detailed his own ideas of their principle and doctrine for that of the Quakers; adhering so closely as scarcely ever to lose sight of their particular character istic terms and phrases; whereas it is notorious to all acquainted with the style and writing of the Quakers, that they adhere with great strictness, in explaining their principles, to those of the holy scriptures; perhaps as consider

ing them most intelligible to religious inquirers.

Whilst we consider some of his misrepresentations as re sulting, it may be hoped innocently, from the above precon ceived opinion, there is one thing which might escape as inattentive reader, yet tending greatly to mislead him, o which, if in the original, and not foisted into the later im pressions by some other hand; it is scarcely possible to en tertain a hope so favourable. In giving the Quaker prin ciples, flowing from what he states to be their fundamenta principle, the most he says is marked with the points of quotation. p. 156, & seq. and sometimes introduced with they say; thus conveying to the reader that he is using the language of the Quakers, or at least of their writers; which is entirely foreign from the truth. From the principles thus uncandidly introduced, he infers, sect. ix. p. 158 "that the existence of the man Christ Jesus, the account o his divine origin, &c. make no essential part of the theological system of the Quakers; that they reject the history of the life, mediation, and sufferings of Christ;" and that the American Quakers in particular, without ambiguity "maintain publicly that Christ never existed but in the hearts of the faithful;" insinuating, indeed, that the European Quakers somewhat disguise their real sentiments upon this important point.

When one recurs, with an unbiassed and candid frame of mind, to the works of their most eminent and approved writers upon this subject, or to their continual reference, in their public discourses, to this part of the gospel history, or to their confession of faith, published about the year 1693, signed by a large number of their principal members, and which it appears our author had seen; it is dif

otherwise than by presuming he was destitute of personal acquaintance with the members of this society (living in Germany, remote from them) and that he had unhappily received an impression that their opinions upon this and some other important points were to be collected from the deductions and inferences drawn by their adversaries, with which he was more conversant, and not from their own approved authors, or even their public profession of their faith, by themselves as a religious body. Yet in the case of the arminians, (vol. iv. p. 130,) he candidly gives their opinions as professed by themselves, in their famous five articles; well observing at the same time, that some others pretended to enter into the secret of their hearts, and to insinuate that they had not truly represented their own re-

ligious opinions.

The reader will not be less surprised at the motives assigned for the European Quakers thus disguising their opinions, than at the misrepresentation of the fact; that a religious body, whom our author represents, page 149, as braving the power of Cromwell, "treating with contempt, his promises and threats;" and who shrunk not from the severer persecutions of the following reign, should, after the revolution, when their religion, with that of other dissenters, was tolerated by statute, be left to disguise their real opinions through fear of the "civil and ecclesiastical powers," p. 152, is neither probable nor credible. Thus one error frequently begets another; having attributed to the Quakers an opinion they never held, he is put to a conjecture equally erroneous and incredible for a cause why they should disguise it. As flowing from the same principles he asserts that, with other outward forms of devotion, they "reject the use of prayers," page 158. which would be too notoriously erroneous to require a correction here, were not this work likely to pass into the hands of many who have never had an opportunity personally to witness their frequent recourse to oral supplication in their meetings for divine worship, and which has ever been their practice from the beginning to the present day; not to mention their many publications, treating of the duty of prayer, and of the true and acceptable manner of performing the same.

The literary works of Barclay and Penn remain to speak

for themselves; are durable monuments of their talents, as well as of their picty and religion; and even had they not received the encomiums of many of the first characters among other societies, would probably remain unshaken by the attacks of a host of enemics. It may be proper here, as an evidence of his prejudice, to point out an instance of the reluctance with which Maclaine partially accedes to the ingenious and candid testimony of Dr. Tillotson, in acquitting Penn of the imputation of popery, to the reports of which he had at one time listened; Maclaine says, "that the imputation of popery was groundless, appears from his correspondence with Dr. Tillotson;" but adds, "it is nevertheless certain, he was very intimate with father Peters, the hotheaded jesuit;" yet in the same correspondence Penn says to Dr Tillotson, "for the Roman correspondence I will freely come to confession, I have not only no such thing with any jesuit at Rome, though a protestant may have without offence, but I hold none with any jesuit, priest, or regular in the world, of that communion, and that the doctor may see what a novice I am in that business, I know not one any where;" to which Dr. Tillotson replies, "and I do now declare, with great joy that I am fully satisfied that there was no just grounds for that suspicion, and therefore I do heartily beg your pardon for it." Thus have we the positive declaration of Penn, corroborated by the testimony of Dr. Tillotson, to weigh against the ipse dixit of the translator, who quotes no authority, and who elsewhere affords sorrowful and abundant evidence of his disposition to defame the Quakers.

Dr. Mosheim has in several instances endeavoured to impress the reader with the idea, that the ancient and modern Quakers were entirely different people, both in respect to their principles and conduct; this is the more worthy of notice, as it is an error not by any means peculiar to him; but which in degree prevails very generally. We view the modern Quakers with our own proper vision, and through a medium cleared from the discolourations of that through which we view the ancient; and they appear to us a quiet, orderly, moral, and religious people; but in the accounts transmitted to us by their enemies, we view the ancient Quakers through a discoloured medium, a vis-

^{*} Penn's Works, vol. i. folio. London edition, 1726, p. 128, 129.

ion extremely acrimonious and tinged with bile, and they

appear to us fanatic, turbulent, and riotous.

If we were to imagine to ourselves the modern Quakers, passing through our country as they actually do; seeking and conversing with sober inquirers, appointing meetings for religious worship; and if at the same time we were to imagine a mob of dissolute and enraged rabble at their heels, scoffing and beating them with sticks and stones to interrupt their meetings, without the least marks of violence or even defensive resistance to any on their part. if we imagine some unworthy ministers and magistrates rather instigating their fury, the latter sending them to prison, charged with the riots to which themselves has been accessory; the Quakers submitting to all with a patience unconquerable, yet pursuing their mission with undeviating perseverance, not to be paralleled in history since the days of the first promulgators of the Christian faith; we might then perhaps view a true picture of the ancient Quakers; their principles, their doctrine, and their manners being the same. For as we now see some of them whose manners, language, and address, is somewhat more polished than that of others, the same was the case among their ancient friends and owing to the same accidental circumstances of education, residence, and social intercourse.

Perhaps we have only one step more to advance, in order to obtain a pretty correct opinion of the ancient as well as modern Quakers; and that is, to overcome, through homage to the truth, a certain degree of self interest which almost all mankind fee'in the reputation of their forefathers. We feel a difficulty is believing that the ancient Quakers were such as we seethe modern to be, lest a suspicion should arise, that some a our forefathers may not have been good christians; but to make this the more easy, we ought to recollect that those who have employed themselves to manufacture and to transmit to posterity this bear's covering for the society, were comparatively but few; that many of our forefathers of superior grade for intelligence, for religion and candour, viewed them as we view the Quakers now, and endeavoured to shield them from sufferings; that many more, though not distinguished by resisting the torrent of the times, yet treated them with charity and kindness; we might instance the learned and upright chief justice Hale, not to mention judge Fell, who shielded them -

VOL. IV.

to the utmost his office as a dispenser of the law, would admit, and whose family united with the society. And what episcopalian of the present day would choose to follow the comparatively narrow minded Burnet, in preference to the far more enlightened, pious, intelligent, and discriminating Tillotson?

SUMMARY

OF THE

HISTORY, DOCTRINE, AND DISCIPLINE,

0F

FRIENDS;

WRITTEN AT THE DESIRE OF

THE

MEETING FOR SUFFERINGS IN LONDON,

1800.



INTRODUCTION.

ALTHOUGH more than a century hath elapsed since we became a distinct religious society; yet, from several causes, our principles at this day are frequently either not understood, or misrepresented. Many books, explanatory of our tenets and practices, have indeed been published by authors of our own profession. Some of these are more diffuse than every reader hath leisure or inclination to peruse; others, more compendious, do not extend to all the particulars which we ourselves wish to be known, or with which inquirers may desire to be acquainted. It is therefore judged expedient to present to such as are disposed to be rightly informed respecting us, a summary account of our origin and history, of our doctrines, and of our discipline; which may give the reader a true, though general, representation; and then, as leisure or inclination may allow or induce him, he may render his knowledge of us, and of our principles more particular, by having recourse to some of the publications already hinted at. To such a purpose, among others, the works of George Fox, William Sewel, William Penn, and Robert Barclay are well adapted; and to those who may be thus induced to inquire into our principles, we would also recommend the example of the Bereans, who examined the Holy Scriptures to find if "those things were so."*

It may however be remarked, that the Gospel, which we believe to be the highest as well as the last dispensation of God to man, can never be so well understood, as when it is considered as having the boundless love of the Great Creator for its cause, and the salvation of the whole human

race for its end and aim.

It seems to be time for the sincere in heart to wave the ceremonials of religion, concerning which there hath been enough of contention and animosity, for the sake of its essence. In proportion as men are gathered to the one thing needful, the government of Christ's Spirit in the heart, they lose the inclination for contention, and are in the true way to unity. Then can they breathe forth the primitive and permanent gospel language, "Glory to God in the highest, and on earth peace, good-will toward men."†

SUMMARY

or

THE DOCTRINE, DISCIPLINE, &c.

CHAPTER L

HISTORY.

THE beginning of the seventeenth century is known to have been a time of great dissension in England, respecting Many pious persons had been dissatisfied with the settlement of the Church of England in the reign of Queen Elizabeth. Various societies of Dissenters had accordingly arisen; some of whom evinced their sincerity by grievous sufferings, under the intolerance of those who governed church affairs. But these societies, notwithstanding their honest zeal, seem to have stopped short in their progress toward a complete reformation; b and, degenerating into formality, to have left their most enlightened members still to lament the want of something more instructive and consolatory to the soul, than the most rigorous observance of their ordinances had ever produced. Thus dissatisfied and disconsolate, they were ready to follow any teacher, who seemed able to direct them to that light and peace of which they felt the need. Many such in succession engaged their attention; until, finding the insufficiency of them all, they withdrew from the com-munion of every visible church; and dwelt retired, and at-tentive to the inward state of their own minds; often deeply distressed for the want of that true knowledge of God, which they saw to be necessary for salvation, and for which, according to their ability, they fervently prayed. These sincere breathings of spirit being answered by the extension of some degree of heavenly consolation, they became convinced, that as the heart of man is the scene of

^{&#}x27;a Sewel, p. 5, 6, edit. 1722.

the tempter's attacks, it must also be that of the Redeemer's victory. With renewed ferveney, therefore, they sought his appearance in their minds; and thus being renewedly furnished with his saving light and help, they not only became instructed in the things pertaining to their own salvation; but they discovered many practices in the world which have a show of religion, to be nevertheless the effect of the unsubjected will of man, and inconsistent with the

genuine simplicity of the truth.

These people were at first hidden from each other, and each probably conceived his own heart to be the single repository of a discovery so important; but it did not consist with divine goodness, that the candle thus lighted should always remain under the bed, or the bushel.c Our honourable elder, G. Fox, who had signally experienced the afflicting dispensations which we have described, and had also been quickened by the immediate touches of divine love, could not satisfy his apprehensions of duty to God, without bearing public testimony against the common modes of worship, and directing the people where to find the like consolation and instruction.d As he travelled in this service, he met with divers of those seeking persons who had been exercised in a similar manner; these readily received his testimony; several of them also became preachers of the same doctrine; multitudes were convinced of the reality of this inward manifestation; f and many meetings were settled.

These who attempt to detach the people from the teachings of men, must expect for their enemies those men who make a gain of teaching. Such was the lot of our first friends; and laws, made either in the times of popery, or since the reformation against non-conformists, served as the means of gratifying the jealousy of the priests, and the intolerance of the magistrates. Indeed, at the time Friends first attracted public notice, legal pretences were not always thought necessary to justify the abuse which they suffered. It was during the time of the commonwealth, when opposition to a national ministry which was supposed to be peculiarly reformed, was deemed an offence of no small import. Much personal abuse was accordingly bestowed;

[&]quot;Mark iv. 21. d Fox's Journal, p. 14, 15, 21. edit. 1765. e 15id 49 f 1 Cor. xii. 7. g Fox, 26.

L Besse's Sufferings of the People called Quakers, ch. 6. and 29. and passim.

imprisonment was common, and corporal punishment frequent. Imprisonment was often rendered more severe and disgusting by the cruelty of particular magistrates, and from the numbers which were confined together; and stripes, under pretence of vagrancy, were inflicted without regard to sex, and on persons of unimpeached character, and of

George Foxk was one of the first of our Friends who was imprisoned. He was confined at Nottingham in the year 1649, for having publicly opposed a preacher, who had asserted that the more sure word of prophecy, mentioned 2 Pet. i. 19, was the Scripture; George Fox declaring that it was the Holy Spirit; and in the following year, being brought before two justices in Derbyshire, one of them scoffing at George Fox, for having bidden him and those about him to tremble at the word of the Lord, gave to our predecessors the name of Quakers; an appellation which soon became and hath remained our most usual denomination; but they themselves adopted, and have transmitted to us, the endearing appellation of Friends.

Although Oliver Cromwell did not employ his authority to put a stop to persecution, it doth not appear that he was inclined to promote it. He gave several of our Friends access to him; and once in particular, when George Fox had been brought to him as a prisoner, he released him after a considerable time spent in conference; on which occasion he confessed that our Friends were 'a people risen up that he could not win, either with gifts, honours,

offices, or places.70

Persecution, however, continued; but, when Charles II. on the prospect of his restoration, issued from Breda, amongst other things, his declaration for liberty of conscience, it might well have been expected that Friends would be permitted to exercise their religion without molestation. Yet during this reign they not only were harrassed with the oath of allegiance, which in common with all oaths, they scrupled to take, and by which they often incurred tedious imprisonment, and not unfrequently premunire; but new laws were made, by which even their meetings for worship subjected them to punishment.

i Ibid. Suff. pref. and passim. k Fox, 24. 1 Ibid. 29. m Sewel, 25. m Sewel, 98. o Ibid. 99. p 16th Car. II. cap. 4. 22nd. Car. II. cap. 1. Also 13th and 14th Car. II. cap. 1.

The king, as a branch of the legislature, joined in the. enacting of these laws; nevertheless, he did not seem in all cases to countenance severity; for in an instance, wherein he acted independently of the parliament, he was the means of affording relief in the most sanguinary persecution which our Friends ever experienced. This was in New-England, where it was made penal for a Friend even to reside.

The first Friends9 who arrived at Boston were women. These were imprisoned, and otherwise cruelly treated. The date of this transaction is 1656. The following year the scourge was employed, and a woman' is also recorded to have been the first who suffered stripes. She was the wife of a tradesman in London, and had made a voyage to Boston, to warn the people against persecution. Great numbers underwent this punishment; but stripes proving insufficient, to deter our Friends from the exercise of their religious duty, in going to such places, and performing such services, as they believed to be required by the Divine will; it was next attempted to discourage them by a laws for cutting off their ears. This was executed in vain; and accordingly the intolerance of the persons in power produced another, which subjected Friends to banishment on pain of death. Their constancy, however, was not thus to be shaken, and four Friends, amongst whom also was a woman, were hanged at Boston."

⁹ Sewel, 160. 5 Ib. 194, 198.

r Sewel, 170.

Ib. 194, 198.

If the following paragraph, copied from the Preface to an "Abridgment of the Book of Martyrs," lately published at New-York, we think may with propriety, be here introduced.

[&]quot;Ir may be proper to remark, that as this History of the Martyrs is brought down to a later period than any work of the kind heretofore published, it embraces transactions and events which have occurred in America, and particularly in New-England; exhibiting the operation of a sanguine and persecuting spirit, which prevailed in the early settlement of that country, and by which the religious people, called Quakers, greatly suffered. It is however but justice to the present inhabitants of the State of Massachusetts, to observe, that so far from approving the conduct of their predecessors, they are now as much distinguished for the mildness and liberality of their laws, and kind treatment of this people, as their predecessors were for their cruelty toward them; hence we cannot forbear to add, that such is the religious telegration of that governments. kind treatment of this people, as their predecessors were for their cruelty toward them; hence we cannot forbear to add, that such is the religious toleration of that government, and its regard to conscientious scruples, as not only to excuse the Society of Friends, from personal military service, but also from any commutation; an indulgence, which, we apprehend, is not so fully granted to that people, by any or but few other states in the Union. In delineating the character of the first settlers of New-England, and comparing it with that of the present day, we are struck with a contrast, which, at one view, evinces the progress of light and knowledge; and in proportion as it pervades the understanding, men are inclined to cherish that disposition toward each other, which is calculated to promote the religion of Jesus Christ, who "come not to destroy, but to save men's lives."

In this extremity, Samuel Shattock; a Friend who had been banished under the last mentioned law, came to England; and application being made to the king by Edward Burrough, who was admitted to a personal audience, Charles granted his mandamus, dated 9th September, 1661, to stop the severities in New-England; and appointed Samuel Shattock, his deputy to carry it to Boston.

Nor were the good offices of Charles II. confined to our Friends of New-England. Notwithstanding the continuance of persecution in England, the king generally appeared inclinable to grant relief, and frequently received the personal application of George Whitehead and others, on behalf of their suffering brethren. In 1672,* he released, under the great seal, such friends as were imprisoned on account of the oath of allegiance, to the number of about four hundred; and the society had some respite from persecution; but not being protected by law, persecutors and informers soon recommenced their oppression, and at the king's decease about fifteen hundred were in prison or pris-

Although the practice of inflicting corporal punishment on Friends seems in England to have fallen into disuse at the restoration; yet the reign of Charles II. must be considered as the time of the greatest suffering to our society. The imprisonments were long, often terminating only with the life of the prisoner. In this reign also, the crowds shut up together, increased in many places the common sufferings of confinement; which in some were also augmented by the violent tempers of magistrates, or by the barbarity of jailers. The fines imposed by the new laws were exacted with a rigour that generally oppressed the sufferer, and sometimes left him nearly destitute of household goods; and several families experienced a separation of the near connexions of life, by the execution of that law which subjected our Friends to banishment.

It is well known that James II. to favour, as is supposed, the religion to which he was attached, suspended the operation of the penal laws against dissenters. Our Friends had their share in the benefit arising from this measure; but it was not until the reign of king William, that they obtained some degree of legal protection. Besides their

w Sewel, 280, 281. y Sewel, 586, 1684. v G. Whitehead's Life, passim. x Ibid. page 353. 2 16. Car. II. c. 4.

disuse of the national forms of worship, their refusing to swear and to pay tithes, had been among the principal causes of their sufferings. In the reign of William and Mary, an act was made, which, with a few exceptions, allowed to their affirmation the legal force of an oath; and provided a less oppressive mode of recovering tithes, under a certain amount. These provisions were made perpetual in the reign of George I. and thus Friends, who received the advantage of the act of toleration, in common with other dissenters, have been in a great measure relieved from persecution.

At the same time that the society in England experienced the vicissitudes which have been thus briefly mentioned, similar circumstances befell our Friends in Ireland. In that nation also, they propagated their principles, settled meetings, suffered persecution, and were at length relieved by law.

The means of persecution, though now generally condemned by our countrymen, are not wholly removed; and we are still liable to suffer in the Exchequer, and in the Ecclesiastical court; but this must be understood only with respect to Great Britain and Ireland; for in America, the people at present are not bound to support a national ministry; nor, when this was in some parts the case, were methods of enforcing payment employed, so tedious and so severe as in England.^d

It has already been mentioned, that our Friends above a century ago, had made their appearance in New-England; from whence all the violence of their persecutors had not been able to expel them. They were also early to be found in other colonies, in divers of which they underwent persecution; but on the acquisition of Pennsylvania by William Penn, many of them were induced to remove into that new province; which soon became, and still remains to be, the largest settlement of Friends in America. They are settled however in most of the other states and

^{* 6}th and 8th William III. c. 34. b 1st George I. c. 6.

c Those who are desirous of particular information respecting Friends in Ireland, may consult Edmundson's Journal, and Rutty's History of the Rise and Progress of the People called Quakers.

d It is worthy of remark, that in the province of Massachusetts, in which the most sanguinary laws had been made and put into execution against Friends, the first law was made exempting them from contributing to the support of the public ministry; an act of assembly having passed for that purpose in 1731, Jonathan Belcher being governor.

provinces of North America; and although they have enjoyed a great share of tranquillity, yet during the commotions which terminated in the separation of the United States from the dominion of Great Britain, Friends were involved in great trouble, by refusing to join in the military services which were required of them; and many were reduced, from circumstances of ease, if not of affluence, to the verge of want, by the excessive seizures which were made of their property, to recover the fines imposed for their refusing to serve personally, or by substitute, in war.

CHAPTER II:

DOCTRINE.

General Belief. Universal and saving Light. Worship. Ministry. Women's preaching. Baptism and the Supper. Universal Grace. Perfection. Oaths and War. Government. Deportment. Conclusion.

We agree with other professors of the christian name, in the belief of one eternal God, the Creator and preserver of the universe; and in Jesus Christ his son, the Messiah,

and Mediator of the new covenant.a

When we speak of the gracious display of the love of God to mankind in the miraculous conception, birth, life, miracles, death, resurrection, and ascension of our Saviour, we prefer the use of such terms as we find in scripture; and contented with that knowledge which divine wisdom bath seen meet to reveal, we attempt not to explain those mysteries which remain under the veil; nevertheless, we acknowledge and assert the divinity of Christ, who is the wisdom and power of God unto salvation.^b

To Christ alone we give the title of the Word of God,^c and not to the scriptures; although we highly esteem these sacred writings, in subordination to the spirit,^d from which they were given forth; and we hold, with the apostle Paul, that they are able to make wise unto salvation, through

faith which is in Christ Jesus.e

We reverence those most excellent precepts which are recorded in scripture to have been delivered by our great

Lord, and we firmly believe that they are practicable, and binding on every christian; and that in the life to come, every man will be rewarded according to his works. And further it is our belief, that, in order to enable mankind to put in practice these sacred precepts, many of which are contradictory to the unregenerate will of man, every man coming into the world, is endued with a measure of the light, grace, or good spirit of Christ; by which, as it is attended to, he is enabled to distinguish good from evil, and to correct the disorderly passions and corrupt propensities of his nature which mere reason is altogether insufficient to overcome. For all that belongs to man is fallible, and within the reach of temptation; but this divine grace. which comes by him who hath overcome the world, h is to those who humbly and sincerely seek it, an all-sufficient and present help in time of need. By this, the snares of the enemy are detected, his allurements avoided, and deliverance is experienced through faith in its effectual operation; whereby the soul is translated out of the kingdom of darkness, and from under the power of satan, into the marvellous light and kingdom of the Son of God.

Being thus persuaded that man, without the spirit of Christ inwardly revealed, can do nothing to the glory of God, or to effect his own salvation; we think this influence especially necessary to the performance of the highest act of which the human mind is capable; even the worship of the father of lights and of spirits, in spirit and in truth; therefore we consider as obstructions to pure worship, all forms which divert the attention of the mind from the secret influence of this unction from the Holy One.i Yet although true worship is not confined to time and place, we think it incumbent on christians to meet often together, in testimony of their dependance on the heavenly Father, and for a renewal of their spiritual strength; nevertheless, in the performance of worship, we dare not depend, for our acceptance with him, on a formal repetition of the words and experiences of others; but we believe it to be our duty to lay aside the activity of the imagination, and to wait in silence to have a true sight of our condition bestowed upon us; believing even a single sigh, arising from such a sense of our infirmities, and of

the need we have of Divine help, to be more acceptable to God, than any performances, however specious, which

originate in the will of man.

From what has been said respecting worship, it follows that the ministry we approve must have its origin from the same source; for that which is needful for man's own direction, and for his acceptance with God, must be eminently so to enable him to be helpful to others. Accordingly we believe that the renewed assistance of the light and power of Christ, is indispensably necessary for all true ministry; and that this holy influence is not at our command, or to be procured by study, but is the free gift of God to chosen and devoted servants. Hence arises our testimony against preaching for hire, in contradiction to Christ's positive command, "Freely ye have received, freely give;" and hence our conscientious refusal to support such ministry, by tithes or other means.

As we dare not encourage any ministry, but that which we believe to spring from the influence of the Holy Spirit, so neither dare we attempt to restrain this influence to persons of any condition in life, or to the male sex alone; but, as male and female are one in Christ, we allow such of the female sex as we believe to be endued with a right qualification for the ministry, to exercise their gifts for the general edification of the church; and this liberty we esteem a peculiar mark of the gospel dispensation, as foretold by

the prophet Joel,° and noticed by the apostle Peter.P

There are two ceremonies in use among most professors of the christian name, Water baptism, and what is termed the Lord's Supper. The first of these is generally esteemed the essential means of initiation into the church of Christ; and the latter of maintaining communion with him. But as we have been convinced, that nothing short of his redeeming power, inwardly revealed, can set the soul free from the thraldom of sin; by this power alone we believe salvation to be effected. We hold that as there is one Lord and one faith, so his baptism is one, in nature and operation; that nothing short of it can make us living members of his mystical body; and that the baptism with water, administered by his forcrunner John, belonged, as the latter confessed, to an inferior and decreasing dispensation,

With respect to the other rite, we believe that communion between Christ and his church is not maintained by that, nor any other external performance, but only by a real participation of his Divine nature, through faith; that this is the supper alluded to in Revelation, "Behold I stand at the door and knock; if any man hear my voice, and open the door, I will come in to him, and will sup with him, and he with me;" and that where the substance is attained, it is unnecessary to attend to the shadow; which doth not confer grace, and concerning which, opinions so different, and animosities so violent, have arisen.

Now, as we thus believe that the grace of God, which comes by Jesus Christ, is alone sufficient for salvation, we can neither admit that it is conferred on a few only, whilst others are left without it; nor, thus asserting its universality, can we limit its operation to a partial cleansing of the soul from sin, even in this life. We entertain worthier notions both of the power and goodness of our heavenly Father, and believe that he doth vouchsafe to assist the obedient to experience a total surrender of the natural will, to the guidance of his pure unerring Spirit; through whose renewed assistance they are enabled to bring forth fruits unto holi-

ness, and to stand perfect in their present rank "

There are not many of our tenets more generally known than our testimony against Oaths, and against War. With respect to the former of these, we abide literally by Christ's positive injunction, delivered in his sermon on the mount, "Swear not at all." From the same sacred collection of the most excellent precepts of moral and religious duty, from the example of our Lord himself," and from the correspondent convictions of his Spirit in our hearts, we are confirmed in the belief that wars and fightings are, in their origin and effects, utterly repugnant to the gospel; which still breathes peace and good-will to men. We also are clearly of the judgment, that if the benevolence of the gospel were generally prevalent in the minds of men, it would effectually prevent them from oppressing, much more enslaving, their brethren (of whatever colour or complexion,) for whom, as for themselves, Christ died; and would even influence their conduct in their treatment of the brute cre-

 ⁶ 2 Pet. i. 4.
 ¹ Rev. iii. 20.
 ¹ Matt. v. 48. Eph. iv. 13. Col. iv. 12.
 ² Matt. v. 39, 44, &c. ch. xxvi. 52, 53. Luke xxii. 51. John xviii. 11.

ation; which would no longer groan, the victims of their

avarice, or of their false ideas of pleasure.

Some of our tenets have in former times, as hath been shown, subjected our Friends to much suffering from government, though to the salutary purposes of government, our principles are a security. They inculcate submission to the laws in all cases wherein conscience is not violated. But we hold, that as Christ's kingdom is not of this world, it is not the business of the civil magistrate to interfere in matters of religion; but to maintain the external peace and good order of the community. We therefore think persecution, even in the smallest degree, unwarrantable. We are careful in requiring our members not to be concerned in illicit trade, nor in any manner to defraud the revenue.

It is well known that the society, from its first appearance, has disused those names of the months and days. which having been given in honour of the heroes or false gods of the heathen, originated in their flattery or superstition; and the custom of speaking to a single person in the plural number, as having arisen also from motives of adulation. Compliments, superfluity of apparel and furniture, outward shows of rejoicing and mourning, and the observation of days and times, we esteem to be incompatible with the simplicity and sincerity of a Christian life; and public diversions, gaming, and other vain amusements of the world, we cannot but condemn. They are a waste of that time which is given us for nobler purposes; and divert the attention of the mind from the sober duties of life, and from the reproofs of instruction, by which we are guided to an everlasting inheritance.

To conclude: although we have exhibited the several tenets which distinguish our religious society, as objects of our belief; yet we are sensible that a true and living faith is not produced in the mind of man by his own effort; but is the free gift of Gody in Christ Jesus, nourished and increased by the progressive operation of his Spirit in our hearts, and our proportionate obedience. Therefore, although for the preservation of the testimonies given us to bear, and for the peace and good order of the society, we deem it necessary that those who are admitted into membership with us, should be previously convinced of those

doctrines which we esteem essential; yet we require no formal subscription to any articles, either as a condition of membership, or a qualification for the service of the church. We prefer the judging of men by their fruits, and depending on the aid of Him, who, by his prophet, hath promised to be "a spirit of judgment to him that sitteth in judgment." Without this, there is a danger of receiving numbers into outward communion, without any addition to that spiritual sheepfold, whereof our blessed Lord declared himself to be both the door and the shepherd; b that is, such as know his voice, and follow him in the paths of obedience.

CHAPTER III.

DISCIPLINE.

Its Purposes. Meetings for Discipline. Monthly Meetings. Poor. Convinced Persons. Certificates of Removal. Overseers. Mode of Dealing with Offenders. Arbitration. Marriages. Births and Burials. Quarterly Meetings. Queries. Appeals. The Yearly Meeting. Women's Meetings. Meetings of Ministers and Elders. Certificates to Ministers. The Meetings for Sufferings. Conclusion.

THE purposes which our discipline hath chiefly in view, are, the relief of the poor; the maintenance of good order; the support of the testimonies which we believe it is our duty to bear to the world; and the help and recovery of such as are overtaken in faults.

In the practice of discipline, we think it indispensable that the order recommended by Christ himself be invariably observed." "If thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone; if he shall hear thee, then hast gained thy brother; but if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses, every word may be established; and if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church."

To effect the salutary purposes of discipline, meetings were appointed, at an early period of the society, which, from the times of their being held, were called Quarterly meetings. It was afterward found expedient to divide

the districts of those meetings, and to meet more frequently; from whence arose Monthly meetings, subordinate to those held quarterly. At length, in 1669, a Yearly meeting was established, to superintend, assist, and provide rules for the whole: previously to which, general meetings

had been occasionally held.

A Monthly meeting is usually composed of several particular congregations, situated within a convenient distance from each other. Its business is to provide for the subsistence of the poor, and for the education of their offspring; to judge of the sincerity and fitness of persons appearing to be convinced of the religious principles of the society, and desiring to be admitted into membership; to excite due attention to the discharge of religious and moral duty; and to deal with disorderly members. Monthly meetings also grant to such of their members as remove into other Monthly meetings certificates of their membership and conduct; without which they cannot gain membership in such meetings. Each Monthly meeting is required to appoint certain persons, under the name of overseers, who are to take care that the rules of our discipline be put in practice; and when any case of complaint, or disorderly conduct, comes to their knowledge, to see that private admonition, agreeably to the gospel rule before mentioned, be given, previously to its being laid before the Monthly meeting.

When a case is introduced, it is usual for a small committee to be appointed, to visit the offender, to endeavour to convince him of his error, and to induce him to forsake and condemn it.4 If they succeed, the person is by minute declared to have made satisfaction for the offence; if

not, he is disowned as a member of the society.

In disputes between individuals, it has long been the

e Fox, 390.

f Where this is the case, it is usual for the members of each congregation to form

Where this is the case, it is usual for the members of each congregation to form what is called a Preparative meeting, because its business is to prepare whatever may occur among themselves, to be laid before the Monthly meeting gon application of this kind, a small committee is appointed to visit the party, and report to the Monthly meeting; which is directed by our rules not to admit any into membership, without allowing a seasonable time to consider their conduct.

In this is generally done by a written acknowledgment, signed by the offender.

This is done by what is termed a Testimony of denial; which is a paper reciting the offence, and sometimes the steps which have led to it; next, the means unavailingly used to reclaim the offender; after that, a clause disowning him; to which is usually added an expression of desire for his repentance, and for his being restored to membership. membership.

decided judgment of the society, that its members should not sue each other at law. It therefore enjoins all to end their differences by speedy and impartial arbitration, agreeably to rules laid down. If any refuse to adopt this mode, or, having adopted it, to submit to the award, it is the direction of the Yearly meeting that such be disowned.

To Monthly meetings also belongs the allowing of marriages; for our Society hath always scrupled to acknowledge the exclusive authority of the priests in the solemnization of marriage. Those who intend to marry, appear together and propose their intention to the Monthly meeting; and if not attended by their parents and guardians, produce a written certificate of their consent, signed in the presence of witnesses. The meeting then appoints a committee to inquire whether they be clear of other engagements respecting marriage; and if at a subsequent meeting, to which the parties also come and declare the continuance of their intention, no objections be reported, they have the meeting's consent to solemnize their intended marriage. This is done in a public meeting for worship, toward the close whereof the parties stand up, and solemnly take each other for husband and wife. A certificate of the proceedings is then publicly read, and signed by the parties, and afterward by the relations and others as witnesses. Of such marriage the Monthly meeting keeps a record; as also of the births and burials of its members. A certificate of the date, of the name of the infant, and of its parents, signed by those present at the birth, is the subject of one of these last mentioned records; and an order for the interment, countersigned by the gravemaker of the other. The naming of children is without ceremony. Burials are also conducted in a simple man-The body, followed by the relations and friends, is sometimes, previously to interment, carried to a meeting; and at the grave a pause is generally made: on both which occasions it frequently falls out, that one or more friends present have somewhat to express for the edification of those who attend; but no religious rite is considered as an essential part of burial.

Several Monthly meetings compose a Quarterly meeting. At the Quarterly meeting are produced written answers from the Monthly meetings, to certain queries respecting the conduct of their members, and the meetings' care over

them. The accounts thus received, are digested into one, which is sent, also in the form of answers to queries, by representatives, to the Yearly meeting. Appeals from the judgment of Monthly meetings, are brought to the Quarterly meetings; whose business also it is to assist in any difficult case, or where remissness appears in the care of the Monthly meetings over the individuals who compose them.

The Yearly meeting has the general superintendence of the Society in the country in which it is established; and therefore, as the accounts which it receives discover the state of inferior meetings, as particular exigencies require, or as the meeting is impressed with a sense of duty, it gives forth its advice, makes such regulations as appear to be requisite, or excites to the observance of those already made; and sometimes appoints committees to visit those Quarterly meetings which appear to be in need of immediate advice. Appeals from the judgment of quarterly meetings are here finally determined; and a brotherly correspondence, by epistles, is maintained with other Yearly meetings.

In this place it is proper to add, that, as we believe women may be rightly called to the work of the ministry, we also think that to them belongs a share in the support of our Christian discipline; and that some parts of it, wherein their own sex is concerned, devolve on them with peculiar propriety; accordingly they have Monthly, Quarterly, and Yearly meetings of their own sex, held at the same time and in the same place with those of the men; but separately, and without the power of making rules; and it may be remarked that during the persecutions, which in the last century occasioned the imprisonment of so many of the men, the care of the poor often fell on the women, and was by them satisfactorily administered.

In order that those who are in the situation of ministers may have the tender sympathy and counsel of those of either sex, m who, by their experience in the work of religion, are qualified for that service, the Monthly meetings are advised to select such under the denomination of Elders. These and ministers approved by their Monthly

k There are seven Yearly meetings, viz. 1 London, to which come Representatives from Ireland, 2 New-England, 3 New-York, 4 Pensyl vania and New-Jersey, 5 Mary-land, 6 Virginia, 7 The Carolinas and Georgia.

* See the last note m Fox. 461, 402

meetings, have meetings peculiar to themselves, called Meetings of Ministers and Elders; in which they have an opportunity of exciting each other to a discharge of their several duties, and of extending advice to those who may appear to be weak, without any needless exposure. Such meetings are generally held in the compass of each Monthly, Quarterly, and Yearly meeting. They are conducted by rules prescribed by the Yearly meeting, and have no authority to make any alteration or addition to them. The members of them unite with their brethren in the Meetings for discipline, and are equally accountable to the latter for their conduct.

It is to a meeting of this kind in London, called the Second day's Morning meeting, that the revisal of manuscripts concerning our principles, previously to publication, is intrusted by the Yearly meeting held in London; and also the granting, in the intervals of the Yearly meeting, of certificates of approbation to such ministers as are concerned to travel in the work of the ministry in foreign parts; in addition to those granted by their Monthly and Quarterly meetings. When a visit of this kind doth not extend beyond Great Britain, a certificate from the Monthly meeting of which the minister is a member is sufficient; if to Ireland, the concurrence of the Quarterly meeting is also required. Regulations of similar tendency obtain in other Yearly meetings.

The Yearly meeting of London, in the year 1675, appointed a meeting to be held in that city, for the purpose of advising and assisting in cases of suffering for conscience sake, which hath continued with great use to the society to this day. It is composed of friends under the name of correspondents, chosen by the several Quarterly meetings, and who reside in or near the city. The same meetings also appoint members of their own in the country as correspondents, who are to join their brethren in London on emergency. The names of all these correspondents, previously to their being recorded as such, are submitted to the approbation of the Yearly meeting. Those of the men

n Those who believe themselves required to speak in meetings for worship, are not immediately acknowledged as ministers by their Monthly meetings; but time is taken for judgment, that the meeting may be satisfied of their call and qualification. It will also sometimes happen, that such as are not approved, will obtrude themselves as ministers, to the grief of their brethren; but much forbearance is used toward these, because it is approbation of the meeting is publicly testified.

who are approved ministers are also members of this meeting, which is called the Meeting for Sufferings; a name arising from its original purpose, which is not yet become

entirely obsolete.

The Yearly meeting has intrusted the Meeting for Sufferings with the care of printing and distributing books, and with the management of its stock; and, considered as a standing committee of the Yearly meeting, it hath a general care of whatever may arise, during the intervals of that meeting, affecting the society, and requiring immediate attention; particularly of those circumstances which

may occasion an application to Government.

There is not in any of the meetings which have been mentioned, any president, as we believe that Divine Wisdom alone ought to preside; nor hath any member a right to claim pre-eminence over the rest. The office of clerk, with a few exceptions, is undertaken voluntarily by some member; as is also the keeping of the records. Where these are very voluninous, and require a house for their deposit, as is the case in London, where the general records of the society in Great Britain are kept, a clerk is hired to have the care of them; but except a few clerks of this kind, and persons who have the care of meetinghouses, none receive any stipend or gratuity for their services in our religious society.

Thus have we given a view of the foundation and establishment of our discipline; by which it will be seen, that it is not, as hath been frequently insinuated, merely the work of modern times; but was the early care and concern of our pious predecessors. We cannot better close this short sketch of it, than by observing, that if the exercise of discipline should in some instances appear to press hard upon those, who neglecting the monitions of divine counsel in their hearts, are also unwilling to be accountable to their brethren; yet, if that great, leading, and indispensable rule, enjoined by our Lord, be observed by those who undertake to be active in it, "Whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them," it will prevent the censure of the church from falling on any thing

OThis is an occasional voluntary contribution, expended in printing books, house rent for a clerk, and his wages for keeping records, the passage of ministers who visit their brethren beyond sea, and some small incidental charges.

P Matt. vii. 12.

but that which really obstructs the progress of truth. Discipline will then promote, in an eminent degree, that love of our neighbour, which is the mark of discipleship, and without which a profession of love to God, and to his cause, is a vain pretence, "He," said the beloved disciple, "that loveth not his brother whom he hath seen, how can he love God whom he hath not seen? And this commandment have we from him, that he who loveth God, love his brother also."

9 1 John iv. 20, 21.

ADVERTISEMENT.

The following Tables have been compiled with much attention and pains from the best authors; and it is therefore hoped that they will be considered as a useful addition to Dr. Mosheim's work; and the more so, as they are not confined to the persons and things contained in it.

The dates, that are placed in the columns which contain the Sovereign Princes and Popes, are designed to mark the

year of their decease.

As several of the *Ecclesiastical* and *Theological Writers*, mentioned in these tables, deserve a place also among *Profune Authors*, on account of their Philosophical, Literary, or Historical Productions; so their names will be repeated in the two distinct columns that contain the learned men

of each century.

It is further to be observed, that the Romish Church, even long before the time of the reformation, looked upon many persons as Heretics, whom we, on our principles, cannot consider in the same light, and whose doctrines really tended to promote that reformation in which we glory. I have therefore, in many places, added the words real or reputed after Heretics, rather than seem to submit to the decisions of a superstitions Church in this matter.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLES.

CENTURY 4.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiastical & Theological Writers.		Remarkable Events.	Profane Authors.
Tiberius 37 Caligula 41 Claudius 54 Nero 68 Galba 69 Otho 69 Vitellius 70 Vespasian 79 Titus 81 Domitian 96 Nerva 93	cession of the first Bishops of Rome is a matter full of intricacy and obscurity.— We shall herein follow the learned Bp. Pearson. Linus. Anacletus Clement Evaristus. Alexander. The dates of the deaths of the Roman Pontiffs are not the same in the accounts of chronologers.	Apostles. The three Apostolic Fathers, Clement, Barnabas, Hermas. Philo, the Jew, Flavius Josephus. These are almost all the genuine ecclesiastical writers of the first century that are now extant. For the let- ter of Jesus Christ to Abgarus king of	Simon Magus. The Gnostics, Cerinthus, Hymenæus Philetus, who, together with Demas and Diotrephes, are rather to be considered as apostates than as heretics. The Nicolaitans. Ebion. The Nazarenes. N. B. The Ebionites and Nazarencs, though	The offerings presented to Jesus Christ by the wise men from the East. The four passovers celebrated by Christ. John the Baptist beheaded. Christ's miracles, sufferings, death, resurrection, and ascension. The descent of the Holy Ghost. St. Stephen, the first Martyr. The conversion of St. Paul.	Germanicus. Gratius. Ovid. Julius Hyginus. Labeo. Valerius Maximus. Phædrus. Verrius Flaccus. Strabo. Dionysius of Alexand. Seneca, the Rhetor. Seneca, the Philosopher and

VOL. IV.

42

		77 7	,	,	
Savaraian	Popes or	Ecclesiasti- cal & The-		Remarkable	Profane
Sovereign Princes.	Bishops of	ological	Heretics.	Events.	Authors.
I mices.	Rome.	Writers.			
	Fleury,	urgies, that	placed by	Baptism is ad-	Massurius
	Pearson,	have (be-	the Learn-	ministered by im-	Sabinus. Dydimus of
	Marcel, Pfaff, Bow-	sides those which we	First Cen-	mersion. Several christi-	Alexand.
	er, Lenglet,	esteem Ca-		an churches foun-	Cocceius
	and others,		belong	ded.	Nerva.
	differ fre-	been attri-		The first perse-	Philo, the
	quently in			cution under Ne-	Jew.
	this re-	Apostles—	Second.	ro. The oracles re-	Pomponius Mela.
	spect; and their dif-	Epistles of		duced to silence,	Columella.
	ferences	Mary to lg-		a dubious, or ra-	Remmius.
	sometimes	natius and		ther a fabulous	
	are consid-	others—the		story.	Votienus.
	erable.	Acts of Pi-		The destruction	Marcus.
		late—the		of Jerusalem. The accounts of	
	ple, the death of	Epistles of Seneca to		a dispute between	Cornutus.
	pope Ani-	St. Paul,		St. Peter and Si-	Lucian.
	cetus is pla-			mon the Magic-	
	ced by Pct.			ian at Rome, and	
		ered as a-		of a Statue's hav- ing been erected	
	glet, in the vear 161,	and spuri-		to the latter in	Epictetus.
	by Pearson			that city, seem	Dioscorides
		The works		idle fictions.	Flavius Jo-
	162, by	that bear		The second per- secution of the	sephus.
	Fleury,	the name of Dionysi-		Christians under	
		us the Are-		Domitian.	Valerius
	168.	opagite		St. John thrown	Flaccus.
	As it is	were forg-		into a caldron of	Pliny, the
	impossible	ed in the		boiling oil, a du-	Elder. Pliny, the
	these histo-	Fifth Cen-	1	bious story. The adventures	W.F
	rians, and			of Apollonius Ty-	
	difficult of-			aneus.	Pedianus.
	ten to de-	3			Plinius Va-
	cide which				Juvenal.
	best, we				Martial.
	shall follow				Statius.
	Pearson &				Sext. Jul.
	Pfaff as the				Frontinus. Quintillian
	surest				Dion Chry-
	guides.				sostome.
					Taci tus.
					Phlegon.
					Apion. Trogus.
					Pompeins.
					Athenodo-
	1		1	1	riis.

CENTURY II.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Eccle siasti- cal & The- ological Writers.		Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions.	
					A
	Rishops of Rome. Xystus or Sixtus 127 Telesphorus 138 Hyginus 150 Pius I. 153	cal & The- ological Writers. Ignatius of Antioch. Polycarp. Justin Mar- tyr. Hegesip- pus. Theophilus of Antioch, the first who made use of the word Trin- ity to ex- press the distinction of what di- vines call, persons in the God- head. The Christian church is very little obliged to him for his invention. The use of this and o- ther un- scriptural terms, to which men attach cith-	Nazarenes. Gnostics. Cainites. Elxai. Saturninus. Millenarians. Basilides. Isidore, the Son. Carpocrates and his followers. Marcellina and Epiphanes. Prodicus, the chief of the Adamites. Valentine and his followers. * Tatian, supposed to be the chief of the Encratites. Hydroparastatcs, and Apoctact-	Events, and Religious Rites and Institutions. Third persecution under Trajan mitigated by the intercession of Pliny the Younger. Fourth persecution under Adrian. Fifth persecution under Adrian. Fifth persecution under Marcus Aurelius, and Lucius Verus. Conversion of the Germans and Gauls, and (if we may give credit to Bede) of the Britons. The thundering Legion—a dubious event. Insurrections of the Jews against the Romans. Sedition and slaughter of that	Authors. Arrian. Aulus Gellius. Plutarch. Florus. Celsus, the Lawyer. Oenomaus Philo of Phænicia. Ptolemy, the Astronomer and Geographer. Salvius Julianus. Suetonius. Apollonius, the Philosopher. Appiau. Fronto. Maximus Tyrius. Taurus Calvisius. Apuleius. Artemidor-
		er no ideas, or false ones, has wounded	Ptolomæus Secundus.	pcople under the standards of Bar- cocheba, the false Messiah.	us. Lucian. Numenes. Pausanias. Poliænus.
,		charity and peace, with- out promo-	Marcion.	The Jews are driven from Jeru-	Sextus Em-
		ting truth &		salem.	piricus. Athenæus.
		It has pro-	Docctæ, or Phantasi-		Atticionas
		duced her-	asts.	nies thrown out a-	Julius Pol-
		esies of the	The Meli-	gainst the Christ-	lux.
		worst kind.	The Sac-	Crescope Colsus	Diogenes Laertius.
		Melito. Tartian.*	cophori.	Crescens, Celsus, and the Pagans in	Gallienus.
		Papias.	Severians.	general.	
		Apollinaris	Ophites.		Ammonius
		Hermias.	Artotyrites.	The perusal of	Saccas. Priscus.
		Athenago-	the Tanner,	the Sibyllinc Ora- cles prohibited by	Cephalion.
		Clemens		an imperial edict.	Aristides.
		Alexandri-	the Alogi.	Christian assem-	Hermo-
	1	nus.	3	blies are held on	genes,

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiastical & The- ological Writers.	Heretics.	Remarkable Events, and Reli- gious Rites and Institutions.	Profane Authors.
		Tertullian. Aquila. Theodotion. Symmachus Hermes. The unknown Author of the Sibylline Oracles. Irenæns. Polycrates. Dionysius of Corinth. Pantenus. Quadratus. Add to these several Fragments of the writings of some of the principal Heretics mentioned in the following column. These fragments are collected	genes. Apelles. Praxeas, the chief of the Patro- passians, Seleucas, and Her-	Sundays, and other stated days, in private houses, & in the burying places of Martyrs. Infant Baptism and sponsors used in this century. Various Festivals and Fasts established. A distinction formed between Bishops and Presbyters, who, with the Deacons and readers, are the only orders of ecclesiastics known in this century. The sign of the cross and anointing used. The custom of praying toward the East introduced.	age of 17, published his Rhetoric; at 20, his book on Idcas; and at 25, is said to have forgot all that he had learned. Justin Martyr. Theophilus of Antioch. Chrysorus. Marcus Antoninus. Harpocration. Polyænus. Athenago-
		by Cotele- rius, Grabe, &c.	mias. Artemon.		

CENTURY III.

		CEN	IUNII	11.	
Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiastical & Theological Writers.		Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions.	Profane Authors.
Roman Emperors. A. D. Severus 211 Caracalla 217 Icta 212 Iacrinus 218 Ieliogabalus 222 Severus Alexander 235 Iaximin 237 Fordian I. II. 237 Upienus Balbin 238 Fordian III. 244 Philip the trabian, upposed of have een the rst Chrisan emperor 250 Decius 252 Ialus Volusianus 253 Emilianus Valerian 259 Iallienus 268 Iaudius II. 270 Uintillus Uurelian 275 Iacitus 275 Iorianus 276 Tobus 282	Call istus 224 Urban 231 Pontianus 235 Anterus 251 Cornelius 254 A contest between him and Novatian- Lucius 256 Stephen 258 Sixtus II. 259 Dionysius 270 Felix 275 Eutychia- nus 233 Caius Mar- cellinus 296	acts of Perpetua and Felicitas. Minutius Felix. Hippolytus. Ammonius. Julius Africanus. Origen. Cyprian. Novatian. Gregory. Thaum. Dionysius of Alexandria. Pamphilus. Anatolius. Arnobius, African.	Aquilinus. Manes, the chief of the Man- icheans. Hierax. Noetus. Sabellius. Beryllus. Paul of Sa- mosat. Novatians. Patropas- sians. Cat hari. Valesians. Privatus. A schism between Stephen and Cyp- rian, con- cerning the re- baptizing of Here- tics.	onidas, Irenæus, Victor, bishop of Rome, Perpetua, Felicitas, and others, suffer martyrdom. Seventh persecution under Maximin VIII, under Decias, in which Fabianus, the Roman pontiff, Babylas, Alexander, and others, suffer martyrdom Eighth persecution under Valerian, in which those more illustrious martyrs, Cyprian, Lucius, Stephen I, Sixtusl, and Laurentius, suffer for the faith. Ninth persecution under Dioclesian, Maximin an, Galerius, and Maximin, much more cruel than the preceding, & famous for the martyrdom of the Theban Legion, which however is a very dubious story. The Jewish Talmud and Targum composed in this cen tury. The Jews are allowed to return into Palestine. Jewish schools	rus. Oppian, the poet. Quintus Seren. Sammonicus. Julius Africanus. Acolus. Dion Cassius. Ulpian. Ephorus. Censorinus. Censorinus. Curius Fortunatus. Herodian. Nicagoras. Quadratus. Amelius. Gentilianus. Erennius. Dixippus. Cassius Longinus. Julius Capitolinus. Elius Lampridius. Trebellius Pollio. Porphyry. Ælius Spartianus. Flavius Vopiscus, M. Aurel. Olymp. Nemesianus. Alexander, a Greck philosopher. Philostratus.
erus 233				erected at Baby-	lus.

004					-
Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics.	Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions	Profane Authors.
Carinus 284 Numeria-				lon, Sora, and other places.	Sixtus Pompo.
nus 284 Dioclesian Maximian.			,	Remarkable deaths of those that persecuted the Christians, related by Tertullian, Ensebius, and Lucias Cæcilius.	nius. Herennius Modesti- nus. Hermoge- nianus. Palladius Rutilius Taurus.
_				Many illustrious men and Roman senators converted to Christianity.	Æmilianus Justin. Julius Ca phurnius. Arnobius, the Afri
				The origin of the Monastic life derived from the austere manners of Paul the The- ban, the first hermit.	can.
				Dioclesian assumes the name and honours due to Jupiter, and orders the people to worship him.	
				Religious rites greatly multiplied in this century; altars used; wax tapers employed.	
				Public churches, called in Greek Kugiaxa, built for the celebration of divine worship.	
				The pagan mysteries injudi- ciously imitated in many respects by christians.	
				The tasting of milk and honey previous to baptism, and the person anointed before and after that holy rite—receives a crown, and goes arrayed	
	1			3	

overeign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics.	Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions.	Profane Authors.
				in white for some time after.	
14 0 10				The story of the seven sleepers of Ephesus, and the martyrdom of Ursula, and the 11000 British Virgins, the principal fables invented in this century.	

CENTURY IV.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions.	Profane Authors.
saries, Maximin 313 Maxentius 512 Licinius Constan- tine II.	nus 304 Marcellus 309 Eusebius 311 Melchiades 318 Sylvester 335 Mark 336 Julius 352 Liberius 567 A schism between Liberius & Felix Damascus 584 A new schism between this pontiff and Ursinus.	Firm. Lucius Cæcilius. Dorotheus, bishop of Tyre. Eusebius, bishop of Cesarea. Constantine the Great. Eustathius, bishop of Antioch. Commodianus. Alexander, bishop of Alexandria. Juvencus. Athanasius, bishop of Alexandrias.	tions of Encratites, Apotactics, Saccho- phori, Hydropar- astates, & Solitaries Arius and his fol- lowers, who were divided into Eu- nomians, Scmiari- ans. Eusebians, Homoious- ians, or	secution continued. The Athanasians or Othodox persecuted by Constantius, who was an Arian, and by Valens, who ordered 80 of their deputies, all ecclesiastics to be put on board a ship, to which fire was set as soon as it was got clear of the coast. The Christians persecuted by Sapor. The supposed conversion of Constantine the Great, by a vision representing a fiery cross in the	natus. Servius. Helladius. Andronicus Noncius. Marcellus. Sext Aurelius Victor. Maximus of Smyrna, who is supposed to have taught the emperor Julian Magic. Oribases. Eutropius. Libanius. Ausonius. Pappus, the famous
338	Syricus 398	dria.	Aacacians,	lair.	tician.

	Popes or	Ecclesiasti	Heretics,	Remarkable	ı
Sovereign	Bishops of	cal & The	real or re	Events, and Re-	И
Princes.	Rome.	ological	puted.	Lugious Trices and	1
	10000	Writers.	Parca.	Institutions.	I
Constan-		Antonius	and Proth	First conoral	1
tius 361		Antonius, who, with	and Psath vrians.	First general council. It was	ı
Constans		Paul the		held at Nice in	ı
350	the second	hermit,	Apollina-	325. In it the	п
Julian, the		was the	rius,	opinions of Arius	S
Apostate		first in-	Father and	d were condemned	
363		stitutor	Son.	and the popes de-	
Jovian 364		of the	Macedo-	clared equal in	1
Valentini-		monastic	nius.	dignity with oth-	
an 375		life.	Anthropo-	er Christian bish-	1
Valens 378			morphites	ops.	1.
Gratian 585		Marcellus,	Priscillian,	A second gen-	1
Valentin-		bishop of		cral council is	
ian II.		Ancyra.	Messalians,		1
392		Theodore,	or Eu-	381, at Constan-	
Theodo-		bishop of	chites.	tinople, in which	J.
Great		Heraclca.		the errors of	1
895	1	Julius, bish-		Macedonius are	0
The divi-	i	Rome.	Eustathi-	condemned. Remarkable	ı
sion of the		Jul. Firm.	Colluthus.	progress of the	1
Roman		Maternus.	Helvidius.	Christian reli-	ŀ
Empire in-	}	Pacho-	Bonosus.	gion among the	1
to the Eas-		mius.	Vigilan-	Indians, Gotlis,	lj
tern and		Eusebius,	tius.	Marcomanni, and	ľ
Western		bishop of	Three	lberians.	ш
Empires.		Emessa.	schisms of	The famous do-	1
		Scrapion.	the Mele-	nation of Constan-	I
The Visi-		Cyril, bish-	tians.	tine in favour of	ш
goths settle		op of Je-		the Roman Sce-	F
in Gaul and		rusalem.	ans, and	a mere fable.	10
Spain the		Hilarius,	Donatists.	The miracu-	
about the latter end		bishop of		lous defeat of Eugenius by	9
of this cen-		Poitiers. Lucifer,		Theodosius.	
tury.]	bishop of		Julian's at-	
Arthanaric		Cagliari.		tempt to invali-	
382		Pliæba-		date the predic-	
Alaric.		dius,		tions of the	
		bishop of		prophets, by en-	
		Agen.		couraging the	
		Eunomius.		Jews to rebuild	
	, ,	Zeno, bish-		the temple of	
		op of Ve-		Jerusalem, de-	
	1,	rona.		feated by an	
		Titus, bish-		earthquake and	
		op of		fiery eruption.	
		Bostra.		See the learned bishop of Glou-	
	1	Damascus, bishop of		cester's interest-	
		Rome.		ing and ingenious	
	1	Epipha-		work, entitled,	
		nius,		Julian, or, A Dis-	
		bishop of		course, &c.	
		Salamis.		Theodosius the	
		Optatus,		great, is obliged	
		bishop of		by Ambrose, bish.	
		Milevi.	10	of Milan, to do	
		Pucianas.		public penance	

Marins

Profane Authors.

Prudentius. Rufus Fes n е tus. us Avienus. d, Themistius. Flavius h- Vegetius. h- Hierocles. Julian. n- Ammianus Marcelliar nus. n-Symmachus. Lactantius Jamblichus. ÆliusLam pridius. Eusebius o Ccsarea. Jul. Firmi cus Maternus. Chalcidius. Pomponius. Festus. Quintus

Curtius. Macrobius.

for the slaughter

CENT. IV.	•	CHRONOLOG	\$37		
Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics; real or reputed.	Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites and Institutions.	Profane Authors.
		Victorinus. Liberius, bishop of Rome. Ephrem the Syrian. Didymus of Alex. Basil, bishop of Cæsarea. Gregory, bishop of Nazianzum. Gregory, bishop of Iconium. Hegesippus. Apollinarius, father and son. Eusebius, bishop of Verceil. Diodore, bishop of Tarsus. Proba Falconia. The three Macarii. Ambrose. Jerom. Ruffinus. Philastrius. Paulinus, Bp. of Nola. Augustin. John Chrysostom.		of the Thessalo- nians. The Eucharist was, during this century, adminis- tered in some places to infants and persons de- ceased. Something like the doctrine of transubstantiation is held, and the ceremony of the elevation used in the celebration of the Eucharist. The council of Elvira in Spain, held in the year 305, not only so- lemnly forbids the adoration of pic- tures or images, but even prohibits the use of them. The use of in- cense and of the censer, with sev- eral other super- stitious rites, in- troduced—The churches are con- sidered as exter- nally holy, the saints are invok- ed, images used, and thecross wor- shipped. The clerical or- der augmented by new ranks of ec- clesiastics, such as archdeacons, country bishops, archbishops, ine- tropolitans, ex- archs, &c.	
		1			

CENTURY V.

Sovereign Prin ees.	Popes or Bishops of Rome	Eeelesiasti- eal & The- ologieal Writers	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events.	Profane Authors.
Emperors of the West. A. D. Honorius 428 Valentinian 455 Maximus 456 Majoranus 461 Severus 465 Anthemius 472 Olybrius 472 Glyeerius deposed in 474 Julius Nepos de posed in 475 Romulus Augustulus, who reigned till the 23d of August, when Odoacer took the title of King of Italy, and put an end to the Western empire. Kings of Italy. Odoacer 493 Theodoric. Emperors of the East. Areadius 408 Theodo- sius II. 450 Marcianus 457 Leo I. 474 Leo II. 474	Great 461 Hilarius 467 Simplieius 483 Felix III. 492 Gelasius 496 Anastasius II. 498 Symmach. I. A sehism between him and Laurentius.	Innoeentius. Polybius. Polagins. Cœlestius. Theodore, bishop of Mopsuesta. Polychronius. Nonnus. Synesius, Isidore of Polusium. Cyril of Alexandria. Orosius. Marius Mercator. Maximus, bishop of Turiu.	Semi-Pelagians. Nestorins. Theodoreret. Theodore of Tarsns, Theodore of Mopsus, Nestorians. Eutyelies. Dioseorus. The Acephali. —Monophysites. —Jacobites. —Armenians. —Theopaschites. —Predestinarians. —Cælieolæ. Peter the fuller. Xenaias.	Foundation of the French monarchy by Pharamond, or rather by Clovis. An earthquake which swallows up several eities in Palestine. A third general eouncil held at Ephesus, at which Nestorius was deposed, in the year 481. A fourth general eouneil held at Chaleedon, against Eutyches, in the year 451. Progress of Christianity among the Franks and Germans. The conversion of the Irish to the Christian faith attempted in vain by Palladius, but effected by St. Patrick, whose original name was Suceathus, who arrived in Ireland in the year 432. Terrible persecutions earried on against the Christians, in Britain, by the Piets, Scots, and Anglo-Saxons,—in Spain, Gaul, and Africa, by the Vandals—in Italy and Pannonia, by the Visigoths—in Africa, by the Donatists and Circumcellians—in Persia, by Isdegerdes—Besides the particular persecutions earried on alternately against the	Anienus. Martianus Capella. Claudian. Eunapius. Maerobius Olympio- dorus. Orosius. Peutinger Rutilius Claudiu Numan- tianus. Servius Honora- tus Sidonius Apollina ris. Candidus, the Isau rian. Zozimus, the His- torian. Idaeius. Quintus, o Cointus. Priscus. Musæus. Proclus. Simplicius

Profane Authors.

		(Ecclesiasti-		Remarkable
Sovereign	Popes or	cal & The-	Heretics,	Events, and Re-
Princes.	Bishops of Rome.	ological	real or re-	ligious Rites and
Zana lanun		Writers.		Arians and Atha-
Zeno Isaur. 491		Mamer-		nasians.
Anastasius.		tus.		
Gothic		Faustus.		The extinction
kings of		Felix, the Roman		of the Western Empire.
Spain. Alaric 411		Pontiff.		
Ataulphus		Vigilius		The Theodo-
415		Tapsensis, supposed		sian Code drawn
Sigeric 415 Vallia 420		by some		up.
Theodoric		learned		The city of
451		men to		Venice founded by the inhabitants
Thoris-		the author		of the adjacent
mond 452 Theodoric	-	of what is		coast, who fled
II. 466		commonly		from the incur-
Euric 484		called, The		sion of the Bar-
Alaric II.		Creed.		
Kings of France.		Victor, the		Felix III. bish-
Phara-		African. Gennadius.		op of Rome (whom Bower
mond,		Zozimus.		and others looked
first king 420		Prosper.	1	upon as the
Clodion451		Sidonius Apollinar.		second pope of that name) is ex-
Meroveus		Æneas.	1	communicated,
456 Childeric		Gaza.	1	and his name
481				Diptycs, or sacred
Clovis I.				registers, by Aca-
The kings of the Van-				cius, bishop of
dals in Af-	1			Constantinople.
rica, where				Many ridiculous
they set-				fables invented
tled in the				during this centu- ry, such as the
Genseric				story of the Phial
466				of Oil, brought
Huneric 484				from heaven by a pigeon at the
Gonta-				baptism of Clovis
mond 496 Trasa-				-the Vision of
mond.				Attala, &c.
Kings of				
England.				•
Votigern. Kingdom				
of Kent				
founded by				
Hengist the Saxon				
in 457,	"			
That of				
Sussex by Ela, in 419	9	1		1
_1714, 111 -21				

CENTURY VI.

1	Do no or	Ecclesiasti-	Heretics 1	′	Learned Men,
Sovereign]	Po pe or	cal & The.	real or re-	Remarkable	Historians, Philosophers,
Princes.	Bishops of	ological		Events.	and Poels.
	Rome.	Writers.	puted.		
					Toutinion
Kings of	Symma-	Cæsarius,	Deuterius.	Several nations	Justinian.
Italy, A. D.	chus 514	bishop of	Severus,	converted to	Bocthius.
Theodoric	Hormisdas	Arles.	leader of	Christianity.	Procopius.
526	528		the Ace	The canon of	Trebonian.
Athalaric	John I. 526	Fulgen-	phali.	the mass estab	Agathias,
534	Felix IV.	tius,	Themis-	lished by Gregory	who con-
Amalasun-	529	bishop of	tius, chief	the Great.	tinued
tha 534	Boniface II.	Ruspa.	of the Ag		the his-
Theodatus	581		noites, who	The benedic-	tory com-
536	A schism	Boethius.	maintained	tine order found	posed by
Vitiges 540	between	Timothy of	that Christ	ed.	Proco-
Ildebald 541	Boniface &	Constanti	was igno-		pius.
Totila 550	Dioscorus.	nople.	rant of the	Forty benedic-	Jornandes.
Tejas 554	John 11.585	Ennodius.	day of	tine Monks, with	Gregory of
Empernrs	Agapetus I.	Severus.	judgment.	Augustin at their	Tours.
of the East.	536	Cassiodo-	Barsani-	head, are sent in-	Marius Bp.
Anastasius	Sylverius	rus.	ans, or	to Britain by	oi Avrau-
518	540	Procopius.	Semi-du-	Gregory the	ches, an
Justin I.	A schism	Peter, the	lites, who	Great, in the year	emment
527	between	Deacon.	maintained	596, who convert	historian,
Justinian	Sylverius		that Christ	Ethelbert, king of	Menander,
565	& Vigilius	Maxentius,	had suffer-	Kent, to the	the his-
Justin II.	555	79 m of a	cd only in	Christian faith.	
578	Pelagius I.	Monk.	appear-	mu a a	Stephen of Byzan-
Tiberius II.	558	Dionysius	ance.	The kingdom	A
586	John III.	the Little. Fulgentius	Junah Zan	of the Ostrogoths	Magn. Au-
Mauritius.	Panadiat I	Ferrandus.	Jacob Zan-	is destroyed by	relius
Gothic	Benedict J.	L'ellandue.	zale, the	Justinian, who	Cassio-
Kings of	Pologina II	Marcelli-	T 1.1.	becomes master	dorus.
Spain.	Pelagius II	nus.	Jacobites, or Mono-	of Italy.	Dionysius
Alaric 507	Gregory I.		physites.	The Lombards	1 that ittle
Gesalric	diegary i.	Zachary,	Imy sites.	invade Italy in	
512		the School	John Philo	the year 568, and	•
Amalaric		man.	ponus, the	erect a new king-	
551		Hesychius.	chief of the		
Theuda 548		Facundus	Tritheites.	Trondant.	1
Theudis-		Hermian.		The Christians	
illa 548		Pope Vigi-	Damianists.	re persecuted in	
Agila 552		lius.	Origenists.	several places.	
Athanagil-		Resticus, a	Corrupti-		
da 567	1	Roman	colæ.	The orthodox	
Linva 568		deacon.	,	are oppressed by	
Leunigild		Junilius.	Acœinctæ.	the emperor Ana	
585		Victor of	The Ari-	stasius, Thrase-	
Richared.		Capna.	ans, Nesto	mond, king of the	
These		Primasius.	rians, Eu-	Vandals, Theo-	
princes		Jornandes.	tychians,	doric, king of the	
were mas-		Liberatus.	and Pela-	Ostrogoths, &c.	
ters also of		Victor, the	gians, con-		
Narbonne		African.	tinued to	Female con-	
and Aqui-		Venantius	raise trou-	vents are greatly	
tain.		Fortuna-	blcs in the	multiplied in this	
Kings of		tus.	church.	century.	
England.	•		1		

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events.	Learned men, Historians, Philosophers and Poets.
The third Saxon kingdom is founded in England by Cerdic, in 514, and is called the kingdom of the West Saxons.		Anastasius of Moun Sinai, af- terward bishop of Antioch. John the schoolman Cosmas. Gildas Leander.		Litanies intro- duced into the church of France. The Arians are driven out of Spain. Superstition of the Stylites intro- duced by Simeon, the head of that	٠
The fourth, even that of the East Saxons, by Erchenwen, in 527 The fifth, that of	,	John of Constanti nople. Columba nus Leontius Byzant. Leontius of Cyprus		crazy sect, who spent his life on the top of a pillar, and foolishly imagined, that he would, by this trick, render himself agreeable to the Deity The Romish writers	
Northumberland, by Ida, in 547 The sixth, that of the East Angles, by Uffa, in 575 The seventh, that of		Gregory the Great Isidorus of Seville. Lucius Ca- rinus. Proclus Diadochus.		say, he chose this lofty habitation (for the pillar was 36 cubits high) to avoid the multitude which crowded about him to see his miracles.	
Mercia by Cridda, in 582 Thus was successively formed the SAXON HEPTAR-CHY.	1	•		The Christian era is formed in this century by Dionysius the Little, who first began to count the course of time from the birth of Christ.	
Kings of France. Clovisl.511 The kingdom is divided be- tween his four sons, viz Thi-				The Justinian Code, Pandect, Institutions, and Novellæ, collected and formed into a body. Antioch, that was destroyed by an earthquake, is rebuilt by Justin-	
Metz 534 Clodomire, Orleans 523 Childebert, Paris 558 Clotaire, Soissons A second division of				The fifth general council assembled at Constantinople in the year 553, under Justinian I. in which the Origenists and the Three Chapters were condemned.	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Ecclesiasti- cal & The- ological Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events.	Learned Men. Historians, Philosophers, and Poets.
the king-dom be- tween the four sons of Clotaire I. viz. Chere- bert, Par- is 566 Gontran,					
Orle ins 593 Chilperic, Soissons 584 Sigibert, Metz 575					
Kings of the Vandals in Africa. Thrasa- mond 523 Hilderic					
Gilimec, defeated & taken pri- soner by Belisarius, in the year					
By this event Af- rica be- came again subject to the empe- rors of the					
East Kings of the Lom- bards, who en- tered into Italy in the					
year 568 Alboinus 571 Clephis 578 Antharis 590					
Agiluf. Exarchs of Ravenna. Longinus 589 Smaragdus 588					\
Romanus 598 Callinicus.	The advantage of the control of the				

CENTURY VII.

	Popes or 1	Ecclesiast-	Heretics,	70 1 11	7 0 0
Sovereign	Bishops of	ical & The-	real or re-	Remarkable	Profane
Princes.	Rome.	ological	puted.	Events:	Authors.
		Writers.			
Emperors	Sabinianus	John Phi-	The an-	An extraordi-	The au-
of the East.	605		cient Her-	nary progress is	
A. D.	Boniface	0	esies were	made in the con-	the Alex-
Mauritius	III. 606		still in	version of the	andrian
602	IV.	Hesychi-	vigour du	English.	Chroni-
Phocas 610	614	us, of	ring this	The archbi-	cle, Isidore
Heraclius	Deodatus	Jerusa-	century ;-	shoprics of Lon-	of Se-
641	617	lem.	to these	don and York	ville, who,
Constan-	Boniface	Theophy-	were add-	are founded,	besides
tine III.	V. 625	lact. Simo-	ed the	with each twelve	
641	Honorius	catta.	Paulici-	bishoprics under	ological
Heraclia-	I. 638	Antio-	ans,	its jurisdiction.	produc-
nus 642	Severinus .	chus.	Monothe-	The archbi-	tions,
Constans	I. 639	Modestus.	lites.	shopric of Lon-	composed
II. 668	John IV.	Cyrus of		don is translated	a history
Constan-	641			to Canterbury.	of the
tine IV.	Theodorus	Jonas.		F711	Goths &
635				The gospel is	Vandals,
Lconitus	Martin I.	John Mos-		propagated with	and a
698				success in Hol-	work, en-
Tiberius	Eugenius	Andreas		lland, Friesland,	titled Ety-
III. 708		Damasce-	1	and Germany.	mologicon
Justinian	Vitalianus	nus.		The cohiem	Scientia-
II.	671	0.00	}	The schism	rum, in which he
77	- Adeodatus	sides.		Greek and Latin	
Kings of		Eligius. The two		churches com-	account of
the Goths	Domniis 678	arran t	}	mences in this	the origin
in Spain.		dores.		century.	and nature
Victoric.	Agatho	Paulus.		ceman j.	of the dif-
Gondemar Sisebut 62	1	The Emp.		The rise of	ferent sci-
Recarede	624	Heraclius.		Mahomet, and	ences.
	1 Bendiet Il			the rapid pro-	1
Suinthila	68			gress of his re-	In this
63		Theodore,		ligion which is	century
Sinenand	680	the Monk.		propagated by	commenc-
	6 Conon 68			fire and sword.	ed that
Chintila	Sergius I.	Constans	5		long peri-
64		ı II.		The maho-	od of igno-
Tulga 64	2 A schism	Martin,		metan era, call-	rance and
Cindevind	oecasion	- hishop of		ed the Hegira,	darkness
f at 64	9 ed by th	e Rome.		commences with	which re-
Reccsuin-	preten-	Maurus, of		the year of	mained
the 67	2 sions of	Ravenna	-1	Christ 622.	until he
Vamba	Theo-	Anastasius		m 1	light of
68		d a Monk—		The destruc-	the refor-
Ervige 68				tion of the Per-	mation a-
Egica.	lis.	Presh.		sian monarchy	rose.
Kings of		Fruetuo-		under the reign	
France.		sus, Hisp.		of Isdegerdes III	•
C1	1	Peter,		Boniface IV.	1
Clotaire l		Metro- politan		that odious to	
	28	of Nico		that odious ty-	
Dagobert	3	(01 74160		trailt i nacaz	1

	Danas					
Savaraim	Popes or Bishops of	Archbish-	Ecclesi-	FT		Pro-
Sovereign Princes.	Rome.	ops of Can- terbury.		Here-	Remarkable	fane
Times.	leomic.	terbury.	Theolog. Writers.	lics.	Events.	Au-
	1		Willers.			thors.
638		Augus-	demia.		who was the	-
Sigibert II.	•	tine, first			great patron of	-
654		archbish	Julian		the popes, and	
Clovis 660		op of Can-	Pome-		the chief pro-	
Clotaire		terbury	rius.		moter of their	
HI. 668		was nomi-	_ 2		grandeur) the	
Childeric		that high	John, of		lamous Panthe-	•
11. 67S		office in	Thessa- lonica.		on, which is eon-	
Dagohert 11. 679		the year	Cresco-		verted into a	
Theodoric		597, by	nius.		church. Here	
111. 690	4	Gregory	Ildefon-		Cybele was suc-	
Clovis III.		the Great.			ceeded by the Virgin Mary,	
695		bishop of	Mar-		and the Pagan	
Childebert		Rome,	culph.		deities by Chris-	
HI.		with the	Macari-		tian martyrs.	-
The race		eonsent of	us.		Idolatry still	
of the Idle		Ethelbert, king of			subsisted; but	
Kings be-		Canter-	machus Fortunatus		the objects of it	
Theodoric		bury; he	Venant.		were changed.	-
III. and		died in the			Ina, king of	
ends with		year 611	of Seville.		the West Sax-	-0
Childeric	}	Laurence	who com-		ons, resigns his crown, and as-	-
III.	}	619	posed		sumes the Mo-	
		Mellitus	commen-		nastic habit in	
England		Tuetne 624	tarics on the histor-		a convent at	
The Hep-		Honorius	ieal books		Rome. During	
tarchy.			of the Old		the Heptarchy,	
Kings of	ļ	Adeodatus	Testa-		many Saxon	
the Lom-		_ 664	ment, and		kings took the	
hards in	i	Theodore	is acknow-		same religious turn. Pope Ag-	
Italy.		690	ledged to		atho ceases to	
Agilulph		Brithwald.			pay the tribute	-
616			the princi-		which the Sec	
Adaloaldus	ì		pal author of the fa-		of Rome was	
Ariovaldus			mons		accustomed to	
633			Mosarabie		pay the Empe-	
Rotharis			Liturgy,		ror at the elec- tion of its pontiff.	4
653			which is		The sixth	
Rodoald			the ancient		general council	
656			liturgy of		is held at Con-	
Aripert			Spain.		stantinople un-	
Goodinant			Dorotheus. So-		der Constantine	
Gandipert 662			phronius .		Pogonatus, a-	
Grimoald			bishop of		gainst the Mono- thelites in the	
673 Garibald			Jerusalem.		year 680.	
678		\			The seventh, which is looked	
Pertharit 689					upon by some	
Cunipert	1				as a kind of sup- plement to this,	
701					was held in the	
	- 1				mas nord the the	

	Popes or Bishopsof Rome.	Ecclesias- tical & Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events.	Profane Authors.
Exarchs of Raven- na.				Trullus, under Justinian II. in the year 692, & is called Quinisextum.	4
Smarag- dus 610 John 615 Eleuthe-				scatum.	
rius 617 Isaac 649 Theodo- rus Cal-					
liopa 649 Olympius 650 Theodo-					
rus Cal- liopa 686 Theodo- rus 687 Johannes				general de la company de la co	3
Plato 702					
}				1	

CENTURY VIII.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes, or Bishops of Rome.	Areh- bishops of Can- terbury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Hereties, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events-Religious Rites.	Profanc Authors.
	John VI. 705 John VII. 707 Sifinnius 708 Constantine 714 Gregory II. 781 Gregory	of Canterbury. Brit- wald 731 Tatwin 734 Noth- elm 741 Cuth bert 758 Breg- win 762 Lam- bert 790	Theolog. Writers. Venerable Bede. John Damaseenus. The anonymous author of a book, entitled, Ordo Romanus de	The Eutyehians, Monothelites, and Jacobites continue to propagate their doctrines. The Paulo Johannists, who were so called from their leaders Paul and John, and embraced the pernicious errors of Valentine Manes. The Agonoclites, a wrong-headedset of people, who prayed dancing. Adelbert. Felix, bishop of Urgella. Elipand, bishop of Toledo. Leo, the Isaurian, who de-		Aleuin—see the fourth eolumn. Bede. Fredegarius. John Damaseenus George Syncel-
757 Froila 768 Aurelio 774 Silo 783 Mauregat 788 Vere-			Paul, the Lombard Paulinus bishop of Aquilcia. Alcuin, a native of	Clement, who pre-	Controversy between the Greek and Latin eburch, concerning the Holy Ghost's proceeding from the Son.	

	Popes or		Ecclesias-	Heresies.	Remarkable E.	n. c
Sovereign Princes.	Bishops	Canterbu-	tical and	real or re-	vents-Religious	Profane Authors.
3 111300.73	of Rome.	ry.	Writers.	puted.	Rites.	
mond 791			and one of	decisions	mı c	
mond (91			the princi-	- ~ .	The Germans converted by	
Alphonso			pal instru	ture be-	Boniface.	
II.			ments made us	fore the	The Gospel	
Kings of			of by	councils,	propagated in Hyrcania and	
France. Childe-			Charle- magne for	re reput	Tartary.	
bert III.			the restor-	tics by the	The right of	
711			ation of	church of	election to the	-
Dagobert			learning. He is con-	Rome. Virgilius	see of Rome conferred upon	
III. 715			sidered by	was also	Charlemagne	
Chilperic			Da Pin as the person		and his success- ors by Pope	
II. 720			that first	Pope Za	Adrian, in a	
Theodor			introduc	chary, be-	council of bish-	
ic IV. 736			led polite literature	cause he	ops assembled at Rome.	
Inter-			into	good ma-		
regnum,			France, and it is	themati cian and	The worship of images au-	
from the			to him	believed	thorized by the	1
year 737 to 743, du-	1		Universi-	the exis	cond council	
ring which		-	ties of Pa	Anti-	of Nice, in the year 787, which	-
loman and			ris, Tonre	odes.	is improperly	
Pepin,			Soissons.	Those who pro	called the seventh general	
sons of Charles			their ori-	moted the		
Martel,			gin. Felix,	worship of		
govern			Arch-	relics in	The reading of the Epistle	
without the regal			bishop	this cen-	and Gospel in	1
title.			of Ray	tury, de	troduced into	
Childeric III. de			Germa-	much bet-	the church.	
throned	. 1		of Con	denomin-	Solitary or private masses	
in 750 The last	1		stantino.	1	instituted.	
king of the			ple. The un	heretics.	Churches	
First race			knownau		built in honour of saints.	
Second race.			thor of a		Masses for	
Pepin 76	3		book, en titled. Li		the dead. Willebrod	
Charle- magne			her Dinr-		sent to convert	
England			nus Pon-		the Frisons;	
The Hep			Romano-		bishop of U-	
tarchy.			rum.	4	trecht.	
Kings of the Lom			Egbert,			
bards in	1		bishop			
			}		1	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesi- astical & Theolog. Writers.	Remarkable Events-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Italy. Luitpert 704			of York Bartholo- mew, a		
Ragum- bert 704			Monk of Edessa,		
Aripert 712			who re- futed		
Ansprand 712			the Al- coran Boniface,		
Luitprand			Arch- bishop of	•	
Rachis 750 Aistul-			Mentz,		
phus 756 Desideri-			monly called		
us 773			the A		
kingdom of the			Germa- ny.		
Lom- bards,			Anastasi- us Abbot		
which subsisted			in Pales- tine.		
during the space			Theopha- nes.		
of 206 years,was			Aldhelm, bishop		-
overturn- ed by			of Shire- burn, un-		
CHARLE-			der the Heptar-		
who, have			chy, and nephew to Ina,		
ed Desid- erius, caused			king of the west		
himself to be crown-			Saxons.		
ed king of the Lom		and the state of t			
bards in the year					
774					
Exarchs of Ra-			-	`	
venna. Theophy-					
Jo. Proc-					
opins 712 Paul 729					
Eutychi-					

		/		· · · ·	\	349
Sove- reign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
ate sub-						-
sisted						
during the						
space of						
185 years. It ended						
in the						
reign of						
Aistul-						
phus, king						
of the Lom-						
bards,						1
who re-						
duced	1					
Ravenna,						
and add- ed it to his						
domin-		.				
ions.	3	1				
But this	-					
prince			2.1			
was oblig-						
ed by Pepin,		1	1			
king of			1			
France, to		1				
surrender		1	1			
the Exar-		11				
with all its	Î	1				
territo-						
ries, cas-						1 1
tles, &c.						
to be for						
ever held by P.				1		
Stephen						
HII.				-		
and his)				
success-						
ors in the						
Rome.						1
This is			1			1
the true			,			1
founda-						
tion of the tem-		. 1				1
poral						
grandeur						-
of the						
popes.						
		,		-		All Control

Authors.

CENTURY IX.

Sove-	Pones or	Archb
reign	Bishops	ops of Canter
Princes.	of Rome.	bury.
		Buly.
Emper-	Leo III.	Athera
ors of the	816	12
East A. D.		Wulfr
Irene 802	Stephen V. 817	
Nicepho-		Theog
rus 811	Pasehall	0.1
	824	Celno
Saturati-	Eugenius	Athelr
us 811	11. 827	Athen
Michael	A sehisin	Pleg-
Curopo-	between	mun
lites 813	Eugenius	
Leo Ar-	II. and	
men 820	Zizinnus.	
Michael	Valentine	
Balb. 829	827	
Theophi-	Chamana	
lus 342	Gregory IV. 844	
Miehael	IT. OTT	
III. 867	Sergius	
Basilius I.	11. 847	
Macedo		
886	Leo IV.	
Leo VI.	855	
Philos.	Dana Ta	
	Pope Jo- an Bened.	
Empe-	111. 858	
rors of		
the West.	A sehism	
The wes-	between	
tern Em-	Benedict:	
pire was restored,	and Anas-	
in the	Nicholas	
year 800,	I. 867	
in favour	1. 001	
of Charle-	Adrian II.	
magne,	872	}
king of	т ,	
France. Charle-	John VIII. 882	
magne	V 111. 00%	
814	Marinus	
Lewis,	1. 884	
the De-		
bonnaire	Adrian	
Lothaire	III. 885	
855	Formosus 897	
Lewis II.	A sehism	
875	between	
Charles	Formo-	1
II. sur-	sus and	
named	Sergius.	1

الماداداداد	Foologies	1
	Eeclesias-	Heretic
ps of	tical and	real or
anter-	Theolog.	puted
ury.	Writers.	Jan Ca
thonuld	Nigopho	Pauli-
therald	Nicepho-	
306	rus, Pa-	cians,
Vulfred	triarch of	brane
330	Constan-	of the
heogild-	tinople.	Mani-
830	Amalari-	ehean
elnoth	us, bishop	Ieonocl
871	of Tri-	tes
thelred	ers.	Leonola
889		træ,
leg-	Theodore	or ima
mund.	Studita.	worsh
	Agobard,	pers.
	Arch-	Predes
	bishop	narian
	of Lyon.	Adoptia
		Transu
	Eginhart.	stantia
	Claudius	rians.
	Clement,	Clemer
	bishop of	bishop
	Turin.	Turin
	2 (17)	who fe
	Jonas,	lowed
	bishop of	the se
	Orleans.	timen
	Oricans.	of Fe
	Freeulph,	of Ur-
	bishop of	gella.
	Lysieux.	80
	Moses	
	Baree-	-
	pha.	
	Photius,	1
	Patriarch	
	of Con- stantino-	
	1	
	ple. Theod.	
	Abueara.	
	Petrus	
	Nicotas	-
	Nicetas	
	David.	
	Rabanus	}
	Maurus,	
•	Arch-	
	bishopof	
	Mentz. Hilduin.	
	Servatus	
	Lupus.	
	Drepanius	
	Florus.	
	Druth-	}
	mar. Godes-	
	- To des-	

Heretics,	Remarkable E-
real or re-	ventsReligious
outed	Rites.
Pauli-	The conver
caun-	The conver-
cians, a branch	
of the	Swedes, Danes, Saxons, Huns,
Mani-	Bohemians,
eheans.	Moravians,
leonoclas-	Sclavonians,
tes	Russians, In-
leonola-	dians, and Bul-
træ,	garians, which
or image	latter occasions
worship.	a eontroversy
pers.	between the
Predesti-	Greek and Latin
narians.	ehurehes.
Adoptians	The rise of
Fransub-	transubstantia-
stantia-	tion and the
rians.	sacrifice of the
Clement,	mass.
bishop of	The cause of
Turin,	Christianity
who fol-	suffers in the
lowed	East under the
the sen-	Saraeens, and
timents,	in Europe un-
of Felix of Ur-	der the Nor-
	mans.
gella.	The power of
	the pontiffs in-
	creases; that of
	the bishops di- minishes; and
1	the emperors
	are divested of
	their ecelesias-
	tieal authority.
	The Decre-
	tals are forged,
	by which the
	popes extended
	the limits of
	their jurisdie-
	tion and au-
`	thority.

The conver-	Ph
sion of the	Sn
Swedes Danes	(
Saxons, Huns, Bohemians, Moravians,	Eg
Bohemians,	Ra
Moravians,	N.
ociavonians,	Al
Russians, In-	He
dians, and Bul-	p
garians, which latter occasions	Le
a controversy	M
between the	d
Greek and Latin	W
ehurehes.	di
The rise of	S
transubstantia-	Jo
tion and the	E
sacrifice of the	
mass.	All
The cause of	- G - ki
Christianity suffers in the	E
East under the	H
Saraeens, and	01
Saracens, and in Europe un- der the Nor-	si
der the Nor-	0
mans.	75
The power of	V
the pontiffs in-	li
creases; that of	At
the bishops di-	b.
minishes; and the emperors	A P
are divested of	1
their ecelesias-	TH
tieal authority.	N
The Deere-	a
tals are forged,	n
by which the	N

otius. naragdus. inhart. banus laurus. obon. eremert. eon. rgius. ethoius. alafrius trabo. hnScot rigena. fred the reat, ing of ngland.

is Saxreron of rosius as neer pubshed. ou-Naas, an rabian oet. ne Calif lamon, n emi-

ent Mathematician and Astronom er. N.B. Haroun, the father of thisprince

sent to Charlemagne a striking eloekwith springs & wheels. whichwas

Programme 45		CHILO	OLOGICAL	a argume.	•	391
Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	bishhops	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events, and Re- ligious Rites.	Profane Authors.
the Bald	Bonifaee		challus.		The fictitious	the first
Lewis III.	VJ. 897		Pascasius Radbert,		relics of St. Mark, St. James,	ever seen
879	VII. 901	_	the elief		and St. Bartholo-	and shows
Carloman	A schism		of the		mew, are impos-	that, at
Charles	Stephen Stephen		Transub- stantiari-		ed upon the cre- dulity of the peo-	this peri-
	VII. John		ans.		ple.	arts were
posed 887			Bertram		Monley and als	more cul-
After the death of	manus 1.		or Ra- tram of		Monks and ab- bots now first eni-	Asia than
this	Theo-		Corby,		ployed in eivil af-	in Europe.
who was	dore II.		who re- futed the		fairs, and ealled to the courts of	
the last			mon-		princes.	cinati-
king of			strous er-		m	eian.
France that was			Radbert,		The festival of All-Saints is ad-	
emperor)			and was		ded, in this centu-	
Germany			at the		ry, to the Latin	Astron-
and Italy were en-			head of those		Calcudar by Gre- gory IV. though	omer.
tirely sep-			who de-		some authors of	
arated			nied the		note place this in-	
from the French			corporal		stitution in the seventh century,	
monar-			of Christ		and attribute it to	
chy.			in the		Boniface IV.	
Arnolph 899			Eucha-		The supersti-	
Lewis IV.					tious festival of	
77:			Haymo, bishop of		the assumption of	
Kings of Spain, i. e.			Halberd-		the Virgin Mary, instituted by the	
of Lenn			stadt. Walafri-		Council of Mentz,	
and the			dus Stra-		and confirmed by	
Asturias. Alphonso		,	bo.		Pope Nicholas I. and afterward by	
the chaste			Hincmar,		Leo X.	
Damine 844			Archbish			
Ramiro 851			op of Rheims.		The trial by	
Ordogno			John Scot		cold water intro-	•
Alphoneo			Erigena.		Eugenius II.	
Alphonso			Ansegis-		though Le Brun, in his Histoire des	
			lus. Florus		Piratiques Su-	
Kings of France.			Magister		perstiticuses, en-	
Charle-			Prudens,		deavours to prove this ridiculous in-	
magne.			hishop of		vention more au-	
Lewis the			Troyes.		cient.	
Debon-			Remy of		The Emperor	
naire 840			Lyons.		Lewis II. is oblig-	
Charles the Bald			Niehnlas.		ed, by the arro-	
877			Adrian.		gant pontiff Nico- las, I to perform	
Lewis III.			John VIII.		the functions of	
879			VIII.	1		

the first ever seen in France, and shows hat, at this peri-od, the arts were nore culivated in Asia than n Europe. Albategui, the Mathcmati-

	.D.	A 31.1	22 2 2 4	.77		
Sovereign	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesiasti-	Heretics,	Remarkable	I
Princes.	Bishops of Rome.	ops of	cal & The-	real or re-	Events-Re-	Profane
I mices.	of Rome.			puted.	ligious Rites.	Authors,
		ry.	Writers.			
Carlo-			Dono		1	
man 884			Pope.		groom, and	
Charles			Anastasius, Bibl.		hold the bri- dle of this	
III. 288	}		Aux ilius.			
Eudes 898			Theodulph,		Pope's horse, while his pre-	
Charles			bishop of		tended holi-	
the Simple.			Orleans.		ness was dis-	
Kings of			Smaragdus.		mounting.	
England.			Aldric,		The Le-	
The Hep-			bishop of		gends, or	
tarchy fin-			Mans.		Lives of the	
ished by			Ado of Vi-		Saints, began	
the Union			cnna.		to be compo-	
of the sev-			Isidorus		sed in this	
en king-			Mercator,		century.	
doms un-		1	author of		The Apos-	
der the		1	the False		tles' Creed is	
govern-			Decretals.		sung in the	
ment of			Jesse, bish.		ehurches—	
Egbert.		i	op of A-		organs, bells,	- 1
Egbert 837			miens.		and vocal	
Ethelworf			Dungale Halitzaina		music intro-	
857			Halitgaire, bishop of		duced in ma-	
Ethelbald			Cambray.		ny places— Festivals	
Ethelbert			Amulon,		inultiplied.	
	1		archbish-		The Order	
Ethelred			op of Ly-		of St. An-	
871			ons.		drew, or the	
Alfred the			Vandalbert.		Knights of	
Great 890			Angelome		the Thistle,	
		- 1	Epi-		in Scotland.	
Kings of		1	phanes,		Michael I.	
Scotland.		1	areh-		Emperor of	
The his-	i		bishop of		the East, ab-	
Scotland is		I	Constan-	}	dieates the	
divided in-			tia in the		throne, and,	/
to four	1		Island of		with his wife,	
great peri-	1		Gyprus. Herric.	i i	and six chil-	
ods. The	1		Reginon.		dren retires	- 10
first, which	•		Abbon.	1	into a monas-	
commene-	1		William,		Photins,	
es with		1	the Libra-	-	Patriarch of	
Fergus I.			rian.		Constantino.	
330 years			Pope For-		plc, excom-	
before		- 1	mosus.	1	municates	
Christ, and			Pope Ste-		the Pope.	
eontains a			phen.	-	The canon-	
scries of		Į i	Methodius,		ization of	
68 kings, which			who in-		Saints intro-	
ends with			vented the		duced by	1 1
Alpinns, in			Sclavoni-		Pope Lcoll.	
the year			an charac-		The Uni-	
823, is			ters, and		versity of Ox-	
looked up-			made a		ford founded	111
on as en-	1		transla- tion of		The scien-	
			tion of	- 1	THE SCIENT	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canterbu- ry.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
tirely fab- ulous. We shall therefore begin this chronolog- ical list with the sccond period, which commenc- es with Kenneth II. 854 Donald V. 858 Constan- tine II. 874 Ethus 874 Gregory 898 Donald VI.			the Bible for the Bulgarians which was used bythe Russians Alfred the Great, king of England, composed a Saxon Paraphrase on the Ecclesiastical History of Bede, a Saxon Version of Oroceius and		ences are cultivated among the Saracens, and particularly encouraged by the Caliph Almamon. Theophilus, from his abhorrence of images, banishes the painters out of the Eastern Empire. Harold, king of Denmark, is dethroned by his subjects, on account of his attachment to Christianity. The university of Paris founded.	
Kings of Sweden. The origin of this kingdom is covered with uncertainty and fables. Some historians reckon 36 kings before Biorno III. but it is with this latter prince that chronologers generally begin their series. Biorno III. 824 Brantamond 827 Sivard 842 Heroth 856 Charles VI. 868 Biorno IV. 888			sius, and a Saxon Psal ter. The EmperorBasilius, Mac. The Emperor Leo, surnamed the Wise.			
IV. 883 Ingo, or Ingelde 891						

CENTURY X.

		Aughbish	E colonia			
Cararaign	Popes or		Ecclesias.	Heretics	Remarkable	In .c.
Sovereign	Bishops	ops of	Theolog.	real or re-	Events-Reli-	Profan
Princes.	of Rome.	Canterbu-	Writers.	puted.	gious Rites.	Author
		13.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Emperors	John IX.	Plegmund	Simeon	No new	Innuntion of	This
of the East.	1	917	Meta-	heresies	Irruption of the Huns into	centu-
A. D.		Athelm	phrastes.		Germany, and	ry, by
Leo, the	between	924		vented	of the Normans	way of
Philoso-	John IX.	Wilfhelm	ofByzan-	during	into France.	emi-
pher 911	and Ser-		tium.	this cen-	The Danes	nence, i
	gius.	Dunstan	Odo of	tury.That	invade England.	styled
Alexander	Benedict	988	Cluny.	of the An-	The Moors en-	the age
912	IV. 906	Ethelgar	Ratherius		ter into Spain.	of bar-
Constan-	LeoV. 906	988 Siricius	Verona	morphites was reviv-	The Hungari	barism
tine VII.	A schism	993	andLiege.		ans, and several	
surgamed		Aluric, or	Hippoly-	the great.	Northern na-	nor and The
Porphy-	Leo V.	Alfric.	tus, the	est part of	tions, converted to Christianity.	greates
rogen 959	0 ~ .		Theban.	the others	The Pirate	part of
0	topher.		Odo, arch-	were con-	Rollo is made	the Ec-
Romanus	Christo-		bishop of	tinued.	Duke of Nor-	clesias-
Lecape-	pher 907		Canter-	Thus we	mandy, and em-	ticakane
nus took	A schism		bury.	find Nes-	braces the	Theolo
advan-	between		Rutychi-	torians,	Christian faith.	gical au
tage of	Christo-		us, Patri-		The Poles are	thors
of this	Sergius.		Alexan-	ans, Pau-	converted to	men-
prince,	Sergius		dria.	licians, Armeni-	Christianity un-	tioned
and sciz-	III. 910		Saidus,	ans, An-	der Micislaus, in the year 965.	in the column
ed the	Anastasi-		Patriarch		The Christian	
imperial	usIII.912		ofAlexan-	mor-	religion is es-	mean,
throne,	Lando912		dria.	phites and	tablished in	igno-
but was	John X.		Flodoard.	Manichæ	Moscovy, Den-	rant,
deposed	928		Joseph	ans, mak	mark and Nor-	
by his	Leo VI. 929		A tto bish	ing a noise	way.	vial wri
son Ste-	Stephen		Atto,bish-	in this	The plan of	
phen, and died in	VIII. 931		op of Verceil.	century.	the Holy war is	wrote
948	John XI.	-	Dunstan,		formed, in this century, by	upon
	336		Arch-		Pope Sylvester	mean and tri-
Romanus,	Leo VII.		bishop of		II.	vial sub-
first or	939		Canter-		The baptism	jects.
second	Stephen	i	bury.		of bells; the	At the
son to	IX. 943		T . 14 1		festival in re-	head or
Constan-	Marinus		Luitprand		membrance of	the
tine VII.	11. 946		Abbot of Fleury,		departed souls;	learned
300	Agapetus II. 955		Notker,		the justitution	men of
Nicepho-	John XII.		bishop of		of the Rosary;	tins age
rus Phoc.	964		Liege.		and a multitude of superstitious	nlace
970	A schism		Suidas.		rites, shocking	Gerbert,
	between		Roswida,		to common	other-
John Zi-	John XII.		a poetess.		sense, and an	wise
misces	and Leo:		Edgar,		insult upon true	known
975	Leo VIII.		king of		religion, are in-	by the
Desiling	964 Benedict		England.		troduced in this	papal
Basilius III.	V. 965		Ælfridus. Heriger.		century.	denomi-
Constan-	John John		Olympio-		Fire ordeal	nation of Syl-
tine VIII.	XIII. 972	•	dorus.			vesterlf.
					- I VIII I	· Cottor wit

Emperors Donus II. of the 972 West. Lewis IV. Donus II. Oecume- nius. Odilo. Burchard.	The Turks and Saracens learned pontiff Edmund
Conrad I. 919 Benedict VII. 934 Benedict VII. 984 John XIV. His lives of the Fow- ler 936 Otho I. 985 Otho II. 985 Otho III. 988 Otho III. 988 Otho III. 988 Otho III. Spain, i. e. Leon and Asturias. Alphonso III. surnamed the Great, ab- dicates the crown in the year 910 Garcias Pils Ordogno II. 924 Alphonso IV. 931 Ramiro II. 955 Sanchez the Fat Fathers, very dif- ferent from those that are pub- lished, are still in MS. in the li- brary of Toledo. John Ma- lela. Constan- tine Por- phyro- gennetus. John of Ca- pua. Nicholas, Patriarch of Con- stantino- ple. Gregory of Cæsarea. Georges. Epiphanes. Severus. Moses Bar- Cepha. Alfric, Arch- bishop of Canterbu- ry. Kings of France. Charles the Sim-	king of England, is stabbed at a public feast. The Danish war in England begins and continues twelve years. Feudal tenures begin to take place in France. The influence and power of the Monks increase greatly in England. The kingdom of Italy is united by Otho to the German empire. Pope Boniface VII. is deposed and banished for his crimes. Arithmetical figures are brought from Arabia into Europe by the Saracens. The Empire of Germany is rendered elective by Otho III. king of England to revive the droop-ing sciences; and the effects of his zeal were visible in this, but still more in the following century. Suidas. Geber, an Arabian chemist, celebrated by the learned Boerhaave. Constantine Porphyrogen. Albatanii, an Arabian astronomer, called by some Albategne. Razi, a celebrated Arabian Chemist and Physician. Leontius, one of the

990		CIII	OLIOLOGIC	ALL TABLE	20.	CENT.
Sovereign	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable I vents—Religiou Rites.	Profar Author
Ralph, usurps the throne. Lewis d'autremere 954 Lothaire II. 986						tine his torians Joseph Genesi- us.
Lewis the Idler, the last king of the line of Charlemagne 987	,					
Race. Hugh Carpet 996 Robert. Kings of England. Edward						
925 Athelstan 941 Edmund 946 Edred 955 Edwy 957						entre distribution de l'action
Edgar 975 Edward 978 Ethelred. Kings of Scot- land.						
Donald V. 908 Constantine III. 948 Malcolm 1. 958 Indulfus 967					The second section of the second seco	=
Duffus 972 Cullen 976 Kenncth III. 994 Constantine IV. 995 Grimus.	And the second s					

						00.
Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of Canterbu-	tical &	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
Kings of Sweden.						
Ingeld II. 907						
Eric VI. 926						
Eric VII. 940						
Eric VIII'	٠					
Olaus If. the Trib- utary.						
The beginnings of the Danish monarchy are so fabulous, that we shall begin with Harold, who first embraced the Christian religion.						
Harold VI. Sweyn 980 Poland.						
Micislaus, the first Christian duke, dies 990						
					j	

CENTURY XI.

	D	l A abbinb	Electroises	II am ting	Damanulanlila	
Cororaign					Remarkable Frants-Roll	Profane
Sovereign	of Rome.	ops of	tical and		Events -Reli-	Authors.
Princes.	of Kome.		Theolog. Writers.	reputed.	gious Rites.	Aumors.
		bury.	WITEUR.			
Emperors	Silvester	Aluric or	Dithmar,	Berenger,	The Crusades	Leo, the
of the	11. 1003		bishop of		are carried on	Gram-
East.	John	1006	70 00	for his	with all the	marian
A. D.		Elphegus,		opposi-	enormities that	Adel-
Basilius	1003		Leo the	tion to	usually attend	bord.
III. 1025		cred by	Gramma	the mon-	3 11 5	
444	XVIII.	thcDanes		strous	gant, and inhu-	Psellus.
Constan-	1009		Aimon.	doctrine	man zeal.	Anselm,
tinc VIII.	Sergius	year1012		of Tran-	Godfrey of	Arch-
1028	IV. 1012	Livingus	bishop of		Bouillon takes	bishop
	Benedict	1020	Chartres.	ation.	possession of	of Can-
Romanus	VIII.	Agelmoth			Jerusalem in the	terbu-
II. Argyr.	1024		bishop of		year 1099.	ry.
	A schism		Utrecht.	heitc.	A contest bc-	GuiAre-
	between		Alexius,	A sect	tween the Em-	tine, the
Michael	Gregory			of French	perors and	inventor
IV Paphl.		Gemetic	of Con-	Manichæ-		of Musi-
1041	cdict.	1052	1	ans, con-	the latter disco-	calnotes
	John XIX.		nople.	demned	ver a most arro-	Wippo.
Michael	1083		23,000		gant and despot-	John
V. Cala-	Benedict		Augs-	council of		Scylitz-
phates	IX. 1044			Orleans.	The dignity	es.
1051	A schism	Anselm.	Ademar.		of Cardinal is	Avicen-
61 -400	between		The Bru-		first instituted in	na, an A- rabian
Constan-	the two		nos.		this century.	philoso-
tine IX.	Johns and Ben-		Lanfranc,		The Moors	philoso-
Mono-	edict.	1	Arch-		are driven by degrees from sc-	
mach.	Gregory		bishop of Canter-		veral parts of	the first
1054		1	bury.		Spain; hence	Christi-
1001	Clement		Theopha.		arose the divi-	an King
Theodora			nes Cer-		sion of that	of Hun-
	1 4 4	[ameus.		country into so	gary.
1000	11. 1049		NilusDox-		many little	Alphes,
Michael	Leo IX.		opatrius.		kingdoms.	a Jew.
VI. Strat.	1054	1	Michael		Mathilda,	Josip-
1057	w = 1	1	Pscllus.		daughter of	pon, or
	1057		Michael		Bonifacc, Duke	the false
Isaac I.	Stephen		Cerula-		of Tuscany,	Jose-
Comen.	IX. 1059		rius.		leaves all her	phus.
1059	Benedict		Simcon,		possessions to	Ferdou-
	X. 1059		the		the church of	si, a Per-
Constan-	Nicholas		Younger		Rome, in con-	sian poet
tine X.	H. 1061	1	Theophy-		sequence of her	Roscelin
Ducas	A schism/		lact, a		passionate at-	John,
1087	between	1	Burgla-	1	tachment to Hil-	the phi-
Romanus	Nicholas	}	rian.		debrand, other-	losopher
III. Dio-	I and	i	Cardinal		wise known by	JohnCu-
genes	Benedict	1	Humbert.		the papal name	ropalata,
1071	Alexan-		PetrusDa-		of Gregory VII.	one of
Nicepho-	der 11.		mianus.		with whom she	the By-
rns H	1078		Márianus		lived in a licen-	zantın, e histori
Botonia-	A schism		Scotus.		tions com-	ang.
tes 1081	Alexand	1	Anselm,		merce.	ans.
Alexis I.	Alexand.		Arch-	1		
Comnen.	II. and					

Emperors of the West. West. Otho III. 1002 Henry II. 1030 Henry III. 1056 Henry IV. Kings of Spain, i. e. of Leonand the Asturias. Alphonso Alphonso Alphonso VI. 1037 Kings of Eredinand I Surnamed the Great Leonand Constituents and Castile united. Ferdinand I Surnamed the Great 1065 Sancho II. 1075 Alphonso VI. Kings of France. Robert R	Sover- eign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog Writers.	Heretics, real or re puted.	Remarkable Events—Re- ligious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Ethelred 1016 Edmond Ironside 1017 Samous of Gaza. Samuel of Mo- rocco, a convert-	Emperors of the West. Otho III. 1002 Henry II. 1024 Conrad II. 1030 Henry III. 1056 Henry IV: Kings of Spain, i. e. of Leonand the Asturias. Alphonso 1027 Veremond III. 1037 Kings of Leon an Castile united. Ferdinand I surnamed the Great. 1065 Sancho II. 1073 Alphonso VI. Kings of France. Robert 1031 Henry I. 1066 Philip I. Kings of	Cadalous. Gregory VII. 1086 A schism between Gregory VII. and Guy, bishop of Ravenna. Victor III. 1088 Urban II. 1099	Canter-	Theolog Writers. bishop of Canterbury, Ivo, bishop of Chartres. Hildebert, Archbishop of Tours. Pope Gregory VII. Gerhard. Hugh of Breteuil. Berthold. Hermannus Condact. Peter, Patriarch of Antioch. Glaber Radulphus. Pedeins. Leo of Bulgaria. Constant. Guitmundus. Manasses, Archbishop of Leigh. Actioch. John, Patriarch of Antioch.	puted.	Sicily, Castile, Poland, and Hungary, are erected into kingdoms. The kingdom of Burgundy and Arles is transferred to the Emperor Conrad II. by Rodolphus king of Burgundy Several of the Popes are looked upon as Magicians;—as, in these times of darkness, learning, and more especially philosophy and mahematics, were looked upon as magic. Investitures introduced in his century. The tyranny of the popes is obly opposed y the Empeors Henry I. I. and III by William I. king of England, and other monarche of that nation, by Philip king of France, and by the British and German churches. Baptism is performed by triple immersion.	Authors
	1016 Edmond Ironside			of Gaza. Samuel of Mo- rocco, a convert-		ced by Gregory	

200		C1111	Oliopodic?	THE TABLE	₽.	CENT. XI
Sovereign Princes.	or Rome.	, ops or	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable E- vents—Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Canute, the Great, king of Denmark 1035 Harold, Harefoot, 1039 Hardicanute 1041 Edward the Confessor 1066 Harold 1066 Norman Line. William the Conqueror. 1087 William Rufus. 1100 Kings of Scotland. Grimus 1008 Majcolm II. 1038 Donald VII. by some called Duncan 1040 Macbeth 1057 Malcolm III. 1098 Donald VIII. de throned. 1094 Duncan II. 1096 Donald again 1097 Kings of Sweden. Olaus II. 1019			John XI. phillinus Lambert. A famous but anon- ymous, work, called Mi- crologus. Adam of Bremen. John Cu- ropalata. Benno of Ravenna. Nicholas of Me- thone. Philip the Solitary. Othlon of Fulda. Tangmar. Gui Are- tin. Eugesip- pus. Dominic of Grado. Guit- mond. Alberic, Osborn, a Monk of Canter- bury.		The Cistercian, Carthusian, and Whipping Orders, with many others, are founded in this century. The Emperor Henry IV. goes barefooted to the insolent Pontiff Gregory VII. at Canusium, and does homage to this spiritual tyrant in the most ignominious manner. The same emperor, however, besieges Rome soon after, and makes a noble stand against the pontiff. Domesday-book is compiled from a survey of all the estates in England. Jerusalem is taken by the Crusaders.	
-						

						604
Sover- eign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable E- vents-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Asmund 1035 Asmund- slem 1041 Hakon 1059 Stenchil 1061 Ingo III. 1064 Halstan 1080 Philip.						
Kings of Denmark. Sweyn 1014			t		-	
Canute the Great, king of England 1035						
Harold 1040				,		
Hardica- nute 1041 Magnus 1048 Sweyn II. 1074			,			-
Harold VII. 1085 St. Canute 1086 Olaus III. 1086 Eric III. Kings of Poland. Boleslaus first king 1025						

Sover- eign Princes.	Bishops	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	astical &	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events—Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Micislaus 1034						
Interreg- num.						
Casimir 1058						
Boleslaus II. 1079						
Ladislaus	1					Post in the second seco
Kings of Jerusa- lem.		-				
Godfrey, chosen king in 1099, dies in 1100			The state of the s			
Baldwin I.			1			

CENTURY XII.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Arch- bishops of Canter- bury.			Remarkable Events,—Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Emperors of the East. A. D. Alexius I. Comnen. 1118 John II. Comnen. 1143	II. 1118 Clement, Albrecht, Theo- dore, and Magin-	Corbeil 1136 Theobald 1168 Thomas	Gilbert, Abbot of West- minster. Guibert. Sigebert of Gem- blours. Peter Al-	The Bogomiles and Catharists were a kind of Manichæans. The Pasaginians	The Sclavo- nians and the inhabitants of the island of Rugen receive the light of the Gospel, and their example is followed by the Livonians	Robert Bacon. Anselm of Laon. Vaccari- us. Leoninus, the sup- posed in- troducer
Emanuel Comnen. 1180 AlexiusII. Comnen.	H. 1124 Honorius II. 1130	Richard	phonso. Odo of Orleans. Godfrey of Vendosme. Rupert of	were a kind of Arians, who also discovered a strange		of Latin Rhymes. Roger Hoveden. John of Salisbury, William
Androni- cus Com- nen. 1185	II. 1143 Celestine II. 1144 Lucius II. 1145 Eugenius	Fitz-joce- lin 1191 Hubert Walter.	Dyits. Baldric. Arnulph, bishop of Lisieux. Bernard	attach- ment to the cere- monial law of Moses.	the elevation of Prestor John. The crusade is renewed. The kingdom of Jerusalem is	of Somerset. John Zonaras. George Cedre-
Alexius III Ang. or Com-	sius IV. 1154 Adrian IV. 1159		of Clair- val. Abelard. Æthelred, Baldwin, Archbp.	heretic. The same	the Christians in Palestine de- cline. A Third Cru-	John Cin- namus. Silvester Girald, bishop of
Emperors of the West	Gregory		of Canterbury. Euthymius Zigab William of Som-	thing may be said of Tranquil- linus. As to Ar-	sade underta- ken. The three famous milita- ry orders insti- tuted, viz. The	St. David's. Godfrey of Viterbo. William
1106 Henry V. 1125	III. 1191 Celcstine III. 1199		Thomas Becket, Archbp.	nold of Brescia, the Petro brussians, Henrici- ans, Wal-	plars—The Teutonic	of Newburgh, an English Historian.
Lotharius II. 1158 Conrad III. 1152			of Canterbury. Gervais, a Monk of Canterbury.	ance be made for	The original MS. of the farmous Pandect of Justinian is	bishop of Oviedo. John of Milan, author of the
Frederick surnamed Barba- rossa. 1190			Nicephorus of Brienne. Anselm, bishop of Havelb.	title of	the ruins of Amalphi, or Melfi, when that city was taken by Lo-	poem called, Schola Salerni- tana. Robert
HenryVI 1197 Philip.			Jo. Zona- ras. Mich.	REFOR- MERS and	tharius II. in 1187, and this emperor makes	Pullein, an Eng-

	Popes, or	Arch-	Ecclesias-	Heretics,		
Sovereign Princes.		bishops of Can- terbury.	Theolog. Writers.	real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
Winns of			Glycas.	WIT-	a present of it	Eals Con
Kings of Spain,			Hug. Vic-	NESSES	a present of it to the city of	lish Car dinal.
i. e. Le-			torinus.	to the	Pisa, whose	Abrahan
on and		1	Eadme-	TRUTH,	fleet had con-	Aben-
Castile.			rus.	than that		Ezra.
Alphonso			George	of Here-	particular man-	John and
VI. 1109			Cedre-	tics.	ner, to the suc-	Isaac
Alphonso			nus.	Peter Ab-	cess of the siege.	Tzetzes
VII. 1137			Peter, the		The contest	Henry of
Alphonso	•		Venera-	and Gil-	between the	Hunting
VIII.			ble.	bert de	emperors and	ton.
1157			Honorius	la Por-	popes is renew-	Nicetas.
Sancho			of Antun. Foucher.	rée dif- fered	ed under Kred- eric Barbaros-	Wernier. Moses
Ferdi-			Alger.	from the	2 . 2 .	Maimo-
nand II.			Gratian.	notions	IV. The inso-	nides.
1175			Peter	common-	lence of the	Anvari, a
Alphonso			Lom-	ly receiv-		
IX.			bard.	ed with	Becket, arch-	Astron-
			Henry of	respect	bishop of Can-	omer.
Kings of			Hunting-	to the	terbury, assas-	Portius
France.			ton.	Holy	sinated before	Azo.
Philip I.	}		William	Trinity.	the altar, while	Nestor, a
1108			bishop of Rheims.			Russian
Lewis VI.			Constan-	genses, a branch	12	Histori-
the Gross	- {		tine Har-	of the	thedral. The scanda.	an. Falcan-
1137			men.	Walden-	lous traffic of	dus.
Lewis			Orderic	scs, are	indulgences be-	Benjamii
VII. sur-			Vital.	branded	gun by the	de Tu-
named			Constan-	with the		dele, a
the			tine Ma-	denomi-	soon after mo-	Spanish
Young]		nass.	nation of	nopolized by	Jew,
1180			Zacharias		the popes.	whose
Phillip	{		Chrysop.	chæans.	The Scholas-	Travels
Aug.			Peter of Blois.		tic Theology,	were
L'inou of			Peter Co-		whose jargon	transla-
Kings of	}		mestor.		did such mis-	Rozation
England. Henry I.			Peter de		chief in the church, had its	Baraties Averroes
1135			Celles.		rise in this cen-	Eustathi
Stephen			Peter of		tury.	us, bish-
1154			Poitiers.		The sccds of	op of
Henry I!.	ì		John Cin-		the reforma-	Thessa-
1189			namus.		tion sown, in	lonica.
Richard			John Be-		this century, by	
_I. 1199			leth.		the Waldenses,	Jarchi.
John.	-		Helmold		and other cmi-	Alhasen,
Wings C			Gislebert,		nent men in	an Ara-
Kings of Scotland.			bishop of London.		England and France.	bian wh
Edgar			Stephen		Pope Paschal	compos ed a larg
1106			Harding.		II. orders the	work on
1100			George		Lord's supper	Optics.
Alexan-			Xiphilin.		to be adminis-	George
der 1124			Alexand.		tered only in	Elinacin
			Arist.		one kind, and	authoro
David			Godfrey		retreaches the	the His-
1158	1				cup.	tory of

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	meretics,	Remarkable Events—Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Malcolm IV. 1165 William Kings of Sweden. Philip III0 Ingo IV. 1129 Ragwald 1140 Magnus, deposed in 1143 Suercher 1160 Eric, the Holy 1161 Charles VII. 1168 Canute 1192 Suercher II. Kings of Denmark Eric II. 1101 Nicholas 1135 Eric III. 1136 Eric IV. 1147 Sweyn IV. 1155 Canute V. 1155			of Viterbo. Theod. Balsamon. Richard of St. Victor. William of Auxere. Bruno of Ast. Simeon of Durham.		The Canon Law formed into a body, by Gratian. Academical degrees introduced in this century. Learning re- vived and en- couraged in the University of Cambridge. The pope de- clares war a- gainst Roger king of Sicily, who takes from his Holiness Ca- pua and Be- neventum. The council of Clarendon held against Becket. The kings of England and France go to the Holy Land. Henry II. of England, being called by one of the Irish kings to assist him, takes possession of Ireland.	the Saracens, translated by Erpenius. Jeffrey of Monmouth Henry of Huntington.
Valdemar 1182 Canute						
VI.						
Kings of Poland.						
Uladisla- us 1102						
Boleslaus III, 1159						
Uladisla- us II. 1146						

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome	Canter	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog- Writers-	real or re-	Remarkable Events,—Re- ligious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Boleslaus IV. 1173						
Micislaus 1178 Casimir II. 1195 Lescus. Kings of Jerusa- lem.						
Baldwin I. 1118						Market of Agency of Market (Market Market)
Baldwin II. 1131						
Foulques 1141						
Baldwin 111. 1162						
Almeric 4175						
Baldwin IV. 1185						
Baldwin V. 1186						
Guy of Laus g- nan. Jerusa- lem was retaken by the Infidels, in 1107						
Almeric, from 1196 to 1205						
Kings of Portugal. Alphonso I. pro- claimed king in 1183 d es in 1183						

CENTURY XIII.

						v.a
Sover-	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesias-		Remarkable E-	-
eign	Bishops		tical and	real or	vents—Reli-	Profane
Princes.	of Rome.	Canter-	Theolog.	reputed.	gious Rites.	Authors.
Timosa		bury.	Writers.			
					771 77 1	D
Emper-	Innocent	Hub.	Joachim.	The Wal-		Roger
ors of the	[Walter	John, Bi-	denses.	etan religion	Bacon,
East.	Honorius	1204	shop of	Nestori-	triumphs over	one of the
A.D.	III. 1226	Stephen	Macedo-	ans.	Christianity in	great re-
Alexius	Gregory	Langton		Jacobites	China and the	storers of
III. de-	IX. 1241		Demetri-	The Bre-	Northern parts	learning
thronedin	Celestine	Richard	us Cho-	tliren and	of Asia, by flat-	and phi-
1203	IV. 1248		matenus	Sisters of	tering the pas-	losophy.
	Innocent	shed 1231	Mark, Pa-		sions of volup-	Saxo- Gramma-
Alexius	IV. 1254		triarch of	Spirit,	tuous princes.	
IV. de-	Alexan-	mund	Alexan-	otherwise	A papal em-	ticus.
throned	der IV.	1242	1 1	called	bassy is sent to	Ralph de
in 1204		Boniface	Malachy,	Beghards	the Tartars by	Diceto. Walter of
	Urban IV.		1	and Be-	Innocent IV.	Coven-
Alexius		Robert	bishop	guttes,	A fourth	try. Alex
Ducas,	Clement	Kilward-	1 .	Beghins	Crusade is un-	ander of
surnamed	IV. 1268			and Tur-	dertaken by the	Paris, the
Murzu-	Gregory	John	Nicetas	lupins.	French and	founder
phle 1204	. [Choniata.	Amalric.	Venetians, who	of French
	Innocent		Francois	Joachim.		poetry.
L atin		Robert	d' Assise.	Wilhelmi-	of Constantino	Villehar-
Emper-	Adrian V.			na.	ple with a de-	doin, an
ors of the	1 - 1 37 37		l'Isle.	The sect	11.	Historian.
East resi-			Jacobus	of the A-	sign to restore	Accursi
ding at	1277		de Vitria-	The same of the sa	Isaac Angelus,	of Flo-
Constan-			Dotor the	John of	who had been	rence.
tinople.	III. 1220		Peter, the		1	Kimchi, a
	Martin		Monk	author of	his brother Du-	Spanish
Balduin I.	IV. 1285		Anthony of Padua		cas.	Jew.
1200	Honorius		of Padua. German-	0	The Emperor	0 1
	IV. 1288		us Cæsar-	Gospel.	Isaac is put to	de Litch-
Henry	Nicholas			. 0	death in a sedi-	enaw,
1216	Celestine		William	Whip-	tion, and his son	T 1 FT
70.4	V. 1294		of Paris.	pers.	Alexius strang-	lywood,
Peter		£	Raymon	Circum-	led by Alexius	called De-
1221	A		of Penna-		Ducas, the ring-	sacro bos
Dahant			fort.	Celifolisi	leader of this	co, author
Robert		1	Alexan-		faction.	of the
1229			der de		The Crusa-	Sphæra
m-Iduin			Hales.		ders take Con-	Mundi.
Balduin II. 1261	1		Edmund		stantinople a	Actuari-
11. 120	4		Rich.		second time,	us, a
Greek			Archbp.		dethrone Du-	Greck
			of Can-		cas, and clect	Physi-
Empe-			terbury.		Baldwin Count	cian.
rors re			Thomas		of Flanders,	Rod. Xi-
Nice.	-		of Spala-		Emperor of the	menes,
TAICC			tro.		Greeks.	Arch-
Theodor	20		John			bishop
Lascaris			Peck-		The empire	of Tole-
122	9		ham,	*	of Franks in th	ne do.
1~~			Arclibish	-	East, which ha	d Michael
John Du	1-		bishop o		subsisted fifty-	. Contat,
cas III			Canter-		seven years, is	bishop of
125			bury.		overturned by	Athens.
Theodor			Roger		Michael Palæ	O- Ivel.
		ŧ	Bacon.	1	logus.	Rigord,

.368		CHILD	VOFOCIC:	IL TABLE:		CENT. XIII
Sover- eign Princes.	Bishops	Archbish- ops of Canterbu- ry.	tical and	Heretics, real or re- puted.		Profane Authers.
Lascaris 1259 John Lascaris IV. 1259			Albert, the Great. Robert Grosse- teste. Vincent		A Fifth Crusade—which is carried on by the confederate arms of Italy and Germany.	an Historian. Pierre de Vignes. Matthew Paris.
Michael Palæolo- gus re- takes Con- stantino- plc in			de Beauvais. Robert Sorbon. George Acropolita.		Damietta ta- kenbut soon after retaken. The fleet of the Crusaders ruined by the Saracens.	Suffridus. Sozome- ne, auth- or of the Universal Chronology, which
the year 1261, and thus unites in his per- son the Latin and			Hugo de St. Caro. George Metochi- ta. Guil- laumc de St. A-		The Fifth Crusade under- taken by Lewis IX. who retakes Damietta, is af- terward re- dueed, with his army, to the	is yet in MS. in the pos-, session of the Regular Canons of Fisoli, near
Greek Empires; he dies in 1288			mour. Nicephorus Blem. Thomas Aquinas. Bonaventura,		greatest ex- tremities,—dies of the plague in a second Cru- sade, and is canonized. The Knights	Florence Barthol. Cotton of Nor- wich; see Whar-
Emperors of the West.			Gilbert of Tournay. John of Paris, an opposer of Transubstan-		of the Teutonic Order, under command of Herman de Saltza, conquer and convert to Christianity the	ton's Anglia Sacra. Engel- bert. Thomas Wicke,
Philip 1208 Otho IV.			tiation and Pa- pal Ty- ranny.		Prussians, at the desire of Conrad, duke of Massovia.	an Eng- lish His- torian. Vitellio, a
Frederic II. 1250			John Beccus. Nicetas Acominatus.		Christianity is propagated a-mong the Arabians in Spain. The philoso-	Polish Mathe- matician- Albert, the Great-
Civil wars and an Inter- regnum, during which Conrad of Suabia, William count of Holland, Richard king of England, Alphonso			Theodore Lascaris. Arsenius. George Pachymer. George the Cyprian. Stephen Langton, Archbp of Can-		phy of Aristotle triumplis over all the systems that were in vogue before this century. The power of creating Bishops, Abbots, &c. is claimed by the Roman pontiffs, whose wealth and revenues are thereby greatly	Colonna, Archbp. of Messina. Michael Scot, the translator of Aristotle. Gregory Albufarius. Foscarari of Bolog-
of Spain,		1	terbury.		augmented.	na.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesi- astical and Theolog. Writers.	real or re-	Toursell Toursell	PA
Ottocar, of Bohemia, appear on the scene of action. Rodolphus of Hapsburg is elected Emperor, and dies in 1291 Adolphus of Nassau 1298 Albert 1. Kings of Spain, i. c. of Leon and Castile. Alpho so IX. 1214 Henry I. 1217 Ferdinand III. 1252 Alphonso X. 1284 Saneho IV. 1295 Ferdinand IV. Kings of France. Philip Aug. 1226 Lewis IX. sainted 1276 Philip III the Hardy 1286 Philip IV the Fair. Kings of England John 1216			Robert Capito. Thomas Cantiprat. Richard Middleton. William Durand. Ægichus de Columna. Guil. Peraldus. Martin Polon. Raymond Martin. Gregory Albufarius. Jacob de Voragine, Guillaume de Seignelai, bisliop of Auxerre. William of Auvergne, bishop of Paris. Henry of Ghent. Pope Boniface VIII.		John, king of England, excommunicated by Pope Innocent III. is guilty of the basest compliances through his slavish fear of that insolent pontiff. The Inquisition established in Narbonne Gaul, and committed to the direction of Dominic and his Order, who treat the Waldenses, and other reputed heretics, with the most inhuman cruelty. The adoration of the Host is introduced by Pope Honorius III. The Magna Charta is signed by king John and his barons on the 15th of June, at Runnemede, near Windsor. A debate arises between the Dominicans and Franciscans concerning the Immaculate Conception of the Virgin Mary. Jubilces instituted by Pope Boniface VIII. The Sicilian Vespers—when the French in Sicily, to the number of 3000 were massacred in one evening	
Henry III	2			1		1

Profane Authors.

Alphonso king of Castile. Cavalcanti of Florence. Dinus, a famous Jurist. Marco Paolo, a Venetian, whose travels in China are curious. Francis Barberini, an Italian Poet.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable E- vents-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors
Edward I. Kings of Scotland. William 1214 Alexander III. 1249 Alexander III. 1286 Interregnum. John Baliol. Kings of Sweden. Suercher II. 1211 Eric X. 1218 Jean I. 1222 Eric XI. 1250 Waldemar 1276 Magnus 1290 Birger. Kings of Denmark Canute VI. 1250 Waldemar II. 1241 Eric VI. 1250 Abel 1252 Christopher 1253 Eric VIII Kings of Poland Lescus V					at a signal given by John Prochyta, a Sicilian nobleman. Conrad, duke of Suabia, and Frederic of Austria beheaded at Naples by the counsel of Pope Clement IV. The Jews are driven out of France by Lewis IX. and all the copies of the Talmud, that could be found, are burnt. The college of electors founded in the empire. The association of the Hans Towns. The Dominicans, Franciscans, Servites, Mendicants, and the Hermite of St. Augustin, date the origin of their orders from this century. The fables concerning the removal of the chapel of Loretto; the Vision of Sim. Stochius; the Wandering Jew; and St. Anthony's	
Uladis- laus III.	3					

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesias- tical & Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable E- ventsReligious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Boleslaus V 1279 Lescus VI 1289 Boleslaus, Henry, and Uladislaus, take the title of Governors. Premis- laus 1296 Uladislaus IV. de- posed in 1500 Winces- laus,king of Bohe- mia.					obliging an ass to adore the sacrament, are invented about this time. The Festivals of the Nativity of the blessed Virgin, and of the Holy Sacrament or Body of Christ instituted. The present House of Austria take their rise in this century. Wales is conquered by Edward, and united to England. There is an un-	
Kings of Portugal. Sancho I. 1212 Alphonso II. 1223 Sancho II. 1246 Alphonso III. 1294 Denis.					interrupted succession of English parliaments from the year 1298.	

CENTURY XIV.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Arehbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesi- astical & Theolog. Writers.	Hereties, real or re- puted.		Profane Authors
West. Albert f. 1308 Henry VII. Luxen 1313 Lewis V. Bav. 1347 Charles IV. 1378 Winces- laus 1400 Kings of Spain, i. e. Leon and Castile. Ferdinand IV. 1312 Alphonso XI. 1350 Pedro the	VIII. 1308 Benedict XI. 1814 Clement V. 1316 John XXI. 1884 A schism between Peter and John. Benedict XII. 1842 Clement VI 1362 Unban V. 1372 A schism between Urban and Clement. Gregory XI. 1378 The death of Gregory IX. occasioned that vio- lent schism that threw the West- ern church into the utmost confusion. The ehurch of Rome had two Popes, one resi- ding at Rome, the other at Avignon.	sey 1318 Walter Raynold 1827 Simon Mepham 1338 J. Strat ford 1348 Thomas Bradwardin 1349 Simon Islip 1865 Simon Langham 1374 Simon Sudbury 1331 W Courtney 1396 Thomas Arundel	Calistus. Raymond Lully. Matthaus Blastares Barlaam. Greg. Acindy 6us, John Cantacuzenus Nicepho rus Greg. Jno Duns Scotus Andrew of New- castle. Francis Mayron Durand of St. Por- tian. Nicholas de Lyra, John Ba- con. William Oceam. Nicholas Triveth. Andrew Horne. Richard Bury. Walter Burley. Richard Hampole. Robert Holkot. Thomas Bradwar- din, Arch- bishop of, Canter- bury. John Wickliff. Pliomas	chasts, & Quietists, three different namesfor one sect. Spiritual Franciscans. Ceceus Asculanus, who was burnt at Florence by the luquisition, for making some experiments in mechanics that appeared lous to the vulgar. Echard. Johan de Mercuria. Beghards, and Beguines. As to the Cellites or Lollards, they cannot be escensed Iereties, The followers of loha wickliff leserve and minent place with heir lead-	renew the Crusades. Christianity eneouraged in Tartary and China; but loses ground toward the end of this century. The Lithuanians and Jagello, their prinee, converted to the Christian faith in the year 1386. Many of the Jews are compelled to receive the Gospel. Philosophy and Greeian lite rature are cultivated with zeal in this century. The disputes between the Realists and Nominalists revived. Philip the Fair, king of France, opposes with spirit the tyrannic pretensions of the pope to a temporal urisdiction over kings and princes, and demands a general council to depose Boniface VIII. whom he ac-	restored of Philosophy and Leters, an also one of the most sublime Poets of modern times. Petrarck Boccace Chaucer Matthew of West minster. Nicholas Triveth. Nicephorus Gregoras, the compile of the Byzantine History. Theodore Metochita. Guillaume de Nangis, Historian. Henry Stero, Historian. Mugellanus.

Henry II. 1379 John I. 1380 Henry III. Clement Henry III. Clement Henry III. Clement The last VIII. not acknow- France. Philip by 1394 Peter Authors. Solis. Bernard Guido. Alvarus Phanes, Dohn Bassolis. 1351 Pelagius. The Danacknow- The Universities of Avignon. Pravia, Craco- Vierbo, Philosoft Regnard of Calabrate and brites. The papal authority declines. The residence of Calabrate Reghard thority declines. The residence of Calabrate Reghard thority declines. The residence of Calabrate and Patrology. The papal authority declines. The residence of Calabrate and Patrology. The Pada and Hervels of Robert Padua. Master of Padua. Herveus Andreas. Herveus Charles of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and ment VI. Thomas Joysius. John of Robert Bruce. John Andronis and revenand and recommends the Robert Padua. Michael Cajetan. Molfort. The Danachority declines. The Danachority declines. The Danachority declines. The Danachority declines. The Universities of Avignon. The Universities of Avignon. Praya. Craco- Vite, Vienna, Geneva, Orange, Sienna, Erfurt, Angers, founded. Thomas Orange, Sienna, Cologn, Physical and Church, and Church, and Church, and Inched at its head two rival poposes. The Danacy of Padua and church, and church, and church, and church, and placed at its head two rival poposes. John of Robert Bruce. Rings of Secoland. John of Padua. Milliston Thomas of Stras- burg, an Hisson The residence of Padua. Master of Padua. Thomas of Stras- burg, an Hisson The Universities of Avignon. The Latin thority declines. The Barcholds Robert of Calabra thority declines. The Barcholds Robert of Calabra thority declines. The Barcholds Robert of Calabra thority declines	Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of	tical and	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
HenryIII. Olement VII. not acknowledged Prance. Philip the Fair 1514 Eneddet XIII. Hutin 1316 Philip V. All II. Holip VI. Valois 1550 John 1364 Charles V. 1380 Charles V. 1380 Charles VI. Rings of England. Edward II. 1397 Edward II. 1399 Phone Scotland. John of Robert II. 1390 Robert III. 1390 Robert II. 1390 Robert III. 1390 Robert III. 1390 Robert III. 1390 Ro	John I.	IX. At Avig-	difficulty of the state of the	Burgo. William	of Cal- abria.	veral enormi-	Bam- berg.
Kings of France. Philip the Fair 1814 Lewis X. Hutin 1816 Philip V. 1821 Pelagius. The Cardinal 1826 Magnus 1830 Philo Robert II. 1837 Ceseans Gregory Robert II. 1830 Robert II. 1837 Robert II. 1837 Robert II. 1839 Robert II. 1830 Robert						The nanal an	
France. Philip the Fair 1814 Lewis X. XIII. Hutin 1816 Philip V. 1932 Philip VI. Valois 1850 John 1864 Charles V. 1380 Charles V. 1380 Charles VI. Kings of Edgand. Edward II. 1937 Edward II. 1939 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Saxon. Bruce 1829 Cardinal Cajetan. Robert III. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. John of Color, Prace, Cahors, Heidelberg, Prague, Perpis- nan, Cologn, Prague, Prace The Univer- sities of Atig- non, Persia, Oricans, Flo- rence, Cahors, Heidelberg, Prague, Prague, Prace The Univer- sities of Avig- non, Presia, Oricans, Flo- rence, Cahors, Heidelberg, Prague, Prace The Univer- sities of Avig- non, Persia, Oricans, Flo- rence, Cahors, Heidelberg, Prague, Prace The Univer- sities of Avig- Natalis- The Univer-							9
Philipthe Fair 1914 Lewis X. XIII. Hutin 1316 Philip V. 1321 Philip VI. Valois 1350 John 1364 Charles V. 1380 Charles V. 1400 Pavia, Craco- va, Vienna, Geneva, Orage, Sienna, Erfurt, Angers, founded. The rise of the Universita of Padua Astrono- mer. Marchi The Danch The Dances of Peter de The John Master of Peter de Ther Savian Orage, Siena Astrono- mer. Marchoule Ther Savian Orage, Siena Astrono- Mer, and Astrono- mer. Mamoule Ther Savian Orage The Vierde As Manule Astrono- Mer, and Astrono- mer. Manu					Bartoldus		
Fair 1314 Benedict Levis X. Hutin 1318 1316 1318 1318 1318 1318 1318 1318							
Hutin Philip V. Philip V. 1321 Philip VI. Valois 1350 John 1364 Charles V. 1380 Charles VI. Antonius Andreas- Herveus England. Edward I. 1397 Edward II. 1397 Edward III. 1397 Edward III. 1397 Edward III. 1399 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1306 Robert Bruce Bru	Fair 1314	Benedict		reolus.			- Am
The Universities of Avignon, Persia, Orleans, Florence, Cahors, Heidelberg, Deter de Charles V. 1380 Philothards V. 1397 Radamas Geneva, Orange, Sienna, Erfurt, Angers, founded. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival propes. The rived		XIII.			cers.	Avignon.	burg, an
Philip VI. 1921 Philip VI. Valois 1950 John 1964 Charles V. 1880 Charles V. 1880 Charles VI. Andreas. Kings of England. Edwardt II. 1937 Edward III. 1937 Edward III. 1939 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1306 Robert Bruce 1329 David II. 1370 Robert II. 1390 Robert Bruce 1320 Robert II. 1390 Robert Bruce 1320 Robert Peter of Duisbourg Ludolf Saxon. Robert Peter of Bruce 1320 Robert Peter of Duisbourg Ludolf Saxon. Robert Peter of Duisbourg Ludolf Saxon. Robert Peter of Bruce 1320 Robert Bruch 1320 Robert Bruce 1320 Robert Bruce 1320 Robert Bruch 1320 Robert				-		The Univer	Barlaam
Philip VI. Valois 1850 John 1864 Charles V. 1880 Charles V. 1880 Charles V. 1880 Charles VI. Kings of England. 1897 EdwardI. 1897 EdwardI. 1897 Edward II. 1897 Edward II. 1899 Henry IV Henry IV Henry IV England. Skings of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1329 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. 1				~			
Valois John 1864 Charles V. 1830 Charles V. 1830 Charles VI. Antonius Andreas. Herveus Kings of England. Edward I. 1897 Edward II. 1897 Richard III. 1877 Richard III. 1897 Remey, Perpig- nan, Cologn, Pavia, Craco- via, Vienna, Geneva, Orange, Sienna, Erfurt, Angers, founded. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy- ed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. John Wickliff opposes the Mooks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom- mends the Study of the Holy Scrip- tures. Nallis. Thomas Joysius. John of Naples. Albert of Padua. Michael Cesenas Cesenas Loggory Palamas. Androni- cus. Rings of Robert Robert Bruce Bruce Albert of Padua. Moroni- cus. Saxon. Cardinal Sweden. Briger Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Swagnus 1863 Albert, de- feated by Mar-		1				non, Persia,	bria,
John 1364 Charles V. 1380 Charles Charles V. 1380 Charles Char							Master of Pe-
John 1364 Charles V. 1380 Charles VI. Antonius Andreas. Herreus Andreas. Herreus Andreas. Herreus Findings of Edward II. 1397 Edward III. 1397 Edward III. 1399 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Robert Bruce 1329 David II. 1370 RobertII. 1390 Robert II. 1390 Robert III. 1390 R						Heidelberg.	
V. 1880 Charles VI. Antonius Andreas. Herveus England. EdwardI. 1897 Edward II. 1397 Edward III. 1397 Edward III. 1899 Henry IV Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert III. 1870 Robert II. 1870 Robert III. 1870 Robert II. 1870 Robert III. Cus. Robert III. Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. A warm contest arises among the Francisans about the poventry of Christ and his Apos- tles. Pavia, Cracov via, Vienna, Geneva, Orange, Sienna, Erfurt, Angers, founded. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy- ed the unity of the Latiny of the Latiny of the Latiny of Padua Astrono- Marsilius of Padua Astrono- Marsilus of Padua Astrono- Marsilus of Padua Astrono- Marsilus of Pad	~· ·			bishop of			
Charles VI. Antonius Andreas. Andreas. Herveus Frifut, Angers, founded. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy-det the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Andreas. Herveus Andreas. Herveus Andreas. Herveus Andreas. Herveus Andreas. Herveus Andreas. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy-det the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. John Wickliff opposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom-mends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Natalis. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy-det the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. John Wickliff opposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom-mends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Natalis. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroy-det the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. John Wickliff opposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom-mends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Kings of Scotland. John of Padua. Marsilius of Padua. Michael Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom-mends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Kings of Scotland. John of Padua. Morisius of Padua. Morisius of Padua. Morisius of Padua a famous Lawyer. John Andreas. Michael Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recom-mends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Kings of Scotland. John Ba-liol 1306 Robert II. 1390 Robe				Philo-			
Kings of England. EdwardI. 1397 Edward II. 1837 Edward III. 1837 Richard III. 1899 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1306 Robert Bruce 1390 Robert II. 1390 Robert III. 1	I	-	1				
Kings of England. Edward I. 1807 Edward II. 1837 Edward II. 1837 Edward II. 1839 Henry IV Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. 1890 Robert	VI.					Geneva,	cian and
England. EdwardI. 1507 Edward II. 1327 Edward III. 1328 Edward III. 1329 Edward III. 1329 Edward III. 1329 Edward III. 1320 Fribourg. III. 1320 Edward III. 1321 Fribourg. III. 1320 Edward III. 1321 Fribourg. III. 1322 Edward III. 1323 III. 1324 Edward III. 1325 Edward III. 1326 Edward III. 1327 Edward III. 1327 Edward III. 1328 Edward III. 1329 Fribourg. III. 1320 Edward III. 1320 Founded. The rise of the Great western schism, which destroyed the unity of the Latin church, and placed at its head two rival popes. John Wickliff opposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recommends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Robert III. I320 Robert III. I330 Robert III. I340 Robert III. I350	Kings of					Orange, Sienna,	
Edward I. 1507 Edward II. 1537 Edward III. 1537 Edward III. 1537 Edward III. 1577 Edward III. 1578 Edward III. 1579 Edward III. 1579 Edward III. 1599 Edward III. 1590 Edward III. 1597 Edward III. 1590 Edward II						founded.	
Edward II. 1327 Raynerius of Pisa. III.1377 Richard II. 1399 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Robert Bruce Bayler of Robert II. 1390 David II. 1390 Robert	Edwardl.			Thomas		Touridea.	of Padua
Raynerius of Pisa. III. 1837 Edward III. 1877 Richard III. 1899 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1890 RobertII. 1890 Robert III. 1890							
Edward III. 1877 Richard III. 1899 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Naples. Albert of Padua. Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. 1890 Rob							
Richard II. 1899 Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 RobertII. 1890 Robert III. 1890 Robert				of Pisa.			
Henry IV Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. 1890				John of		ed the unity	
Henry IV Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert III. 1890 Robert III.		-1					
Kings of Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1868 Albert, defeated by Mar-				ment VI.			
Scotland. John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 RobertIII. 1890 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1868 Albert, defeated by Mar- John of Naples. Albert of Padua. Michael Padua. Michael Cesenas. Gregory Palamas. Andronicus. Cus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Cajetan. Balde. George of Cardinal Cardin	Kings of						
John Baliol 1806 Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1868 Albert, defeated by Mar- Sware Sware Sware Cesens Gregory Palamas. Andronicus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of John Wickliff opposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recommends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Feter of Duisbourg. A warm contest arises among the Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostles. William Grisant, an English Mathematician. Homodei of Milan.						popes.	
Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert III. 1890 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1868 Albert, defeated by Mar- Robert Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. 1890 Robert III. Andronicus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. Cardinal Balde. Caeorge of Copposes the Monks, whose licentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recommends the study of the Holy Scriptures. Robert Bruce Ismael Abulfeda, an Arabian prince. Feter of Holy Scriptures. A warm contest arises among the Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostles. William Grisant, an English Mathematician. Homodei of Milan.	John Ba-					John Wickliff	1 .
Bruce 1829 David II. 1870 Robert II. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1863 Albert, defeated by Mar- Michael Cesenas. Gregory Palamas. Andronicus. Bilicentiousness and ignorance were scandalous and recommends the study of the Holy Scriptures. A warm Contest arises among the Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostless. William Grisant, an English Mathematician. Homodei of Milan.			4				
David II. 1370 Robert II. 1390 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1326 Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Cesenas. Gregory Palamas. Andronicus. Andronicus. Andronicus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. Cardinal Bald			12				
Robert II. 1370 Robert II. 1390 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1326 Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Robert III. Palamas. Andronicus. Andronicus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. Balde. Caeorge of Christ and his Apostles. Were scandalous and recommends the study of the Holy Scriptures. A warm contest arises among the Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostles. William Grisant, an English Mathematician. Homodei of Milan.				Cesenas.			
Robert II. 1390 Robert III. Kings of Sweden. Birger 1326 Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Robert III. Andronicus. Peter of Duisbourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Andronimends the study of the Holy Scriptures. A warm contest arises among the Francisans about the povent of Christ and his Apostles. William Grisant, an English Mathematician. Homodei of Milan.							
Robert III. Rings of Sweden. Birger 1326 Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Robert III. Cus. Peter of Duis- Bourg. Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. Cajetan. Cardinal Balde. C							
Holy Scriptures. Holy Scriptures. Holy Scriptures. Holy Scriptures. Ferrara. Arnold of Villence of Villence of Villence of Villence of Villence of Villence of Viterbo. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde.	30. 1				1		
Kings of Sweden. Birger 1826 Magnus 1863 Albert, defeated by Mar- Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. Caperan. Cardinal Balde. Cardinal Cardina			1			Holy Scrip-	
Kings of Sweden. Birger 1326 Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Ludolf Saxon. Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. Cardinal	141.						and have a m
Sweden. Birger Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. by Mar- Cayenan Cardinal Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. Cardinal Cardinal Cajetan. Cajetan. Cardinal Cajetan. Cajetan	~ 0 .		I	Ludolf		Awarm	
Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Cajetan. James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cajetan. Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostles. George of Candinal Balde. George of Cajetan. Francisans about the poverty of Christ and his Apostles. Homodei of Milan.	What a						William
Magnus 1363 Albert, defeated by Mar- Magnus James of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Christ and his Apostles. George of Christ and his Apostles. Homodei of Milan.							
Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. by Mar- George of Viterbo. Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. George of Cardinal Balde. George of			J				
feated Balde. Balde. George of Bridge of Milan.							themati-
by Mar- George of of Milan.					3	and his Apos-	
Dina			(1	les.	
Albergotti	garet	1		Rimini.			Albergotti

48

Sover- eign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of Canterbu-	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
		ry.	Writers.			
queen of			PopeBen-		Another be-	of Arez-
Den mark			edict II.		tween the	zo.
in 1387,			Gui of		Scotists and	
dies in			Perpig-		Thomists, a-	Philip of
the year			nan.		bout the doc-	Leyden.
1396			Nicholas		trines of their	Baldus de
Margaret.			Cabasil-		respective	Ubaldis.
77			as, Arch-		chiefs.	Froissard,
Kings of			bishop of Thessa-		Pope Clement	a French Histori-
Denmark. Eric VIII.			lonica.		V. orders the Jubilee, which	an.
1321			Richard,		Boniface had	41172
Christo-			bishopof		appointed to be	
pher II.			Ardmagh		held every hun-	
1333			Demetri-		dredth year, to	
Walde-			us Cyd-		be celebrated	
mar III.			onins.		twice in that	
1875			Petrarch.		space of time.	
Olaus			Peter · Bercho-		ML 17 14	
1387			rius.		The Knights	
Margaret.			John Cy-		Templars are seized and im-	
Kings of			parisso-		prisoned; the	
Poland.			tes.		greatest part of	
Winces-			Nicholas		them put to	
laus 1805			Oresme,		death, and	
Uladislaus		7	Philip Ri-		their Order	
re-as-			bot.		suppressed.	
cendsthe			Nilus		The rise of	
throne,			Rhodius. Marsilius		the Roman	
and dies			Pat.		empire in 1303.	
n 1333			Maximus		2000	
Casimir III. 1370,			Plan.		The Golden	
the last			Petrarch.		Bull, contain-	
of the			John Ta-		ing rules for	
Piasts.			ulerus.		the election of	
Lewis,			Greg. Pa-		an Emperor,	
king of			lamas.		and a precise account of the	
Hungary			Nic. Ey-		dignity and	
1381			John Rus-		privileges of	
Intornan		10	broch.		the electors is	
Interreg-		1	Manuel		issued out by	
pladislaus			Caleca.		Charles IV.	
Jagellon,			Catherine		Pope Clement	
duke of			of Sienna.		VI. adds the	
Lithua-			St. Brid-		county of Avig-	
nia.	}		get		non to the Pa-	
			Gerhard of Zut-		pal territories.	
Kings of			phen.		The Emperor	
Portugal.	}		Pierre		Henry VII. dies,	
Dennis 1325			Ailli.		and is supposed by some authors	
Alphonso					to have been	
IV. 1857			Francis		poisoned by a	
			Zabarella.		consecrated wa-	
Pedro the			Marsilius		fer, which he re-	
Justiciary			of Padua,		ceived at the sa-	
1367	1		iwho		crament from	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Interregnum. John I. Ottoman Emperors. The ancient history of the Turks extends from the heginning of the Seventh to the commencement of the Fourteenth century. The modern commences about the beginning of the Fourteenth century. Othman 1827 Or Khan 1359 Amurat, or Morad 1389 Bajazet.			wrote a- gainst the Papal Ju- risdiction. Philippe de Mazi- eres. Jordan of Quedlin- burg. Barth. Al- bici of Pisa, au- thor of the fa- mous book of the Con- formities of St. Francis with Jesus Christ. Fabri, Bishop of Chartres. Michael Anglianus Raymond Jordon. Jac. de Theramo Manuel Chrysolo ras Cardinal Francis. Zarabella with ma- ny other too nume rous to mention.		the hands of Bernard Politian, a Dominican Monk. This account is denied by authors of good credit. The matter, however, is still undecided. Gun-powder is invented by Schwartz, a Monk. The Mariner's Compass is in vented by John Goia, or as others allege, by Flavio. The city of Rhodes is taken from the Saracens, in the year 1300, by the Knights Hospitallers, or, as they are now called, Knights of Malta. Tamerlane extends his conquests in the East. The Bible is translated into French by the order of Charles V. The Festival of the Holy Lance and Nailithat pierced Jesus Christ instituted by Clement V. Such was this pontiff, arrogance, that once, while he was dining, he ordered Dandalus, the Venetia ambassador, to be chained under the table lik a dog. The beginnin of the Swiss Cantons	s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s
		1		1	Cantons.	

Sovereign Bis	hops ops	of tic	cal and	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events-Religious Rites.	Profane Authors.
					The Emperors, Lewis of Bavaria, Philip the Fair, king of France, Edward III king of England, who opposed the tyranny of the Popes, may be looked upon as witnesses to the truth and preparers of the Reformation. To these we may add Durand, Gerson, Olivus, who called the pope Antichrist, and Wickliff, who rejected Transubstantiation, the Sacrifice of the Mass, the Adoration of the Host, Purgatory, Meritorious Satisfactions by Penance, Aurientar Confession, the Celibacy of the Clergy's Papal Excommunications, the Worthip of images, he Virgin and Celics. The Order of the Garter is instituted in Ingland by Edward III.	
						ţ
Bandonian distinguis-s						

CENTURY XV.

Sover- eign Prin		ops of	tical and	real or re	Remarkable E- vents-Reli-	Profane
ces.	of Rome.	Canter- bury.	Theolog. Writers.	puted.	gious Rites.	Authors.
Emper-	Boniface	Thomas	John	The Wal	The Moors	Lauren-
ors of the		Arundel	Huss. Je-	dense-		tius Valla,
East. A. D		1413	rome of	The	converted in	the great
Manuel	VII. 1406	H. Chich-		Wick-	Spain, by force.	restorer
II. 1425	Gregory	ley 1443		lifites.	In the year	of Latin
7 1 7-1	XII. de-	John	Anglicus.		1492, Christo-	elocution.
John VI.	posed	Stafford	John Ger		pher Columbus	Leonard
Palæolo-		1452		Brethren.	opens a passage	Aretin.
gus 1448	er V.	John	Herman de Petra	ine Men		Gasparini.
Constan-	1410	Kemp 1453		of under- standing		William
tine Pa-	John	Thomas	de Niem	who were	ry of the islands of Hispaniola,	Lynwood Alexan-
læologus	X2.VEX1	Bour-	Arch-	headed	Cuba, and Ja-	der Char-
so far	deposed	chier		by Ægidi	maica.	tier Gob.
down as	1417	1486				Persona.
the year	Martin V	J. Morton	Tho. Val-	and Wil		Fr Frez-
1453,	143.	1500		liam of	Turks in the	zi. Chris-
when	Eugenin-		Pope	Hilder-	year 1458.	tine of
Constan-			Alexan-		Letters	Pisi.
tinople	A schism		der V.	Picard, an	flourish in Italy,	Paul de
was ta-	The		John Ca-	Adamite.	under the pro-	Castro.
ken by	council		Peter de			Poggio of
Iahomet II.			Anchara-		House of Me-	Florence.
11.	depose		no.		dici and the Neapolitan	John For-
3	Eugeni-		Nicholas	denomi-	monarchs of the	High
Emper-	us, and		de Clein-	nation of	House of Arra-	Chancel.
Vest.	1		ingis.	Reform	gon.	lor of
lobert	Amade us, first		Theod.	ers than	The calami-	England.
1410	Duke o		Urias.	Heretics,	ties of the	Theod.
	Savoy,		Alphons.	viz. John	Greeks, under	Gaza.
odocus, not ac-	who as-		Tostat.	Huss. Je-	the Turkish	Bart.
know-	sumes		John, Pa-	rome of	government,	Facio.
ledged	the title		triarch of	Frague.	conduce to the	Dluglos-
	of Felix		Antioch. Mark of	Branches	advancement of	sus, a Po-
ligis- mund	V.	I I	Ephesus.	of the	learning among	lish His-
1437	Eugenins	1	Cardinal	Hussites	the Latins. The council	R. Sanc.
	however	3	Bessarion		of Constance is	de Are-
lbert II.	triumplis	- 1	G. Scho-	lixtines.	assembled by	vallo.
of Aus-	in the is-		larius.	Orebites	the Emperor	Laon.
tria 1 \$59	Nicholas		G. Gemis-	Orphans.	Sigismond in	Calcondi-
rederic	37 1400		tius,	Tarbo-	the year 1414.	las. J. Sa-
III. 1493	Callistus		John de	rites.	John Huss	vonarola.
laximili-	III. 1 453		Turrecre-	Title .	and Jerome of	Marcilius
an I.	Pius II.		unata.	an Bretlı-	Prague are	Ficinus.
	1464		George of		committed to	John Pi-
lings of	Paul II.		Trape-			cus de
Spain,	1471		zondc.	Petit. John	a decree of that	Mirandu-
. c. of	Sixtus IV		John Ca-	Wellus.	Council.	la. Marc.
con &	1 18-1				The council of Basil is open-	Coc. Sa-
astile.	Innocent)	Lauren-	ma	ed in the year	bellicus.
lenry III	VIII.		tins Valla.		1481, and in it	Forrestus
1406	Alexand.		John of		the reforma-	Ant. Bon-
olm II.	or VI.		Segovin			sinius Jo
/1'			4		•	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bish- ops of Rome	Arehbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Hereties, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events—Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Henry IV.			Franc. de		church is at-	vian.
1474			la Place.		tempted in	Pontanus
Ferdinand			Reginald,		vain	Leonard
in right of			bishop of		Horrible en-	Justiniar
Isabella.			St. Asaph.		ormities com-	G. Gemis
			Antonin,		mitted by the	tus.
Kings of			Archbish-		popes of this	J Alvarot Guarino
France. Charles			Florence.		century, and more especially	de Ve-
VI.			Nicholas		by Alexander	ronc.
Charles			de Cusa,		VI.	J. Juv. de
VII. 1461			bishop of		The council	Ursins.
Lewis Xl.			Brixen,		of Constance	Maff. Ve
1483			and Car-		remove the	gio.
Charles			dinal.		Sacramental	Flavio Bi
VIII. 1498			Thomas a Kempis.		Cup from the laity, and de-	J. Argy-
Lewis XII.			Anton, de		clare it lawful	ropuleus
Kings of			Roselis.		to violate the	Dr. Thon
England.			Rickel.		most solemn	as Ly-
Henry IV.			Ducas.		engagements	naere.
1413			Bened. de		when made to	The Stron
Henry V.			Accoltis.		Heretics	Zi.
1420 Honny VI			Guill. de		The war of the Hussites in	Bon, Moi britius.
Henry VI.			Aoupe- lande.		Bobemia	P. Callin
ed in			JamesPa-		Institution of	Esperi-
1461			radise, an		the Order of	ente.
Edward			English		the Golden	Jul. Pom
IV. 1482			Carthu-		Fleece.	pom. La
Edward			sian.		The Moors	tus.
V. 1483			Æneas Sylvius		and Jews driven out of Spain.	Angel. Politian.
Richard III. 1485			Picolom,		The massacre	Fulgosi.
Henry			Pope		of Varnes, in	A. Üreeu
VII.			Pius II.		the year 1444.	Codrus.
			Lcon Jus-		The Order	Mich. Ma
Kings of			tinian.		of Minimes in-	rullus.
Scotland.			John Go-		stituted by Franc de Pauls	Oliver de la
Robert HL 1406			Alphonso		Exploits of	Marehe.
James I.			de Spina		the Maid of	Caiado.
1437			Greg. de	-	Orleans.	Abarbane
James II.			Heym-		The art of	Calepin.
1460			bourg.		printing, with	Bebcl.
James III.			Theod.		moveable	Martial d
1488			Lelio.		wooden types, is invented by	Paris. Phil. de
James IV.			Henry of Cocum.		Coster at Har-	Comine
Kings of			J. Ant.		lem; and the	Al. Aehil
Sweden			Campa-		farther im-	lini.
and Den-			nus		provements of	Scipio
mark.			Alex. de		this admirable	Carter-
Tanganot			lmola.		art arc owing to Gensfleich &	John Bar
Margaret 1412			Henry Harphius.		Guttemberg of	tiste
Eric IX.			J. Perez.		Mentz, and	Porto.
deposed			P. de Na-		Sehoeffer of	
in 1438			talibus.		Strasburg.	Aldus Ma
	1			1)	nutius

	. 72	* 4 *	~		_ , , , ,	
	Popes,	Arch-			Remarkable	
Sovereign	79	bishops	tical and	real or	Events-Religi-	
Princes.	ops of	of Can-	Theolog.	reputed.	ous Rites.	Authors.
	Rome.	terbury.	Writers.			
Christo-			B. Platina.		The Univer-	Cherefed-
pher. III.		1	P. Niger.		sities of Leip-	din Ali, a
1448			John de		sic, Louvain,	Persian
Charles			Wessalia		Frihourg, Ros-	Histori-
Canutson			77 0334114		tock, Basil,	an.
1471			Hermol.		Tubingen,	Arabs-
An Inter-			Barbarus.		Wurtzburg,	chah, an
regnum			Michael of		Turin, Ingold-	Arabian
until the			Milan.		stadt, St. An-	Histori-
year 1488			Stephen		drew's in Scot-	an.
John			Brulefer.		land, Poictiers,	J. White-
77:			Cardinal		Glasgow,	hamsted.
Kings of			Andr. du		Gripeswalde in	Ulugbeg,
Poland. Uladislaus.			St. Sixte.		Pomerania, Pi-	a Tartar
Jag. 1484	1		Savanaro-		sa, Bourdeaux, Triers, Toledo,	J. Bracel-
Uladislaus,			Marcilius		Upsal, Mentz,	li.
king of			Fecinus.		Copenhagen,	Palmieri.
Hungary			John Tri-		founded in this	Villon,
1444			theme.		century.	otherwise
An Inter-			John Pic.		The first	Corbueil.
regnum			of Miran-		book printed	Muller,
of three			dula.		with types of	surnamed
years.			Ant. de		metal; which	Regio-
Casimir			Lebrixa.		was the Vul-	monta-
IV. 1492 John Al-			Boussard.		gate Bible, pub-	nus.
bert.			J. Reuch- lin, other-		lished at Mentz in 1450; a	Calentius,
DCI C.			wise call-		second edition	Poet.
Kings of			ed Cap-	\$ *	of the same	Dom. Cal-
Portugal.	1		nio.		book was pub-	derini.
John I.			Jovianus	1	lished at Mentz	Barth.
1433			Pontanus.	1	in 1642, and	Fontius.
Edward			Nicholas		has been mis-	Enguerr.
1438			Simonis.		taken for the	de Mon-
Alphonso			Claude de		first.	strelet.
V. 1481 John II.			Seyssel.		The famous	Androni-
1495			Simeon of Thessalo.		Pragmatic	cus of Thessa-
Emman-			nica.		tablished in	lonica.
uel the			Gobelinus		France.	George of
Great.			Persona.		The univer-	Tapeson-
			Henry of	(sity of Caen in	de.
Ottoman			Hessia.		Normandy is	Fr. Philel-
Emperors.			George	-	founded by the	phi.
Bajazet			Phranza.		English in the	Alex.
taken pri-			Vincent		lyear 1437.	lmola.
Tamer-			Ferrieres.		Mh - Doute	J. Ant.
lane in			Julianus Cæsari-		The Portu-	Campani Nich. Pe-
1402			nus		guese sail, for the first tme,	rotti.
Solyman			Nich. Tu-		to the East In-	Th. Little-
1410			deschus		dies, under	ton.
Mousa			or Panor-		Vasquez de	Ant. of
1415	3		mus.		Gama.	Palermo.
	1		1		l .	

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome	Archbish ops of Canter-	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog Writers	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable E- vents—Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
Mahomet I. 1421 Amurat II. I451 Mahomet II. who takes Constantinoplein 1453 and dies in 1481 Bajazet II. Czars or Emperors of Russia. There reigns in the Chro- nology of these princes an uncom- mon de- gree of confusion, suitable to the bar- barism of that na tion. In the year 1732, they began to publish at Peters- burg a series of theirsove-			Raymond Sabund. Catherin of Bologn. Gregorius Melissen. Marcus Eugenius. Laurent. Justioian. Sylvester Syropul. Ambrose, General of the Camaldules. George Codinus. Onurph Panvinius Gabriel Biel. John Nauclerus. John Nieder.		Maximilian divides the empire into six circles.	A. Barbatius. Christ. Persona Bern. Justiniani. Dieb. Schilling Ralph Agricok J. Andræs Ermol. Barbaro Alex. ab. Alexandro. G. Merula M. M. Boiardo. A. Manci nelli Rob. Gaguin. Bern Corio. Gabr. Altilius. Gul. Caoursin. J. Nanni. Al. Ranuccini. P. Crinitus Molines. Cettes. John Mur-
reigns, be- ginning with Duke Ruricke, who is supposed to have reigned in the ninth century. From that time down- wards, all is dark- ness and perplexi- ty, until we come to the						mellius. Mark Musurus. Jason Mainus Pandolph Collenucio. R. Langius. John Collet. Pietro Cosimo. Abraham Zachut.

Sover- eign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Conton	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remarkable Events,—Re- ligious Rites.	Profane Authors.
John Basilowitz I. who, in the fifteenth century, shook off the yoke of the Tartars, and assumed first, the title of Czar, after having conquered the kingdom of Casan. We therefore begin with this Prince, and shall follow the Chronology observed by the authors of the Modern Universal History, in their History of Russia. The Reader may, however, consult the Tablettes Chronologiques de l'Histoire Universelle of Leng-	Bishops	Canter-	Theolog.	real or re-	L'vents,—Ite-	
Prince in the six- teenth century. John Basilowitz.				distance of the second		

CENTURY XVI.

	Sover-	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesias-	Hereties.	Remarkable E-1	
	eign	Bishops	ops of	tical and		vents-Reli-	Profane
	Princes.	of Rome.	Canter-	Theolog.	reputed.	gious Rites.	Authors
		or goods.		Writers.	reputeu.	gious reites.	Authors
			bury.	Willers.			
	Empor	Alexanden	0.1	Talos Chai		TTI TO 0	
	Emper-	Alexander		John Slei-	Schwene-	The Refor-	British
	ors	VI. 1503	2 0000	dan	kfeldt.	mation is intro-	Authors.
	THE REST. A. A.	Pius III.		William	Andr. Osi-	duced into	Sir Thos.
	Maximi-	1508	W. War-	Budæus.	ander.	Germany by	More.
	lian I.	Julius II.		Desiderius	Stancarus.	Luther, in the	Thomas
	1519	1518	Thomas	Erasmus	Adiapho-	year 1517; into	
	Charles	Leo X.	Cranmar		rists.	France by Cal-	
	V. abdi-	1521		Luther.	Interim-		
			Reginald	Ph. Me-		vin about 1529;	Clias.
	empire in	1523		lanethon.	ists.	into Switzer-	Thomas
		Clement			Agricola	land by Zuingle,	
	and dies	VII.1534	Matthew	JohnBren-			Hect. Bo-
			Parker	tius.	the chief	Henry VIII.	etius.
		Paul III.		Martin	of the An	of England,	J.Leland,
	Ferdi-	1549	Edmund	Bucer.	tinomi-	throws off the	the An-
	nand	Julius III.	Grindall	Ulrie. Zu-	ans.	Papal yoke,	tiquary.
	1564	1555	1583	ingle.	George	and becomes	Ed. Wot-
	Maximi-	Marcellus	John	Peter Ga-	Major.	supreme head	ton.
	lian II	H. 1555	Whitgift.	latin	N. Ams-	of the church.	J. Chris-
	1576	Paul IV.		Fr. Xime-	dorff.	Edward VI.	
	Rodol-	1559		nes.	COLINA		tophor-
	phus.	Pius IV.		Thomas	Syner-	encourages the	son.
	171145.	1566		More.	gists.	Reformation in	Cuth.
	Kings of	Pius V.		John	M.Flacius.	England, and	Tonstal.
1	Spain.	1572			Crypto-	invites Martin	R. As-
	Ferdi-			Whitgift,	Calvinists.	Luther and	cham.
	nand V.	Gregory		Archbish-	Anabap-	other eminent	J. Kaye.
	surna-	XIII.1585		op of	tists.	divines over,	Thomas
		Sixtus V.		Canter-	Mennon-	to finish that	Smith.
	ined the	1590		bury.	ites.	glorious work.	George
	Catholic,	Urban VII.		John	Theoph.	The reign of	Bucha-
	king of	1590			Paracel-	Queen Mary,	nan.
	Arragon,			Fisher.	sus,		Alex. Ar-
	in conse-	XIV. 1591		John	Postellus.	restores pope-	
	quence	Innocent		Oecolam-		ry, and exhibits	
	of his	IX. 1590		padius.	David	a scene of bar-	Sir Phil.
	marriage	Clement		And Ca-	Georgius.	(",	Sidney.
	with Isa-	VIII.	,	rolostadt	Franc.Pu-		John Fox.
	bella, be-			John Tili-	cius.	shocks nature.	Fr. Wal-
	comes			gius.	Desid.	The name	singham.
	king of			James Fa-	Erasmus.	of Protestants	Ed. Grant
	Castile;			ber.	Agrippa.	given to the Re-	Ed. An-
	and the			Matthew	Cassander	formed at the	derson.
	king-			Flacius.	and Wi-	diet of Spire, in	John Dee.
				John Cal-	celius.	1529.	Thomas
	donis of			vin.	Conr.Vor-		Craig.
	Arragon			Martin	stius	of Smaleald is	G.Creigh-
	and Cas-			Chem-	Sam. Hu-	formed in 1530.	ton.
	tile re-				berus.	The Refor-	Ed.Brere-
	inu aip uni-			nitz.			wood.
	ted.			James	Mich. Ser-	mation intro-	
	Isabella		P.	Andreas.	vetus.	duced into Scot-	French
	disposses-			David	Valent.	land by John	Authors.
	sed 1504			Chytræus.	Gentilis.	Knox, bout the	William
	Ferdinand			William	Lælius So-	year 1560; and	Rude
	1516	(Farel.	cinus.	into Ireland by	Clement
	1						

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bish- ops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.		Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
Philip I. of			Theodore	Faustus	George Brown,	
Austria.			Beza.	Soci-	about the same	
1506			Faustus Socinus.	Quintin,	time; Into the Uni-	lais. Ja Dubois
Jane 1516			Ben. Arias	the	ted Provinces,	(Sylvius.)
C1 1 .			Monta-	chief	about the year	Pierre Gil-
Charles I. or V. 1558			nus And. Osi-	of the Liber-	1566. Gustavus	les. Or. Finee.
01 4.1336			ander.	tines.	Ericson intro-	Robert
Philip II.			Ægid.		duces the Re-	Stephens.
1598			Hunnius.		formation into	P. Belon.
Philip III.			Melchior Canus.		Sweden, by the ministry of	Morel.
T Himp III.			Polyc.		Olaus Petri, in	Adr. Tur-
N. B.			Lyserus.		1530.	nebus.
Philip II. seizes up-			George Wicellus,		It was received in Denmark,	
on Portu-			George		in 1521.	Gilb. Cou-
gal, which			Cassan-		The Gospel	sin.
remains in			der.		is propagated	Mich. de
the pos-			Cardinal bellar-		by the papal missionaries in	l'Hopital. L. Le Roy
the Kings	•		min.		India, Japan,	(Regius.)
of Spain			Stella.		and China.	Hub. Lan-
until the year 1640			Crantxius Thomas		The order of the Jesuits is	guet, au-
			Illyricus.		founded by	the Vin-
Kings of			Jacob Ben-		Ignatius Loyo-	
France. Lewis XII.			who gave		la, in the year	contra Tyrannos.
1515			an edition		The famous	Laur. Jou-
Francis I.			of the		council of	bert.
1547			Hebrew Bible.		Trent is assem-	James Pe- letier.
Henry II.			Sanderus.		bled. The Prag-	Fr. Belle-
Francis II.			Isid. Cla-		matic Sanction	forest.
1560			rius.		is abrogated by	
Charles 1X 1574			John Ma-		Leo X. and the Concordate	Muret. P. Ron-
Henry III.			Andrew		substituted into	
1589			Vega.		its place.	J. Dorat.
Henry IV.			Franc. Va-		Pope Julius III. bestows the	James Cu-
Kings of			Cardinal		Cardinal's hat	Fr. Hot-
England			Sadolet.		upon the keep-	man.
Henry VII. 1509			Cardinal Cortesius.		er of his mon- kevs.	James Amyot.
Henry			John		The inquisi-	Mich. de
VIII. 1547			Cochlæus.		tion is establish-	Mon-
Edward VI. 1553			Alphons. Zamora.		ed at Rome by Paul IV.	Mich. de
Mary 1558			Vivaldus.		The war of	Castelnau.
Elizabeth.			J. Almain.		the Peasants.	P. Pithou.
Vings of			Spagnoli.		The Univer- sities of Wit-	J. Bodin.
Kings of Scotland		40	Aug. Da-		temberg,	Nic. Vig-
James IV.	1 -		Pope Ad-		Francfort on	Bl. de Vi-
1515			rian VI.		Oder, Alcala,	genere.
James V.			Petro do Monte.		Saragossa, Mar- purg. Seville,	Henry Ste-
			4,2011,00		II	7

Sover-	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesias-	Heretics.	Remarkable E-	1
eign Prîn-	Bishops	ops of	tical and	real or	vents-Religious	Profane
ces.	of Rome.	Canter	Theolog.	reputed.	Rites.	Authors.
da e e e e e e		bury.	Writers.		B	
Mary be-			Pope Leo		Compostella,	J. de Ser-
headed in	I		X.		Oviedo, Gre-	res (Ser-
1587	1		Alb. Pig-		nade, Francker,	ranus.)
James VI.			hius.		Strasbourg,	Cl. Fau-
	\		Henry		Parma, Mace-	chet.
Kingsof		}	VIII.	-	rata, Tortosa,	J. Passe-
Sweden and Den-			king of		Coimbre, Co-	rat.
mark.	i		England. Lewis		nigsberg, Ley-	J. J. Bois-
John 1513			Vives.		den, Florence, Rheims, Dillin-	sard. P. Daniel
Christiern	1		S. Pigni-		gen, Mexico, St.	
II. depo-			nus.		Domingo, Tara	
scd in			Leon de		gona, Helmstadt,	
1522	1		Castro.		Altorf, Pader-	Cardinal
Gustavus		{	Matth.		born, Sigen,	d'Ossat.
Ericson 1560			Ugonius.		founded in this	Rob. Con-
N. B.			Cardinal Cajetan.		century.	stantin.
Sweden is			James		The treaty of Passau, in 1552.	
separated			Hoog-		The Paris	Scaliger.
from Den-			straat.		massacre of the	
mark un-			Ambr.		Protestants on	pin.
der this			Cathari-		St. Bartholo-	J. Papire-
Prince.			ni.		mew's day.	Masson.
Eric de-			John Fa-		The republic	P.B.Bran.
posed in 1568			ber. Ortuin		of the United	tomc.
John III.		ŀ	Gratius.		Provinces form- cd by the Union	
1592			John Ec-		of Utrecht.	quier.
Sigis-			kius.		The edict of	Italian
mond,			Leander		Nantz granted	Authors
king of			Alberti.	j	to the Protest-	Americ
Poland,			Nic. Ser-		ants by Henry	Vespu-
deposed in 1599			Pet. Cani-		IV. of France.	J. Jocondi
Charles			sius.			of Vero-
IX.			Cæsar Ba-			na, who
			ronius.		_	discover-
Kings of			Fran. Ri-			ed the
Denmark.			beria.			Letters
Christiern			Pierre Pi-			of Pliny.
Il.depos- ed in			thou. Mich.			Laonicini,
1522			Bajus.			lator of
Fredcrick			W. Alan,			Galen.
l., 1533			English			Pompo-
Christiern			Cardinal.	1		nace.
III. 1559			Mercator			M. A. Ca-
Frederic			Nic.	1		sanova. P. Gravi-
II. 1588 Christiern			Harps- field.			na.
IV.			Leuncla-			Sannaza-
4			vius.			rius.
Kings of			Molina.		= 1	Machia-
Poland.			Salmeron.			vel.
John Al-			Maldonat.	i	,	Y7' 3
bert1501			J. Natalis.			Vida.
Alexander 1506			J. P. Maf-			J. A. Las-
Sigismund			fei. Cardinal	1		caris.
F. 1548			Hosius.			V-CG 3178
			7			

Sovereign Princes	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Profane Authors.
Sigis- mund II 1572 Henry of Anjou, until the year 1574 Stephen Batori, 1587 Sigis- mond, king of Sweden. Kings of Portugal. Emanuel the Great 1521 John III. 1557 Sebastian 1578 Henry Card. 1580 Portugal is reduced under the dominion of Spain by Philip II. Ottoman Emperor- BajazetII 1512 Selim I. 1520 Solyman II. 1566 Selim II 1574 Amurat III. 1595 Mahomet III. Czars of Muscovy.		bury.	Jasenius. John Tillet James Na clantus De Vargas. Cardinal Seripand And. Masius. PopePaul V. Widman stadt. Gassander Stapleton Merce rus F. Xavier. Ign Loyonla. Bishop Gardinet Jer. Oleaster, with many others too nume rous to mention N. B listermark able that, among the Eccle siastical Writers of this century, there are above 55 who employee their labours in the exposition and illustration of the Holy Scriptures; and		Alcyonius, translator of Aristotle. Ariosto Burn. Maffei. Fr. Guicciardini. Cardinal Bembo. Cardinal Sadolet. And Alciat. M. A. Flaminio d'Imola. Lillius Giraldus. J. Fracastor. Polydor Virgil. M. A. Majoragio P. Aretin. J. de la Casa. L. Alamanni. N. Tartaglia. Palingenius. Jul. Cæsar Scaliger. Zanchius. Gab Faerno. Gab Fallopius. J. Acronius. Louis Cornaro. Robert-llo. Palearius. Onuph Panvini Argentieri. J. Bar. de Vignole. Paul Manutius. Jerome Cardan. A. Palladio. C. Sigonius. P. Victorius. Oct. Ferrari. James Zabarella. L. Guicciardini. A. de Constanzo. Torq. Tasso. Fr. Patrizi
John Ba- silowitz 1505			this hap l py cir cumstance		(Patritius.) Ant. Riccoboni.

Sover-	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesias.	Heretics,		
eign Princes.	Bishops of Rome.	ops of Canter- bury.	Theolog Writers.	real or re-	vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
Basilius Swano- witz, who re- ceives from Maximi- lian I the title of Emper- or 1533 John Ba- silowitz II. 1584 Theodore Iwano- witz 1597 Boris Ga- denow. Stadthol- ders of the Uni- ted Pro- vinces. William I. the glori ous foun- der of their Liberty 1584 Maurice.			contributed, no doubt, to prepare the minds of many for the Reformation, and thus rendered its progress more rapid			G. Panciroli. And. Cesalpin. Natalis Comes. Aldovrandi. Gratiani. B. Guarini. Swiss Authors. Aur. Ph. Paracelsus. Theod. Bibliander. Theod. Zwinger. Isaac Casaubon. German and Dutch Authors J. Reuchlin. P. Mosellan. M. Aurogallus, who assisted Luther in the translation of the Bible. H. C. Agrippa. D. Erasmus of Rotterdam. Lucinius. Simon Grynæus. Adr. Barland of Zealand. Nic Copernicus, a Prussian. J. Secundus of the Hague. J. Olaus Magnus. Peutinger. Paul Fagius. Sebastian Munster. G. Agricola. John Sleidan. Gasp. Bruschius. P. Lotichius. Conrad Gesner. G. Fabricius: A. Masius. Joach. Camerarius.
					1	

		Viglius of Zuichem. Hubert Goltzius John Sturmius. J. Sambuc. A. G. Busbeq. J. Leunclavius. G. Mercator Læv. Torrentius. Rapheliogius. Ortelius. Tycho Brahe, a Dane. Heurnius of Utrecht. Nicholas Cragius of Copenhagen. Justus Lipsius, Paul Merula of Leyden. A. Gorlæus. Schonæus. Em. Van Meteren. Dom. Baudius.

CENTURY XVII.

	Popes or	Archbish	Ecclesi-	Heretics,	Remarkable E-	
Sovereign		ops of			vents-Religious	Profane
Princes.	of Rome.	Canter-	Thedlog.	puted.	Rites.	Authors.
11110001		bury.	Writers.			
		- di J				
Emper-	Clement	Dr J.	Protes-	The doc-	The congre-	No cen-
ors A.D.	VIII.	Whitgift	tant Wri	trine of	gation De prop-	tury has
Rodol-	1605	160	ters.	the Jesu-	aganda, &c.	heen so
phus II.	Leo XI	D . R.		ists, con-	founded at	fertile in
1612	1605	Bancroft		cerning	Rome in 1622,	authors as
Matthias	Paul V.		John	philoso-	by Pope Grego-	this before
1619	1621	Dr. Geor.	Lightfoot	phical sm,		us. Thei
Ferdi-	Gregory	Abbot	Matthew	condemn-	Christianity	number a-
nand 11.	XV. 1623	1653		ed by	is propagated	mounts to
1637	Urban	Dr. W.	Bishop	Pope A-	in the kingdoms	
Leopold	VIII.	Laud	Pearson.	lexander	of Siam, Tong-	We shall
I.	1644		Bishop	VIII. in	king, and Ko-	confine
~~	Innocent	Dr W	Fell.	1690.	chinchina, by the	
Kings of	X 1655	Juxton	Gataker.	The pro	Jesuit mission-	to those
Spain.	Alexan-		Bishop	babilists	aries.	who were
Philip III.	der VII.	Dr. Gil.	Ward.	(so the	The thirty	most emi-
1621	1667	Sheldon	Owen.	Jesuits	years war	nent in
Philip	Clement	1677	Edward	were call-	Y	each coun
IV. 1665		Dr. W.	Pocock.	ed from	was concluded	try.
(Portugal	Clement		Dr. Good-	their odi	by the peace of	In Great
throws off	X. 1676	1693		ous doc	Westphalia.	Britain &
the Span	nuocent	Dr. John	Dr. Man-	trine of	The moors	Ireland.
ish yoke.	XI. 1689	Tillotson	ton.	Probabili-	are driven out	John Har-
and re-	Alexan-	1694	Richard	ty) con-	of Spain.	rington.
coversits	der VIII	Dr. Tho-	Baxter.	demned	The Protest-	James
indepen-	1691	mas Ten-	Dr Cala-	by the	aots are perse-	Harring-
dency, in	Innocent	nison.	my.	Sorbonne	cuted in France	ton.
the year	XII. 1700		Howe.	The	The Gunpow-	J Pitt.
1640.)			Bates.	Francis-	der Treason	R. Stani-
Charles			Bishop	cans are	discovered in	hurst.
H. 1700			Bull.	judged	England.	Sir Henry
			Grew.	Heretics	A rupture be-	Saville.
Kings of			Bishop	on ac~	tween Pope	Thomas
France.			Burnet.	count of	Paul V. and the	Hariot,
Henry			J. Forbes.	their doc-	Venetians.	the in-
IV. 1610			J. Baxter.	trine con	The royal	ventor of
Lewis			John Til-	cerning	Society is	Algebra.
XIII.			lotson,	the Im-	founded in the	W. Cam-
1643			Archb. of		year 1662.	den.
Lewis			Canter-	Concep-	A jubilee is	Nicholas
XIV.			bury.		celebrated by	Fuller.
			Dr. Sher-			Benjamin
Kings of			lock.	Mary.	VIII. in the	Johnson,
Eugland.			Archbish-	Janseni-	year 1600.	CI 1
Elizabeth			op Wake	us, Que-	In 1605, Mau	Shake-
1603			Chilling-	nel, and	rice Landgrave	spear.
James I.			worth.	Arnauld,	of Hesse Cassel,	II
VI. of			Henry	as also	introduces the	Henry
Scotland,			Ham-	Fenelon,	Reformed reli-	Wotton.
1625			mond.	Molinus,	gion into Mar-	The succession
Charles I.			(T)	and the	purg.	Thomas
			Thomas	Pietists	Paul V. ex-	Lydiat.
beheaded in the			Hyde.	are con-	communicates	Joseph Hall coll
year 1649			William	demned	the Venetians,	Hall, call
Logi Lista			Cave.	re Prance.	whose cause is	ed the

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bish- ops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events, &c.	Profane Authors.
Cromwell usurps			Brian Walton.	Armini- us, and his	defended by Fra. Paolo.	English Seneca.
the gov-			Drusius.	followers	In the year	Lord
ernment,			Hospinian	the Uni-	1606, Rudolph	Herbert
under	1 0		Trigland.	versalists,	IL allows the	of Cher-
the title			Ittigius.	Bekker,	Hungarians the	
of Lord			Fr. Span-	the Carte-	free exercise of	COL "
Protec-			heim.	sian Di-	the Protestant	Gataker.
tor, and	_		Dr. Cud-	vines L'	religion, that	W. Ha-
dics in			worth.	Abadie,	has been for-	bington.
1658			Ed. Stil-	Bourig-	merly granted	Archbish-
Charles II.			lingfleet.	non,	by Ferdinand I.	op Usher.
1684.			H Pri-	Poiret	and abulished	V. Har-
James II.			tleaux.	Lechoff,	by his succes-	vey, who
abandons			J. Locke.	Deurhoff,	sors.	first dis-
his king-			W. Lloyd	and Claude	In the year	covered
dom in			Bishop of	Parnn, are	1608, the So-	the cir-
the year			Worces-	regarded	cinians publish-	culation
1688, and dies in			ter.	as Here-	ed their Cate- chism at Cra-	of the blood.
1701			J. Milton. St. Nye.	Reformed	cow.	Sir Ken-
William			Claude.	churches	The Silesians.	Digby.
III. and			Daille.	in France	Moravians, and	Sir James
Mary			Amyraut.	and Hol-	Bnhemians, are	Ware.
1694	1		Basnage,	land.	allowed by Ru-	John Mil-
			Samuel,	The Inde-	dolph II. the	ton.
Kings of		j	& James	pendents,	free exercise of	
Scotland.			Jurieu.	Antinomi-	their religion,	Cowley.
James VI.			Benoit.	ans, Ran-	in the year	J. Ogilby.
1625			Turretin.	ters, and	1609.	Lord
This	1	1	Elias Sau-	Quakers;	The Protes-	Chancel-
Prince &	1		rin.	and among	tants form a	lor Cla-
bis succes-		ĺ	Mnrus.	the latter,	confederacy at	rendon.
sors were		i	Le Cene.	Fox, Bar-	Heilborn, in	Matthew
kings both			Mester-	clay, Keith,	the year 1610;	Hales.
of England and Scot-			zat.	and Penn,	and the Ro- man Catholic	Fr. Glis-
land so far			Le Blanc.	are looked	form a league	Thomas
down as			Arminius. Grntius.	upon in the same light.	at Wartzburg	Stanley.
the year		-	Episco-	Add to	in opposition	Joseph
1707, when			pius.	these En-	to it.	Glanvil.
these			Curcel-	thusiasts,	The Bohe-	
kingdoms			læus.	and Fana-	mians choose	Samuel
were uni-	1		Limborch.	tics of va-	Frederic V.	Butler.
ted into			Sleidan.	rious	Elector Pala-	
one mon-			Cocceius.	kinds, such	tinc, for their	Alger-
archy.	1		Voetius.	as Jacob	king, in order	noon
V:			Gnmar.	Behmen,	to maintain	Sidney.
Kings of			Lud. Ca-	Valentine	them in the	Tale Cal
Sweden. Charles			pell.	Weigelius	free exercise of	
IX. 1611			S. Bn-	Nic. Dra-	the Protestant	lins, Ma-
LUII			chart.	bicius,	religion—but Frederic is	thema- tician.
Gustavus			Gerhar-	Seidel. Stifelius,	conquered, and	ticiali.
Adolphus			Hoe.	and the	they are forced	Robert
1632			Calixtus,	Rosecru-	to embrace po-	Morison.
			G. and	sians.	pery.	
Christina			Fred.			William
abdicates			Hulseman.			Dugdale.
the crown!						

390		CHRONO	LUGICAL	THUME.		~E.VI. ~X * 44
		Arehbish-	Ecclesias	Heretics,	Remarkable E.	D. C
Sovereign	Bishops	ops of	tical and	real or re-	ventsReligious	
Princes.	of Rome.	Canterbu-	Theolog.	puted.	Rites.	Authors.
		ry.	Writers.			
					T coor 41	Dalah
in 1654		}	Heilbron-		In 1625, the	Ralph
and dies			ner.		princes of Low-	Cud- worth.
in 1689			Haffenref-		er Saxony enter	J. Rush-
Charles			fer.		into a league	worth.
Gustavus.			Thummi-		with Christian IV. of Den-	Robert
Charles			us.			Boyle.
Charles XI. 1697			The Osi-		mark, which concludes by	John
Charles			anders. Musæus.		the peace of	Locke.
XII.			-Hutter.	1	Lubee.	W. Moly
			Hunnius,		Ferdinand II.	neux.
Kings of			Guy, and		publishes, in	Sir W.
Denmark-			Nieh.		1629, an edict,	Temple.
Christiern			The Ment	-	ordering the	Sir Paul
IV. 1643			zers.		Protestants to	Ricaut.
Frederic			-Oleari-		surrender and	H. Hody
III. 1670			us's.		restore all the	Bishop
Christiern			Fred.		ccclesiastical	Beverege
V. 1699			Baldwin		domains and	SirSamue Garth.
Frederic			Alb.		possessions of	Thomas
IV.			Grawer		which they	Gale.
T72			The Carp		were become	John Phi
Kings of			zovius's.	1	masters after the pacification	ips.
Poland.	1		J. and	21	of Passau. This	
Sigismono 111. 1639			Paul.		edict is dis-	Spratt.
Uladislau			John As-		obeyed.	Thomas
Sig. 164			selman.		Gustavus A-	Demps-
John Casi			Eilhart		dolphus enters	ter.
mir 166	1		Luber.		into Germany.	John Fle
Michael	r.		The Ly-		The peace of	cher.
167	1		sers.		Munster and	P. Mas-
John Sob	i.		Michael		Osnabrug con-	singer.
eski 169	7		Walter.		cluded, by	Ed. Gur
Frederic			Joach.Hi	_ 1	which the three	ther. Francis
Augustus	5,		debrand	1.	Religions are	Bacon,
Elector			J. Val.		tolerated in the	Lord V
of Sax-			Andrea		The synod o	
ony.			Solomot		Dort assembled	True
Kings of			Ab Cale		in the year	Ridley
Portugal			vius.		1618, and sits	John
John,			Theod.		from the 1st of	Speed.
Duke o	6		Hach-		November till	John.
Bragan	1		span.		the 26th of	Donne
za, cho			J. Hulse	-	April.	_ ~
en king			man.		Henry IV. of	Fr. Goo
in 164	[0]		Jacob		France is assas	win, th
dies in			Weller		sinated by Ra-	Annali
165	56		J. Conr.		villac.	Edmond
Alphons			Danhay		This event	Edward Coke.
VI. d	. 1		J. G. Do	r-	exposes the	Coke.
throne	1		scaus.		Protestants to	Thomas
in 16			John Arndt		new persecu-	Ran-
Pedro	H		Martin		The edict of	
Ottones	n	Pa	Geyer.		Nantz is perfi-	
Ottoma	,	1	John A		diously revoke	
Empe-		1	Schert		by Lewis XIV.	
Mahom	ct	9	zer.		100	
III. 16	04	1	4	1	7	!
311. 10						

CENT. AVI	l.	CIIII	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10 111040		931
	Danca on	Archbish	1 Ecclesias	Heretics.	Remarkable E-	
Campanian	Popes or	ops of	tical and	real or re-	ventsReligious	Profane
Sovereign	Bishops	Canter	Theolog.	puted.	Rites.	Authors.
Princes.	of Rome.	bury.	Writers.			
						~
Achmet 1.			Balthasar		and the Pro-	John Na-
1617			and John		testants treated	pier, in-
Mustapha			Meisner.		with the utmost	ventor of
1617			Aug.		barbarity.	Loga-
Osman			Pfeiffer.		A contest be-	rithms.
1622			Muller, H.		tween Lewis	G. Keat-
Mustapha			and J.		XIV. and Pope	ing.
restored			Just. Chr.		Innocent XI.	John
1623			Schomer.		concerning the	Greaves. Edward
Amurat		-	Sebast.		collation of	Simson.
IV. 1684			Schmidt.		benefices, and	John Sel-
Ibrahim			Christ.		the privileges and pretensions	den.
1685			Horsholt. Ph. Jac.		of the crown	William
Mahomet			_		during their	Burton.
IV. 1687			Spener. G. Th.		vacancy.	Richard
Solyman			Mayer.		The French	Zouch.
III. 1691			Frid.		clergy, in a	W. Ough-
Achmet			Bechman.		general assem-	tred.
II. 1695			From		bly at St. Ger-	B. Walton.
Mustapha II.			Gerhard		main, declare	P. Heylin.
11.			to Frid.		the pope's pre-	James
Czars of			Bechman		tensions to tem-	Howel.
Moscovy.			inclusive-		poralities null	Sir John
BorisGade	1		ly, all Lu-		and void; place	Denham.
1605	1		therans		the authority of	Sir John
Theodore					a general coun-	Marsham.
Borisso-			Roman		cil above that	Bishop
witz 1605			Catholic		of the Pope;	Wilkins.
The false			Authors.		and maintain	James
Demetri-			Baronius.		that the de-	Gregory. Thomas
us 1606			Bellarmin.		cisions of the	Willis.
Basilius			Serrarius.		Pope are not infallible, un-	Bulst.
Zuski			Fevarden- tius.		less when at-	White-
1610			Possevin.		tended with	lock.
II. Deme-	1		Gretser.		the consent of	John
trius 1610			Combesis.	1	the church.	Price.
trius 1610			Nat. Alex-		The Irish	Isaac Bar-
Uladislaus			ander.		massacre in	row.
ofPolano			Becan.		1641, in which	Thomas
1613			Sirmond.		above 40,000,	Hobbes.
IV. Deme			Petau.		some say,	Thomas
trius 1613			Poussines.		150,000, Pro-	Brown.
Michael		1	Cellot.		testants are	Thomas
Theodo	-		Caussim.		murdered.	Marshal.
rowitz			Morin.		Charles I.	Edmund
164	5		Renaud.		king of Eng-	Castel.
			Fra. Pao-		land, beheaded	Thomas
Alexis Mi	-	1	lo.		in the year	Otway.
chaelo-			Pallavi-		1649. A sort of	Ed. Wal-
witz 167			cini.		commonwealth	ler.
Theodore	9		Labbe.		introduced by	lei.
Alexo-	2		Maim-		Cromwell, un-	Dr.Syden-
witz 168					der which	ham.
John Pe			Thomas-	1	episcopacy suf-	Anthony
ter I.		1	sin.		fers, and the	Wood.
Alexio-	A		Sfondrat.	1	Presbyte rians,	Ed. Ber-
2210-2(1)			- Continue		,	-

JUM.		011101		- IMPELL	,	/E.N & 1 2 X Y & E
	Popes or	Archbish-		Heretics,	Remarkable E-	
Sovereign	Bishops of	ops of	astical &	real or re-	vents-Religious	Profane
Princes.	Rome.	Canter-	Theolog.	puted.	Rites,	Authors.
•		bury.	Writers.	,		
-'4 *000			A		-1 -1	
witz 1688			Aguirre.		or rather the	nard, pro-
Stadthol-			Henry		Independents,	fessor of
ders of			Noris. D' Ache-		flourish. Charles II.	Astrono-
the Uni-			ry.		re-tored, and	my. Bishop
ted			Mabillon.		with him epis-	Stilling-
Provinc-			Hardouin-		copacy re-estab	fleet.
es.			Simon.		lished.	William
Maurice		730	Ruinart.		The glorious	Sommer.
1625			Montfau.		Revolution	John Dry-
Frederic			con.	′	renders memo-	den
Henry			Galloni.		rable the year	John Wal-
William			Schacchi.		1688.	lis.
II. 1650			Cornelius a Lapide.		The Protest-	John Ray.
The dig-			Bonfrere.		ants are op-	D. Grego-
nity of			Menard.		pressed and persecuted in	M. Lister.
Stadthol-			Segenot.		many places.	Henry
der re-			Bernard.		Several false	Dodwell
mains			Lamy.		Messiahs dis-	N. Grew.
vacant			Bollandus		covered, parti-	Sir H.
during			Hens-		cularly Sabbati	Spelman,
the space			chen.		Levi, who, to	Enunch
years.			Pape- broch-		avoid death, cmbraces Ma-	French Authors.
3 caro.			Perron.		hometanism.	J. Aug. De
			Estius.		The Univer-	Thou.
			Launoy.		si ies of Lun-	Pincau.
			Tillemont		den, in Sweden,	Gillot.
-			Godeau.		Giessen, Pam-	Mornac.
	}		Albaspi-		pelune, Saltz-	P. Mat-
			næus.		bourg, Derpt in	Du Vair.
			Richtieu. Holste-		Livonia, U- trecht, Abo,	Fr. Pithou
			nius.		Duisburgh, Kiel	J. Barclai.
			Baluzius.		in Holstein,	Savaron.
			Bona.		Inspruck, Bo-	Pr. Jean-
			Huet.		logn Hall. The	nin.
			Bossuet.		Academies of	Godefroi.
			Fencion.		inscriptions,	Bergier.
			Thiers.		&c. of Sciences,	Le Mer- cier.
			Du Pin. Leo Alla-		founded at Paris.	Boulan-
			tius.		A (1115)	ger.
			Zaccagni.			Goulart.
			Cotelier.			Malherbe.
			Filesac.			Marillac.
			Visconti.			N. and C.
			Molina.			Le Bois.
			Arriaga.			J. B. Le
			Rigault.			Mene-
			Richer. Pererius.		1	strier.
			Mariana.			
						J. Bap.
			Fr. Pi-			Duval.
			thou.			P. Haye
			Fr. de			du Chas- telet.
			1			tereti

Sovereign Popes Bishop of Ron	S Contor		Heretics, real or reputed.	Remarkable Events – Reli- gious Rites.	Profane Authors.
		Sales. M. de Calasio. Lessius. Pmeda. C. Jansenius. Bentivoglio. Sponde. Bzovins. H. de Valois. P. de Marca. Arnaud d'Andilly Du Cange. Pascal. Du Boulay. A Arnaud. Vavasseur. Neercassel. J Le Maitre de Sacy. Pagi. Lami Pezron. Gerberon Quesnel. These are the most noted writers of the Ro mish church during this century.			R. Des Cartes. N Fab. de Peiresc. Henr. Duc. de Rohan. De Meziriac. J. Bourde- lot. J Guthieres. And du Chesne. Louis Savot. Val. Conrart. Cardinal Richlieu. Rochemallet. Philip Monet. Nicholas Bourbon. Augustus Galland. J. F. Niceron. Edm. Merille. Samuel Petit. M. Mersenne. Voiture. De Vaugelas. Ch. Justel. Did. Herault. J. Baudoin P. Du Puy.
					G. and Louis de St. Mar- the. Denis Pctau.

Sovereign Princes.	Archbish- ops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
					G. Fournier. Cl. saumaisc. G Naude. N. Rigault. De Balzac. G. B. de Gramont. Sarasin. D. Blondel. P. Gassendi. J. Bignon. C H. Fabrot. L Ch Lc Fevre. N Perrot D'Ablancourt. N. Sanson. Briet Tan. Le Fevre. Fr. La Mothe Vayer. Mohere. G. M. le Jay. Roberval. Robault
					H. and Adr. de Valois. F. H. d'Au- bignac. J. Esprit. L. Moreri. Duc de Roche- foucault. R. le Bossu. F. E. dc Meze- ray. P. Corneille. Ed Mariotte-
					J. Spon. G d'Estrades. Cn. Perault.
					P Bayle.
					Vauban.
					Tournefort.
					Th. Corneille.
					Boileau.
					Ren Rapin. Jean Doujat. Fr. Bernier. Ch. du Fresno.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog.	Heretics, real or re- puted.	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
						Du Cange. Cl. Perrault. ls de Benserade. Thevenot. G. Menage. De St. Real. Pelisson. Bussy Rabutin. Ch. Patin. B. d'Herbelot. Cl Lancelot- St. Evremond. Amelot de la Houssaye. Louis Cousin. F. S. Regn.
						Des Marais. A Felebien. Jean de la Bruyere. Sim. Foucher. J. Domat J. B. Santeuil. G P. Richelet. P. J d'Orleans. J. Racine. J. Barbeyrac. Et. Morin. Baudrand. Segrais. Chevreau. Charpentier. Bohours. Marquis de l'Hopital Vaillant. P Silv Regis. Theod. Agrip. d'Aubigne.
						Intalian Authors. Prosper Alpini. B. Baldi. J. A Magini. A Morosini. Luc. Valeri. Paul Beni. Davila. L. Pignoria. Salvador. Sanctorius. Thomas Campanella. Ale ander Donato. Mascardi. Galilei. Bentivoglio

Sovereign Princes.	Bishops	Archbishops of Canterbu	Ecclesias- tical and Pheolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or re puted.	Remark- ble E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
						Strozzi. Leon de Modena. Bonav. Cavaleri. Ev. Torricelli. J. V. Rossi. Fam. Strada. T. Galluzzi. Martini. Imperiali. Tomassini. Virgilius Malvezzi. Molinetti. Sert. Orsato. J. B. Nani. J. A. Borelli. Ricci. Oct Ferrari. Bartalocci. M. Malpighi. Bellori. Viviani. Bellini. Bocconi. Averani. Cassini. Magalotti. Spanish and Portuguese Authors. Cervantes. Anthony de Ledesma. J. Mariana, the Historian. Authony Herrera, the Historian. Authony Herrera, the Historian. Aldrete, the Antiquarian. Balbuena. J. L. de la Cerda. Lopez de Vega, the Spanish Homer. Nic. de Antonio. Balth. Gracian. Diego de Coutu. Jos. Taxeira. Rod Lobo. Eman Faria. del Sonza. Ant Perez. Man Alvarez. Pegase. German, Dutch, Swiss, Swedish,

CEST. XVII.		СН	297			
Sover- eign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	ops of	Ecclesias- tcal & Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
						&c. Authors. Pauw, Anatomy. Aiguillon. Emmius. Gruterus. Bertius. Andr. Schott. Martinius. Snellius of Leyden. James and Adrian Metius. Cunæus. J. Meursius. Lewis De Dieu. J. B. Van Helmont. Hugo Grotius. Erycius Puteanus. Gasp. Barlæus. Van Hooft. Const. Imperator. Manasse Ben Israel. B. Varenius. Sanderus. Vandar Linden. J. Gollius. Atzema. Hoeschelius. Ch. Helvicus. Melch. Adam. Cluverius. Hospinian. Rosinus. Buxtorf. Kepler. Goldast. Horstius. Sennert. Erasmus Schmidt. Alstedius. Pareus. Hoffman. Scioppius. G. J. Vossius. Gasp. Barthius. Freinshemius. Schrivelius. J. Gerard. Hornius, Etmuller. Olaus Rudbeck. Bartholin. Isaac Pontanus.

Sover- eign Prin- ces.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- ops of Canterbu- ry.	tical and	real or re-	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors
						Chr. Longo- montanus. John Rhodius. Bangius. Meric Casau- bon Ad Olearius. J. F. Grono- vius. Renier Graaf, J. Swammer- dam. Fr. Junius. A. Maria Schu- lurman. Ath. Kircher- Conringius.
					maken open mentakan disakan di	N. Heinsius. Wicquefort. Noldius. Kunckel. H Meibomius. Ludolf. J G. Grævius. Burch, de Volder. Olaus Wor-
				`		mius J. R. Wetstein of Basil. Varenius of Lunenburg. Thomasius. Dodonæus. Otto Guerick, inventor of the
						Air pump. Jos. Arndius, John Gasp. Suicer. Isaac Vossius. Olaus Borrichius. D. G. Morhoff. G. Sagittarius. Sam. Puffen-
						dorff. Ch. C. Huygens J. Tollius. Eras. Bartholinus. J. Leusden of Utrecht. Wagenselius. Brockhuisen. Cellarius. Ezech. Spanheim. Gurtler of Basil.

CENTURY XVIII.

	Popes or	Arch-	Ecclesias-	Heretics,	Remarkable E-	
Sovereign	Bishops	bishops	tical and	of Revela-	vents-Reli-	Profane
Princes.	of Rome.	1 .	Theolog.	tion.	gious Rites.	Authors.
		terbury.	Writers.			
Tomas	CI	Da Thom	N D In	Tohn Tol	The French	Sir Isaac
Empe-	Clement	Dr.Thom-	this list	John Tol-	missionaries	Newton.
rors.	XI. 1721		none but	land. Matthew	make many	J. Flam-
A. D.		son 1715		Tyndal.	converts to po-	stead.
Leopold 1705	XIII. 1724	Dr Wil-	authors	Ant. Col-	pery in the	Dr. Sam.
Joseph	Benedict	liam	are men-	lins.	Eastern parts of	~ 3
1711	XIII.1730	Wake	tioned.	Thomas	the world, in	Dr. R.
Charles	Clement	1736	Protestant		Carnate, Ma-	Bentley.
VI. 1742	XII. 1740	Dr. J	Writers.	Ch.	dura, the coast?	Dr. Hare.
The last	Benedict	Potter.	Sir Isaac	Blount.	of Malabar,	Joseph
Emperor	XIV.	Dr.Thom-	Newton.	Tho.Mor-	China, &c.	Addison.
of the	1758	as Her-	Dr. Rich.	gan.	A great con-	Alexander
House of	Clement	ring.	Bentley.	John	troversy occa-	Pope.
Austria.	XIII.	Dr.Thom-	Bishop	Chubb.	sioned by the	James
Charles		asSecker	Hare.	John Man-	indulgence of	Thom-
Albertof			Bishop	deville.	the Jesuits to- ward the Chi-	M. Prior.
Bavaria			Cumber-			Sir R.
Francis			land.	lingbroke, and oth-	ing them to	Steele.
Francis, Duke of			Bishop Atter-	ers, less	perform the	Dr. Jona-
Lorraine.			bury.	worthyof	religious rites	than
Zorranic.			Dr. Sam.	notice.	of their ances-	Swift.
Kings of			Clarke.	Among		John Gay.
Spain.			Bishop	the sects	Protestant	Dr. John
Philip,			Chandler.	ofthis cen-	missionaries	Arbuth-
who ab-			Bishop	tury we	sent to India by	not.
dicates			Berkley.	may reck-	the English,	Dr. John
thecrown			Bishop	on the	Dutch, and	Freind.
in 1724			Butler.	Hernhut-	Danes.	D. Ed.
Lewis			Woolas-	ters or	The bull Uni-	Dr. Edm.
Philip re-			ton.		genitus issued out by Clement	Halley.
ascends			Dr. Mill. Dr. Ed-	Brethren,	XI. in the year	Dr. Fran-
the			wards.	followers	1713, con-	cis Hut-
throne in			Dr. Whit-		demns the New-	cheson.
1724			by.	field. Wes-	Testament of	
and dies	1		-3	lev, and	Quesnel, and	Dr. Camp-
in 1746			Mr. Whis-	others of	produces vio-	bell.
Ferdinand			ton.	the same	lent debates &	35 5 3
VI. 1759				stamp.	divisions in the	Mr. Bal-
Charles			Aberne-		Gallican	guy.
III. now			thy.		church; more	Mrs.Cock-
reigning.			* T	1	especially be- tween the Je-	burn.
Kings of	1		Dr. Ben-		suits the great	ouru.
France.	-		net.		defenders of	Dr. Con-
Lewis			Archbish-		the bull, and	Middle-
XIV.		4	op Wake		the Jansenists	ton.
1715			op wase.		its opposers.	
			Bishop		The Janse-	Dr. Berk-
LewisXV.			Small-		nists endeavour	ley, Bp.
now			ridge.	1	to support their	ofCloyne.
reigning.		1	Sir Peter		declining credit	Lord
77.			King,		by the pre-	Shaftes-
Kings of			Lord		tended and fic-	bury.
England.			Chancel		titious miracles	Lord Bo- lingbroke
William		1	lor.		that were said	THEMONE

Sover-	Popes or	Archbish-	Ecclesi-		Dunishahla	
eign	Bishops	ops of	astical &	Heretics,	Remarkable	Profane
Princes.	of Rome.	I 🚓	Theolog.	&c.	Events,-Re-	Authors
2 111,000	0. 1001101	bury.	Writers.		ligious Rites.	
		- July				
III. 1702			Archbp.		to be wrought	Dr. Th.
Annel714			Potter.		at the tomb of	Burnet.
George I.			Derham.		the Abbe Paris.	Thomas
1727			Dr.		The study of	Rowe.
George II.	1		Hickes.		Philosophy is	Elis. Sin-
1760			Bishop		placed on a new	ger.
George			Sherlock.		footing in Ger-	W. Wy-
III.			Bishop		many by Leib-	cherly.
Y 7 1.			Conybear.		nitz and Wolf,	Lord So-
Kings of			Bishop		and their meth-	mers.
Sweden.			Benson.		od of demon-	William
Charles	1		Dr. Ben-		stration is trans-	Lloyd.
XII. 1718			son.		ferred to the-	John Hud-
Ulric Elc-			Dr. Pierce.		ology by several	son.
onora		,	Hallet.		divines.	John and
1751			Dr.Foster.		Christ. M.	James
Frederic			Grove.		Pfaff, a very	Keil.
of Hesse			Dr. Watts.		learned and re-	Colin
			Dr. Dod-		spectable Lu-	M'Lau-
Cassel.			dridge.		theran divinc,	rin.
1751						
Adolphus			Dr. Tay- lor of		forms a plan of reconciliation	Christo- Wren-
Frederic			Norwich.		and union be-	Jer. Col-
of Hol-			Bishop		tween the Lu-	lier.
stem now					theran and	ner.
reigning.			Squire. Dr. Sam		Reformed	Dr. John
Wings of			uel Chan-		churches;	
Kings of			dler.			Taylor.
Denmark					which bigotry	T
Frederic			Dr. John		and party spirit	Laurence
IV. 1730			Leland.			Echard.
Christiern			Witsius of		being brought	D- T
VI. 17:46			Leyden. Trigland		Sacheverel,	Dr. J. Wood-
Frederic						
V. now			of Lcy-		an incendiary,	ward.
reigning.			Vitringa		who inveighs	Thomas
Lilana ac					against civil	Thomas
Kings of			of Franc-		and religious	Hearne.
Poland.		}	fort.		liberty, is im-	Cin Hone
Frederic			Morckius.		peached and	Sir Hans
Augustus			Roel.		consured.	Sloanc.
III. 1733			Leydek-		Lady Moyer,	Du Mand
Stanislaus			ker. Gurtler.		by her last will, founds a lec-	Dr. Mead.
elected,			Braunius.			Mantin
without			Hulssii.		ture, in which	Martin
taking			1		eight sermons	Folkes.
posses-			Pictet. Abbadic.		are annually	D C4-
sion.			J. Al. Tur-		preached in de-	Rev. Ste-
Stanislaus	AL .				fence of the	phen
chosen a	}		retin.		doctrine of the	Hales.
second			Wcren-		Trinity.	m
time, but			fels.		The Protes-	Thomas
abdicates			Ostervald.		tant religion	Simpson.
the			Jablonski.		and the bless-	D: A.
crown.			Strime-		lings of civil	Bishop
Frederic			SIUS.		liberty estab-	Squire.
Angustus			Holzfu-		lished in Great	Principal
II. 1764			Sills.		Britain and Ire-	French
Stanislaus			Meyer.		land, by the ac-	Anthors.
Count	1		Jurieu.		cession of the	M. Male-
Ponia-	à		Oudin.		house of Bruns-	branche.

	Popes	Archbish-	Ecclesias-	1		_
Sovereign	or Bish-	ops of	tical and	Here-	Remarkable	Profane
Princes.	ops of	Canter-	Theolog.	tics, &c.	Events, &c.	Authors.
	Rome.	bury.	Writers.			
tomales			Dagage		wick Lunen-	B. Lami.
towsky.			Basnage. De la Pla-		burg to the	Lemery.
Kings of			cette.		throne.	Fenelon.
Portugal.	,		Martin.		William IV.	Jos. Sau-
Pedro II.			James		raised to the	veur.
1706			Saurin.		stadtholdership	
John V.			De la Cha-		of the United	Hire.
1750			pelle.		Provinces in	M. le Vas-
Joseph,			Maurice.		the year 1747.	.sor.
now			Pictet		An attempt	J. Fr. Si-
reigning.			Beauso-		made to assas- sinate Lewis	mon. M. Feli-
Sultans			bre. Lenfant.		XV. king of	bien.
Mustapha			Boniller.		France, by a	Is. de Lar-
Il. 1703			Spener.		wretch ealled	rey.
Achmet			Pechtius.		Damien, who is	
III. de-			Maier.		supposed to	er.
posed in			Masius.		have been insti-	The De
the year			Wandali-		gated by the	L'Isle's.
1730			nus.		Jesuits to this	Eus. Re-
Mahmout			Luthenius.		odious deed.	naudot.
1754			Wincler.		The order of the Jesuits is	Tarteron. Huet.
Osman II.			Fabricius. Schmidi-		suppressed in	J. Le
Mustapha			us.		France, their	Long.
III. now			Rechen-		schools shut,	Andrew
reigning.			bergius.		and their reve-	
			Ittiglus.		nues confiscat-	A. Bou-
Czars of			Seelig-		ed in the year	lainvil-
Muscovy.			mannus.		1764.	liers.
Alexio-			Loesche-		The same or-	
witz 1715			rus.		der suppressed	nage.
Peter the Great		-	Foertschi- us.		in Portugal, and its mem-	Louis and Jean Boi-
1725	-		Buddeus.		bers banished.	vin.
Catharine			Antonius.			
1727			Frankius.			Ch. de la
Peter II.			Langius.			Rue.
1730			Mains.			
Anne 1740			Pritius,			P. Rapin
lvan, or			and oth-			de Thoy-
Jean, de-			ers. N. B.			ras.
posed in 1741			The 20			J. and P.
and as-			writers			L. Savary.
sassinated			last men-			T
in 1764			tioned, be-			Louis de
Elizabeth			ginning			Sacy.
1762			with Spe-			Du Resnel.
Peter III.			ner, are			Da recitet.
1762 Catharine			Lutherans.			Nic. L. de
			Romish Writers.			la Caille.
his queen.			Gonzales.			B. de la
Stadthold-			Beaugen-			Monnoye.
ers of the			dre.			Abbe
United			Papin.			Fraguiet.
Provinces.			Van Es-			Gabriel
William		4	pen.		l.	Daniel.
III. 1702			Fr. Lami.		10	G. J. du
This dig-		1	Pouget.			Verney-

Sovereign	Bishons	ops of	Ecelesias- tical and	Heretics,	Remarka-	Profane
Princes.	of Rome.		Theolog.	&c.	vents, &c.	Authors.
T Huces.	101 1011	bury.	Writers.		, 5, 5, 5	
			\			
nity re-			Tomasi.			Valineourt.
mains va-			Le. Br.			Geoffroi.
cant dur-			Desma-			De la Mothe.
ing the			rets.			Joach. Le
space of			Dez.			Grand.
45 years.			D. de S.	-		J. Franc. Felibien.
William	- \		Marthe.			Sanadon.
IV. 1751			Hyae Ser-			Dumont.
William V.			G. Hel-			Vertot.
٧.			yot.			Catrou.
Kings of			F. T. de		,	Beausobre.
Prussia.			Choisi.			Nieeron.
Frederie			L. E. Du-			De la Barre.
I. first			pin:			Melon.
king of			J. Martia-			De la Croze.
Prussia			ni.			Vaniere.
1713			C. Hure.			Montfaucon.
Frederie			De Witte.			Rollin.
William			Huet.			Abbe Longue-
1740			L. Habert		}	rue.
Frederie			Cl. Fleuri,			Abbe Banier.
III. now			Pope Cle-			Cardinal Polig
reigning.			ment XI. Eus. Re-		1	J. Baptiste
77:			naudot.			Rousseau.
Kings of			P. Con-			Abbe du Bos.
Sardinia.			stant.			P. Brumoy.
Victor, first king			P. de la			L. Bourget.
of Sar-			Broue.			Abbe Bignon.
dinia			Ans. Ban-			Abbe de St.
1730			duri.			Pierre.
Charles			J. J. Boi-			J. B. Du Haldc
Emanuel,			leau.			
now			Marsol-			G. H. Bou-
reigning.			lier.			geant.
0			Garnier.			75 . 7
			J. Har-			Marquis de
			douin.			Puy Segur.
			Belleg-			Cannanania
			rade. Masillon.			Capperonier.
			G. Daniel.			Alph. des Vig-
	,		Houdry.			noles.
			Bianehi-			uoies.
			na.			Abbe Desfon-
			Eehard.			taines.
			Anselme.			tanics.
			Tourne-			Miehael and
			nine.			Stephen
			Duguet.			Fourmont.
			Longue-			Mongault.
			rue.			Bouhier.
			M. Le			Le sage.
			Quien.			Fr. de la Peyro
	,		J.Longue-			nie.

Archbishops of Canterbury.	Ecclesias- tical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, &c.	Remark - able E- vents,&c.	Profane
	val. Orsini. J. Fr. Baltus. Vertot. Gibert. Boursier. Ed. Martene. C. de la Rue. Blondel. Montfaucon. Sabatier. Benoit. L. A. Muratori. Colbert. Languet. Dantine. Houtteville. Lengletdu Frenoy. Martin. Berruyer. DeCaylus. Bou Racine. Dom.Aug. Calmet. Benedict XIV. J. Le Boeus. R. Ceillier. P.Maran. Deschamps. Orsi.			Nicol. Freret. Bellanger. Gabriel Emilie du Chastelet. Destouches. Abbe Terasson. H. Fr. d'A- guesseau. Cl. Jos. Geof- froy. Gasp. de Real. Folard. De Boze. Mart. Bouquet. De Moivre. Lenglet. C. S. de Montesquieu. Cl. Joly de Fleury. James Cassini. C. Chen. du Marsais. Fontenelle. Bouiller. Castel. Peysonel. Reaumur. Le Monnier. L. Le Gendre. Goguet. Boguer. Maupertuis. Velly, the Historian. Abbe Salier. Charlevoix. Mascrier. Le Boeuf. M. Mirabeau. Le Brun. Morabin. Villaret. Clairaut. Caylus. Crevier.
				Italian Authors. Martin Poli. Ant.Magliabechi. Musitani. M. Battaglini. John Vincent Gravina.

Sovereign Princes.	Popes or Bishops of Rome.	Archbish- lops of Canter- bury.	Ecclesi- astical and Theolog. Writers.	Heretics,	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane
	,					J. M. Lancisi. Ph. Buonanni. Fr. Bianchini. Jer. Zani- chelli. Just. Fontanini. P. A. Michaeli. Eustachio Manfredi. Ans. Banduri. Giannone. L. A. Muratori.
						Apostolo Zeno, Scipio Maffei. Cardinal Quirini James Cassini. Buonamici Car- dinal Passionei
						Swiss Authors. Daniel Le Clerc Em. Konig. J. J. Scheuchzer Jamcs Hermann J. Le Clerc. Werenfels. J. Bernoulli. J. P. Crouzas.
						Burlamaqui. German Authors
			-			G. W. Leibnitz. Christopher Wolf. Baron Krosig.
						Lud. Kuster. H de Coccei. B. Ziegenbalg. J. Mollcrus. J. Andrew Schmidt. Crenius. Thomasius. Gundling. T. F. Baddæus. J. G. Eccard. J. M. Lang. J. B. Mencke. J. Hubner. J. L. Mosheim. J. Alb. Tabricius Gasp. Neuman.

Sover- eign Princes.	Bishops	Archbish- ops of Canterbu- ry.	Ecclesias- tical & Theolog. Writers.	Heretics, real or reputed.	Remark- able E- vents, &c.	Profane Authors.
						J. G. Heineccius. J. G. Keysler. P. Cantemir. Jordan. J. Offer, a Swede. J. H. Bohmer. Dopplemaier. Winslow.
	•	and a company of the company of				Dutch Authors. J. Perizonius. Cuper. J. Fred. Gronovius. S. Pitiscus.
						B. Niewentite.
						Λ. Reland.
						Salengre.
						G. Noodt.
						N. Hartsoeker.
						Adr. Helvetius.
						Herman Boer- haave.
						Albert Schultens.
						Peter Burman.
						Sig. Haver- camp.
						Bynkershoeck.
						S. Gravesandc.
						J. Alberti.
						P. Muschen- broek.
	1					Wesseling.
			1			Gasp. Burman.
						Tib. Hemster- huis.
			50		1	Van Loon, the Historian.

ADVERTISEMENT.

AFTER the foregoing sheets were printed off, I was favoured by the very worthy descendants of the pions and learned archbishop Sharp, with the present of a small but curious work lately published, which belongs to the ecclesiastical history of the xviiith century. It contains an account of the measures that were taken, and of the correspondence that was carried on in the year 1711, 1712, and 1713, for the introduction of the liturgy of the church of England into the kingdom of Prussia, and the electorate of Hanover. To this historical account are annexed several letters and original papers that are very interesting, more especially a plan of ecclesiastical discipline and public worship, drawn up by the learned Dr. Jablonsky, and some other papers of the same author, concerning the nature of episcopacy, and the manner of rendering it compatible with the interests of the sovereign, and the religious liberty of the people.

This publication, which is chiefly designed for the use of the protestants in Prussia, is drawn from MS. memoirs of the life of archbishop Sharp, who was principally concerned in the transactions and correspondence above mentioned. These memoirs were composed from the archbishop's journal by his son, the learned Dr. Thomas Sharp, archdeacon of Northumberland, and the historical account drawn from them, of the project for introducing episcopacy into Prussia, is published in a French translation, done by the Rev. Mr. Muysson, minister of the

French chapel, at St. James's, &c.

The following note refers to Vol. II. p. 444, l. 23.

Dr. Moshem does not pretend to determine whether these reports relative to the barbarity of the Jews were true or false; but it seems more than probable, that they were insidiously forged out of hatred against that unfortunate people. This will appear still more evidently to have been the case, when we consider that in the xiiith century, the popes Gregory IX. and Innocent IV. published declarations, which were designed to destroy the effect of several calumnies that had been invented and dispersed to the disadvantage of the Jews; and in the xivth century we find the Roman pontiffs Benedict XII. and Clement VI. giving the same proofs of their equity toward an injured people. We find in history circular letters of the dukes of Milan and Venice, and imperial edicts of Frederic III. and Charles V. to the same purpose; and all these circumstances render it highly credible, that the reports mentioned by Dr. Moshem are not founded on sufficient evidence.

ABANO, Petrus de, surnamed the Reconciler, ii. 345; his great character and ill

treatment, ibid. and h.

Abassines, Ethiopians, converted to Christianity in iv cent. i. 202. See Abyssini-

ans, ii. 65, iii. 191.

Abbas, the Great, King of Persia, lays waste Armenia in Avii cent. iii. 562; bis generosity to the Armenians, and great character, ibid.

Abbot, archbishop of Canterbury, his lenity toward the Puritans, and character, iv. 92, 93 and f; zeal for the doctrinal

tenets of Calvin, 93; and sub not f. Abelard, Peter, defends the Monks in xii cent. ii. 276; his character 282 and e; commentaries, 289; founder of the Scholastics, properly so called, 292; charged with errors by St. Bernard, for which he is condemned as an heretic, 205 and l; attacks all the heresies in his time, 293.

Abelites, their tenets, i. 185.

Abgarus, the story of him and Christ, if true, i. 57 and n.

Abraxas, used by Basilides, what, i. 179. Abul Farai, an eminent Syrian writer in xiii cent. ii. 336; his works, ibid. and a; expositions of the Scriptures, 40o.

Abyssinia, Romish mission in xvii cent. iii. 477; how ruined, 478 and t; entirely banished by Basilides, son of Seltam Segued, 480 and u; several attempts for admission unsuccessful, ibid. and w; 481 and x; Lutheran missions unsuccessful, 560.

Abyssinians, the doctrine of the Monophysites when embraced by them, considered, ii. 65; their state in xvi cent. iii.

191.

Acacius, Bishop of Constantinople, opposes the Papal power, i. 388; is excommunicated and deposed by Pope Felix, 339.

Academics, their impious notions. i. 39. Academies, two public in the empire, and

ther founders, i. 130, and h.

- European, many founded in xiii cent. ii. 333; their state, ibid. course of discipline observed by them. 339.

founded by the Lutherans and Calvinists in xvi cent. iii 216.

one 'at Jena, by the Dukes of

Saxe-Weimar, iii. 243. at Geneva, by Calvin, iii. 275. of Sciences at Paris, by Lewis

XIV. iii. 432 and h.

Acephali, an account of, i. 389; their subdivisions into three other sects, ibid. suon extinguished by Baradæus, ibid.

Acominatus, Nicetas, his polemic works,

ii. 398.

Acropolita, a Greek historian of xiii cent. ii. 336, 398.

Adalbert, of Gaul, his character, i. 525; forges a letter from Christ to mankind, ibid, condemned at the instigation of

Boniface Winfrid, ibid. and i.

- Bishop of Prague, his vain attempts to convert the Prussians in x cent. ii. 120; suffers death for his pious zeal, ibid his death revenged by Boleslaus, King of Poland, who compels some of the Prussians to embrace Christianity, ibid.

Adamites, their tenets, i. 185.

- Bohemian, in xv cent, an ac-

count of, ii. 564, 568, and i.

Adams, Thomas, a Quaker, his remarkable behaviour to Oliver Cromwell, iv. 148, sub not. kk.

Adiaphoristic, history of. See Controver-

sy adiaphoristic, iii. 239.

Ado, an historian in ix cent. ii. 14.

Adrian, Emperor, a brief character of, i. 123; juts many Jews to the sword, 129; persecution of the Christians under him, 131.

I. Pope, in viii cent. confers upon Charlemagne and his successors the right of election to the see of Rome, i. 504, and k; enters into an alliance with

the Empress Irene, 520.

- IV. Breakspear, Pope, orders Frederic I. Emperor, to perform the office of equery to him, but his order is rejected with contempt, ii. 264; an open rupture is expected, but prevented by the death of the Pope, 265 and o.

· VI. Pope, his good character, iii. 47; proposes to reform the abuses in the church, but prevented by death, 48.

Ælia Capitolina, a city raised on the ruins of Jerusalem in ii cent i. 129.

Emiliani, Jerome, founder of the clerks of St. Maieul, or the fathers of Somasquo, in xvi cent. iii. 150.

Æon, different meanings of this word

among the Gnostics, i 80, m.

Erian controversy, and leader's principal tenets, i. 297; his design to restore the primitive simplicity of Christianity, ibid. reflections upon such an attempt, ibid andg

Africa, English and Dutch Colonies there in xvi cent. iii. 410; missions, 412; success through the Capuchins, ibid. inaccuracy here, 413 k; why they were alone employed, ibid.

Africans, the nature of their conversion in

xv cent. examined, ii. 508.

Agapetus, his works and character, i. 416, 423.

Agnoetæ, an account of this sect in vi cent. i. 437; their decline, ibid.

Agobard, Archbishop of Lyons, his charracter, ii. 14, 30; censured for fomenting a rebellion, ibid. a vehement opposer of image worship, ibid. r; writes against the Jows, 43.

Agricola, John, founder of the Antinomians in xvi cent. iii. 236; is opposed by Luther, and recants, ibid. propagates his doctrine after Luther's death, ibid. his

principles examined, ibid.

Albert the Great, his character, ii. 343; and learning, 400; system of divinity, 406. Albigenses, Paulicians, so called in xi cent. and whence, ii. 221 and q: a term ap-

plied by the Latius to all heretics, 305. Albizi, Bartholomew, his book of St. Fran-

cis's conformities with Christ, ii. 471 and k.

Alciat, banished Geneva, iii. 359 and i; inclines to the Arian system, 360, subnot. m, in fine.

Alcuin, preceptor to Charlemagne, his character, i. 507 and w; expositions, 512; treatise on virtue, 515 and p; lives of the saints, ibid.

Aldhelm, an English prelate, an account of, i. 456 and u; his moral treatises, 460.

Alet, Bishop of, refuses to subscribe the declaration against the Jansenists in xvii cent, and the consequence, iii. 532. Alexander, of Lycopolis, if a Christian, i. 402 and o.

· III. Pope, confers on the cardinals the sole right of electing to the pontificate, ii. 152, 270, augments the college of electing Cardinals, ii. 155, 156; orders schools to be erected in monasteries, and cathedrals, 249; his contested election, 265; obnoxious to the Emperor Frederic I. Barbarossa, whom he solemnly deposes, ibid. is obliged to fly and to leave his competitor, Paschal III. in the Papal chair, 266 his success against Frederic, and insolence toward him examined, ibid. and r; dispute with Henry II. King of England, 267, confirms the privileges of the church, and extends the authority of the Popes, 270; deprives the Bishops of the power of canonization, and confines it to the Roman Pontiff, 271 and x; confers the title of King upon Alphonsus, Duke of Portugal, ibid and y; his death, and the troubles of his sucto Innocent HF. 272; condemns the vicious rage of disputing about religious

matters, 294.

VI. Pope, divides America between the Portuguese and Spaniards, ii. 508; his infamous character, 541 aud q; is supposed to be poisoned, ibid. and

- VII. Pope, Chizi, instigated by the Jesuits, annuls the sentence of Innocent X. concerning Chinese rites, iii. 400; his character, 451; contest with Lewis XIV. and the cause, 487; bull against Jansenius, and declaration, 560. - VIII. Pope, Ottoboni, his char-

acter, iii. 452.

- Natalis, writes against the Popish claims, în xvii cent. iii. 486.

Alexandria, Patriarch of, his jurisdiction in the earliest times of Christianity, i. 275; embassy sent by one to the Pope in xvi cent. a Jesuitical scheme, iii. 183 and l, m; the extent of his authority in this cent. 181, 182 and o.

Alfred, his taste for letters, ii. 13; his works, ibid. w; the most eminent learned men under him, ibid x.

Allatius, Leo, his works for uniting the Greek and Romish churches, iii. 555 and d; disingenuity censured, ibid. and

Alliaco, Petrus de, labours to reform the schoolmen in xv cent. ii. 557.

Almeric, an account of, ii. 342; the followers of this philosopher guilty of enormous errors and vices, ibid. t.

Alphonsus, X. King of Leon, an eminent patron of Letters in xiii cent. ii. 337; the fame he acquired by his astronomical tables, 338 and c.

- VI. King of Naples, a zealous promoter of letters in xv cent. ii. 511.

Attenburg, conference held at, to heal the Lutheran divisions, unsuccessful, iii. 249.

Alva, Duke of, his cruelty checked by the prudent and brave conduct of a Prince of Orange, gave rise to the powerful Republic of the United provinces,

Almamunis, Caliph of Babylon, an eminent patron of letters among the Arabi-

ans in ix cent. ii. 11.

Amalric, the absurd and impious doctrine taught by him, ii. 434, 435, and b, c; his chief disciple, who, ibid. if he adopted Joachim's predictions, 436.

Ambrose, Bishop of Milan, his character, i. 279 and i; three books on the duty of ministers, 288; opposes the princi-

ples of Jovinian, 298.

of Camalduli, his works, ii. 548. America, when first visited by the Europeans, ii. 508; its inhabitants converted to Christianity, ibid, divided by Pope Alexander VI. between the Portuguese and Spaniards, ibid. mission-

aries sent, ibid.

- Eoglish and Dutch colonies there in xvi cent. iii. 410; Romish missions, 412; method used by the Jesuits for its conversion, with their views, and Labat's candid declaration, 413 and o; protestant missions, ibid. the ambition of the Jesuits in Paraguay, 414 sub fin.o.

Ames, William, explains morality, and an account of, iii. 313 and p, q; treats it as

a separate science, iv. 75.

Ammonius Saccas, founder of the new Platonics in ii ceut. i. 139; attempts a coalition of all philosophical sects and religioo with his own system of religioo, 140; his religious notions, if Pagan or Christiau, considered, 139 m; the principles of his philosophy, with its chief articles, 140, his moral disciplioe, 142; delivers his injunctions io the language of Scripture, ibid pretends to the nower of purging the Sensorium, ibid. bis notions of God and of Christ, 143 and n; the many pernicious effects of his philosophy to Christianity, and hence the foundation of the Monks and Mystics, ibid. the rapid progress of his sect, 205; his Harmony of the Gospels, 219.

Amour, Guillaume, doctor of the Sorbonne, a strenuous opposer of the Dominicans, and whence, ii. 375; is banished, and the cause, 376; his works and great character, ib and d.

Amsdorf, denies the necessity of good works, iii. 241; is opposed by George

Major, and the event, ibid.

Amsterdam, clergy, and magistrates of, op-pose the toleration of the Mennooites, in avi cent. iii, 847

Amulo, his works against the Jews in ix

cent. ii. 43.

Amyraut, Moses, account of his works, iv. 76; form of his doctrine and reconciliatory endeavours, 83; meets with opposition, yet gains ground, 84, 85; proceedings of the Swiss church against him, 125.

Anabaptists, their onthusiastic and seditious principles in xvi cent, and punishments they undergo, iii. 78, 79, and n, o; their

residence fixed at Munster, ib.

Inabaptists, Mennonites, their history, iii. 320; origin ohscure, and reason of their names, ib. and e; insincerity in declaring their opinions concerning rehaptism, ibid. and 321, sub not. e, account of themselves and adversaries, 322, and f; most probable account of their origin, ibid. mexim whence their peculiarities, ibid. different ways of thinking among them about it, 323, their drooping spirrits revived on Luther's, &c. appearance, ibid. satisfied with Luther's plan of reformation, with an account of their first motions, 324 and i: progress of this sect,

326; distinguished by the enormity of their crimes, ibid. points of doctrine maintained by the most rational of them who are not equally chargeable with fury and brutal extravagance, 326, 327; severe punishments inflicted on them. ibid. and n; indiscriminate severity,

with a discourse thereon, 328.

- of Munster, their seditious madness and ringleaders, iii. 329; their commotions in Holland, particularly Amsterdam, 330 and r; measures taken to extirpate them, 331; plot against the magistrates defeated, ib. sub not r; how comforted by Meono, ibid. questions about their origin, how resolvable, 334 and u; origin of the sects that have started up among them, 335; warm contest, and divided into two sects, 336; how denominated, ibid and x; new dissensions among them, and division into three sects, 337; the source of their doctrine, ibid. confession of one of their sect, ibid. y; whether sincere in their public confessions, 338; their religion reduced ioto a system, ibid. their leading principle, 339; their religiou differs little from the reformed church, with their creed, confessions, and peculiar tenets, ibid. the fundamental principle on which their doctrine is founded, 3-0, and how deviated from it, ibid. and a; their peculiar tenets, in which they all agree, 341; system of morality. 342; primitive austerity greatly diminished, 343 and b; singular opinions of some sects, ibid. and c, d; state of learning and philosophy among them, 345, which are rejected by all, except the Water-landians, ibid remit some of their an. cient rigour, ibid, their division into a multitude of sects, and the causes, 346; their first solid settlement in the United Provinces, and by what means, 347: English, called Baptists, with an account of their other different denominations, 348; opinions of the general and particular Anabaptists in England, ibid. and l; account of a singular sect called Davidists, 350; tolerated under Cramwell, and account of, iv. 106 and x: their history in avii cent. 162; various fortunes of them, during this cent ibid. and e: union restored among them and how, 163; different sects, and how denominated, with their several characters and notions, shid and g, h; external form of their church, 164; three orders of Ministers among them, and their respective functions, ibid. account of the Uckewallists, a sect of the rigid Anabaptists, and tenets, ibid. Waterlandians, 166; Galenists and Apostoolians, 167.

Anachorites, a monastic order in iv cent. i. 292; their remarkable aversion to soci-

elv. i'ii'.

Anastasius, how the cause of the Nestorian controversy, i. 377; his sentiments explained by Nestorius, although keenly opposed, yet gain ground, 378.

of Sinai, his writings, an ac-

count of, i. 416, 421 and e.

the Emperor, attached to the

Acephali, protects them, i. 434.

of Palestine, author of some tracts against the Jews in viii cent. i. 516 an historian in ix cent. ii. 14.

Anchialus, patriarch of Constantinople, an eminent patron of letters in xii cent. ii. 246 and a; seems to have been attached to the Aristotelian philosophy,

Andræas, Antonius, a Latin writer in ziv

ccnt. ii. 488.

Andrew, James, employed in reconciling the Lutheran doctors, iii. 249. Form of Concord, 282. &c.

Andrew, Bishop of Crete, his homilies con-

sidered as spurious, i. 456.

Andronicus, Einperor of Greece, forbids all controversies concerning speculative points of theology, in xii cent. ii. 300 and b.

Angelome, a monk of Lysicux, an acute but fantastic writer in ix cent, ii. 40, and

r; his expositions, ibid.

Angers, Bishops of, refuse to subscribe the declaration against the Jansenists, and

the consequence, iii. 332.

Anglo-Saxons, oppress the Christians, i. 340; some few converted by Augustin the Monk, 398; an universal conversion among them in vii cent. i. 440; the causes of this conversion considered, ibid

Anhalt, princes of, embrace Calvinism.

and the reason, 299 iii. and n.

Anselm, archbishop of Canterbury, improves the science of Logic, ii. 141; inventor of the famous argument ascribed to Des Cartes, 142; his character and works, ibid. o, and 194; the first who composed a system of divinity, 200; emineut for his moral treatises, 201, and controversial writings, ibid.

of Laon, his character, ii. 282, 289. of Havelsberg, strenuous advocate for the Latins against the Greeks in xii

cent. ii 298.

Ansgar, converts the Swedes in ix. cent. ii. 4; is created archbishop of Hamburgh, ibid. founder of the Cimbrian, Danish, and Swedish churches, ibid. a.

Anthropomorphites, a sect in x cent. ii. 116; why encouraged and admired, 117. Antichrist, ensigns of, what so called by

the Puritans, iii. 291. Antidico-marianites, a sect in iv cent i. 330; their tenets, ibid.

Antinomians, their rise among the Lutheraus in xvi cent. iii. 236; suppression by Luther, ibid, tenets, 161; English, their rise in xvii cont. and pernicious tenets, iv. 107, 108, and z, a, b.

Antioch, Patriarch of, his jurisdiction in iv cent. i. 275; the extent of his power in xvi cent. iii. 182, o; four bishops claim the title, ibid. p.

Antiochus, a monk of Seba, his character, i. 455; and work, or Pandect of the Ho-

ly Scriptures, 459.

Antonines, their characters, i. 123.

Antoninus Marcus, listens to calumnics, and persecutes the Christians, i. 132; many apologies published, 133; false witnesscs suborned by his judges against the Christians, ibid. his partiality to the Stoics, and its effects upon learning, 136; an ornament to the Stoics, 137.

· Pius, persecution under him, i. 132; his edict edict in favour of the

Christians, ibid. and x.

Antonius Paulus, endeavours to correct the abuses among the clergy in xvii

cent. iv. 39.

Antony, forms in Egypt the solitary Monks into a body, i. 290; the rapid progress of this order in the east, and maxims of their philosophy which seduced the Christians, ibid. the state of this order in xi cent. ii, 190.

Apocryphal and spurious writings, many

in i cont. i. 94 and r.

books, reading of them in the church disliked by the Puritans, iii. 289. Apollinarian heresy, its rise, i. 323; au-

thor and tenets maintained by him, ibid. the consequences deduced from the sentiments of Apollinaris seem unjust,

324 and c: its fate, ibid. and d. Apollonius Tyancus, comparison of Christ

and him pernicious, i. 260.

- his controversics about the power of the magistrate in church affairs, iv. 114; occasions a flaming dispute between Spanheim and Vander Wayen, ibid.

Apologies, many produced in defence of

Christianity in ii cent. i. 153.

Apostles of Christ, why limited to twelve, i. 56; the success of their ministry, after the effusion of the Holy Ghost, 59; the election of one in the room of Judas, 60; founded many churches, 62; fables related of them, ibid. their authority and office, 85; left the external form of the church undetermined, ibid. and z; they and thei: disciples the principal writers, 93; the creed by whom composed, 99 and k, l; instituted many rites, 104.

account of a sect in xiii cent, ii. 437; made no alterations in the doctrinal part of the public religion, ib. their leaders and extirpation, 438 and h; the credit given by them to the predictions

of the Abbot Joachim, 439.

Apostolic Fathers, their general character, i. 97 and h

spostolics, a sect in xii cent. ii. 320; the remarkable purity of their lives, ibid. some peculiarities among them deserve

censure, ibid.

Apostoolians, an inferior sect of Anabaptists or Mennonites in xvii cent. iv. 167; their founder Samuel Apostool, who opposes Galen Haan, with an account of his controversy and tenets, ibid.

Appellants, great number of them France, and why so called. iv. 193 and h. Aquinas, Thomas, a very powerful advocate for the philosophy of Aristotle, and gives a new translation of his works, ii. 344 and c; called the Agelic Doctor, ibid his character, 400 and g; method of explaining the Scriptures. 405; orthodoxy questioned, 409; famous sum, what, 411; polemic work against the Gentiles, 412; several of his doctrines opposed by John Duns Scotus, 491; hence the origin of the sect of the Thomists, 492.

Arabian philosophers, their tenets, and reason of their name, i 240; confuted by Origen, abandon their erroneous sentiments, and return to the church, ihid. found schools in Spain and Italy in x cent ii. 99; and source of knowledge among the Europeans, ibid. and 138; authors of divination and astrology in the West, ibid. many of their works translated into Latin in xii. cent. ii. 255

and u

Arabians, in Spain, converted in xiii cent. ii. 331; but expelled by the order of Pope Clement IV. 332 and y.

Arabs, converted by Origon in iii cent. i.

Arator, his works and character, i. 418. Arbricelles, Robert, founds a monastery at Fontevraud in xii cent. ii 276; one singularity in his rule, 277; charge against him. ibid. and p; some nuns in England, ibid. and p.

Archbishops, the extent of their authority

in iv cent. i. 271.

Archelaus, succeeds bis father Herod in the kingdom of Judea; is infamous for bis vices, and dethroned. i. 43

Ardous, excommunicated for censuring the licentious clergy in iv cent and forms a sect, i. 328; his principles imbibed by the Goths, ibid, errors falsely

imputed to him, ibid.

Arianism, its rise in iv cent. i. 315 and m; the tenets beld by its author, 316; its progress before the first Nicene council, in which the sentiments of its founder are condemned, 317; its history after this time, 318; state under the sons of Constantine, 320; Constantius forces proselytes, ibid. under Julian, who favours neither side, 321; under Jovian, a defender of the Nicenians, ibid. under Valentinian, an enemy to the Arians,

particularly in the West, ibid. under Valens, a friend to the Arians, ibid. under Gratian and Theodosius the Great, who favour the Nicenians, ibid. excesses on both sides, ibid. various sects of it, which may be reduced to three classes, ihid. this division detrimental to the Arians, 322; is encouraged by the Vandals in Africa, i. 374; its state in vi cent. 432, 433; encouraged by the Lombards in vii cent. i. 464.

Arians, two eminent writers among them in xvii cent. iv 173 and w; to whom the denomination of Arian is applicable ibid. most eminent patrons in xviii cent. iv. 210; bad consequences of Arianism, ibid. z; points of its doctrine adopted by Mr. Whiston, and consequence, 211 sub z; controversy occasioned by Dr. Clarke's opinions concerning the Trinity, and by whom opposed, ibid. suh. z; no end to be gained by these disputes, with Dr. Stillingfleet's excellent admonition to the disputants, 213 sub. z.

Aristotelian philosopby, admired by the Nestorians in vi cent. i. 409; its progress in viii cent 486; the persons to whom its success was due, ibid taught by the reformed church in xvi. cent. iii. 311; introduced into theology, and bad consequence, ibid and n; its state in

zvii cent 436.

Aristotelians, poor subterfuge used by them before the inquisition in xv cent. ii. 516.

Aristotle, his notions of God and the human soul, i. 40; has many admirers in xiii cent. and the prejudice done by them to Christianity, ii 333 and a: the reading of his works condemned by the Bishops at Paris, 435; if preferable to Plato, debated xv cent. 514 and α .

Arius, opposes the opinions of Alexander on the second person of the Trinity, i. 315; expelled from the church 316; defends his opinions with success, ibid. brings over Eusebius Bishop of Nicomedia to his canse, ibid. Constantine, after fruitless admonitions, calls a council at Nice, at which Arius is condemned, and Christ is declared consubstantial, ibid recalled from exile, 318 and x: is received into the church, and invited to Constantinople, 319; is reinstated with his followers in their privileges, but is denied a place among the presbyters by the people of Alexandria, ibid. dies a miserable death, with some reflections on the manner, 320 and y.

Armagh, the see of, erected by Patrick in

v cent. i. 336 and r

-Richard of, attacks the Mendi-

cauts in xiv. cent. ii 467.

Armenia, Great and Less, Christianity es. tablished there in iv cent. i. 261; a church founded at, by Gregory the Enlightcner, ibid.

Armenians, an account of, in avi cent. iv. 193 and q; have three patriarchs, ibid. and r, s; their titular ones, 194 and t; their state in xvii cent. iii. 562; country laid waste by Abbas the Great, King of Persia, ibid. and his generous behaviour toward them, ibid. the advantages they received from the settlement of a great number of Armenians in different parts of Europe ibid. and z; religious books printed for their use in Europe, particularly in Holland and England, ibid.

Arminianism, its rise and progress in xvii cent iv. 129. Sec Church Arminian,

Arminians, their leading maxim adopted by the Lutherans in xvii cent iv. 25; their rise and schism in this cent. 78; condemned at the synod of Dort, ibid. the effects of this schism in Holland, 79 gain ground in England through Archbishop Laud, 80; favoured in France, Bradenberg, Bremen, and Geneva, ibid. the further progress of this sect.

Church Arminian, 127.

Arminius, James, his tenets, and by whom opposed, with the decision of the synod of Dort, iv. 78; tounder of the Arminian church, 127; his great character and account of, ibid. professes publicly his predestination opinions about grace. &c. in opposition to those of Calvin, 128; two favourable circumstances for him, ibid. by whom opposed and controversy thereupon, with his death, ibid and c: progress of his sect after his death, 129.

Arnaud, his dispute with the Jesuits concerning a frequent approach to the holy communion. iii. 172 and c; improves and illustrates the doctrine of Des Cartes, 507 and o; a patron of the Jansenists, 525; flics into Holland, 533; and the consequences to the Jesuits, ibid. and w: his dispute with Claude concerning transubstautiation, 555.

Arndt, a moral writer in xvii. cent. iv. 29; debates relating to, 56; his good character and works, particularly his Truc Christianity, 57; is censured by some, and by whom defended, ibid. a Para-celsist, ibid

Arnobius, character of his polemic works against the Gentiles, in iii cent. i. 213.

the younger, an account of, i. 356.

Arnold, of Brescia, account of him and his sect in xii cent ii. 313; is justly censured for the violent impetuosity of his temper, but discovered in his character several things worthy of esteem, 314; is greatly admired, and his followers called Arnoldists, ibid.

of Villa Nova, his extensive learning, ii. 345; unjust punishment,

ibid.

Godfrey, disturbs the Lutheran church, and his character. iv. 47; his ecclesiastical history censured, ibid. his partiality in favour of heretics, which he quitted when old, 48 and o.

Arsenius, his synopsis of the Greek canon

law, in xiii cent. ii. 398.

Artemon, his tenets, i. 187; uncertainty

about these, ibid

Arts, seven, the wretched manner of teaching them in viii cent. i. 488: divided into the Trivium and Quadrivium, ibid, the works of Cassiodore and Boethius recommended for further progress, 489.

Ascetics, their rise and principles, i. 157 why certain Christians became of this sect, 158; the progress of this discip-

line, 159.

Asculanus, Ceccus, a famous philosopher in xvi cent. ii. 451; imprudently mingles astrology with his philosophy, ibid. is accused of dealing with infornal spirits, and burnt by the inquisitors at Florence, 452 and a.

Asia, Protestant missions there in avi cent iii. 409; English and Dutch colonies,

410.

Asiatic, Gnostic, sect in ii cent. and tenets,

an account of, i. 173.

Asinus, John Pungens, substitutes consubstantiation instead of transubstantiation in xiii cent. ii. 415.

Aslesanus, his character, ii 489, 493.

Astrog, synods held there in xvi cent. iii. 297; their happy effects, ibid. Astrology, mixed with philosophy, consid-

ered as magic in xiv cent ii. 451,

Asylum, right of, contest about, between Pope Innocent XI. and Lewis XIV. iii. 487 and l.

Alhanaric, King of the Goths, persecutes the Christian Goths in iv cent i. 264.

Alhanasius, account of him and his works i. 277 and u; refuses to restore Arius, 319; is deposed by the council of Tyre, and banished into Gaul, ibid.

Alheists, few, if any, to be met with in aviii cent. iv. 188; and those chicfly followers of Spinoza, ibid.

Athenagoras, an excellent writer in ii cent. i. 148.

Atto, Bishop of Vercelli, his works useful in describing the genius of the people in x cent. ii. 104.

Ave-Maria, added to the prayers in xiv.

ccnt. ii. 497

Augsburg, an account of the conference held at, between Luther and Cajetan, in xvi cent. iii. 30; and its issue, 31 and r; the famous diet held by Charles V. Emperor, 67; famous confession made by the Protestants, 71 and c; its style justly admired, ibid. its matter supplied by Luther, but received its form from Melancthon, ibid. contains twenty eight chapters, and to what they refer, ibid.

413 LYDEX.

and d, e; a refutation of it attempted by the Roman Catholics, ibid. and Melancthon's answer to it, which is called A Defence of the Confession of Augsburg, 72; three methods proposed for terminating these religious dissensions, ibid. conferences judged the most effectual way to put a period to them, and why, 73 and f; but proved to be ineffectual, ibid. the severe decree against the reformers, 74 and g; religious peace concluded at the second diet beld here, 92; acts favourable to the Protestants passed, ibid. remarks upon, and proofs of, the ignorance and superstition of the times, ibid. confession of, and its defence, 208; and interpolations by Melaucthon, ibid a; its associates, 293.

Augustin, Bishop of Hippo, his character, i. 279, 280 and l; admired for his didactic writings, 286; his success against the Donatists, 313; suppresses Pelagianism, i. 392; opposes the Predestivari-

aus, 395.

- a Benedictine monk, sent into Britain in vi cent. i 398 and e; converts many Anglo-Saxons to Christianity, ibid.

St. monks of, their rise in xiii cent. and founder, ii. 369

Augustus, base methods used by him to

obtain power, i. 29. Avignon, Popes remove thither their residence in xiv cent. ii. 455 and h; their power diminished, 456; invent new schemes to acquire riches, ibid.

Aurelian, state of the church under him tolerable, i. 200; a dreadful persecutiou

prevented by his death, ibid.

Aureolus, Peter, an account of, ii. 488. Ausonius, his character as a poet, i. 266. Austria, commotions in, against the Protestants, in xvii cent. iii. 455 and p.

Authbert, the success of his ministry in Jutland and Cimbria in ix cent. ii. 4;

converts the Swedes, ibid.

Autherius, Bishop of Bethlebem, founds the congregation of the Holy Sacrament in xvii cent. iii. 385.

Authpert, Ambrose, his character, i. 507; his commentary on the Revelations, ibid. his lives of the saints, 515.

Autun, Honorius of, his character and works, ii 283; a polemic writer, 298. Auxerre, William of, his systematic divi-

vinity, an account of, ii. 283.

Awerri, in Africa, King of, converted to Christianity by the Capuchins in xvii cent. iii. 411.

B.

Bacon, John, an account of, ii 488. - Roger, his great character, ii. 341 and q; and 400 k; his extensive progress in the sciences, 345 and d, c; unjust imprisonment, ibid.

Bacon, Lord Verulam, his character, iii. 430 and z.

Baius, his disputes about grace in xvi cent. iii. 174; is accused and condemned with his unjust treatment, 175 and f. Balbi, John, promotes the study of the

Greek language in xiii cent. ii. 341. Balduin, his controversy concerning the

merits of Christ, iv. 55.

Baldus, his character, ii. 449.

Balsamon, Theodorus, his erudition and diligence in explaining the civil and ecclesiastical laws of the Greeks in xii cent. ii. 281 and b.

Buncroft, his sermon at Paul's Cross, on the divine right of bishops, exasperates their contest with the Puritans, and the

cffects, iii. 287, 288.
Baptism, not to be considered as a merc ceremony, i. 104; the manner of celebration in i cent. 107.

in iv cent. by the bishop with lighted tapers, and on the vigils of Easter and Whitsuntide, i. 306.

Baptismal fonts, introduced into the porches of churches, when, i. 306.

Baptists, general, Armenian, their doctrine, iii. 348; in what they agree with the particular Baptists, 349.

particular, Calvinistical, their tenets, iii. 349; settle in London, ibid. Baradæus, Jacob, restores the Monophysites in vi cent i. 434; bis dexterity and diligence, 435; is acknowledged their second founder, and hence they are called Jacobites, ibid.

Barbarians, western, persecute the Christians in x cent. ii S4.

Barcepha, Moses, his great character, ii. 29 and p.

Barcochebas, assumes the name of the Messiah, i. 129; a great enemy to the Christians, 131 and u.

Bardesanes, founder of a sect of heretics in ii cent. i. 176; the doctrine he

taught, 177.

Barlaam, his book of ethics shows the author to be inclined to Stoicism, ii. 447; a champion for the Greeks against the Latins in xiv cent. 487, 494; finds fault with some Greck monks,497; the names he gives them, who are defended by Gregory Palamas, 498; is condemned by a council at Constantinople, ibid.

Barnabas, the epistle attributed to him,

supposed to be spurious, i. 97.

Burnabites, regular clerks of St. Paul, founded in xvi cent. and by whom, iii. 150; soon deviate from their first rule and their office, ibid. and u.

Baronius, Cesar, his Annuls, au account of, iii. 152 and z; confutations of them,

ibid. and a.

Barre, Nicholas, forms the Pietists into a society in xvii cent. iii. 503. Bartolus, his character, ii. 449.

Barrow, Isaac, his great zeal for natural knowledge, iii. 445. Barsumas, of Nisibis, a zealous promoter

of Nestorianism, i. 381.

· Abbot, brought the Eutychian opinions into Syria and Armenia in v cent. i. 386, 387; but the former rejects them, ibid. and h.

Basil, Bishop of Cæsarea, account of him

and his works, i. 277 and w.

the council held at, in xv cent. ii. 532; the designs of it, and vigorous prosecutions taken at it, alarm the Roman pontiff, 533 and h, i; the decrees, and acts, of it, 534; the attempts of Eugenius IV. to dissolve it ineffectual, 535; depose Engenius, and elect another, named Felix V. ibid. frlars at Lausanne ratify Felix's abdication, and confirm the election of Nicholas, 538

Basilides, chief of the Egyptian Guostics, i. 179; gems supposed to come from him, ibid. and r; enormous errors of his system, 180: falsely charged with detiying the reality of Christ's body, ibids; his moral doctrine, 181; his errors. and how led into an enormous one,

ibid. and t.

Basilius, of Seleucia, writes against the

Jews in v cent. i. 362.

the Macedonian, under him the Sclavonians and Russians are converted in ix eent. ii. 5; an Inaccurate account of the latter by Lequien, 6 h.

- the founder of an heretical sect in xii cent. ii. 306; is condemned, and burnt at Constantinople, ibid. his tenets resemble the ancient Gnostics and Manicheans, ibid. denies the reality of Christ's body, and a future Resurrection, ibid.

Bassi, Matthew de, zealous in attempting to reform the Franciscans in xvi cent. iv. 147 and i, k; founder of the order of the Capuchins, ibid.

Bayle, a sceptical philosopher in xvii cent

iii. 4.18 and y.

Beauvoir, account of the letters which passed between him and archbishop Wake, relative to their correspondence with the doctors of the Sorbonne, concerning the union project, iv. 229; authentic copies of them, 252. See Wake.

Beeker, Balthasar, account of, iii. 445; his peculiar sentiments, and contest occasioned by them, iv. 122; work entitled, The World bewitched, ibid. argument against the being of spirits unsatisfactory, ibid. u; is opposed, and tumults consequent thereupon, 123; is deposed from his pastoral office, and continues in the same sentiments to his death, ibid. and w.

Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury, subscribes, and afterward rejects the constitutions of Clarendon, ii. 267, 268 sub.

fin. not. s; retires into France, and returns, 269; is assassinated in his own chapel, ibid. reasons to clear Henry II. of England from consenting to his murder, and the punishment inflicted on the assassins, ibid. t; is enrolled amongst the most eminent saints, 270 and u.

Bede, venerable, his character, i. 507 and u; exposition of St. Paul's epistles and Samuel, 509; moral treatises, 515.

Beghards, see Beguines, the origin of this denomination, ii. 392 and r; differed from the Fratricelli in what, 393; considered as seculars and laymen, 395 and s; the miseries they suffer under Charles IV. in Germany, 481, 482; but not extirpated, ibid.

Beghards, Belgic and German, their origin, ii. 395 and u, 396 and w; first society when and by whom formed, ibid. and x; corrupted by the brethren of the free spirit in xiv cent. 500; a division of this sect, 501 c; the persecution of them, and tragical conclusion, 502, 503

and h.

Schwestriones, in xv cent. iii. 449; their leading principle, ibid. the miseries they suffer from the inquisition, ibid. accounts of them by many writers imperfect, ibid. h.

by corruption called Picards, ii. 563; their horrible tenets, 564 severe treatment from Ziska, ibid. and i; called Adamites, 565; this name afterward applied to the Hussites, ibid.

Beguines, see Beghards, how different from

the Belgic and German, ii. 395.

Behmen, Jacob, one of the Rosecrueian brethren, iii. 437; his chimerical notions and followers, iv. 59; works, ibid.

Believers, who obtained this name in the earliest period of the Christian eliurch, i. 88; how distinguished from Catechumens, 99.

Bellarmine, Robert, an eminent defender of the Romish eburch in xvi cent. iii. 164; his character, ibid. is censured by the church of Rome, ibid. and u.

Bellator, his character as a commentator, i 420; translates the works of Origen,

Bello visu, Armand de, an account of ii. 400.

Bembo, Peter, Cardinal, a supposed infidel writer in xvi cent. iii. 119.

Benedict, of Nursia, founder of an order of monks in vi cent. i. 414; his works, 417.

- Abbot of Aniane, employed by Lewis the Meek, to reform the practices of the monks in ix cent. ii. 27; restores the monastic discipline, ibid. subjects the various monastic orders to that, of Benedict of Mount Cassin, ibid. his discipline at first admired, soon declines ihid

VI. Pope, his character and fate, ii. 97.

VII. Pope, account of, ii. 97.
VIII. is raised to the pontificate,

ii. 147.

1X. his infamous character, ii. 147.

XII. his good character, ii. 461;
is censured for the festival he added to
the ritual, 496.

- XIII. Anti Pope, an account of,

ii. 518, 521.

XIII. Pope, his character, iv. 189.

XIV. Pope, Prosper Lambertini, his great character, iv. 189; attempts to reform the clergy, but in vain, ibid.

Benedictine order of monks, its rise in vicent. i. 414; the founder's views in this institution, ibid. degeneracy among them from his practice, 415; its rapid progress in the west, ibid their founder's discipline neglected and forgot by the monks in x cent. ii. 102.

Benefices, the right of nomination to them assumed by the Romish pontiffs, who are opposed by the civil power in xiii

cent. ii. 348, 349.

Bennet, Gervas, gives the denomination of Quakers to the sect so called, and why,

iv. 145.

Berenger, introduces logic into France, ii. 141; his dispute with Lanfranc against the real presence of Christ's body and blood in the Holy Sacrament, ibid. 199 and a; commentary on the Revelations, ibid, explains the doctrines of scripture by logical and metaphysical rules, ibid. maintaius his doctrine of the Eucharist against synodical decrees, and the threats and punishment of the civil power, 207; abjures his opinions, but teaches them soon afterward, 208; his conduct imperfectly represented, ibid. makes a public recantation with an oath, and yet propagates his real sentiments of the Eucharist, 209; bis second declaration before Gregory VII. ibid. subscribes a third confession with an oath, 211; yet retracts publicly, and composes a refutation, ibid. and z; wheuce appear Gregory's sentiments of the Encharist, ibid. and z; his fate, and the progress of his doctrine, 212, 213; his real sentiments, ibid. and c; the weakness of the arguments used by the Roman catholic writers against the real sentiments of this divine, ibid. d; the nature and manner of Christ's presence in the Sacrament not fixed by the church of Rome in xi cent. ibid. sult. fin. not. d.

Berg, the famous form of concord reviewed there, and its contents, iii. 254 and c.

Bermudes, John, sent into Abyssinia, with the title of patriarch, in xvi cent. iii. 132; met with little or no success in his ministry, ibid. a mistake about Loyola heing sent into Abyssinia, ibid. g.

Berne, an account of the cruel and impious fraud acted in xvi cent. upon one Jetzer, by the Dominicans, iii. 18 k.

church of, opposes Calvinism, iii

278.

Bernard, St. Abbot of Clairval, preaches up the Crusade in xii cent. ii. 235; draws up a rule of discipline for the Knights Templars, 240; exposes in his writings the views of the pontiffs, bishops, and monks, 257 and w, x; considered as the second founder of the Cistercian monks, who are called frnm him Bernardin monks, 274; hisgreat influence, ibid. apology for his own conduct in the dis visions between the Cistercian monks, and those of Clugni, ibid. and h; and answer to it by Peter of Clugni, 275 and i; combats the doctrine of the schoolmen, 294; his charge against Abelard, 295 and t; as also against Gilbert de la Poree, 296; opposes the doctrine of the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mary, 304; combats the sect of the Apostolics, 320.

Bernard, of Sens, a mystic writer in xv cent. his character, ii. 558.

Bernoulli, two astronomers in Switzerland

in xvii cent. their character, iii. 431.
Bertramn, Ratramn, monk of Corby, eminent for refuting Radbert's doctrine of the Eucharist, ii. 31 and b; prepares to

draw up a clear and rational explication of this important subject by the order of Charles the Bald, 50 and l, m; an account of this explication, ibid. defends Godeschalcus, 53; his dispute with Hincmar, about the hymn Trina Deitas, 55; maintains the cause of the Latin church against Photius, 59.

Berulle, Cardinal, institutes the order of

Oratorians in avii cent. iii. 501.

Beryllus denies the proper subsistence of Christ before his coming into the world, i. 238; confuted by Origen, he returns

to the church, ibid. and 239.

Bessarion, how employed by the Greeks in the conneil of Florence, ii. 536; terms of reconciliation made by him on their part with the Latins not lasting, ibid. created soon afterward a cardinal, ibid. his character, 547 and m.

Reza, Theodore, teaches the sciences at Geneva with success, iii. 275: his Latin version of the New Testament, and

notes, 210.

Bibliander, an eminent writer in xvi cent.

Biblical colleges, what so called, and their rise in xvii cent. iv. 39.

Biblicists, Christian doctors so called, their rise in xii cent. ii. 292: decline in xiii cent. 407: oppose the scholastic divines, 409.

Biddle, John, a famous writer among the Socialiaus in xvii cent iv. 173 and w. Biel, a scholastic writer in xv. cent. ii. 548

Bishops, appointed first at Jerusalem, i. 91: the nature and extent of their dignity at their first institution, ibid. their authority augmented by the councils, 146: acknowledge themselves the delegates of their respective churches, and anthoritative rules of faith and manners when claimed by them, ibid. their power vehemently asserted by Cyprian in iii cent. 209: their contentions with each other about the extent of power, in iv and following centuries, produced violent commotions in the church, 276: disputes between the bishops of Rome and of Constantinople in v cent. i 346: their court when first established, 351: their ambition to extend their jurisdiction in x cent. ii. 100: aspire atter, and obtain, temporal dignities, ibid. admit persons to the order of saints, independently on the power of the Roman pontiff, 110: oppose the arrogance of the pentiffs in xiii cent. 348 : disputes between them and the Mendieants, 476: sentiments of the Puritans concerning them, 510 and n.

Bizochi, a sect. See Tertiaries, ii. 391,

820

Blanc, Lewis le, his writings to reconcile the Romish and Reformed churches in xvii cent. iv. 86: unsuccessful, 87.

Blandrata, George, propagates Socinianism in Transylvania, and his chnracter,

iii. 371.

Blesdyck, Nicholas, charges David Georgo with maintaining blasphemous errors,

and has his body burnt, iii 350, 351.

**Blesensis*, Petrus, bis worke, ii 283 and o; refutes the Jews in xii ccut. 298.

Blount, Charles, his oracles of reason and

death, iii 424 and i.

Blumius, Henry, his change of religion in xvii cent and character, iii 477 and q

Bockhold, John, a taylor of Leyden, and mock King of Munster, an account of, iii 329: his enthusiastic impiety and seditious madness, particularly at Munster, ibid. and p, q and r; short reign and ignominious death, 330

Bodin, a supposed infidel writer in xvi

cent iii. 119.

Boethius, an account of, i. 406; the only philosopher in vi cent. 407.

Boclius, his controversy with Balduin in xvii cent iv. 55.

Bogerman, presides at the Synod of Dort, and hates the Arminians, iv. 137

Bogomiles, a sect of heretics in xii cent. ii. 306: founder Dasilius, ibid. their name, whence, 307 and n.

Bohemia, commotions in xv cent. excited by the ministry of John Huss, ii. 552: how terminated, 555: troubles there excited against the Protestauts in xvii cent. iii. 456: who defend themselves furiously, and choose Frederic V. King, 456 and q; account of the war, and dreadful consequences of it to the King and the Bohemians, ibid. bow defeated, ibid. r and s; progress of the war unfavourable to the confederates, with the Emperor's proceedings, 457, 458; Gustavus Adolphus intervenes, 459; end of the thirty years war, ibid. the peace of Westphalia, advantages to the Protestants, and the disappointment of the Pope, 460, 461 and y.

Bohemian, Moravian, brethren, from whence descended, iii. 297; their character, ibid. recommend themselves to Luther's friendship, and embrace the sentiments of the Reformed, ihid.

Bohemians, converted to Christianity in ix cent. ii. 4.

Boineburg, Baron, deserts the Protestant religion, in xvii cent. and the cause examined, iii. 477.

Buis, Abbe du, his ambition a principal obstacle to the project of union between the English and French churches, iv. 243. See Girardin.

Bolcslaus King of Poland, revenges the murder of Adalbert Bisbop of Prague, ii. 120; compels the Prussians to reeeive Christianity, ibid.

Bolonia, the fame of this academy in xii cent. ii. 248; spurious diploma of its antiquity, ibid. e; the study of the ancient Roman law very much promoted in it, 250.

Bolsec, Jerom, declaims against Calvin's doctrine of divine decrees, and his character, iii. 316; his treatment from Calvin causes a breach between the latter and Jacques de Bourgogue, 317.

Bonaventura, an eminent scholastic divine in xiii ccut. ii. 380; his prudent endcavours to establish concord among the Franciscaus unsuccessful, ibid. and 384; his great learning, 400 and g.

Boniface, III. Pope, engages the Emperor and tyrant Phocas to deprive the Bishop of Constantinople of the title of Universal Bishop, and to confer it apon the Roman pontiff in vii cent. i 452.

V. Pope enacts the law for taking refuge in churches in vii cent. i. 463.

Winfred, converts the Germans in viii cent. i. 478 and c; his other pious exploits, ibid. advancement in the church, ibid. and death, 479; entitled the Apostle of the Germans, and the judgment to be formed about it, ibid. and d; an account of, 507.

Prussians in xi cent. ii. 121; his fate,

ibid. und h.

which is called the sixth book of the Decretals in xiii cent. ii. 346; bis arrogant assertion in favour of papal power,

349; infamous character, 363; abolishes all the acts of his predecessor, 387; institutes the jubilee, 418; his insolent letters to Philip the Fair of France, and quarrel, 453; excommunicates the king, 454; is seized by the order of Philip, and dies, ibid and g.

Borri, Joseph Francis, his romantic notions, iii 547; is sentenced to perpetual

imprisoument, 54S.

Bosius, George, his doctrine in avii cent.

iv. 51

Bossuet, Bisbop of Meaux, his character and works for reconciling the French Protestants, iii. 469, 470, and u; followed by others on their own private authority, 471; plan of reconciliation re-commended by the bishop of Tinia, who was commissioned for this purpose, ibid hut in vain, ibid. his defence of the Regale, 490, k; dispute with Feneion, and the occasion, 545.

Boulanvilliers, Count, character of him, with his defence of Spinoza, iii. 42S

and y.

Bourgogne, Jacques de, his breach with Calvin, and the occasion, iii. 317.

Bourignon, Antoinette, an account of ber enthusiasm, in xvii. cent. iv. 179; ber main and predominant principle, 180 and f; patrons of her fanatical doctrine, ihid. and g, h.

Bowenson, Leonard excites a warm contest about excommunication in xvi centiii. 335; severe doctrine concerning it,

336.

Boyle, Robert, his lectures, iii. 418 and y,

431; his great character, 446.

Branbantius, an account of bis treatise on bees, ii. 493.

Brachmans, veneration paid them by the Indians, iii. 300; their title assumed by Robert de Nobili, ibid. and 391, i; and by other Jesuits, ihid. and m.

Bradwardine, Archhisbop of Canterbury, an eminent mathematiciau in siv cent. ii. 449; bis book on Provinence, 493.

Brake, Tycho, a celcbrated astronomer in xvii cent. iii 431.

Breckling, Frederic, his uncharitable writings, and character, iv. 62 mid h.

Bredenberg, John, a collegiate, defends the doctrine of Spinoza, in xvii cent. iv. 176; debate between him and Cuiper concerning the use of reason in religious matters, ibid and z.

Bremen, republic of, embraces Calvin's doctrine and institutions. iii 280 and b.

Brethren and si-ters of the Free Spirit, a sect in xiii cent. ii. 428,429 and r, s; various names and singular behaviour, ibid. and t; dangerous and impious conclusion drawn by them from their mystic theology, 430, 431; sentences from some more secret books belonging to them, ibid. and w; some among them

of eminent piety, ibid. place the whole of religion in internal devotion, ibid. their shocking violation of decency, 432 and y; execrable and blasphemous doctrine of some among them, 432 and z: their first rise seems to bave been in Italy, 434 a; several edicts against them in xiv cent 500; prevail over all opposition, 501; called by various names, 563; undergo severe punishments from the inquisition in xv cent. 564 and h; as also from Ziska, 565 and i.

Brethren, and clerks of the common life, an account of them in xv cent. ii. 545; divisions into the lettered and illiterate, and their several employments, ibid. sisters of this society how employed, ibid. the fame of the schools erected by them, and of some eminent men educated in

them, ibid. 546 and h, i.

- white, their rise in xv cent. ii. 566; their name, whence, and what doctrines were taught by their chief, ibid. and k; their leader apprehended by Boniface IX. and burnt, with the suppression of the sect, ibid. and l; various opinions concerning the equity of the sentence passed upon their leader, 567 and m.

British, ecclesiastics, successful in their ministry among the Germans in viii

cent. i. 47S.

Brito, Guil, and his character, ii. 340 and i. Britons, if converted as early as king Lu-

cius, i. 125.

Brown, George, Archbisbop of Dublin, his zeal in the cause of the Reformation in Ireland, iii. 96; bis character, ibid. l; Mosheim's mistake here, and Queen Mary's crucl designs in Ireland prevented, ibid. m; deprived under her, who encourages Popery, that afterward receives under Elizabeth a final and irrecoverable blow to the interest of the Romish cause, 97 and n; his singular account of the genius and spirit of the Jesuits, 141, a.

-Rohert, founder of the Brownists in xvi cent. iii. 29?; his notions, 293 and u; renounces his separation from

the church of England, 294.

Brownists, a sect of Puritans, iii. 292; their sentiments on church government, 293 and u; retire into the Netherlands, 294; their fate on their founder's renouncing his separation, ibid x and y; doctrine and discipline censured, iv. 103.

Brulifer, an eminent scholastic writer in

xv cent ii. 549

Bruno attempts with Boniface the conversion of the Prussians, ii. 121; is massacred, with his colleague and other followers, ibid.

tounder of the Carthusians in xi

cent ii. 189, h.

- two of that name, ii. 194.

Jordano, a supposed infidel in xvi cent iii 119.

Bruys, Peter, attempts to reform the abuses and superstition of his times, and is charged with fanaticism. ii 311, founder of the Petrobrussians, ibid. is burnt, ibid. some of his tenets, ibid.

Bryennius, Nicephorus, an eminent histo-

rian in xii cent. ii. 246.

Bucer, Martin, endeavours to bring about

a reconciliation between the Reformed and the Lutherans, iii. 267; how defeated, 268; his attempts to modify the doctrine of the Swiss church to that of Luther, and how defeated, 274.

Budneians, a sect of Socinians, their doctrine, iii 363; their founder, with his character and sentiments, 379, 380; who is excommunicated, but readmitted, ibid and followed by William Davides, Francken, and others, ibid.

Bugenhagius, draws up a form of religious government and doctrine, according to the principles of the Reformation, for the Danes, iii. 65, the salutary effect of this work in perfecting the Reformation in Denmark, ibid. and u.

John, his Harmonies of the

Evangelists, iii. 224.

Bullinger, bis character, iii. 310; writings, 319.

Bulgarians, converted to Christianity in ix cent, ii. 4.

Burchard, Bishop of Worms, character of his Decreta, written in x cent. ii 105.

Burckhard, Francis, writes against the treaty of Passau, iii. 215.

Burg, Gibbon de, his pacificatory attempts in avii cent. iii. 469 and s.

Burgundians, spontaneously embrace Obristianity, i. 334; the cause to which this is imputed, ibid. inclined to Arianism, ibid.

Bulæus, Walter, the use of his works, ii.

450; his character, 488.

Bus, Cæsar de, founder of the order of the fathers of the Christian doctrine in xvi

cent. iii. 151. 1

Buscherus, Statius, opposes the pacific projects of Calixtus in xvii cent. iv. 31; the conduct of the latter upon this occasion, 32; an account of the Crypto Papismus of Buscherus, ibid.

C.

Cabasilas, Nicholas, an eminent mathematician in xiv cont ii. 447.

____ Nilus, his character, ii 448.

Cabballa, the source of many errors among the Jews, i. 50; derived from the oriental philosophy, ibid. much taught and admired by the Jews, 82.

Gacilianus, Bishop of Carthage, condemned in iv cent. i. 309; the reasons alleged for it, ibid meets with a violent opposition from Donatus, ibid, and c.

Calestius, his doctrine of original sin one main source of Pelagianism, i. 391; account of, ibid. y.

Cæsarius of Arles, his works, i. 417, 423,

450.

Cajetan, Cardinal, his conference at Augsburg with Luther on the nature and extent of indulgences, iii 30; insolent behaviour, and fruitless issue of the debate, 31 and r; absurd expression concerning Christ's blood, 33 and x; character of his exposition of the Bible, 160.

Cainites, an account of their tenets, i. 185. Callistus, Nicophorus, his character and

works, ii. 446, 487.

Calixtines, in Bohemia, their rise in xv cent. ii. 553; four demands, ibid.

Calixtus, George, his zeal for reconciling the Protestants and Catholics in xvii cent. iii. 472; as also the Lutherans and Reformed, iv. 13; his peculiar method and form of theology, 27; system of moral theology, 29; author of Syncretism, and character, 31; opposed by whom, ibid. his death, 33 and d; doctrine condemned, and creed drawn up against it by the Lutheran doctors, ibid. opinions, 35 and f; his real design, ibid. sub. fin. not. f; two great principles, with debates carried on with the doctors of Rintclen, Coningsberg, 36; and Jona, 37; the candid examination of Glassius on this occasion, ibid. and k.

Frederic Ulric, opposes the creed of the Lutheran doctors against

Syncretism, iv. 34.

201; disputes concerning investitures subside by his prudence, ibid.

tival of the Transfiguration, ii. 562.

Calorius, a Lutheran writer in xvii cent. iv. 26; attacks Calixtus, 32; his malignity against the disciples of Calixtus,

even after his death, 33.

Calvin, John, a short character of him, iii. 68 and a, b; facilitated a reconciliation of the Reformed and Lutherans, 268, 269 and g; error liere, 269; set on foot the controversy about predestination, 270; his opinion, and that of the ancient Helvetic doctors, ibid. the former, propagated with discord, carried to the greatest height, 271; founder of the Reformed church, 274 and o; his grand views how in part executed, ibid. 275, 276 and p; doctrine and discipline altered from that of Zuingle in three points, ibid. first the power of the magistrate, ibid. second the eucharist, little different from the Lutherans, though much from Zuingle, 277, 278 and q, r; different from the Romanists, ibid. third, in God's absolute decree, ibid. his changes not approved or received by all the Reformed. 278, 279; gains ground

in Germany, 279; and in France, 281; in Scotland by Knox, and in England, 282, his system made the public rule of faith in the latter place under Edward VI 283; his system adopted in the Netherlands, 295; his rigid discipline, and resolution in establishing it and the dangers he is therenpon exposed to 307, 308 and d; his interpretation of the precepts of Aristotle, 309; Commentary, and why sharply censured, 310; Institutes of the Christian religion, 312; Practical divinity, or life and manners of a true Christian, ibid. contest with the spiritual libertines, 313; with those of Geneva, 314; disputes with Castalio, 315; with Bolsec, 316; with Ochinus, 317; puts Servetus to death, 356; bis method of interpreting scripture scrupulously followed by the members of the Reformed church, iv 72.

Calvinists, secret, favourers of, in Saxony, iii. 250; whence called Crypto-Calvinists, 252; attempts to spread their doctrine, 257; and consequences, with the death of Crellius, their chief patron,

Camaldolites, a monastic order, their rise in xi cent. ii 186; founder Romuald, whose followers are divided into two classes, the Conobites, and the Eremites, ibid. and z.

Camateurs, Andronicus, his character, ii.

Cambalu, now Pekin in China, erected by Clement V. into an archbishopric in xiv cent. ii. 442,

Camerarius, Joachim, a promoter of universal learning, and his character, iii. 219; his Commentary on the New Testament, 224.

Cameron, John, his reconciling doctrine

and endeavours, iii. 83.

Campanella, a philosopher in xvi cent. iii. 123; his character, ihid. p.

Campanus, his heretical notions, iii. 355,

Canon of scripture, supposed to be fixed about ii cent i 93; and reasons for this

supposition, ibid.

Canons, a religious order, their origin in viii cent. i 503; their founder Chrodegangus, ibid. and h; encouraged hy Lewis the Meek, ii. 27; who orders a new rule, to be drawn up for their observance, which is condemned, and institutes the first Canonesses, 28 and h; the author of this rule, ibid. partiality of their historians, ibid. i; degenerate from their primitive purity, 29; corruption among them in xi cent. 191; retormation attempted, and new laws made, ibid. distinction into regular and secular, 192; why called Regular canons of St. Augustin, ibid. and p; inreduction into England, ibid

Canons, regular, their useful lives and manners in xii cent. ii. 275; contest with the monks about pre-eminence, 276.

Roman, their luxurious lives, iii. 146

Cantacuzenus, John, his history of his own times, and confutation of the Mahometan law, ii. 487.

Caniipratensis, Thomas, his character, ii.

Capistran, John, his character, ii. 549: eminent for his defence of papal authority. ibid.

Capito. Robert, an account of, ii 341, 400 and i: his commentaries on Dionysius,

Cappel, Lewis, charged with making imprudent and base concessions, through a de-ire of diminishing the prejudices or resentment of the Papists against the Protestants in xvii cent. iv 86; the voluminous and elaborate work undertaken by him, what, ihid. q, r, and s; zealously opposed, ihid. the churches of Switzerland alarmed at his opinions, and the event, iv. 125

Capreolus, John, his character, ii. 548.

Capuchins, their origin in xvi cent. and founder. iii. 147, 148 and i, k; envy against them, and why so called, ihid. and m, n; banished Venice in xvii cent. žii. 483; but recelled 484, a.

Caputiati, a sect of fauatics in xii cent. ii.

320.

Caracalla, Emperor, his lenity to the Christians, i. 192.

Carilan, a philosopher in xvi cent. iii. 122

his character, ihid. n.

Cardinals, the right of electing to the sec of Rome vested in them by Nicholas II. in xi cent. ii. 150 and e; their origin, and rights, 152 and h, i; divided into two classes, of Cardinal Bishops and Cardinal Clerks, 153; and the meaning of these terms, 154 and n; their college augmented by Alexander III. 155.

what incapable of being elected to the

see of Rome, 127 and b

Cario, an eminent historian among the Lutherans, iii. 218.

Carolostadt. his intemperate zeal and warm debates with Luther, iii. 232; excites a tumult at Wittemberg, ibid. and g; leaves Wittemherg, and opposes the sentiments of Luther concerning the Eucharist, ibid. and h; propagates his doctrine in Switzerland, 233; favourable disposition toward the Anabaptists, and enthusiastical teachers, ibid, charged with fanaticism, ibid, and i.

Carmelites, a monastic order, their rise in xii cent. ii. 279; founded by Albert, patriarch of Jerusalem, ibid. their rule of discipline, ibid and t: unwarrant-

able pretence to a very remote antiquity, and that Elias was their founder, ibid. and u; the absurd arguments brought in support of this pretence, 279; their arrival in England, 280; sub fin. not. y; transplanted into Enrope, and favoured by Honorius III. Pope, 369; reformation introduced amongst them in xx cent. iii. 148; divisions amongst them ibid. and 149.

Caro, Cher, Hugo de St his Concordance to the Bible, the first that appeared, ii. 400 and l; collects the various readings of the Hebrew, Latin, and Greek Bibles

ibid.

Carpathius, John, his moral writings, i. 515

Carpathius. Philo, his character, i. 359 Carpocrates, an Egyptian Gnostic, i. 181; his impious tenets, which destroy all

virtue, 182.

Cartes. M. des, an astronomer, iii 431; his character, 438; philosophy, 439; method adopted by him, and the elergy alarmed, 440; charged with atheism, ibid. opposed by other sects, and the consequences to science, 440; his method applanded, yet several faults found in it, 441; Gassendi his chief adversary, ibid. has a great number of followers, 442; metaphysical improved and propagated with success, 445; by Malebranche and Leibnitz, with the character of each, ibid. and r.

Cartesian controversy in Holland, an account of, iv. 115; philosophy, why considered as a system of impiety, 116; edicts against it, but ineffectual, ibid.

Carthusians, a monastic order, its rise in xi cent, ii 189; tounder and severe laws, ibid, and h; why so few names of that order, 190 and k.

Cassian, his character, i. 355 and q.

Cassiodorus, his character, i. 418; exposi-

tions of Scripture, 420

Castalio, Sebastian, opposes Calviu, and his character, iii. 316 and y; is banished Geneva, and received into Basil, ibid, and z.

Castilions, the extraordinary method used by them to determine the superior excellence of the Roman and Gothic service in xi cent. ii. 217.

Castilione, Gilbert de, refutes the Jews in

xii cent. ii 298.

Casuists, ancient, not so good as the Lu-

theran, iii. 227.

Catechumens, an order of Christians in the carly ages of the Church, i 88; how distinguished from believers, 100; not admitted to the sacrament, 307.

Catharists, Paulicians, so called in xi cent. ii. 220; their unhappy state in xii cent. 308 and p; resemble the Manichwans in their doctrine, and hence called by that name, ibid. their tenets, ibid. and

q; two principal sects, ibid. their local trinc and subdivisions, 309; sentiments concerning the birth of Christ, ibid. church constitution, discipline, 310 & u. Cedrenus, an historian in xi cent. ii. 136. Celestine I. Pope, sends Palladius and Patrick to convert the Irish in v cent. i. 336.

Emperor, the Duke of Austria, the King

of Galicia and Lcon, ii. 272.

V. Pope, obnoxious to the clergy, and why, ii. 363; his good character, ibid resigns the papat chair, and is founder of the Celestines, ibid. is sainted, ibid.

Celliles, their rise at Antwerp in xiv cent. ii. 484; catled Alexians and Lollards, with the reason 485 and u; their fame and progress. 486, 487; oppressed by the clergy, ibid. privileges granted them by the Popes, 487.

Celsus, his objections against Christianity

refuted by Origen, i. 135.

Celts, learning among them in i cent i. 83 their Druids and priests eminent for their wisdom, ibid.

Cene, Charles le, propagates Pajon's doctrine, see Pajon, iv. 90; his singular translation of the Bible condemned, ibid and b; he rejects the doctrine of original sin and human impotence, &c. ibid. and c.

Century, i its Ecclesiastical history, i. 29.
—ii cent. 123—iii. cent. 191.—iv. cent. 245.—v. cent. 331.—vi. cent. 397.—vii. cent 439.—viii. cent. 477.—ix cent. ii. 3.—x cent. 73—xi cent. 119.—xii cent. 227.—xiii cent. 323.—xiv cent. 441.—xv cent 507.—xvii cent. iii. 9—Appendix 1 383—xvii cent. 102.—xviii cent iv. 183.— Appendix II 214—Appendix III. 226.

Cerdo, founder of an heretical sect in Asia, i. 175; his principles and tenets

176.

Ceremonies, rites, two only instituted by Christ, i 104; the Jewish retained in some, but not at all places, 105; why multiplied in ii cent. 162-165; the csteem of modern Platonism a cause of their increase in iii cent. 226; their burden in iv cent. apparent from a saying of Angustin. 301; how multiplied in v cent, with a general view of the new rites, which are attended with much pomp, i. 369; several introduced into the Romish ritual in vii cent. 463; additions by every Pope, with several examples, ibid. their origin, nature, and ends, become the subjects of many writers in xi cent 61; these writings considered as to their use, ibid. a general account of them in this cent. 62; many of them drawn from Pagan rites 64 and k: their increase, and the nature of

them in x cent. 113; of the Romish church, imposed on all the western churches, 216; the superior excellence of the Latin or Gothic ritual left to be determined by single combat and fiery trial in Castile, 217; absurdity of performing divine worship in an unknown tongue, which prevails hoth in the La-tin and Eastern churches, ibid. the eagerness of the Grecian bishops to increase their ritual in xii cent. ii. 303; multiplied in xiii cent. 415; ridiculous, and those instituted in relation to the Eucharist, 416 and r; many and useless ceremonies remain in avi cent. iii-179; where most prevalent, ibid. and

Cerinthus, founder of an heretical sect in i. cent. i. 119; blends the doctrines of Christ with the errors of the Jews and Gnostics, and how, ibid. 120; an advocate for the miliennium, and promises his followers a sensual paradise for a thousand years, and an endless life in

the celestial world, ibid.

Celurarius, Michael, patriarch of Constantinople, revives the controversy between the Greeks and Latins in xi centil. 202; accuses the Latins of various errors, and resents the Pope's arrogance, 203; violent measures used on both sides, ibid. and 204; adds new accusations, ibid.

Chains, what so called by the Latins, i.

Chais, his letters concerning the jubilee

commended, ii. 288, a; 419, x.

Chalcedon, fourth general council, called by Marcian the Emperor, i. 385; the legates of Leo I. Pope, preside at the council, ibid. condemns, deposes, and banishes Dioscorus, ibid. annuls the acts of the second council at Ephesus, ibid. the doctrine relating to Christ established here, what, ibid. the melancholy consequences of this council, ibid.

Chalcidius, his notions of the agreement between the Christian and Pagan religions, i. 261; this philosopher not alone in this opinion, ibid. whether a Christian

or not, i. 401, n.

Chapters, controversy about the three, in vi cent. i. 425 and o; condemned by Justinian, and warm opposition, ibid.

Charenton, sy nod of, pacificatory attempts at, in xvii cent. iv. 8; but ineffectual, 9 and h.

Charity, feast of, called Agapæ, what, i. 61; celebrated at the conclusion of the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, 108;

suppressed in v cent. i. 370.

Charlemagne, his expedition against the Saxons in viii cent. i. 481; his design of propagating Christianity, ibid. the aversion of the Saxons to the gospel, and whence, ibid. and & : his methods for converting and retaining the Saxons, ibid. i; is canonized, 482; the judgment to be formed of his conversions, 483; his attempts against the Saracens not very successful, 485; revives learning among the Latins, through the assistance of Alcuin, 487; if founder of the university of Paris, considered, 488; his mnnificence to the Roman pontiff, and the cause, 492 and n; his grant to the see of Rome, and its extent uncertain. 498 and u; the motives to which this grant is to he attributed, 499; opportunity opened for the western empire, which he embraces, ibid. and w; his rights, and the papal right to dispose of an empire, considered, ibid. and y; 500 z; his works, 507; exposition and zeal for the study of the scriptures, 510; misses his aim, and how, 511 and g; assembles a council at Francfort, at which the worship of images was unanimously condemued, 521 and z; his attachment to the Romish ritual, 523; attempts to stop the progress of superstition, how rendered ineffectual, 524.

Charles the Bald, a great patron of letters

and the sciences, ii. 12.

Charles V. Emperor, is persuaded to pre-vent the issuing of any unjust edict against Luther, iii. 43; unwilling to offend the Pope, he calls a diet at Worms, at which Luther is banished, 44; ratifies the sentence of Luther's banishment, ihid. and r; his interview with the Pope at Bologne, about calling a general council, and the answer of Clement VII. to his request, 59, 60; is an advocate for papal authority at the diet of Augsburg, 72; concludes a peace with the Lutheraus, and the conditions of it, 76; listens to the sauguine councils of Paul III. 83; his designs give occasion to the Protestants to take up arms, ibid. raises an army against the Protestant princes, for opposing the council of Trent, 84; his base and perfidious hehaviour to Philip Landgrave of Hesse, 85 and y; his real views, 89; disconcerted by Manrice of Saxony, 90; his attempts to impose on the Germans the edict called Interim, and consequence, 240.

Charles I. of England, his character, iii. 464; three principal objects of his administration, iv. 95; entrusts the execution of his plan to Laud, ibid, his proclamation in tayour of Calvinism perverted by Laud, 96, sub. not. m; dissensions between him and the Parliament increase, 98; the latter abolish episcopal government, and hring the King to the scaffold, ibid. reflections upon this event, and the conduct of the

Puritans, ibid.

- II. patron of arts. iii. 432; his character, 465 and 1; state of the

church under him, and his successors, iv. 110; Act of uniformity, called also Toleration Act, under him, 111 and h; eonsequences to the Non-conformists, and fluctuating state, ibid. suffering state of the Quakers under him, 149; grants Pennsylvania to William Penn, 151

Chamsi, or Solares, an account of, in xvi

eent. iii. 199 and g. Charron, an enemy to the Gospel, iii. 119. Chaumont, French ambassador to the King of Siam, with the latter's acute answer to the former's memorial, iii. 394 and q. Chemnitz, Martin, his examination of the council of Trent commended, iii. 218; Harmonies of the Evangelists. 224.

Childeric III. King of France, deposed by pope Zachary in viii cent. i. 495, 496

and q.

Chillingworth, a leader of the Latitudinarians, in xvii eent. his great character, iv. 109; his work entitled the Religion of Protestants, a safe Way to Salvation, commended, ibid, d.

China, Christianity planted there in vii cent. by Jesujabas of Gaddala, i. 439; the state of Christianity here in xiv cent.

ii. 442.

- missions, there in xvii cent. iii. 395; their astonishing success, 396; owing to the Jesnits, with their dexterity in arts and sciences, ibid. progress of Christianity how retarded, with a change of affairs, ibid. great success, 397; Romish missions in xviii cent. iv. 184; state of Christianity somewhat precarious, 185.

Chinese monument discovered at Siganfu in vii cent. i. 439 and a; Christians, dispute about allowing them their old religious rites in xviii cent. iv. 185.

Choniates, Nicetas, a good historian in

xiii cent. ii. 336.

Chorepiscopi, their origin and office, i. 92; permitted to baptize, but not to confirm, as confirmation was reserved to the bishop alone, 108 and i.

Chosroes, King of Persia, a violent persecutor of the Christians in vi cent. i. 404; a patron of the Aristotelian philosophy,

Christ, his birth, i. 53; accounts of him in the four gospels, 54; his choice of twelve aposties and seventy disciples, and reason for this particular number, 56; extent of his fame beyond Judea, 57; his death, 58; resurrection and ascension, 58, 59; pours out the Holy Ghost on his apostles, 60; his gospel preached first to the Jews and Samaritans, ibid. respected among the Gentiles, 62, 63 and c; left the form of the church undetermined, 85 and z; institutes only two Sacraments, 104: hence a multitude of ceremonies not necessary or essential to Christianity, ibid. comparison between him and the philosonhers, and its fatal consequence, 201, 202; a parallel arrogantly drawn between him and Apollonius Tyanaeus, 260; disputes about the nature of his body in vi cent. 436; debates about the manner of his birth in ix cent. ii. 56; the festival of his body, or the Holy Sacrament, in xiii cent. and its origin, 359.417; controversy in xv cent. concerning the worship due to his blood, and how decided by Pius II. 561; his divine nature denied by the Socinians, iii. 354; omnipresence of his flesh, a subject of debate in xvii cent. iv. 52; generation of, according to Roell's sentiments, 120, 121; his humanity denied by the Quakers, with their opinions concerning him, 157, 158.

Christian religion, the whole comprehended in two great points, and what these are, i. 98; rites or ceremonies multiplied in ii eent. and the reasons, 162; remark of Lord Bolingbroke concerning the elevation of the host in the Romish church, ibid. n; first reason, a desire to enlarge the borders of the church, ibid. a passage in Gregory Thaumaturgus's life illustrating this, 163, o; second reason, to refute calumnies and reproaches, with a remark thereon, ibid. third reason, the abuse of Jewish rites, ibid. fourth reason, the imitation of the heathen mysteries, 164 and p; fifth reason, the symbolic manner of teaching among the eastern nations, 165; sixth reason, prejudices of converted Jews and Gentiles, ibid. an example brought for an illustration of this last reason, 166; assemblies, when and where held by the primitive Christians, ibid. the state of its doctrine in iii cent. 214; vicious method of controversy practised by its defenders in this cent. and spurious writings among them, 220, 221; its progress in the east in vi cent. 397; in the west, 398; many converts retain their idolatrous customs through the vicious lenity of the missionaries, 399 and i; miracles supposed to be wrought by its missionaries in this cent. examined, 400; three methods of explaining its doctrine about this time, 421, 422.

Christianity, causes of its rapid progress supernatural, i. 63, 127; its sneeess ascribed to absurd causes, 65; its progress in the Roman empire, 123; in Germany, 125, 478; in Gaul, 125, 263; the conversion of the philosophers in it eent, if advantageous or not, considered, 129; is gradually corrupted, with a proof, 150, 151; deprived of its primitive simplicity, and whence, 162, 165; its success in iii cent, must he imputed partly to divine, partly to human causes,

193, 194; embraced by the Goths, 195, 263; interpreted according to the principles of the Platonic philosophy, 215; Julian attempts its destruction, 256, 257; the efforts of the philosophers against it, 260; and the prejudices received by the Christian cause from them, ibid. established in Armenia, 262; its progress among the Abassines and Georgians, ibid. the causes of the many conversions in iv cent. 264; corrupted by the introduction of various rites, 301; embraced by the Burgundian3, 334; by the Franks, ibid. 335; by the Irish, 336; conversions in v cent. causes of, examined, 337; attempts of the Pagans to destroy its credit, 339; its decline in Britain, through the cruelty of the Anglo-Saxons, ibid. opposed by secret enemies, 341; authorities and logical discussions thought more useful in proving its principles, than the word of God, 362; its progress in the east, 397; the conversion of Ethelbert, King of the Anglo-Saxons, and of many others in Britain, 398, 440; many Jews converted, 399; Platonic philosophers oppose its success in their writings, 401; introduced into China by Jesujabas of Gadala, 439; many Jews compelled to embrace it by the Emperor Heraclius, 442; propagated in Hyrcania and Tartary, 477, ii. 73; suffers through the success of the Torks and Saracens, i. 484, 485; embraced by the Danes, ii. 3, 78; by the Swedes and Cimbrians, 3, 4; by the Bulgarians, Bohcmians, and Moravians, 4; Slavo. nians send an embassy to Constantino. ple with their resolution to embrace it, 5 and f; conversion of the Russians, who are misrepresented by Lequien, ibid. 6 and h, and 76; authority of the Fathers made the test of truth in ix cent. 41; embraced by the Poles in x cent. 75; by the Hungarians, 77; by the Norwegians, and through whose endeavours, 79, 80 and u; the zeal of Christian princes in propagating it in this cent, and the cause, 84, 85; no writers in its defence at this time, 111; conversion of the Pomeranians in xii cent. by Otho, Bishop of Bamberg, 227, 228; received by the inhabitants of the island of Rugen, through the pions labours of Absalom, Archbishop of Lunden, 228 and b; by the Finlanders, 229 and c, d; by the Livonians, ibid. what judgment must be formed of the conversions in this cent. 232; its doctrine corrupted, and by what means, 285; its decline in Asia in xiv cent. 445, 446; as also in China and Tartary, ihid. conversions of the Samogetæ and Indians in xv cent. considered, 508; propagated by Spanish and Portuguese missions, and the methods examined, iii. 115 and a; propagated in India, 390; bow first

conveyed to Siam, Tonquin, and Kochin China, 392; its enemies in England, and how audacious in the reign of Charles II. 418; the ingenious treatises in defence of religion, and Boyle's lectures founded, ibid. and x; Burnet's abridgment of these, 419, y; chief leaders of this impious band against Christianity, and characters, ibid. 424 and notes; its enemies on the continent, 424, 429 and notes; its prosperous state in xviii cent. iv. 183; propagated in Asia, Africa, and America, and by whom with its different fruits. 184; its enemies in Europe, and more especially in England, 187 and c; Atheists, but few, 188; Deists, who, and may be divided into different alorges ibid.

423

different classes, ihid.

Christians, ten persecutions of them, by the Gentiles, i. 67; what emperors made laws against them, ibid. why persecuted by the Romans, 68; loaded with opprobrious calumnies, 70; falsely charged by Nero with burning the city of Rome, 73; their persecution under him, ibid. and the extent, 74; why persecuted by Domitian, and the martyrs, who, 74, 75; a perfect equality among the primitive, 88; divided into believers and catechumens, 99; first, their care in the education of their youth, 100; their schools and Gymna. sia different, ibid. secret doctrine, what, 101; lives and manners, ibid. contro. versies early among them, 102; adopt the Jewish rites in several places, but not in all, 105; unanimous in conse. crating the first day of the week to public worship, ibid. churches established among them, and how the public worship was conducted, 107; the Lord's supper, feasts of charity and baptism, 107, 108; the sick anointed, and fasting introduced, 109; the persecution under Trajan, 130; under Adrian, 131; under Antonias Pius, 132; the calumny of impiety and Atheism charged upon them, refuted by Justin Martyr, ibid. persecuted under Marcus Antoninus, ibid. and the chief martyrs, who, 133; the clemency of Commodus toward them, 134; the calamities they suffer under Severus, 134. 196; rendered odious by calumnies, 134; at Alexandria, captivated with the principles and discipline of the modern Platonics, 138; their learning in ii cent. 144; why many become Ascetics, 158; pious frauds, whence, 160; excommunication found necessary, ibid. penitential discipline gradually modelled by the Heathen mysteries, 161: and the expediency of this custom considered, ibid. their immunities increased under various emperors in iii cent. 191, 192: their numbers increased, partly by divine, and partly by human causes, 193. 194: persecution

424

they suffered under Maximin, 196: mamy revolt from the Christian faith under Decius, 197: and the opprobrious names given them, ibid. ccrtificates from the Pagan priests to those who apostatized, ibid, and s: warm disputes concerning the readmission of the lapsed, upon their request to be restored to the communion of the church, 198: persecuted by Gallus, Volusianus, and Valerian, 199: their state under Gallienus and Claudius, tolerable, 200: persecution under Anrelian prevented by his death, ibid. attempts of the Jews against them, 202: their affairs reduced to a dangerous crisis under Dioclesian, 248 : miseries very great under Galerius Maximin, 249: happy state under Constantine the Great, ibid. calamities they suffer under Licinius, 253: letters revive among them in iv eent, and the causes, 266: yet many illiterate among them, 268: two most pernicious maxims adopted by their teachers, 293; their immorality increases, 294; controversies frequent among them, 295; suffer from the success of barbarous invaders in v cent. 338: the cruelty of the Goths and Vandals to them in Gaul, 339: their calamities from the Picts and Scots in Britain, 340: persecuted in Persia, and the cause, ibid, the opposition they mot with from the Jows, 341; sufferings from the Vandals in Africa, 374: from the Anglo-Saxonsin England, 403: from the Huns and Lombards, ihid. from Chosroes in Persia, 404: oppressed by the Saracens in Spain and Sardinia, 485: their superstitious piety and morals in viii cent. 508; persecuted in x cent, by the barbarians in the west, ii. 84; their affairs in Palestine in a declining state, 234; oppressed by the Saracens in xii cent. and the cause, 243; an important division of their doctors, 292; both faulty in the methods of detending and explaining Christian doctrines, 293; the decline of their interest in Palestine, and how occasioned in xiii cent. 332; endeavonr to extirpate the Saracens out of Spain, 444, 507.

Christiern, II. King of Denmark promotes the Reformation among the Danes, but from bad motives, iii. 63; is deposed, and the reasons, 64 and r; the different conduct of his successor Frederic, ibid. 65 and t.

forming the Danish church from mish superstition, and how he finished a by Bugenhagius, and the council at Odensee, iii. 65 and u; suppresses episcopacy, and how far justifiable, 66 and x.

Christina, Queen of Sweden, her change of religion and character, iii. 476 and

m; joins with Lewis XIV. against Pope Innocent XI 490 n.

Chrysoloras, Manuel, his character ii. 449 and t.

Chrysostom, a general account of bim and his works, i. 277, y, z; his commentaries on the scriptures, 285; morat treatises, 288; the rigorous proceedings of Theophilus, Bishop of Alexandria against him, and on what account, i. 368 and w; the injustice of bis sufferings considered, 369.

Chub, a Deistical writer in xviii cent. iv. 188 and c; his hypothesis of Deism, ih. Church. in general, its history in xvii eent. iii. 383; in xviii eent. and Romish in particular, its prosperous state, iv. 183; missions appointed by the latter, and success, with observations, ibid. famous contest concerning the observance of the old Chinese rites in China, and how decided in two Papal edicts, 184; consequence of the execution of

these edicts in China, 184, 185. Church, Arminian, its history and rise in xvii eent. iv. 127; by whom founded, and whence denominated, ibid. and a: its commencement and doctrine of Arminius, 128 and b; who is opposed, and by whom, ibid. and c; progress of this ehurch after his death, with some hopes of a toleration, 129 and d; pacific methods used by its members, but in vain, ibid. and e, f; their doctrine comprehended in five articles, and what these are, 130; last of the five articles changed by the Arminians, 131; resemble Luther's doctrine, with the Calvinists' opinions concerning them, ibid. 132 and h: Prince Manrice declares against the Arminians, and consequence, ibid. 133 and notes; synod convoked at Dort, to examine their doctrine, and by whom, 135 and n; their tenets condemned by it, with the bad consequences to them, 135, 136 and o; the synod accused of partiality by the Arminians, and with reason, ibid. and p; ibid, and q, r; their fate after the synod of Dort, 137; persecuted variously, 138; arc invited into Holstein, and form themselves into a colony, ibid. and u; recalled from exile, 138; their ancient and modern system, 140 and y; which was invented by Arminius, but embellished by Episeopius, with the great end proposed by it, and its principal heads, 140 and s; their confession of faith, but are not obliged strictly to adhere to its doctrine, and consequence, 142 and c, d; united only in their opinions concerning predestination and grace, ibid. their present state, 143; success in England, ibid. fundamental principle embraced at most protestant courts, and what it is, ibid. great progress, how retarded in Germany, 144 and ee; form of divine worship and ecclesiastical government, 145. and f.

— Dutch, its state in xviii cent. iv. 208; divided by the Cartesian and Cocceian controversies, but with some hopes of their termination, and whence, ibid. other controversies, and concerning what, ibid. 209 and x, y.

Eastern, its history in xvi. cent. iii. 180; divided into three distinct communities, ibid. Greek, properly so called, what, its subdivision into two branches, and its four provinces described, 181, 182 and o, p, q; its doctrine, 184; unsuccessful attempt to unite it with the Protestants, 185.

Churches, Eastern, separated from the Greeks and Latins, iii. 190; comprehended under two classes, with their names and subdivisions, ibid. and h; the remarkable aversion to Popery shown by those members of them who have been educated at Rome, 200, 201 and i; their state in xviii cent. iv. 195; Greek Christians treated with more in-

dulgence than formerly, ibid. Church of England inclines to the sentiments of Luther, and in what respect, iii. 282; but changes after the death of Henry VIII. to Calvinism, 283; receives a new form of ceremonials and discipline under Qucen Elizabeth, 285 and l; controversy with the Puritans, 318; revolution in it, in favour of Arminianism, iv. 80, 93; its genius and spirit, 80; state under James 1 and changes made in it, 90, 94 and notes; state under Charles 1. 95, 104 and notes; under Cromwell, 105, 106 and t; who tolerates all sects but Episcopalians, ihid. Presbyterian government established, ibid. t; what sects flourished at this time, 106 and u, w, x, y, and 107; its state under Charles II. and his successors, 210; divisions, whence the terms of High church and Low church, 111, 112 and notes; its state in xviii. cent iv. 205; established form of government, i. 206; Nonconformists in general tolerated, joid. the members of the established church divided into two classes, with their different opinions concerning episcopacy, ibid. warm disputes between them, with the principal champions, ibid. and 207; its spirit with relation to those who dissent from it appears from its rule of doctrine and government, and from Dr. Wake's project of peace and union between the English and Gallican churches, ibid. and w; various sects in England, threugh the unbounded liberty of the press, and publishing religious notions,

Church, Greek, its history and deplorable

state in xvii cent. iii. 550; some exceptions, 551 and a; invincible aversion to the Latin church, ibid. and b; methods for softening it, under Urban VIII. and great hopes of success entertained by the Latins, who published many laborious treatises on this plan. 552. 553 and d: its doctrine, if not corrupted by the Romish missionaries and doctors, 554 and g; whence, with bribery, &c. of the Jesuits, in obtaining certificates from the Greeks about some Romish points, 565.

Helvetic, what points first excited a difference between its members and the Lutherans, iii. 273 and m; adopt Zuingle's doctrine of the Eucharist, 274 and n; opposes Bucer's endeavours to modify its doctrine to some degree of conformity with that of Luther, ibid. warm contests in it concerning the formulary of concord, and whence, iv. 209; and how terminated through the intercession of the King of Great Britain and the States General, 230

and the States General, 210.

- Lutheran, its rise, iii. 42, 43 and q; progress retarded by internal divisions relative to the Eucharist, 49; and by a civil war, 50, 51; its name, commencement. and why called Evangelical, 207; why Lutheran, ibid. began to acquire a regular form, &c. and raised to the die. nity of a lawful and complete Hierar. chy, 208; sum of its doctrine, ibid. certain formularies adopted by this church, ibid. confession of Augsburg, with its defence, ibid. and a; its ceremonies and public worship, 209; rejects the ceremonies and observances of the church of Rome, 210; its visible head, and form of government, ibid. and f; internal government removed from Episcopacy and Presbyterianism, Swcden and Denmark excepted, 211 and g; Hierarchy, ibid. liturgies, public worship, and method of instruction, 212; holidays and ecclesiastical discipline, 213 and h; excommunication, ibid. and how brought into disrepute, and to what attributed, 214; few prosperous or un. favourable events, 215 and h: allega. tions of their enemies against the religious peace, unjust, 216 and n; state of learning, ibid. study of the Belles Let-tres and languages, 217; authors cmineut therein, 218; various fate of phi losophy among them, 219; sects among 220; science of theology correct. d improved, 223; exegetic theolcos, its state, ibid. respective merits of interpreters, 224; two classes, 225; didactic theology, ibid. its form changed, 226; morality, its state, and writers upon this subject, 227; no regular system, 228; polemic or controversial theology introduced, ibid, asperity in its

disputants, how alleviated, 229; three periods to be distinguished in the history of this church, 230; enjoys tolerable tranquility during Luther's life, ibid. debates between Luther and the fanatics in the first period, and who they were, ibid. also between him and Carolostadt, with the occasion, 232, 233 and g, h, i; and Schwenckfeldt, 333; and Antinomians, 235; debates in the se-cond period between the death of Luther and Melancthon, 237; methods to heal divisions, how frustrated, 249; form of doctrine projected, and James Andrea employed, 250; rejects the form of concord, 255; judgment concerning the controversies in it, 260; principal doctors and writers, 261; its history in xvii cent. iv. 3; loses ground in some places, ibid. attempts made toward au union between the Lutheran and Reformed churches, 6; declaration of the synod of Charenton, 8; prosperous events, 14 aud p; progress in learning, 15; state of philosophy, 16; freedom of philosophical inquiries gains ground, 17; ecclesiastical polity, and bad consequence thereof, 23, 24; most eminent writers in this century, 24; historical view of its religious doctrine, 25; commotions in it, 29; its external and internal state in xviii cent. 196, receives a considerable accession, and whence, with its success in remote countries, but is oppressed at home, ibid. 197; methods of defence, &c. changed, and why, ibid. attempts to reform its body of ecclesiastical law, opposed by its chief rulers, whence contests arise, with their motives for opposition, ibid. its intestine enemies, and the fate they meet with, ibid. some pretended Roformers, but they fall into contempt and are forgotten, 198; state of philosophy, 200; metaphysics improved, and by whom, ibid. opposed, and controversies thereon, with the charges brought against it, ibid. the Wertheim translation of the Bible condemned, and its au_ thor imprisoned, 201; the Pietistica, controversies still carried on, but reduced to one principal question, and what that is, ibid. and. 202; other religious contests and divisions in this church, 202.

Church, Reformed, its history in xvi cent, iii. 261; constitution and founders, ibid. no centre of union, and how far this remark is true, 262 and q; the causes that produced this state of things, ibid. who may claim as members of it, 264; its progress in Switzerland, 260; controversy between the Lutherans and Reformed, about the Eucharist, 265; progress of it, 266, 268; dispute about predestination, 270: discord carried to the

greatest height, 271; what worthy of observation in the rise and progress of this church, 272; its history comprehended in two periods, ibid. its principal founder, 274; form of doctrine, different from Zuingle's, 276; is opposed, 278, 279; yet propagated in many countries, 279; in Germany and France, 281; its state in the Netherlands, 295; in Poland, 296; divisions, 299 and q; doetrine adopted by it, 301; how different from the Lutherans, 302; and the importance of these differences, 303; to whom belongs the right of ecclesiastical government, determined, 304; its form of ecclesiastical government, 305. 306 and z; state of church discipline, 307; of learning, 309; interpreters of scripture, ib. theological doctrine, 310; state of morality, 312; and explained by Perkins and others, ibid. persons of emiuent genius in this church, 313; gains ground in Hesse and Brandenburg in xvii cent. iv. 4, 5; its history in this cent. 64; limits extended, ibid. 65, m, n, o; decline in France, 66; receives injurious treatment from the French court, 67; its decline in the Palotinate, 70; state of learning, ibid. and u; of explanatory, 72; and didactic theology, 74; and also of morality, 75; controversies, 77, 90; its state in xviii cent. 202; its great extent, and who may account themselves members of it, ibid. 203 and notes; expression of Dr. Mosheim censured, 203 o; inaccuracy censured, ibid p; remarkable error in the quarto edition of this work corrected, and how, with the defence of the Reformed church against the charge of approximation to popery, ibid. r; no general subject of controversy between the Lutherans and Armenians, and how far this remark is true, 204 and s; projects of reunion between the Reformed and the Lutherans, and whence unsuccessful, ibid. and t.

Reformed, in France, disposed, to favour Arminianism, iv. 80; particular tenets, 81; the cause of departing from their brethren in some points, ibid. charged with some concessions of moment to Popery, and this charge examined, 82 ii, and k; controversy raised by Hypothetical Universalists, 83; Cameron and Amyrout's attempts, with the form of reconciliation drawn up by the

latter, ibid.

— Romish, sends missionaries into Tartary in xiii cent. ii. 324; a great schism in xiv cent. 463; its bad consequences, 464; plan for reforming it, and the substance of it, in xvi cent. iii. 82; and w; charged with fanaticism and superstition, 106; zealous in appointing an infinite number of missionaries, and

how accounted for, 131; character of commentators, 160, 161; theological disquisitions in this church demand reformation, and why, 161 and q; state of practical religion among its members 163: moral writers divided into three classes, ibid. and t; produces many polemic divines, and their character 164; its internal state examined, and proved to he disordered, 165, 166; principal subjects of debate reduced to six, and explained, 167, and notes; vain attempts to unite the Russian church to this, 201; little or no success attends the labours of the missionaries among the Eastern sects, and iniquitous practices used to gain converts, 203, 204 and w; how far it was considered a true church hy the commissioners of Queen Elizabetu, 290; its history and Popes in xvii cent. iii 449; attempts to ruin the Protestants, but unsuccessful, 453, 454; writers on hotb sides, 454; loses ground in the East, with two striking instances of it, 477, 478 and s; its downfal in Ahyssinia, 478; the papal authority its decline, 481; French maxim concerning it, embraced by most princes and states of Europe, 482; all prospect of reconciling the Protestants with the members of the Romish communion quite removed in xviii cent. and bow, iv. 190; intestine divisions in this church 191; controversy relative to the Jesuits and Jansenists still continues, ibid. 192 and f; debates occasioned by the New Testament of Quesnel, with the Bull of Pope Clement XI in condemnation of it, ibid. commotions raised by this Bull in France, with the issue in favour of it, 193; two circumstances, by which Jansenism is supported, 194; pretended miracles by the remains of Abbe Paris refuted, and visions of the Jansenists considered, and success of their cause, ibid. and i, 195 and k; attempts of the Pontiffs to renew the Abyssinian mission ineffectual. ibid

Church, rulers, how called in i cent. i. SS; their character and office, ibid. distinction between teaching and ruling Pres-

byters considered, ibid. and e.

Russian, its history and rise in avii cent. iii. 556 and m, n; change introduced into it by Peter I. 657; its state in xviii cent. iv. 195; followers somewhat more civilized than in the tormer ages, with some exceptions, ibid. Churches, if the first Christians had any, considered, i. 107 and z, a; the remark-

able zeal of Christians for rebuilding , and adorning them in xi cent. ii. 218.

- more aucient, their history, iii. 419.

- modern, an account of, iii. 206. Chylraus, eminent for bis knowledge in history in avi cent. 218

Cimbrians, converted to Christianity in ix cent. ii 4.

Cinnumus, an historian, in xii cent. his character, ii. 246.

Circumcelliones, in Africa, their rise and ravages, i. 311: severe proceedings against them after their defeat at Bagnia, 312.

Cisterrian monks, their rise in xi cent. ii. 186; founder Robert Abbot of Moleme, ibid. discipline, ibid. gradually cor-rupted, 187 and c; their opulence and credit in xii cent. due to St. Bernard, Abbot of Clairval, ii. 274; whence called Bernardians, ibid. division between them and the monks of Clugni, ibid.

Clarendon, constitutions of, what, and

their design, ii. 267 and s.

Lord, his account of Archbishop Abbot, iv. 92 and f; partiality

censured, 93 snh. f. Clarke, Dr. Samuel, charged with altering the orthodox doctrine of the Trinity, iv. 210; his method of inquiring into that subject, and his doctrine of it comprehended in fifty-five propositions, 211. snb not. z; endcavours to avoid the imputation of heresy ineffectual, is branded with that of Semi-Arian, and proceeded against by the honses of convocation, with his prudence, ibid. sub. z; is opposed by several divines, and particularly Dr. Waterland, and defends himself with spirit, 212.

Claudius, Emperor, the state of the church

under him, tolerable, i. 200.

Bishop of Turin. his exposition and chronology, ii. 30, 40; his laudable zeal in ix cent. against images and their worship, 47; is opposed, ibid. success in maintaining his opinions, ibid. the doctrine maintained by bis adversaries, ibid.

denies Christ's divinity, iii. 355. Clausenburg, academy at, iii. 3\$1 and x; account of, ibid.

Clemangis. Nicholas de, his great charac-

ter, iii. 429 and p. Clemens, Bishop of Rome, the most eminent writer in i cent. his works, i 94 and s, t; some writings falsely attribu-

ted to him, 95 and w.

Alexandrinus, his great character, i. 14S; commentary on the scriptures lost, 151; work against Heretics, 153; treatiscs on morality lost, 155.

Clement. of Ireland, misrepresented by Boniface, Winfrid, i. 525; condemned at a council by Pope Zachary, ibid. the berrsy he is charged with, examined, ibid. k.

III. Pope, remarkably zealous

for Crusades, ii. 272.

V. abrogates the laws made by Boniface VIII. and shows himself to Philip the Pair in other respects, ii. 458; contentions which happened at his

death concerning the choice of a successor, ibid.

- VI. his character and ambition, ii. 461; reserves to himself the disposal of various churches and benefices, ibid.

- VII. his character, iii. 48; insolent behaviour at an interview with the Luperor Charles V. at Bologna, 59; reasous for deferring to call a general council, 77 and i.

VIII. Hippolito Aldobrandini,

an account of, iii. 449 and a.

· IX. Rospigliosi, his character,

iii. 457; peace of, 532 and u.
XI. Albani, his bigh character, iii. 453 and m; decides the controversy relating to the Chinese rites against the Jesuits, and severe edict of, iv. 185; which is mitigated, and how, ibid. consequence in China, ibid condemns by his bull Unigenitus, Quesnel's New Testament, and anecdote concerning, 192 and g.

- XII. character of, iv. 189. Clementina, spurious, i 221 and n.

Clergy, a perfect equality among them in i. cent. i 92; an artful parallel between the offices of the Christian and Jewish, with the pernicious consequences, 147; their vices in iii. cent-209; they assume superior dignity, which occasions inferior orders, 210; concubinage introduced among them, 211; crimes charged on them, with the cause, in iv cent 275; their excessive pride in v cent. 351; and source of their vices, 352, their vices not to be restrained by the legislature in viii. cent. 490, veneration for them greater in the West than in the East, and why, ibid, and k; the increase of their revenues, whence, 491 and t; are invested with temporal dignities, 492; great liberality to them, and the cause of, 492, 493 and n; their enormous erimes in ia cent. and sources of them, ii. 17, 18; zealons in the cause of superstition, ii. 33; their revenues increased through the fondness that prevailed for relics, 37; vices in x cent. principally imputed to the examples of the pontiffs of Rome, 93; simony and concubinage frequent among them, 101, 102 and e.f; decay of picty and discipline among them in xi cent. 1-1-1; their infamous lives in xiii cent. ii. 346; complaints against them in xiv cent 453; the great de-cline of the Christian church in xv cent, through their neglect and vices, 517; the objects of universal contempt in xvi cent iii. 16; the doctrines they chiefly inculcated, what, 22; neglect a reformation of principles, and why, 23. - of Rome, their state in xvi cent. iii. 141: obtain considerable advantages at the expense of their pontiffs. 146; manners of the superior, and cause of their great corruption, ibid. the inferior orders more virtuous in their principles, ibid. their state in xvii cent. iii. 495; how corrupted, with several exceptions, ibid. s and 496.

Clerks, apostolic, account of that order,

and its abolition, iii. 344.

- regular, their rise in xvi cent. ii. 484.

Clovis, King of the Salii, founder of the kingdom of the Franks in Gaul, i. 334; converted to Christianity, and how induced to embrace it, ibid. is baptized by Remigius, Bishop of the Salii, ibid. the influence of his conversion on the minds of the Franks, 335; the miracle said to he performed at his baptism, a fiction, ibid. and o; his conversion gave rise to the title of Most Christian King, 336.

Clugni, Monks of, their founder Odo, Abbot of Clugni, ii. 102; discipline soon adopted in all the European convents, 103; a mistake made by writers concerning this order, ibid. h; jealous of the Cistercians, 274; Benedictines de-

generate in xii cent. ibid.

Cocceius, John, his sentiments followed by the Dutch divines in xvii cent. iv. 26; method of interpreting scripture, 73; followers and tenets, ibid. 74; who are united with the Cartesiaus, 115; his sentiments concerning Holy Scripture, and account of, 117; differs from Cal. vin, and how, ibid. his chimerical system, ibid. sentiments concerning the doctrinal part of theology, 118; is onposed, yet, after all stands his ground, ihid.

Coddeus, Peter, assists Arnaud in propagating Jansenism in Holland, iii. 533. Codinus, George, his works, ii. 547.

Canobites, an order of Monks in iv cent. on account of, i. 292.

Cogitosus, a writer of the lives of the saints in vi cent. i. 423.

College de propaganda fide, founded at Rome in avii cent. iii. 383; number of members, ibid. and a: business, 384; another by Urban VIII. 385; some of the same nature in France, ibid. altercations of their missionaries with those of the Jesuits, 386.

Collegiants, a Sociuian sect, their rise in avii cent. iv. 173 and t, u; founders, 174; whence called Collegiants and Rhinsbergers, ibid. and x ; their customs and tenets, 175; extensiveness of their community, which comprehends all Christian sects, ihid dehates among them, and concerning what, 176 and

Collins, his impiety and Deism in xviii cent. iv. 188.

Collyridians, a sect in iv cent. i. 330; they worship the Virgin Mary, ibid.

Colonia. Dominic, the use of his History of the controversies in the church of Rome, iii. 173.

Columban, the disciple of Congall, an account of, i. 414, 418 and u; the success of his ministry in vii cent. 455.

Comestor, Peter, his character, ii. 283.

Commentaries, chains of them in ix cent. and whence this name, ii. 38 and p.

Commentators, few men of judgment amongst them in iv cent. i. 284; pervert the natural expressions of scripture, and search for allegorical senses, 285; their character in vi cent. and division into two classes, 421; few among the Greeks in ix cent. and defects of the Latin, ii. 39; allegorical, their fundamental principle, and number of senses, ibid. 40; deserve little notice in x cent. 110; many in xi cent. but very unequal to the undertaking, 198; their defects in xii cent. 288; their character in xiv cent. 488; in xv cent. 556.

Commission court, high, account of, iii. 288 and p; exorbitant power, ibid. in fine; principles laid down by it, 289; bow answered by the Puritans, ibid.

Commodus, Emperor, state of the Christiaus under him, i. 134 and b.

Comnenus, Alexis, Emperor of Greece, suspects the designs of the first Crusade, and is at first afraid, ii. 124; a great patron of letters, 135; his controversy with the Manichæans, 220.

Emanuel, his character and

works, ii. 299, 300.

Conception, immaculate, of the Virgin Mary, contest about in xii cent. ii. 302 and h: the cause of additional veneration being paid her, 303; controversy ahout it in xvii cent between the Franciscans and Dominicans, iii. 540, 541 and g; feast instituted in honour of it by Cle-

ment XI. 540, g.

Concord, form, account of, iii. 209, 250; why called Book of Torgaw, 253; its' compilers, and account of, ihid. b, c; purposes for which used, 254; produces much disturbance, and opposed by the Reformed, ibid. and even by the Lutherans themselves, 255; their different motives, with Julius of Brunswick's conduct, 256, 257; why rejected in his dominious, ibid. suppressed in Brandenburg in xvii cent. iv. B and d; disputes in Switzerland concerning it, 125; by whom drawn up, and why, ibid. good intention of it frustrated, and contests occasioned, 126; abrogated at Basil and Genevn, and falls into oblivion, ib. disputes about in Switzerland in xviii cent. and loses much of its credit, 209. Concordate, what, iii. 14; forcibly imposed on his subjects by Francis I. of France, ihid. and h.

Conference, between Protestants and Papists at Ratisbon, iii. 467; at Newberg, ibid. at Thoru, between several Doctors of the Lutherau, Reformed, and Romish churches, ibid. at Rheinfeldt, between the Reformed and the Catholics, 468; in France between Claude and Bossuet. ibid. at Leipsic, between the Luthcrans and Reformed, iv. 8; at Cassel, ihid. and at Thorn, 9 and i.

Confessional, some groundless remarks in it answered, iv. 214, 225. See Appen-

dix II. and III. 214, 226.

Confessors, who entitled to this name, i. 71; veneration paid to them perverted, and their number, ibid. records of their lives and actions lost, 72.

Confucius, assertion concerning him, iii. 403: religious worship paid him, by the

Chinese, 404 and z.

Congall, Abbot, propagates the monastic discipline in Great Britain, i. 413.

Congregation of Aid, why so called, iii. 178; history of its proceedings uncertain, ibid. and m.

of Cardinals, their office. iii. 128, c.

of the Holy Sacrament in France, and its founder, iii 385.

Conrad, of Lichtenau, his character, ii.

of Marpurg, the first German inquisitor, ii. 425; his harbarity and fate, ibid. and k, l.

Constance, the famous council held at, in xv cent. ii. 520; its design to heal the divisions in the Papal empire, 521; limits the authority of the Pope, ihid. its happy issue, ibid. and m, n; issues out a decree against the writings and ashes of Wickliffe, 529; deprives the Laity of the cup in the Holy Sacrament and the reason, 580.

Constans, obtains all the Western provinces, i. 255; is soon deprived of his empire, and assassinated by the order of

Magnentius, ihid.

Constantine the Great, made Emperor in the West, i.248; publishes an edict in favour of the Christians, and restores peace to the church, 250; is involved in a civil war hereupon, and defeats Maxentius, ibid. grants the Christians power to live according to their own laws and institutions, ibid. is converted to Christianity, and different opinions concerning his faith, ibid. the sincerity ot his faith proved, 251; is baptized by Eusebius, Bishop of Nicomedia, a few days before his death, ibid. and w; whether influenced somewhat worldly motives to embrace Christianity considered, 252; the influence of Christianity and superstition compared,

JNDEX. 430

ibid. and x; slory of the cross seen by him in the air, ibid. and attended with several difficulties, and bypotheses about it, 253 and a; his colleague Licinius persecutes the Christians, ibid. and b; but is defeated and strangled, 254; his efforts against Paganism, and zeal for Christianity, ibid. dies, and is succeeded by his three sons, who massacre all their relations but two, ibid. 255 and e; models ecclesiastical government according to the civil, 270; divides it into external and internal, 271; how he and his successors frequently called councils, &c. ibid. raised in the Bishop of Byzantium a rival to Rome, 274; presides at and determines an ecclesiastical cause against the Donatists, 310. - H. what dominions were al-

lotted to him, i. 255; engages in a war with his brother Constans, and is slain. Copronymus, his zeal against image worship, i. 501, 519; calls a council, which condemns this practice of superstition, ibid. the validity of this conneil denied by the Roman Catholics, ibid. u; the monks, who opposed the decree of this council, chastised by him,

ibid.

· Porphyrogeneta, his zeal for reviving learning among the Greeks in x cent. ii. 87; encourages literature by his example and munificence, ib. and ϵ ; his laudable design how frustrated, ibid. ralls an assembly of the elergy, in which fourth marriages are prohibited, 112.

Constantinople, the first council at, assembled by Theodosius the Younger, i. 326; which condemns the Macedonian Heresy, and confirms and improves the doctrine of the Nicene council relative to the Trinity, ibid. another summoned by Justinian, i. 427; the three chapters condemned here, its authority admitted by the pontiffs of Rome, but rejected by the African Bishops, 428; decree passed at it respecting the suffering of one upon the cross, ibid the third council at, called here by Constantine Copronymus, condemns the practice of image worship, but its decision is found insufficient to stop the progress of this superstition, 519; the city taken in xv centand the great decline of Christianity in the East, ii. 509.

Constantinople, Patriarch of, his jurisdietion in iv cent. i. 271; is placed next to the Bisbop of Rome, and consequences of this promotion, ibid. 275; contends with the Pope for supremacy in v cent. 346; his power augmented by Leo in vii cent. 502; disputes about pre-eminence in ix cent. ii. 57; by whom elected in modern times, iii. 183; confirmed in his office by the Emperor of the Turks, ibid. his extensive power and revenues, whence, 183, 184 and s, t.

Constantius, declared sole Emperor on the death of his brothers, i. 255; his death and successor, ibid.

Cblorus, bis mild government in Gaul, i. 247; Cbristians in the West enjoy peace under him, 248; is succeeded upon bis death, by Constantine the Great, his sou, ibid.

Consubstantiation, when introduced instead of Transubstantiation, and by whom, ii. 415..

Controversial writers, employed in explaining the terms of salvation and acceptance in i. cent. i. 103; their merit and demerit in ii cent. 154; called Economical, and whence, 221; supposititious and spurious writings frequent among them, ibid. scurrility and dialectic much used by them in iv cent. 286; and the chief at this time, 287; very considerable in v eent. 361; the rules of the ancient Sophists esteemed by them as the best method of confuting error, 362; their works destitute of probity, moderation, and prudence, in vicent. 424; how far they may be considered as worthy of an attentive perusal in vii cent. 462; few engaged in essential points of religion in viii cent. but confined to the disputes about image worship, 515, 516; prevented in ix cent. by intestine divisions from opposing the common enemies of their faith, ii. 43; scholastic method of disputing introduced among them in xi cent. 202; and flourishes in xii eent. 297; more numerous than respectable in xiii cent. 412; few worthy of notice in xiv cent. 493; many eminent among them in xv cent. 559.

Controversies, private, in xvi cent. iv. 55,

56; and x.

Controversy, concerning the Millennium, i. 222; the baptism of Heretics, 223; Meletian, 295; Ærian, 297; between Jerome and Vigilantius, 366, 367; coneerning the three chapters, what and how terminated, 425, 426; relative to image worship, with its origin and progress in viii cent. i. 516, 521; about the derivation of the Holy Ghost, 521, ii. 48; eoncerning images, among the Greeks, 44; and among the Latins in ix cent. 46; the Encharist, began by Pascasius Radbert, 49; and predestination and grace, 52; the words Trina Deitas, 55; the birth of Christ, ibid. universal ideas begun in x cent. 90; the Eucharist, and predestination, and grace, subsides, and the reason, 106; fourth marriages, with the divisions occasioned by it in the Greek churches, but terminated by Constantine l'orphyrogeneta, 111, 112; about the use of unleavened bread in xi cent. 201; relative to Martial Bishop of Linoges, if worthy of an apostleship, 214; to the God of Mahomet, 300; to the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mary, 302 and h; concerning worship of Christ's blood, 561; presence of Christ's body and blood, in the sacrament, between the Reformers in xvi cent. iii. 49, 50; the use of reason in religion in xvii cent. iv. 120; and reducible to two questions, ibid. by whom this was opposed, and how niitigated, ibid.

Controversy, adiaphoristic, or about matters indifferent, between Melancthon, and Flacius xvi cent. iii. 240 and s; the two questions discussed gave rise to the dispute about the necessity of

good works, 241 and notes.

-synergistical, iii. 242 and a; principal champions in it, 243.

Conventual, brethren, who, ii. 483. Conversions, in iv cent. the causes of, considered, i. 264; in v cent. to what owing, i. 337; in is cent. the nature of, and views, ii. 6; traces of idolatry among the converted, accounted for, ibid.

Conversion of Jews, and Moors in Spain,

in xv cent. by force, ii. 507.

Copiate, their office in the church, i. 211 and t, in fine.

Copts, in Africa, their aversion to the church of Rome in xvii cent. iii. 560. Corbinian, a zealous missionary among

the Germans in viii cent. i. 480. Cordt, Christian Bartholomew de, a patron of Bourignon's doctrine, and ac-

count of, iv. 180. Cornelius, Anthony, one of the founders of the collegiants, an account of, iv.

Corrupticolæ, who, i. 436: their opinions concerning Christ's body, 437.

Cortesius, Paulus, his Commentary on

Proverbs, ii. 558.

Cosmus, bishop of Jerusalem, his character, i. 506.

Council, general, one very much desired, in xvi cent. iii. 77; why retarded by Pope Clement VII: ibid. and i; who eludes his promise, and dies. ibid. and k; his successor Paul III. inclined to call one, proposes to assemble it at Mantua, and why protested against by the Protestants, who draw up the articles of Smalcald, 78 and l, m.

Councils, if any in i cent. i 92; whether that of Jerusalem was one, ibid and m; their origin among the Grecks, 146; soon become universal, ibid. increase

the power of the bishops, ibid.

-, œcumenical, when first established, i. 269; what so called, ibid. their power diminished by Alexauder III. Pope ii. 270.

Courayer, Dr. remarkable anecdote concerning him, and good character, iv.

220.

Cranmer, archbishop of Canterbury, an

account of, iii. 319. Crautwauld, Valentine, assists Scwenfeldt

against Luther, ii. 233.

Crellius, minister at the Saxon court, pro. tects the Crypto Calvinists in avi cent. iii. 258; suffers death, 259 and m.

-, Samuel, professor of theology among the Socinians, differs from Socinus, and whence called the Artemonite, iv. 173 u; dies at Amsterdam, iv. 210. Crescens, his virulent efforts against Chris-

tianity, and particularly against Justin

Martyr, i. 135.

Cromwell, state of the church under him in xvii cent. iv. 105; attached to no particular sect, 106; favours the independents in order to balance the Presbyterians, ibid. and t; tolerates all sects but Episcopalians, ibid. resolves at first to suppress the Quakers, but afterward is obliged to desist, 149.

Cross, if one of the Trinity can be said to have suffered on it, debated, i. 428; how made a proof of inuocence in ix

cent. ii. 64 and i.

Crown and rosary of the Virgin, an institution in x cent. ii. 114; and what,

Crump, Henry, attacks the mendicants in xiv cent. ii. 467.

Crusades, holy wars. See War, holy, ii. 82, &c.

Cuiper, Francis, opposes Bredenberg's sentiments in favour of Spinoza's doctrine, and controversy thereupon, iv. 176; his writings, and an account of, ibid. sub. not. z.

Cusa, Nicholas de, his works iii. 430 and q; labours to reform the schoolmen in

xv cent. 441.

Cyprian, bishop of Carthage, opposes the readmission of the lapsed in the persecution under Decius, and gains his point, i. 198; suffers martyrdom under Valerian, 199; a character of his works, 213; opposes the imperious measures of Stephen Bishop of Rome, 224.

Cyriac, of Ancona, introduces a taste for

coins in xv cent. ii. 513.

Cyril. Bishop of Jerusalem, his character and works, i. 277; admired for his cat-

echetical discourses, 285.

-, Bishop of Alexandria, his character, i. 354 g; Commentaries on the Scriptures, 359 and d; anathematizes Nestorius twelve times, 378; presides at the council of Ephesus, 379; condemns Nestorius, ibid. how blameable in the Nestorian controversy, 380 and p; anathemized at Ephesus by John of Antioch, 381.

Patriarch of Constantinople, his character, iii. 553: favourable to Rome in xvii cent. ihid. and f; is put to death,

554.

Damascenus, John, his concise and comprehensive view of Aristotle's doctrines, i. 486; is followed by many in the study of this philosophy, 487; his character, 506; Commentary on St. Paul's Epistles, 509; systematic works, 51-1; polemic writings, 515.

Damianists, a sect in vi cent. i. 43S; their founder Damian, Bishop of Alexandria, ibid. doctrine of the Trinity, ibid.

Damien, Petrus, his character, ii. 201; moral, 194; and controversial works,202.

Damyla, Nilus, a zealous advocate for the Greeks against the Latins in xiv cent. ii. 483.

Dancers, a sect in xiv cent. ii. 504 and k, Danhaver, John Conrad, opposes Rheinboth in his opinions in xvii cent. iv. 56.

Daniel, Gabriel, defends the Jesults, iii.

170 z.

Dante, his character, zeal, and success in restoring the purity of the genuine cloquence of the Latins in xiv cent ii 449.

Dantzic, small Socinian sect founded at, in xvi cent. iii. 373.

Dantzigers, or Prassians, a sect of the reformed Anabaptists, and why so called, iv. 163 and h.

Darensis, Johannes, his explications of the

pretended Dionysius, i. 515.

David, Francis, propagates Socinianism in Transylvania, iii. 371; adopts the doctrine of Budnæns about Christ, 380; his imprisonment and death, ibid and

a ridicu-Davidists, David Georgians, lous sect in xvi cent. iii. 350; impiety of the founder, why exnegerated, 351 some remains of them in Holstein, Friesland, and other countries, ibid.

Deaconesses, in the primitive church, their

olfice described, i. 90.

Deacons, of the church at Jerusalem, their office described, i. 89; if the young men who carried out Ananias and Sapphira belonged to this order, 90 h.

Decius, the dreadful persecution under him, and consequences, i. 197.

Decretals, forged, procured by the Pontiffs to establish their supremacy in ix cent. ii. 24 and z, a; a collection of them made in xiii cent. by Raymond of Pennafort, ii. 340 and i.

Deists, promote their principles with impunity under Cromwell in xvii cent. and their chicfs, iv. 106; account of them in xviil cent. their notions, and principal writers, iv. 188; essential religion, its author and refutation, ibid and d

Deities, Heathen, who admitted to this

bonour, i. 33 and m.

Delft, assembly of the Dutch clergy held at, by which every candidate for orders is obliged to declare his abhorrence of Cartesianism, iv. 116.

Demiurge, of the Eastern philosophers, who, and his character, i. S1.

Denmark, converted to Christianity in ix cent. ii. 3; and confirmed in it in x cent. 78; the rise and progress of the Reformation in xvi cent. iii. 63, 64.

Derusi, or Drusi, an account of, in xii

cent. ii. 333. c.

Des Cartes. See Cartes, iii. 431, &c. Desiderius, Bishop of Cahors, his epistles, i. 456.

D'Espence, an emineut expositor in xv cent iii. 160.

Devay, Matthias, with others, introduces the doctrine of the Swiss churches into Hungary and Transylvania, iii. 298.

Deurhoff, William, accused of a propensity to Spinozism, his notions and

works, iv. 208

Dezius, his absurd attempt to prove no difference between the council of Trent and the confession of Augsburg, iii. 470 and to.

Diadochus, a moral writer in v. cent. his works, i. 363.

Didymus attacks the whole hody of Heretics in iv cent. i. 288.

Dinant, David of, n great admirer and disciple of Amalric, his fundamental principle, ii. 435.

Dioceses, their origin, i. 92.

Diocletian, persecution under, how pro-cured, i. 246; the causes and horrid severity, 247 and f; brings the affairs of the Christians to a dangerous orisis, 248.

Diodorus, Bishop of Tarsus, an account of, i. 278; his interpretation of the Scrip-

tures, 284.

Dion Cassius, an eminent rhetorician in iii cent. i. 204; combats barharism, ibid.

Dionysius, Bishop of Alexandria, the Great, so called from his grent erudition and moderation, i. 213 and a; his moral writings, 220.

the Arcopagite, n Greek fanatic under that name in iv cent. i. 289; the success of his gloomy notions, ibid. and n.

pretended Arcopagite, his works, i. 423; pancgyrics on him, ii 42; his writings translated by the order of Lewis the Meek, ibid. and u, w; life by Hilduin, 43.

- the Little, his works, i. 417, 423. the Geographer, when he lived.

ii. 169.

chief of the Mystics, an account of, ii. 558.

the Carthusian, attempts an association between the Mystics and Schoolmen in xv cent. ii. 659.

Dioptra, a dialogue between the body and the soul, by Philip the Solitary, an account of, ii. 297.

Dioscorus, an account of, i. 391.

Dippelius, John Conrad, a Hessian divine,

his fanaticism and insolence, iv. 49; character of him and his writings, ibid. and q.

Disciples, LXX. their authority and office, accounts of, uncertain, i. 85; their commission extended only to the Jews, ibid. Discords, between Greeks and Latins,

seeds sown in vii cent. i. 402.

Divines, Belgic, declare war against the form of concord, iii. 355; adopt the sentiments of Voet, and assist him in his controversy with Des Cartes in svii eent. iv. 116

biblical, their state in xiv cent.

ii. 490.

didactic, in xiv cent. both Greek and Latin, adopt the rnles of the Aristotelian philosophy in their writings, ibid.

Mystic, in xiv cent. an account

of, ii. 492.

Polemic. See Controversial

regiters.

Saxon, adopt in appearance the opinion of Augustus, Elector of Saxony, and his followers in xvi eent. iii. 251, 252, and w; but endeavour to abolish it, ibid. convened at Torgaw; some imprisoned and banished, ibid. and x; attack Calixtus, iv 34; their malicious conduct after his decease, 33; draw up a new creed, ibid.

Divinity, systematic, none to be met with

in ii eent. i. 152.

Doctors, Christian, a famous division of, into two classes in xii cent. ii 292; their manner of expounding scripture, 293; oppositions from both sides, with the effects, 293, 294.

· Lutheran, corrupted by the stratagem of the Jesuits, iii. 227; never attempted to give a regular system of morality, ibid. favourite maxim among them, iv. 22 and w.

- Swiss write against the form of concord, iii. 263; aim to reduce all churches under one form of ecclesiastieal government, 255; are far from adopting the doctrine of Predestination, 270; endeavour to reconcile the Puritans and Church of England, 318.

Doctrine, secret, among the ancient Christians, in what it consisted, i. 101.

fathers, of the Christian, in France and Italy, founded in xvi. cent. by Cæsar de Bus, iii. 150.

Dodwell, Henry, his works in defence of the Non-juring Bishops, an account of

iv. 112, 113 and l.

Dolet, a supposed infidel in xvi cent. iii.

Dominic, his zeal in extirpating error, and destroying Heretics, ii. 370; founds an order of Monks,371; dies at Bologna,ib.

Dominicans, an order of Monks founded in xiii cent. ii. 370; the vow of absolute

poverty is imposed on them by their founder, 371; some sent into England, found Monasteries, and are known there by the name of Black-Friars, 372; called Jacobins in France, with other appellations, 371 r; esteemed by the Popes, with the eminent services done to the latter. 373, dipute between them and the university of Paris, 374; its decision in their favour by the Pope, 375 and b; warmly opposed by St. Amour, ibid, erect their first court of inquisition at Thoulouse, 424; deny that Dominie founded this Tribunal, 423 sub. not. g; model it after the Tribunal of Penance, 424; deprived of their ancient honours, and how long, 495 and n; the cruel and impious fraud practised by them at Berne, in xvi cent. iii. 18 and k; discovery and fate of the actors, sub. fin, not. greatly instrumental in obtaining the condemnation of Luther, 20; their doctrine of the sacraments, what, 171 b.

Domitian, persecutes the Christians, and why, i. 67; the martyrs, who 71.

Donatists, rise of the controversy with, i. 308; whence so called, 310 and e; their dispute with Cæcilianus, 309; their appeal to Constantine against him, 310; the cause determined against them in two councils, ibid. their invectives against Constantine, who determines in favour of Cæcilianus, and the consequences, 310, 311; their state under Julian and Gratian, 313; the two causes of their decline, ibid. the principal crime they are charged with, ibid. their defeat in the reign of Honorius, i. 372; and suppression; 432.

Dorotheus, Abbot of Palestine, his ascetic dissertations, i. 456; moral works, 460.

Dort, synod of, in which the doctrine of Arminius is condemned, iv. 78; its de cisions treated with contempt in England, 80; and neglected among the reformed in France, ibid. disliked by King James I. and the English clergy, 92, 93.

Dositheus, a Samaritan impostor, improperly called an Heretie, i. 116 and u.

Doxopatrius, eminent for his knowledge in ecclesiastical polity, ii. 193.

Druthmar, Christian, his Commentary on St. Matthew, ii. 31.

Dudith, his character, iii. 364, u.

Dulcinus, an eminent fanatic in xiii cent. ii. 437; the leader of the sect of the

apostles, 438; his death, ibid.

Dunbar, Lord Treasurer, procures the famous act of the assembly of Scotland in favour of episcopacy under James I. of England, iv. 92, sub. not. f.

Dungal, an Irishman, his great character, ii. 16; writes in defence of images, ii.47. Dunkelspuhl, Nicholas, his zeal in reforming the monks in xv cent. iii. 542.

Dunstan of Glassenbury, his character and works, ii. 104 and p.

Duræus, John, his pacific exploits, iv. 10; great character, 11; some of his tencts, 12; propensity to the sentiments of the Mystics and Quakers, ibid.

Durandus, William, an account of, ii. 400. of St. Portion, a polemic di-

vine in xiv cent. ii. 487.

Dursians, Duruzians, a sect which inhabit Mount Libanus, iii. 99; their origin and

religion uncertain, 199 and f.

Dutch, their schemes for propagating their doctrine in the East Indies, iii. 410; zeal for spreading the gospel truths in the American provinces how obstructed, and success in Surinam inconsiderable, 417 and u, 418 and w; sects among them in xvii cent. iv. 123.

Duylz, Rupert of, his great character, ii. 282; an eminent expositor in xii cent. 289; refutes the Jews, 298; his sentiments of the Eucharist, and other re-

ligious contests, 304.

East, the remains of ancient sects, in xvi

cent ii. 420.

Easter, disputes in ii cent. about the time of keeping it, i 167; occasion and progress of them, ibid they prevail principally between the Asiatics and Romans, 168; hence is drawn a striking argument against the supremacy of the Bishop of Rome, 169, w; the progress of this dissension stopped by the prudence of Irenæus, and the Asiatic Christians' letter, ibid. the celebration made the same through all Christian churches, by the council of Nice, ibid.

Ebionites, a sect of Heretics who lived in ii cent. i. 121; their origin, 171; Gospol, ibid. and f; whence they derived their name doubtful, 172; their tenets

very dangerous, ibid. and i, k.

Eccard, Henry, a brother of the Free Spirit, a man of great erudition and family in xiv cent. ii. 502.

Echellensis, Abraham, his pacificatory attempts to reconcile the Greek and Latin churches in xvii cent. iii. 553.

Eckius, one of the first adversaries of Luther, iii. 29; his dispute with Carolostadt on the power and freedom of the human will, and with Luther on the power of the Pope, 35. 36 and c; urges Leo X. Pope, to excommunicate the latter, 40, 41 and n; his dispute with Melancthon at Worms, 82.

Eclectics, philosophers, their order esta-blished at Alexandria, and whence their rise, i. 42; how different from those philosophers of this name in the time of Ammonius, 140; who prefer Plato

to all others, 138.

- philosophers, so called in xvii

cent. iii. 448; method, ibid. most famous among them, ibid.

Ecthesis, edict, published by the Emperor Heraclius in ix cent. i. 468; received in the East, but rejected by Pope John IV. 469.

Eginhard, Abbot of Selingestat, his character, ii. 14; author of the life of Charlemagne, 30; admired for the beauty of his diction, and elegant perspicuity of style, ibid.

Egyptian sect of Gnostics, their notions, i. 173; different from the Asiatic Gnostics, and in what, with their various leaders,

178, 179.

Elcesaites, an heretical sect in ii cent. and their founder, i. 173.

Elfric, Archbishop of Canterbury, his character and works, ii. 104 and q.

Eligius, or Eloi, Bishop of Limoges, his works, i. 456; account of a good Christian in vii cent. 457, w. Elipand, Archhishop of Toledo, his hereti-

cal tenets, i 513 and k.
Elizabeth, of Schonauge, the Prophetess in xii cent. ii. 286

Queen, her character and religious establishment, iii. 93, 94; her propensity to Romish usages considered 285 and 1; oppresses the Puritans, 286, her opinion concerning church government, 304 and x.

Princess Palatine, shows favour to the Labbadists in xvii cent. iv. 177: her taste for fanaticism, and ac-

count of, ibid. c.

Elliot, John, his success in converting the Indians, and character, iii. 416.

Elmacin, George, an historian, who wrote the history of the Saracens in xiii cent.

Elxai, and his followers, an account of, i.

Emperors, Christian, their severity against Paganism in iv cent. why levelled against the multitude, i. 259.

Empire, Roman, its state at Christ's birth, i. 29; the nature of its government considered, 30; its extent advantageous to Christianity, ibid. enjoys peace at the time of Christ's appearance, and the necessity for such a tranquillity to the success of the gospel, ibid. 31.

castern, its decline in viii cent. through intestine divisions, and the invasion of the Turks, i. 484; in xv cent.

with the causes, ii. 509.

England, its advantages for literature in vii cent. due to Theodore of Tarsus, ii. 450 and e; learning promoted in ix cent. by Alfred, ii. 13; the study of the sciences encouraged by William the Conqueror, 137; some nuns here in xi cent. 273; renounces the opinions of Calvin, relative to the divine decrees, iii. 263; court of Rome fails in its attempts against it, 463; private enemies of Christianity here in xviii cent. with some mistakes rectified, iv. 187, 188

and c.

English, send missionaries into America in xvi cent. iii. 119; obstinately reject the plan of Geneva, 306; dissensions and two parties thereupon, ibid. this schism how prevented from extending to the Reformed abroad, and maxim laid down for this purpose, 307 and b; their missions in America, 415; particularly Independents and Puritans, 416.

Ennodius, Bishop of Ticinum, his adulatory apology for Symmachus the Ro-man Pontiff in vi cent and its consequences, i. 413 and c, and 417; his

works, 423.

Eon, a fanatic in xii cent. ii. 320; his frantic notion of being the future judge of mankind, 321; a reflection on the sen-

tence passed on him, ibid.

Ephesus, third general council, condemns Nestorius, i. 379; the doctrine concerning Christ established at this council commonly received among Christians, ibid. what judgment impartially must be made concerning this controversy, ibid 380 and p, q.

council there, why called the assembly of robbers, i. 385; the acts of this council annulled by a council at

Chalcedon, ibid.

Ephraim, the Syrian, his character, i. 278 and d.

Epictetus, an ornament to the Stoics, i.

Epicureans, their principal doctrines what, i. 39 and d; why held in the greatest esteem, 137.

Epiphanius, his character and works, i.

277 and a.

Episcopacy, acquires strength from the councils, i. 146; triumpus in England under James I. iv. 92, 93 and f; introduced into Scotland by Archbishop Abbot's counsels, ibid.

Episcopius, Simon, defends the Arminians at the Synod of Dort, and his great character, iv. 135; the first Professor of divinity among the Arminians, 437; forms their doctrine iuto a regular sys-

tem, 439 and z.

Erasmus, of Rotterdam, attacks the superstitions of the clergy and court of Rome in his writings, iii. 11; character of his Latin New Testament and Paraphrase,

Eremites, Hermits, their character, i. 292

Ernest, Justinian, his plan for propagating the gospel abroad, how prevented, iii.

of Hesse, changes his religion, and a reflection thereon, iii. 476 and a.

- of Saxe Gotha, his design of iu-

structing the Abyssiuians, by Abbot Gregory, who is shipwrecked, iii. 561; afterward by Wansleb, and how disappointed, ibid. and x.

435

Essenes, a Jewish sect, an account of them,

i 45, 46, 47.

Ethelbert, king of the Anglo Saxons, converted to Christianity in vi. cent i. 398; his conversion the cause of many others being converted, ibid.

a monkish historian in x cent. ii. 90.

Etherianus, Hugo, a vehement opposer of the Greeks in xii cent. ii. 298.

Ethiopians. See Abassines and Abyssinians.

Evagrius, an account of his Ecclesiastical history, i. 416.

Evangelists, to whom this title is due, i. 84.

Eucharist, controversy in ix cent. concerning Christ's presence, ii. 49; no fixed opinion concerning this doctrine in the Latin churches, 51; the cause of an imaginary heresy called Stercoranism, ibid. how explained in x cent. 106, 107 and t; revived in xi cent. 208; the nature and manner of Christ's presence not determined by the Romish cburch, ibid. sub. fin. uot. doctrine of transubstantiation introduced in xiii cent. ii. 403; rites instituted in relation to it, 416; the bread in it deified, according to the expression of the Romanists, ibid. the opus operatum in it, what, iii. 171 and b; frequent celebration of it, a subject of debate in the Romish church, ibid.

Eucherius, Bishop of Lyons, a good moral writer in v cent. i. 355.

See Massalians. Euchites

Eugenius III. Pope, his good character, and the troubles he underwent, ii. 263.

IV. Pope, calls the council of Basil, ii. 533; dislikes their proceedings, and attempts in vain to dissolve it, 535; assembles a council at Ferrara, ibid. and removes it to Florence, ibid. is deposed by the council of Basil, ibid.

Eugyppius, a writer of the lives of the saints, i. 423.

Eulogius, of Antioch, a polemic writer in vi cent. i. 416.

answers Hicrocles's Eusebius, works against Christianity in iv cent. i. 260.

Bishop of Cæsarea, his character, i. 276; if an Arian, ibid. and s; writes an apology for Origen, 299.

Eustathian troubles, i. 296; the leader of this sect chargeable with fanaticism, ibid.

Eustathius, Bishop of Antioch, his writiugs lost, i. 278.

- Bishop of Thessalonica, his commentaries on Homer, ii. 246, 281

Eustratius, his work and character ii. 281. Eutyches, his sentiments concerning Christ, and supposed tenets, i. 383, 384 and a: is excommunicated, and deposed by Flavianus on account of his principles 384; appeals to a general council, and is acquitted in a council at Ephesus by Dioscorus, ibid.

Eutychian sect, its rise in v eent. i. 383; doctrine opposite to Nestorianism, but equally prejudicial to Christianity, ibid.

its state in vi cent. 434.

Eutychius, Bishop of Alexandria, his zeal for advancing learning, ii 88; his cha-

racter and works, 104 and l.

Exarchs, the nature of their office, i. 271. Excommunication, necessary in the infancy of the Christian church, i. 102; irreversible after the second exclusion, ibid. the nature and extent of it in viii cent. i. 495 and p; warm contest about it in xvi cent. by whom excited, and the divisions it produced, iii. 335, 336.

Fabricius, John Lewis, opposes the endeavours of Lubieniecius to settle the Soeinians in the Palatinate, iv. 171; his candid sentiments relative to the importance of the controversy between the Lutherans and Roman Catholics, and controversy occasioned by them, 202.

Facundus, his works, i. 417.

Fanatics, many infect the Greeks in xii cent. ii. 304; disputes between some and Luther in xvi cent. iii. 230, 231; their leaders. ibid. excite tumults, ibid and d; embrace the communion of the Mennonites, 231.

Farel, his works, iii 319

Farnovians, a sect of Socinians in xvi cent. iii. 382.

Farnorius, Farnesius, founder of the Farnovian sect, iii. 382; his tenets and eminent disciples, ibid. separates from

the Unitarians, ibid.

Fasting, when introduced into the Christian church, i. 109; considered as a sceurity against the power of Dæmons, 229; the manner of observing this custom in iv cent. 305.

Fathers, Apostolic, their general charac-ter, i 97; the morit of their moral writings examined, 155; remnrkable veneration paid to them, and to ail theological writers of the first six centuries, i. 513.

Faulkon, Constantine, minister to the king of Siam, his character, iii. 394; invites the French there secretly, ibid. is put to death with the king his master, iii. 395

and r.

Telix II. Bishop of Rome, deposes and excommunicates Acacius, Bishop of Constantinople, i. 339; articles alleged in defence of this proceeding, and the true reasons, ibid. and 390.

- Bishop of Urgella, his heretical doctrine of Christ, i. 513 and k, and 526 is condemned in several councils, ibid. retracts his errors, and the sincerity of his recantation examined, ibid, his followers called Adoptians, ibid.

- V. Duke of Savoy, elected Antipope by the council of Basil, ii. 535; resigns,

538.

Fenelon, Archbishop of Cambray, defends Madam Guyon against Bossuet, iii. 545; adopts several of her tenets in a book which he published, ibid. and q; and which is afterward condenned at the instigation of Bossuet, with Fenelon's conduct herenpon, 545, 546 and r; his singular sentiments of the public religion of his country, iv. 220.

Ferrara, council of, held by Eugenius IV. in xv cent. ii. 535; removed to Florence, ibid. endeavours to reconcile the

Greeks and Latins, 536.

Festivals, the increase of, in iv eent. with the cause, i. 304, 305; abuse of them, 305; their number in vi cent. i. 430; seem to be instituted after a Pagan model, ibid. one instituted in remembrance of all departed souls in x cent. at the command of Odilo, Abbot of Clugni, ii. 113.

Ficinus, Marsilius, an ornament to the Platonies in xv cent. ii. 550; attempts an union between the Mystics and Schoolmen, ibid. his polemic work, ibid.

Fifth monarchy men, their rise, character, and notions in xvii cent. iv. 106.

Finlanders, converted to Christianity, and by what means, in xii cent. ii. 229; the severity of the founder of their church. and his unhappy fate, ibid.

Firmin, propagates the gospel in viii cent. and his sufferings on that account, i.

480 and f.

Fisher, Samnel, assists Fox in reducing Quakerism to a kind of regular form, and his character, iv. 149, 154.

Flacius, Matthias, his Centuriæ Magdeburgenses, iii. 218; Glossary and Key to the Scriptures, 224; disputes with Melancthon, 240; defends the doctrine of Luther, and excites divisions in the ehureh, 243; his contest with Strigelius, and some particulars of it, 244, 245; consequences of his imprudence aud obstidacs, 246

Flagellants, rise and account of this absurd sect in xiii cent. ii. 403, 404 and r; suppressed, ibid. but revive in the following cent. 503; their impious tenets, ibid, a new sect of them in xv cent. 568; many suffer from the inquisition, ibid. and o; the sum of their doctrine, ibid. o.

Flavianus, Bishop of Constantinople, beat to death in the second council of Ephe-

sus, i. 384 and b, c.

Flemingians, a sect of Anabaptists in xvi eent. iii. 337; maintain Menno's doe-

trine relative to the incarnation, 343 and d; the refined Anabaptists so call-

ed, iv. 163.

Florence, council at, summoned by Eugenius IV. ii. 535; attempts to rennite the Greek and Latin churches, and fraudulent practises at it, ii. 537 and k; terminates these quarrels only for a short time, 536.

Florinians, a sect in ii cent. their founder

and tenets, i. 186 and c.

Florus, a poet in ix cent ii. 14; as also a

commentator, 40.

Fludd, Rohert, defends the philosophy of Paracelsus, iii. 221 and t, iii. 437 and g; attacked and refuted hy Gassendi, 439.

Forbes, William, his pacific counsels and character, iii. 471, 472 and a.

Forer, employed to write against the Protestants and confession of Augsburg in

xvii cent. iii. 454 and o.

Fortunatus, his character, i. 418.

Fox, George, his strange behaviour and exhortation, when called before the civil magistrate, whence his followers were called Quakers, iv. 145; founder of that seet, and character, 146 and i. See Quakers, 145.

France, the flourishing state of learning there in xi cent. ii. 136, 137; spiritual libertines get footing there in xvi cent.

iii. 314.

Francfort, a council assembled by Charlemagne in viii cent. i. 520; the decrees of the second Nicene council rejected, 521; the worship of images unanimously condemned, ihid. the proceedings of this council sufficient to prove the lawfulness of dissenting from the Pope at that time, who is charged with error, ihid.

Francis, founder of the Franciscans, his extraordinary change of life and manners, ii. 372; his notions of the essence of religion, and character, ibid. 373 and w; his stigmas what, and the credit given to them by the Popes, 470 and i; Book of Conformities with Jesus Christ,

471 and k.

xvi cent. the *Pragmatic* Sanction, and institutes the *Concordate*, iii. 14 and

g, h

Franciscans, an order of Friars, their rise in xiii cent. il. 372; why called Friars minors, 373 and u, w; held in great esteem by the Popes, and their services to the Popes, 373, 374 and x, y; divisions early among them, and highly prejudicial to the papal power, 378; intestine quarrels, and how occasioned, ihbut mitigated, 379; spiritual, their increase, and new troubles excited, 384; the miseries the spiritual undergo, and their opposition to the church of Rome, and accounts of them imperfect, 388

and m; impiously assert their founder to he a second Christ in xiv cent. 470; deliherations for reuniting the spirituals to the heethren of the community, or less rigid Franciscans, by Clement V. 472; their quarrel with John XXII. Pope, 478, 479; their invectives against papal authority, and patronized by Lewis of Bavaria against the Pope, 479, 480; peace concluded between them and the Pope, 481; contemn the Fratricelli and Tertiaries, who reject the authority of the Pope, ibid. division of this order into the Conventual and the Brethren of the Observation, 483; reformations among them in xvi cent. iii. 147.

Franks, their kingdom founded in Gaul in v cent. i. 334, 335; conversion, ibid. their empire in Greece in xiii cent. and

continuance, ii. 325.

Europeans, so called by the In-

dians, iii. 391 and l.

Fratricelli, their origin in xiii cent. ii. 389, 390 and n; are an order of the Franciscans, separated from the grand community of their order, rigorously observe their founder's laws, declaim against the corruption of the Romish church, and her pontiffs, and foretell a Reformation, ihid. how they differed from the Spirituals of the order, 390, o; their esteem for Celestin V. and why, ibid. deny the legality of the elections of Boniface VIII. and other successors who oppose them, ibid. accounts of them confused and imperfect, ibid. p; enormities among them in xiv cent. 471; their abolition ordered by Pope John XXII. 473; many of them burned for opposing the Pope's orders, 475 and w; persecuted again in xv cent. 544 and a; they in return put some inquisitors to death, ibid.

Freculph, an historian in ix cent. ii. 14, 31. Fredegarius, an historian in vii cent. i. 457. Frederic I. Barbarossa, Emperor, his resolution, to support the dignity of the Roman empire, and restrain the authority of the church, ii. 264; rejects the insolent order of Pope Adrian IV. ibid. enacts a law to prevent transferring fiefs without the consent of their superior lords, ibid. and n; supports the election of Catixtus III. in opposition to Alexander III. 267, 268; concludes a treaty with Alexander, ihid. the servile submission he is said to have paid this haughty prelate doubted, 267 and r.

II. his delay in an expedition against Palestine in xiii cent. ii. 327; is excommunicated, and the reason, ih. and k; concludes a truce with the Sultan of Egypt, and takes possession of Jerusalem, 328, 329; is crowned king, ibid. charged with impiety, but the evi-

dence not sufficient, 334; zealous in promoting literature, 337; founder of the academy at Naples, ibid. encourages the study of Aristotle, and how, 342 and x.

- the wise, elector of Saxony, espouses the cause of Luther in opposition to the order of Leo X. Pope, iii. 30.

III. elector Palatine, patronizes the Calvinists in Germany, iii. 279, 280; obliges his subjects to embrace their tenets, ibid. and y; his son restores Lntheranism, ibid.

Duke of Holstein, his elemency to the exiled Arminians in xvii cent, who build the town called Frederickstadt, and form a colony there, iv. 138.

Trieslanders, a sect of Anahaptists, account

of, iv. 166.

Fronto's wretched attempts against Chris-

tianity in ii cent. i. 135.

Frumentius, the success of his ministry among the Abassines in iv cent. i. 262; is consecrated their first Bishop, ibid.

Fulbert, Bishop of Chartres, his character,

ii. 194.

Fulgentius, attacks the Pelagians and Arians with great warmth in vi cent. i. 417; his treatise on fasting, 423.

Gal, St. propagates the gospel in vii cent. among the Sucvi and Helvetii, i. 441.

Galanus, attempts to unite the Greek and Romish churches, in xvii cent. iii. 552, 653; his work for that purpose, 553 sub.

Galenists, a sect of the Waterlandians, their rise and history in xvii cent. iv.

167.

Galerius, Maximin, deposes Diocletian, and assumes the empire of the East, i. 248; the sufferingslot the Christians under him, ibid. having persecuted the Christians, in the most horrid manner, orders the persecution to be stopped, 249.

Galilei, the astronomer, his fame, iii. 431; imprisoned for adopting the sentiments

of Copernieus, 506.

Gallic Pontiffs, diminution of Papal power under them, ii. 456; their schemes to acquire wealth, 457.

Gallienus, state of the Christians under him, i. 200.

Gallus, persecution under him, i. 199. Gamaliel, Patriarch of the Jews, his cruelty to the Christians in v cent. i. 341.

Gassendi, an eminent philosopher in xvii cent. iii. 431; his philosophy and character, 438; attacks. Aristotle and his followers, ibid, and i; also Fludd and the Rosecrucians, 439; his wise methnd of philosophical investigation, ibid. why the chief adversary of Des Curtes, 441; accurate abridgment of his philosophy by Bernier, 442 m; has not many followers, yet the few he had very eminent, and particularly in England, ibid. mathematical sect, its progress, 445, 446; favourably received in Britain by Boyle, Sir Isaac Newton, and others, 446 and s.

Gaul, by whom converted, and churches when established there, i. 125 and h.

- Narbonne, rise of the inquisition there in xiii cent. ii. 421 and z.

Gauls, learning among them, i. 83; the Gospel preached among them with great success, by Martin, Bishop of Tours, in iv cent. i. 263.

Gebbard, Archbishop of Cologn, discovers a propensity to Lutheranism, iii. 215; marries, is obliged to resign his dignity, and to fly his country, ibid and k.

Genera, mother of the Reformed churches, iii. 275; academy founded at, by Calvin, in xvi cent. ibid. consistory established at, 277; French Protestants enter into its communion, 281; acknowledged as a sister church to England under Edward VI. 283; form of eeclesiastical government, 300 and z; which is rejected by the English under Queen Elizabeth, ibid. lustre and decline of its academy, iv. 77 and b.

Gennadius, writes against the Latins in xv cent. and his good character, ii. 516 and

Gentilis, Valentine, his heresy, iii. 359; suffers death at Berne, ibid.

Gentilli, council at, in viii cent. about the derivation of the Holy Ghost, i. 522. George the Cyprian, a polemie writer in

Miii eent. ii. 399.

- David, founder of the Davidists in xvi cent. iii. 350; his character and impions tenets, ibid. his body burned at the instigation of his sou in law, by the council of Basil, ibid and r.

Georgians, in Asia, converted to Christianity by a captive, i. 262; miserable state after the invasion of the Turks. iii. 189; small remains of religion

amongst them, ibid.

Gerhard's Introduction to Joachim's Everlasting Gospel condemned, ii. 382; accounts of it erroneous, ibid. 10; impious doctrine, 393; throws an odium on the Mendicants, and is publicly burnt, ibid. and y.

a ringleader of the Fannties of

Munster, iii. 329.

- a judicious expositor of Scripture in xvii cent. iv. 26; his moral writings, 29.

Germans, their conversion begun in ii cent. i. 125 and f; wholly converted in viii cent. by Winfrid Boniface, 478, 479; what judgment to be formed of their apostles, 479, 480.

-- a sect of Anabaptists in xvi cent.

so called, iii. 337.

Germanus, Bishop of Constantinople, a zealous advocate for image worship, i. 506: is degraded on this account by the Emperor Leo the Isaurian, 518.

Germany, many churches planted here in

iii cent. i. 195 and l.

Gerson, John, his great character, iii. 429; a zealous opposer of papal despotism, and the design of his writings to check superstition, ibid and o; labours to reform the schoolmen in xv cent. iii. 557

Geyer, a Lutheran expositor of Scripture

in avii cent. iv. 24;

Ghost, Holy, its derivation, controversy concerning in viii cent. i. 521; the origin of this dispute uncertain, 522 and b. debated in a council at Aix la Chapelle and at Rome iu the following cent. ii. 48; and the measures taken by the . Latin churches on this account, ibid. and h, i.

Gilbert, Bishop of London, his character as a commentator, ii. 289; surnamed The Universal, for his extensive erudi-

tion, ibid.

Gildas, a writer in vi cent. his character,

i, 418.

Girardin, Dr. Patrick Piers de, his remarkable discourse in the Sorbonne, relative to the project of union between the English and Gallican churches, iv. 233; writes to Archbishop Wake on this account, and the answer he receives, ibid. is higly pleased with the answer, although written with a truly Protestant spirit, 234; the correspondence is divulged, he is reprimanded by the Abbe dn Bois, and threatened with being sent to the Bastile, unless he delivers up all the letters that passed on this occasion, 244, 245; continues a faint correspondence with Wake after Du Pin's death, but without effect, 246.

Glassius, bis sacred philology, iv. 26;

great character, 37 and k.

Glycas, a good historian in xii cent. ii. 246.

Gnostics, whence their name, i. 111; prcvailed in the apostolic age, and flourish ed under the Emperor Adrian, ibid. and s; who comprehended under that name, ibid. sprung from the oriental philosophy, ibid. the cause of many dangerous errors concerning the scriptures, 112; their impious opinions about Christ, and moral doctrines, 113; base methods used to support their tenets, 114; dissension amongst them, whence, 115; their principles revived and adopted in iv cent. 326.

Godeschale, a monk of Orbais in is cent. ii. 31; begins a controversy concerning predestination and grace, 52; his doctrine violently opposed by Rabanus Maurus, ibid. is twice condemned, and

inhumanly treated, 53; his advocates, ibid, tenets and how represented by his advocates, and his opponents, 54 and p; ibid. 55 and q, r; the judgment to be formed of this controversy, 54; dispute with Hincmar about the Hymn Trina Deitas, 55.

Godfrey, Duke of Lorrain, engages in the first Crusade in xi cent. ii. 125; his great character, ibid. and r, s; takes Jerusalem, 126; is saluted with the ti--tle of king of Jerusalem, but declines accepting it, and why, ibid. and x.

Godofred, the Norman, having conquered Friesland embraces Christianity, in ix

cent. ii. 9.

Gomar, Francis, opposes Arminius in his schism, iv. 78; triumphs over him at the synod of Dort, yet gains no ground, ibid. his doctrine despised in England under James I. 93 and h; controversy with Arminius, whence it began, and by whom treated, 128 and c.

Gonesius, introduces the heresy of Serve-

tus into Poland, iii. 359 and k.

Gorcomius, Henry, a scholastic writer in

xv cent. ii. 450.

Goths, their conversion to Christianity, i. 195, 263; their invasion of the Roman empire, 331; cruelty to the Christians

in Gaul, 339.

Grace, various controversies concerning, in v cent. i. 396; Augustin's opinions concerning it, in explaining which his disciples are not agreed, ibid. and k; disputes about it in ix cent. and its un. happy consequences, ii. 52, 53; a subject of controversy, in xvi cent. iii. 174; contests about it in xvii cent. and hence the terms Sublapsariaus and Sub-ralapsarians, iv. 78.

Grandmontains, an order of monks, their

rise in xi cent. ii. 187, 189 and f

Granianus's remonstrance to the Emperor Adrian in favour of the Christians successful, and by what means, i. 131.

Gras, Louisa lc, founds the Virgins of Love, a female order in xvii cent. iii.

Gratian, a Monk, composes an epitome of the canon law, ii. 251.

Greece, the state of learning there, in i cent. i. 82; Romish missions, iii. 552.

Greek and Latin churches, schism between them unhappily revived in xi cent. ii. 202; its progress, 203; many attempts for a reconciliation in xiii cent. ineffectual, 413, 414.

language, the study of it much frequented in xiii cent. ii. 341.

Greeks, two Emperors among them in xiii cent. ii. 325; their deplorable state after the invasion of the Turks, iii. 186,

Gregory, Thaumaturgus, his works and miracles, i. 213.

of Nazianzen and of Nyssa, account of them and their works, i. 277.

the Great, sends Augustine with many Benedictines into Britain in vicent. i. 398; the success of his labours in the West, 399; dislikes the methods by which Christianity is propagated in his time, ibid. and m; his literary character, 417; moral and religious character, 419; expositions, 421; institutes many superstitious rites, 429; his canou of the Mass, 430; and stations, ibid. is successful in his dispute with the Donatists, 432.

of Tours, his character as a wri-

ter, i. 418.

--- Pisides, his works, i. 456.

deposes Leo the Isaurian, i. 517; his zeal for images, 518, s.

ship, i. 518, s.

- VII. Hildebrand, Pope, his election unanimonsly approved, ii. 157, 158; his extraordinary character, ibid. and u, 159 and w; aims at universal empire in church and state, and the methods used by him to accomplish this end, 158, 160, 161 and y; requires the subjection of France and Spain to the see of Rome, 160; his demands more regarded in Spain than in France and England, 161; the success they met with in other places, 163; his zeal for extending papal nuthority meets with the greatest success in Italy, and why, 164, 165; decrees against simony and concubinage among the clergy, and the tumults they excite, 165, 166 and q, 167 and r; reasons for extirpating investitures, 167; dies, and is sainted, 180; his moderate and candid behaviour to Berenger, 209; revokes an order of his predecessor Pope Nicholas II. 210 and x; his real sentiments of the Eucharist, 211 and z; his zeal for imposing the Romish ritual, and an uniformity of worship on all the Latin churches, 216.

IX. Pope, excommunicates Frederic II. and why, ii. 327 and k; his charge of impiety against the Emperor, 334; the calamities that arose from his ambition, 356; sends a copy of the charge to all the European Princes, which is answered by the Emperor, 357; drew immense sums ont of England in the reign of Henry III. 356, i; attempts to depose Frederic, and how

prevented, ibid.

X. Pope, his character, ii. 361, 362; his imperious and threatening letters to the German Princes, &c. ib. and s; suppresses the various orders of Mendicants, and confines them to four, 369.

Gregory, XI. Pope, his character, ii. 465: transfers the papal seat from Avignon to Rome, and repents of it, ibid.

XII. Angeli Carrario, Antipope,

ii. 518; resigns, 521;

XV. Pope, founds the college de propaganda fide at Rome in xvii cent. iii. 383; his character, 450.

Gribaldi, Matthew, his doctrine, iii. 359; inclines to the Arian system, 361, sub.

not. m in fine.

Grisons, doctrine of Claudius propagated among them, iii. 359 and b.

Groningenists, a sect of the refined Anahaptists, and whence so called, iv. 163

and g.

Grotius, his book on the rights of war and peace, iii. 435; endeavours to reconcile the church of Rome and the Protestants, 472; a philosophical reformer, particularly of the peripatetics, iv. 18: his hypothesis concerning the prophets, iv. 72: a favourer of the Arminians, 129; misunderstanding between him and Prince Maurice, which turns to an open rupture, and whence, 132 and 133 i; is cast into prison, 133 and k 134 and l.

Gruet, opposes Calvin, iii. 315; his im-

pious tenets, and fate, ihid.

Guelps and Guibelines, a seditious faction in xiii cent. ii. 358; become formidable in Italy, 359.

Guido, Guy Juvenal, attempts a reformation among the Monks in xv cent. ii.

542.

Guiscard, Robert, Duke of Apulia, drives the Saracens out of Italy in xi cent. ii. 121.

Gunpowder Plot, an account of, iii. 463, 464; remarkable passage in one of the conspirator's letters, 464 g.

Guntherus, his character, ii, 340.

Gustavus, Vasa Ericson, king of Sweden, zcalous in promoting the reformation among the Swedes, iii. 61; his zeal tempered with great prudence, ibid. 62 and m; publishes Petri's translation of the Bible, and permits the Archbishop of Upsal to make another, ibid. and n; commands them to hold a conference, which ends in favour of Petri, ibid. resolved at Westeraas to admit the Reformation, which is opposed by the clergy, and why, ibid. and o; subverts the papal empire, and is declared head of the church, 63.

of the Germanic libertics against the emperor Ferdinand in xvii cent. iii. 459; falls at the battle of Lutzen, ibid. and

St.

Guthebald, an English priest, successful in his mission among the Norwegiaus in x cent. ii. 80.

Guyon, Madame, a patron of Quictism in

France, iii. 544 and o; her writings refuted by Bossuet, 545; bence arises a dispute hetween Bossuet and Fenelon, who defends Madame Guyon, ibid.

H.

Hoan, Galen Ahraham, founder of the Galenists, and character, iv. 167: his opinions, and by whom opposed, ibid. Hackspan, a learned expositor of the Scriptures, in svii cent. iv. 26.

Hager, writes against the Protestants, and

the peace of Augsburg, iii. 454. Hales, Alexander, an eminent philosopher in xiii cent. ii. 343; whence styled the

Irrefragable Doctor, ibid. and z; his

expositions, 405.

a chief leader of the Latitudinarians in avii cent. bis great character, v. 397 and d.

Halitgarius, his system of morality, and cbaracter of it, ii. 41.

Hanau, church of, embraces Calvinism in xvi cent. iii. 299.

Hanover. See Liturgy, iv. 406.

Hurald, propagates and establishes Christianity among the Danes in ix cent. ii.

Hardenberg, Albert, attempts to introduce Calvinism into Bremen, iii. 280.

Hardouin, his Atheists detected, iii. 444 p;

cbaracter, 511.

Harmenopulus, Constantins, his works, ii. 231; a polemic writer in xii cent. and character, 298

Harmonies, Lutheran, of the Evangelists,

iii. 224.

Harphius, Henry, a mystic writer in xv.

cent. ii. 558.

Hallemists, a Dutch sect, their rise in zvii cent. and pernicious tenets, iv. 123; resemble the Verschorists in their religious system, but differ from them in some things, and in what, 124; their founder is deposed from his office, yet deserts not the reformed religion, ibid. a chief maxim among them, ibid. still subsist, though not under their founder's name, ibid.

Haymo, Bishop of Halberstadt, his character, ii. 31 and c; his works, 40.

Mederic, writes against the Protestants, and the peace of Augsburg, iii. 454.

Heidegger, Henry, form of Concord drawn up by him, and its fate, iv. 125, 126 and s. -

Heidelberg, Catechism of, adopted by the Calvinists, iii. 280.

Helmont, John Baptist, a Rosecrucian, bis character, iii. 437.

Hemerobaptists, a sect among the Jews, an account of, iii. 197, and a.

Hemmingius, Nicholas, his character, iii. 299; chief of the disciples of Melanctben in Denmark, ibid.

Heneticon, published by Zeno, what, i

385; subscribed by the moderate, but produces new contests among the Eutycbians, 389.

Henricians, a sect in xii. ccnt. ii. 311; their founder Henry endeavours a reformation among the clergy, but is warmly opposed by Bernard Abbot of Clairval, 312; his condemnation and death, ibid. and x; is supposed to he a disciple of Peter de Bruys, but without foundation, ibid. and y.

Henry, Archhishop of Upsal, founder of

the church of the Finlanders in xii cent. ii. 289; his zeal censured, is massacred

and sainted, ibid.

1V. Emperor, refuses to resign his right of investitures, and to obey the insolent order of Gregory VII. Pope, ii. 176; assembles a council at Worms, and accuses the Pope of flagitious practices, ibid. is excommunicated and deposed by Gregory, 177; his pusillani-mous conduct at Canusium, 178; breaks his convention, and renews the war against the Pope, 179.

11. of England, his dispute with Alexander III. Pope, ii. 267; reasons to think be did not consent to the marder of Becket, 269 t; performs severe penance for this supposed murder, 270 and

VIII. of England, renonnces the papal supremacy, iii. 78, 79 and p; the reasons for it not fairly represented, ibid, and q; the expedient suggested to the king by Cranmer, and the effects 80 and r.

- IV. of France, renounces the Reformed religion, with his views, iii. 282. -Duke of Saxony, deserts Lutheranism, and embraces the communion of the Reformed church, iv. 65.

Heraelian's book against the Manichwans

in vi cent. i. 432, and y.

Heraclius, Emperor, persecutes the Jews, and compels them to embrace Christianity, in vii cent. i. 442; his edict in favour of the Monothelites, i. 466; issue: another, called the Euthesis, to compromise the dispute concerning the one will and operation in Christ, 467.

Herbert, of Cherbury, Lord, account of, iii. 423; instance of fanaticism, ibid. and g; his peculiar tenets, and by whom re-

futed, 424 h.

Heresies, aucient, revived in v cont. and cause new troubles, i 371; remains of them in vi cent. 431; continue in x cent. ii. 115.

Heretics, dispute about their baptism in iii cent. i. 223; the determination of the African and Oriental churches on the point, ihid. and the insolent hehaviour of Stephen. Bishop of Rome, 224.

Heribald, writes against Radbert Pascasi

us. ii. 49.

Heric, Monk of Auxerre, said to have an-. ticipated Descartes in the manner of investigating truth, ii. 16; is sainted, ibid f:

Hermits, their rise in ili cent. and whence,

i. 216.

Hermogenes, his tenets, i. 188; opposed and refuted by Tertulian, ibid. and b.

Herrenhulters, rise of that sect and founders in xviii cent. iv. 198; account of their descent from the Bohemian and Moravian brethren doubtful, ibid, profess to agree with the doctrinc and opinions of the Lutherans, and what credit ought to be given to such professions, 199; Dr. Mosheim's vague description of their sect censured, with its infamous character, ihid. and l; sap the foundations of morality, ibid. sub. not. l.

Herraus, Natalis, account of, ii. 488.

Herney, a learned Benedictine monk and expositor in xii cent. ii. 289 and c. Hesychius, a moral writer in vii eent.

i. 460. Hetzer, Lewis, his infamous character, iii. 326; denies the divinity of Christ, 354. Hevelius, a German philosopher, in xvii

cent. iii. 431.

Heyling, of Lubee, his pious labours in Ethiopia in xvii cent. iii. 560 and u.

Hierax, of Leontium, hisnotions of Christ's office and ministry, i. 237; account of the sect formed by him, and of his tenets, ibid.

Hierocles, his works against the Christians answered by Eusebius, i. 260.

High churchmen, their principles, iv. 113.

Sec Nonjurors, 111, &c.

Hilary, Bishop of Poietiers, his character
and works, i. 278 and f.

Hildebert, Archbishop of Tours, his char-

acter, ii. 195; his excellent system of divinity, 200 and d: morality, 201 e.

Hildebrand, Popc. Sec Gregory VII. ii. 157, &e.

defends Calixtus's reputation in xvii cent. iv. 34.

Hildegard, pretended prophetess in xii cent. ii. 286; the excessive veneration

paid to her, ibid.

Hilduin, of St. Dennis, his celebrated work entitled Areopagitics, ii. 30 and s.

Hinemar, Archbishop of Rheims, his character, ii. 31, 32 and e; exposition of the four Books of Kings, 40.

Hippolitus, his character and works, i-212 and y; adopts Origen's plan in his

commentaries, 218.

History of the church, the method of treating it in the xvi cent. why changed from that in the preceding centuries, iii. 5; its division into two heads, ibid. 1st. general--its extent, 6--2dly, particular, ibid. which is subdivided into two parts, ibid .-- of the Reformstion, ibid its improvements in avii cent. iii. 432; innumerable advantages of it. 433; a short view of it in xviii cent. iv.

Hoadly, Bishop of Winehester, his endeavours to lower the authority of the English church and character, iv. 206;

by whom opposed, 207.

Hobbes, a daring and subtle enemy to Christianity, his character, iii. 419; his adherents and apologists, ihid, and a: his writings, and if he recanted, ibid. aud b; opposed by whom, iv. 76.

Hoburg, - Christian, a petualant writer against the Lutherans in xvii cent. and

character, iv. 61.

Hoe, Matthew, his defence of the Protestants, iii. 454; his perfidy, 457 s.

Hoffman, Daniel, disputes between him aud his colleagues, iii. 222; his tenets which he is obliged to retract, ibid. his fanatical extravagance, censured, iv.

Hoffman, Melchior, his infamous conduct,

iii. 326.

Holidays, their number diminished by an edict of Urban VIII. iii. 549.

Holstenius, Lucas, attempts to reconcile the Greek and Latin churches, iii. 553 and d.

Homilies, their origin in viii cent. i. 512.

Honorius, Pope, embellishes churches in vii cent. i. 463; favours the doctrine of one will in Christ, 468; writers of the church of Rome attempt to save his infallihility, ibid. q; is condemned by the sixth general council, 470.

Hospitallers, Knights, origin and nature of their office, ii. 239; deviate from the design of their original institution, and commence warriors, ibid. and settle in Cyprus, and from thence remove to Malta, the present residence of their

chief, or master, 240 and z.

Huber, Samuel, his controversy concerning Predestination, iii. 259; is deposed, and banished from Wittemberg, ibid.

Hubmeyer, Balthazar, an Anahaptist, his enormous conduct, iii. 326.

Huet, Bishop of Avranches, his works iii.

448 and x. Huguenots, derivation of that word, iii. 281 and d; persecuted in France in xvii cent. 463.

Huisseaux, of Saumur, his pacificatory

principles in xvii cent. iii. 471. Humanity, its state in xiii cent. iii. 340.

Humbert, Cardinal, an eminent polemic writer among the Greeks in xi cent. ii. 194; his notions of the real presence of Christ's body and blood in the Sacrament, 209.

Hume, his censure of Luther's opposition to indulgences, and other Popish superstitions, refuted, iii. 27 p; charge against the Reformers examined and refuted,

106, 1114.

Hungary, Christianity established in x cent. ii. 77 and m; the honour of their conversion claimed by different nations, 78 and n; Reformation introdu-

ced and settled, iv. 408.

Muss, John, his character, ii. 522 and p; declaims vehemently against the corruptions of the clergy and court of Rnme in xy cent. ibid. odious to the clergy, and the reasons, 523 and q; publicly recommends the doctrines of Wickliff, 524 and u; is condemned by the council of Constance, and burned alive, 525; the true cause of his sufferings, 526 and x.

Hussinet, Nicholas of, head of the Huss-

ites, ii. 552.

Hussiles, commotions made by them, to revenge the death of their founder and Jerome of Prague, ii. 552; their aversion to administer the Sacrament in one kind only, ibid. many put to cruel deaths by the order of Sigismund, ibid. war carried on, and shocking cruelties by them and their opponents, ibid. divide into two parties 553.

Hyrcania, the Gospel propagated in viii

cent. i. 477.

I.

Jablonsky, Dr. drew up a plan of ecclesiastical discipline and public worship, vi. 313

Jacobites, a sect of the Monophysites, why so called, and from whom, i. 434; their state and subdivisions in xvi cent, iii. 190 and h.

Jagello, Duke of Lithuania, by what means converted in xiv cent. ii. 443; changes

his name to Uladislaus, ibid.

Jamblichus, of Chalcis, an account of this philosopher and his successors, i. 266 and b.

James, Bishop of Edessa, translates the dialectics into Syriac in vii cent. i. 451. I. of England, attempts the reconciliation of the Lutheran and Reformed churches, iv. 8 and f; his securing attachment to the Puritans, and declaration in an assembly at Edinburgh, 90 and d; took a principal part in the conference at Hampton Court, 91 e; with the adulation of Whitgift and Bancroft, ibid. sub. fin. not. e; remarkable change of his conduct after his accession to the crown, 92; Abbot's endcavours to confirm the king in Calvanism, with that Prince's dislike of the proceedings at Dort, 92, 93 and h; the reason for the King's dislike, 94 and i; the change of opinion fatal to the Puritans, 95; his death, ibid.

II. his imprudence, iii. 466: why obliged to abdicate, when the Revolution took place under William Prince of Orange, ibid. tolcrates the Quakers,

and from what motives, iv. 149, 150 and r.

Jansenism, its rise, and the contests it produced, iii. 523; Jansenius's book, 524 and g, h; combated by the Jesuits, ibid, who procure its condemnation at Rome, by Urban VIII. 525; this opposed by the doctors of Louvain and other Augustinians, also in France by the Abbot

of St. Cyran, ibid. and k, l. Jansenists, their contest with the Jesuits described, and how both parties were balanced, iii. 526 and m; methods and arguments employed by both parties in this controversy, and miracles pretended by the Jansenists, ibid. 527 and n; persecuted, and by whom, 530, 531; their austere piety examined, 534, 535; complaints against the church of Rome, and their general principles just and reasonable, but the consequences and applications faulty, as appears from the sentiments of the Abbot of Cyran, their great oracle, 534, 535 and a; descreedly denominated Rigourists, ibid. their notions of repentance, 536; exemplified in the Abbe de Paris, 537; and in the female convent of Port Royal, 538 and e; many ambitious to live in its neighbourhood, foid. the end these penitents had in view, 539; the convent demol-

ished by Lewis XIV. 540.

Jansenius, five propositions of his book condemned by Pope Innocent X. iii, 529; doctrines contained in them, ibid, 530 and o; distinction invented by Arnaud in favour of these propositions, ibid. a bull of Alexander VII. against him, with a form of declaration sent into France, ibid. 531; which produces melancholy divisions and tumults, ibid. persecution of his followers through the Jesuits, ibid. but suspended under Clement IX. 532; conditional subscription obtained, ibid. the peace granted the Jansenists by Clement only transitory, and totally ceased under Lewis XIV.

ibid. and u.

Japan, state of Christianity, iii. 405, 406; its success owing to two circumstances, and also to another, ibid. a; prejudices of the natives, and divisions among the missionaries, ihid. 407; accusatious against the Jesuits by the other missionaries, ibid. and against the latter by the Jesuits, ibid. its downfall and extirpation how effected, with the reasons, ibid. 408; firmness of the converts and missionaries under horrid torments, with the causes of this persecution, ibid. and e; edict by which Europeans are forbid to approach the Japanese dominions, 409; except a few Dutch, ibid.

Jaqueline, abbess of the convent of Port Royal, her character, iii. 537 and c, d. Jasidians, Jezdwans, a sect in avicent

some account of, iii. 198; their opinion about the evil genius, ibid. and e.

Iconoclasts, who, and their origin in viii cent. i. 517; called also Iconomachi, 518; their numbers increase under the patronage of Claudius bishop of Thrin, in ix cent. ii. 47.

Iconoduli, called Iconolatræ, who, i. 518. Ideas, universal, controversy about in x

cent. ii. 90 and k.

Jena, academy founded at, in xvi cent. by the Dukes of Saxeweimar, iii. 243; the moderation of the divioes here in regard to Calixtus's plan of concord, iv. 37.

Jerome, of Palestine, his character, i. 279; admired for his translation of the Scrip-

turcs into Latin, 284.

de St. Foi, writes against the

Jews in xv cent. ii. 559.

Jerusalem, first Christian church, i. 61; Patriarch of, how extensive his jurisdiction in xvi cent. iii. 183 and q; famous council held here in xvii cent. iii. 555 and g.

Jesuales, or apostolic clerks, their risc in xiv cent. ii. 484; their order abolished

by Clement IX. Pope, ibid.

Jesuiabas, of Gaddala, Nestorian poutiff, his trenty with Mahomet and Omar in viii cent. i. 465; the testamentary diploma of the former to the Christians

cxamined, ibid. k.

Jesuits, their institution seems to have diminished the credit of the clerks school in av. cent. ii. 546; nature of their order and institution, iii. 116; the methods by which they propagate Christianity considered, 117; the nature and division of this society into three classes, 139; and according to some into four, ibid. x; zeal for the interest of the Roman Pootiffs, and the true motives of their missions, 140, 141 and y; exposed to many perils and how delivered, with jusinuating manners, ibid. tbcir character and fate admirably described by Dr. Brown of Dubliu, ibid. a; zcalous advocates for the ancient forms of doctrine in the Romish church, and why, 167; and for the infallibility and unlimited supremacy of the Pope, 168 and y; their notions of divine grace and original sin, 169; doctrine about the . motives to moral actions, 170 and z: about probability and philosophical sin, ibid. and a; about the Sacraments, 171 and b; make use of the intricate sophistry of the Schoolmen to puzzle the Protestants, 220; their stratagems corrupt the Lutheran doctors, 227; accused of sinister views by the other orders, iii. 386; their methods of converting persons procured them enemies, 388; accused of malpractices in China, 398; principal charge against them, 400; banished Venice, but, afterward recalled, 483, 484, a; the influence they have in France considered, 493 and τ ; the multitude of their adversaries, particularly the Jansenists, in xvii cent. 503, 504 and k; history by Benard, 504, sub. not. k; interest strengthened by opposition, ib. 505 and l; some of their pernicious maxims, 513 and s, 514 and t, u; books written against them by Paschal and Perrankt burned, 515, w; answered by F. Daniel, ibid. sub. not. highly complained of and condemned by Alexander VII. Popc, 516; their disputes with the Jansenists, 524.

Jesus, Fathers of the oratory, founded in xvii cent. by Cardinal Berulle, iii. 501; design of their institution and fame, ibid, the nature of their office, ibid, and

d, c.

Jetzer, an account of the impious fraud practised upon him in xvi cent. by the

Dominicans, iii. 18, k.

Jews, their civil and religious state under Herod at Christ's birth, i. 43; after Herod's death, ibid. the calamities they suffer under the Roman Governors, yet permitted to enjoy the free exercise of their religion, 44; their sufferings from their own rulers, ibid. and 45; their religion corrupted among all ranks, and the division of their doctors into various sects, 45; their principal sects and points of debate, ibid. 46 and m; yet exercisc mutual toleration, with the motives, 47; variously interpret the doctrine of future rewards and punishments, ibid the moral doctriuc of their sccts, 49; corrupt the external worship of God by rites from the Gentiles, 50 and t; various causes of their corruption, ib. some remains of picty among them, 51; their state out of Palestine, an evident proof of a providence in human affairs, 53 and z; persecute the Christians in Palestine and foreign countries, 65, 66 and e, f; their plausible pretexts for this procedure, ibid. and the punishments they undergo, 66; the state of their philosophy, 82; their scdition under Barcochebas, and its inclancholy consequences to them, with advantages to Christianity, 129; the cause of dissensions in the church in ii cent. 170; their attempts against Christianity in iii cent. 202; their vain attompt to rebuild their temple in iv cent. 258; the dreadful phenomenon on this occasion, and disputes about it, ibid. and g, h; many converted in v cent. and by what meaos, i. 333; oppress the Christians under the command of Gamaliel, 341; several embrace Christianity in vi. ccut. 399; compelled to be Christians in vii cent. by the Emperor Heraclius, 442; many

writers against them in xii ccut. i. 298; the crimes charged upon them, and their forced conversion in xiv cent. 441; these crimes most probably charged out of hatred to that people, and without sufficient evidence, vi. 171. Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch, his epistles,

i. 96; that to Polycarp very doubtful, ibid. and c; exposed by Trajan to wild

beasts, 130.

Patriarch of Constantinople, deposed by the Emperor Michael, ii. 57; appeals to Pope Nicholas I. and restored by him, ibid. reinstated by Basilins the Macedonian, 58; refuses to give up any provinces to the see of Rome, ibid. his death, 60.

Jesuits in xvi cent. iii. 116; subjects them to the will of the Pope, and his dexterity herein, ibid. and b; if a man of any learning, 138 and u, ibid. and w; is sainted by Urban VIII, iii. 549.

XXIV. Patriarch of Antioch,

causes the Monophysites to embrace the doctrines of the church of Rome, in xvii cent. v. 247 and s; his death and successor, who, being an usurper, is de-

posed by the Turks, ibid.

Ildefonse, Archhishop of Toledo, his character, i. 456; his treatise De Cognitione Baptismi, i. 459; hence appears the novelty of several doctrines now held by the church of Rome, ihid. and

Images, worship of, its rise, i. 281; great progress in v cent. i. 357; dispute concerning it in the Eastern and Western churches, and consequences, 516; the cause of a civil war in the reign of the Emperor Leo, 517; zealously defended by Gregory II. and 111. 518; controversies concerning it in ix cent. in the East, ii. 44; where it is established, 45; disputes among the Latins concerning it, and a middle course taken by the European Christians between the Idolaters and Iconoclasts, 46: the use of them in churches allowed, but their worship prohibited, 46, 47; controversy concerning their sanctity in xi cent. 205;

Impanation, consubstantiation, iii. 277

and q.

Impostors, the three, a book with this the, and the supposed author, ii. 325

and e.

Independents, claim the bonour of carrying the Gospel into America, iii. 415 and p; charged with promoting dissensions in England, and this charge impartially considered, iv. 99 and p; Rapin's account of them examined and corrected, 100 sub. not. whether chargeable with King Charles's death, 101; remarks on Dr. Mosheim's defence of

them, ibid.; why so called, 102 q; their difference from the Presbyterians, ibid. their moderation commended, and how more commendable than the Brownists, 103; called also Congregational brethren, ibid. sub. fin. not. origin in Holland, 104; progress in England and artful proceedings, ibid. prosperity under Cromwell, ibid. decline under Charles II. and union with the Presbyterians in nine articles of, ibid. and s.

India, Christianity propagated there in

xvi cent. iii. 390.

Indians, the nature of their pretended conversion at the end of xv cent. consider-

ed, ii. 508.

Indulgences, the power of granting them first assumed by the Bishops in the xii cent. ii. 286; monopolized by the Popes, 287; their nature and extent explained, ibid. destroy the credit of the ancient penitential discipline, 288; snpererogation invented and taught by St. Thomas to justify them, ibid. and z; this doctrine refuted, and by whom, ibid. a.

Innocent II. Pope, exempts the Cistercians

from paying tythes, ii. 275.

JII. Pope, his works, ii. 282; despotic tyranny over several princes and kingdoms, 351; angments the wealth and power of the Pope, ibid. his insolent behaviour to John, king of England, 352; lays England under an interdict, and why, 353; excommunicates and deposes John, and encourages Augustus of France to unite England to his kingdom, ihid. introduces Transubstantiation and Auricular Confession in xiii cent. 403; opposed by many in his innovated doctrine of Transubstantiation, 415.

VII. Antipope, his character, ii.

518

X. Pamfili, Pope, condemns the indulgence showed by the Jesuits toward the Chinese superstitions in xvi cent. iii. 400; his vile character and illicit commerce with Donna Olymnia, 451 and e; endeavours to prevent the peace of Westphalia, issues his hull against this pacific treaty, which was made at Munster, 461 and y.

Innocent XI. Odeschalchi, Pope, his endeavours to decide the controversy between the Jesuits and their adversaries concerning Chinese rites, iii. 401; his high character, 452 and i; contest with

Lewis XIV. and reason, 488.

XII. Pignatelli, Pope, his high

character, iii. 453 and l.
XIII. Pope, iv. 189.

Inquisition, its origin in Narbonne Gaul in xiii cent. ii. 421; the first delegates for this purpose, ibid. and a, b; its form

57

settled, and on what plan, 422, 423 and g; the absurd and iniquitous proceedings of this court accounted for, 424; privileges granted to it by Frederic II. Emperor, and Lewis IX of France, ibid, and i; violently opposed by the public, 425; and hence severer methods are employed against Heretics, ibid, meets with a fruitless opposition from Raymond, Earl of Thoulouse, and the consequences, 426; its severity in xiv centoward the Beghards, 500; congregation of, instituted by Paul III. Pope, iii. 128 c.

Instruction, form of, adopted by the Calvinists, by whom composed, and for

what use, iii. 280

Interim, edict of Charles V. Emperor, so called, iii. 86, 87 and a; troubles exeited by it, 87; Melanethon's opinion about it, and things indifferent, 88 and b; produces new divisions, dangerous to the Reformation, ibid. assembly of doctors held concerning it, 239.

Investitures, tuanults in si cent. through the law about them, ii. 169 and u; custom by the ring and crosier, 170; methods used by the clergy to deprive the emperors of their right, 172; and by the emperors to retain it, ibid. origin of this custom, 173; the offence given to the pontiffs, what, 174, 175 and h, war declared thereon, 176; Rodolph revolts against Henry III. 177; and is chosen Emperor, 179: the terrible war that follows mon his election, continues till . the death of Gregory VII. Pope, 180; the tumults continue under Urban II. 181; disputes concerning them renewed in xii cent. ii. 257; and their progress, 258; prace concluded between the . Pope and the Emperor on certain conditions, which is broken by Pascal II. and his death, 258, 259; the pacific inclinations of Calistus II. and to what these disputes were owing, 261; peace between the Emperor and Pope at Worms, with the conditions, 262; contest between Barbarossa and Adrian IV. 264; and, on the latter's death, a dispute in electing a new Pope, 265; after various success, a peace is concluded by the Emperor, ibid.

Joachim, Abbot of Flora, an account of the everlasting Gospel attributed to him, ii. 381 and s; his prophecies, ibid. Gerhard's explication of this Gospel condemned, and mistakes about it corrected, 382 and w; his character and works, 399 and c; his predictions the cause of many sects, 436; heretical

notions of the Trinity, 438.

Joan, Pope, in ix cent. ii. 20; contest about the truth of this story, ibid. and r, s; a middle course held by some, 21 and t.

Johannes, Johannellus, a mystic in xi cent. his works, ii. 201 and h.

new Testament into the language of the Tartars, ii, 324.

John, the forernnner of the Messiah, his character, and success of his ministry,

i. 54,55 and f.

Bishop of Jerusalem, a zealous advocate for Origen, and success in this cause, i. 299.

of Constantinople, or the Faster, assumes the title Universal Bishop, in vicent. i. 410 and s; his works, 416.

IV. Pope, rejects the Ecthesis of Heraclius, and condemns the Monophysites, i. 469.

- surnamed Carpathius, his character,

i. 516.
— of Capua, a monkish historian in x cent. ii. 89.

X. Pope, his infamous character, it.
 94; is imprisoned and put to death, 95.
 XI. Pope, an account of him, and his death, and character of his mother Ma-

rozia, ii. 95 and s.

— XII. Pope, changes his former name, and imitated in this by all succeeding Popes, ii. 96; implores the assistance of Otho the Great, with a promise of the Purple, ibid. breaks his oath of allegiance to Otho—is summoned before a council—degraded—reassumes the Pontificate, and dies miserably, ibid.

- XIII. Pope, raised to this seat by Otho the Great, an account of him, ii. 97.

— XIV. Pope, an account of, ii. 98.

XV. Pope, his administration peaceahle, and whence, ii. 98; enrolls the first saint, 109.

- the Sophist, the head of the Nominalists, and his disciples in ix cent. ii.

144 and s, t.

--- of Salishury, his great character, ii. 283.

—— de Matha and Felix de Valois, found the order of the fraternity of the Trini-

ty in xiii cent ii. 366.

of Parma, a famous ecclesiastic in

xiii cent. ii. 379.

Crusades, and the supposed reasons, ii. 441; his character, 458; engages in a war with Lewis, Duke of Bavaria, 459; who deposes him, ibid. is accused of hercsy, 460; his fear of being deemed an heietic after his decease, 461; and s; his severity to the Fratricelli, 473,

disputes between him and the Franciscans about the poverty of Christ, 476; his edicts against expropriation, 477; Franciscans supported by Lewis against him, 450; concludes a peace with them, 4S1; his fmitless attempts to suppress the brethren of the Free Spirit, 482, 483.

John XXIII. Antipope, bis infamous character, ii. 520; assembles a council at Constance, is deposed by it, ibid. 521

and n.

- Elector of Saxony, his conduct differs from his brother Frederic III. iii. 53; establishes a church in his dominions entirely different from the church of Rome, ibid. settles its doctrine, discipline, and government, ibid. his example followed by many German states, ibid. yet religious dissensions break out, 54.

Jonas, Bishop of Orleans, his system of

morality in ix cent. ii. 41.

Jordan, his new edition of the Latin Bible,

an account of, ii. 405.

Jovinian, opposes the superstitions in iv. cent. i. 298; is banished, and severely treated in Jerome's treatise against bim,

Irenaus, Bishop of Lyons, his great character, and use of his works, i. 148 and p; attacks the internal enemies of Christianity, ibid.

Irene, poisons her husband Leo IV. Emperor, and reigns, i. 520; her alliance with Adrian, Pope, ibid infamous char-

acter, ibid.

Irish, converted to Christianity in v cent. i. 336; called Scots, in viii cent. and eminent for their learning, 513 and m; illustrate Christian doctrines by philosophical principles, ibid, their sophism about the Trinity, ibid. the rise of the Reformation among them, iii. 96, 97 and

Irnerius, if he persoaded the Emperor Lotharius IL to substitute the Roman law instead of all others, ii. 251 and i.

Isbraniki, Roskolsnika, sect in Russia, its rise in xvii cent. iii. 556; excite commotions with some of their tenets, ibid. m, n; 557 o; methods taken to conquer their obstinacy fruitless, ib. treated with more humanity under Peter the Great, but their schism not healed,

Isenburg, church of, embraces Calvinism,

iii 299.

Isidore, of Pelusium, his character, i. 354; his epistles, ibid. i, k; commentaries on the Scriptures, 359 and d; censures the allegorical interpreters, 360.

of Seville, his character and works

i. 418, 420, 421.

Inychius, Bisbop of Jerusalem, his works, i. 456 and q.

Jubilee, year, when first instituted, ii. 418; its pretended antiquity contradicted and refuted, 419 x; altered in xiv cent 496.

Julia Mammæa, her sentiments favourable to Christianity, i. 192; Christians enjoy peace under her son Severus Alex-

ander, ibid.

Julian, made sole Emperor, attempts to destroy Christianity, i. 256; his apostacy, to what owing, ib. consummate dexterity, and ruinous projects how prevented, ibid. bis death and true character, 257 and d, e; his great defects, and ignorance of true philosophy, ibid. and f; permits the Jews to attempt the rebuilding of their temple, 258.

- Bishop of Halicarnassus, his doctrine of the body of Christ, i. 436; what names given to his followers, ibid.

Juliana, ker extravagant conceits, ii. 417

and s.

Julianus Pomerius, collects the precepts of mysticism into a system, i. 364 and o; confutes the Jews, 457; his explanatory works, 458.

Julius Africanus, his character and works,

i. 212.

II. Pope, bis infamous character, iii. 12; miserable state of the church under him, 13; calls a Lateran council and dies, ibid. whence he assumed his name, 142 b.

III. Pope, his vile character, iii.

142 d.

Junilius, his works, i. 420 and y.

Ivo, Bishop of Chartres, zealous in maintaining the rights of the church, ii. 194. Justin Martyr, writes an apology for the Christians under Autonius Pius, and thus prevails on the Emperor to stop the persecution, i. 132; publishes anoth-

er under Aurelius, 133; suffers martyrdom, ibid. his great character, 147; exposition on the revelations lost, 152: why unsuccessful in his controversy with the Jews, 153; his writings against the sectaries lost, ibid. moral treatises,

Justinian, Emperor, his edict against Origen, i. 425; and against the three chapters, 426; drives the Vandals out of Africa, and Goths out of Italy, 433; bis Pandect found in xii cent. at Melfi, ii.

Lawrence, his character, ii. 558. Juvenal, Bishop of Ælia, his ambition, i. 347; assumes the dignity of Patriarch of all Palestine, ibid. his power explained, ibid. and q; and granted to him by the Chalcedon council, ibid.

Κ.

Kabbala, what, i. 82; much taught among the Jews, ibid.

Kanghi, Chinese Emperor, favoured the

Missionaries, iii. 396 and s; great character and munificence to the Jesuits, 397.

Karit, nation of the Tartars, embrace

Christianity in x cent. ii. 74.

Keith, George, with others, reduces Quakerism to a tolerably regular form in aviicent. iv. 149, 150; excites disputes among them, and concerning what, 152; the debates brought before the Parliament, and he is excommunicated, 153; embraces and dies in the communion of the church of England, ib. and y, z.

Kempis, Thomas, his character, ii. 549 and

Kepler, an emineut astronomer in xvii cent. iii. 431.

Knighthood, military orders. their institution in xii cent. and use, ii. 239.

Knights, Sword bearers, a military order founded to convert the Livonians, ii. 230.

Knox, John, his character, iii. 94 and g; inspired the Scots with an utter abhorrence of Popery, even to a total extirpation of it, 95; this spirit how modified in other countries, ibid. k; departed not altogether from the ancient form, and how, ibid. in fine not. k; founder of the church in Scotland, 282

Knutzen, his impicty, iii. 425; founder of a sect, which was checked and extirpa-

ted, ibid.

Kodde, Vander, three brothers, founders of the Colleginuts, and account of, iv. 114. Koningsberg, divines of, friends to Calixtus's pacific plan, iv. 36.

Kunrath, an eminent physician and Para-

celcist in xvi cent. iii. 221.

I..

Labbadie, John, his character, iv. 177 and b; singular tenets, 178 and d; his austere sauctity and treatises, ibid. 179 and

Labbadists, rise of that sect in xvii cent. and by whom founded, iv. 177; after several inigrations on the death of their founder they fall into oblivion, 178; character of some of the members, ib. doctrine and discipline of this sect, ib. and d.

Lactantius, an excellent writer among the Latins in iv cent. i. 279 and g, h; an

eminent polemic divine, 287.

Lanfranc, Archbishop of Canterbury, his character and works, ii. 194 and w; commentary on St. Paul's Epistles 198; introduces logic into theology, 199; his candonr, a proof of the modest views of the first Schoolmen, ibid. c.

Langton, Archbishop of Canterbury, his contested election, and the consequences, ii. 352; character and works, 399

und d

Languages, Oriental, studied in xiii cent.
ii. 341; the study of, much encouraged
by Clement V. Pope, in xiv cent. 448;
improvement in xvii cent. iii. 434; advantageous to the cause of religion,
ibid.

Latins, learning encouraged among them by Charlemagne in viii cent. i. 487; state of philosophy among them in x cent. wretched, ii. 90; complaints of infidelity and atheism among them in xiii cent. 333, 334 and a; great schism among them in xiv. cent. 463; disputes about the worship due to Christ's blood in xv cent. 560; the multiplicity of rites they had in this cent. and increase, 562; instances by Popes, ibid.

Latitudinarians, their rise in England in xvii cent, and pacificatory endeavours, iv 108; doctrine, and chief leaders, 109 and d; meet with opposition, ibid. success upon the Restoration of King Charles II. and since, 110 and e.

Laud, Archbishop, his character, iii. 464 and i; introduces Arminianism into England, iv. 80; mixed character, and arbitrary proceedings, 95 and l, 96 and m; is tried, condemned, and beheaded, 98.

Launoy, exposes the tyranny of papal claims in xvii cent. iii. 486.

Lausanne, city of, embraces Calvinism, iii. 281.

Law, Roman, its study happily restored in xii cent. and whence, ii. 250; opinion about substituting it in the place of all others, ibid. 251 and i; canon, admitted to the same privilege, ibid. civil and canon, much studied in xiii cent. 346.

Leadley, Jane, foundress of the Philadelphian society, her strange notions and

followers, iv. 181.

Learning, when first introduced to support the cause of Christianity, i. 150; advantageous to the Reformation, and one great cause of it, iii. 11. See Letters.

Lebuin, of England, zealous in preaching the Gospel, and where, in viii cent. i.

480.

Leenhof, Frederic Van, account of his book, entitled, Heaven upon Earth, whence he is accused of Spinozism, iv. 208.

Legion, thundering, account of its miracles, i. 127 and n; the certain, distinguished from the doubtful accounts of

this story, 128.

Leibnitz, his philosophy retards the progress of Arminianism in Germany, iv. 144 and ee; some of its principles favourable to Calvinism, 145 sub. ee; his philosophy applied by some to illustrate the doctrines of Christinnity, but rejected by the English Calvinists, ibid. sub. not ee; his great improvements in metaphysics, 173.

Leipsic, made an university by Frederic the Wise in xv cent. ii. 524 the dispute between Eckius and Carlostadt, on the freedom and powers of the human will, iii. 35, 36 and notes; conference held at in avi cent, for reconciling the Lutheran and Reformed churches, iv. S; commotions at, and whence, 39

Leo 1. the Great, vigorous asserter of the power of the Roman See, i. 351; is strenuously opposed, and particularly . by the Africans, ibid. his character, 355 and o; his legates preside at the conneil of Chalcedon, 3\$5; his famous epistle to Flavianus received as a rule

of faith, ihid.

- the Isaurian, his contest with the Pope, i. 501, 502; augments the power of the See of Constantinople, ibid his laudable zeal against image worship, 516; issues an edict against it, and for removing images out of the churches, with the fatal consequences, and whence, 517; the nature and extent of this edict examined, ibid. r; is excommunicated, ihid. degrades Germanus for his attachment to image worship, and melacholy effects of this severity, 518.

Leo IV. Emperor, endeavours to suppress the practice of image worship, i. 520; is poisoned by his wife Irene, ihid. his death advantageous to the worship

of images, ibid.

the Wise, an account of, ii. 11.

VI. Emperor, writes against the Saracens, ii. 43.

the philosopher, promotes, learning among the Greeks in x cent. ii. 86; . his fourth murriage occasions violent disputes in the Greek churches, 111.

V. Pope, dethroned and imprisoned,

IX. Pope, aims at universal dominion, ii. 146; grants to the Normans their conquered and usurped countries, ibid. his character, 148; is sainted. ibid. hehaviour to the Normans considered, 149; insolence to Cerularius, 204; the impudence of his legates on this occasion, ihid.

X. Pope, his bad character, iii. 14;

obtains from Francis I. of France, a complete abrogation of the Pragmatic Sanction, ihid. and g; and to impose the Concordate upon his subjects, ibid. and h; his famous edict for granting indulgences, with their extent, 26, 31

excommunicates Luther, and is consured, 40 and n; his death, 47.

Leonardi, embraces the errors of Servetus, iii. 359.

Leontius, of Byzantium, his works, i. 416, 424.

Leszynski, his impiety and fate, iii. 425

Letters, flourish under Trajan, i. 136; discouraged by succeeding Emperors, ibid. more specious than solid in ii cent. 137; their decay, and several reasons for it. 204; dispute concerning their utility in iii cent. 206; their state in iv cent. 266; eucouraged by Constantine and succeeding Emperors, 267; their excellence acknowledged in v cent. i. 342; and promoted by the foundation of many public schools, ibid. found only among the monks and hishops in vi cent and that pernicious to piety, 406 and d; their state in vii cent. 449; decline among the Greeks in viii cent. 486; they revive among the Latins under Charlemagne, 487; controversies with the Latins cause them to flourish among the Greeks in ix cent. ii. 10; impediments to their progress in the West, what, 13; encouraged in Greece by Constantine Porphyrogeneta, 87: their state among the Saracens, 89; their deplorable fate among the Latins in x cent. ibid. restored by Pope Sylvester II. 91; the entire decay of the sciences . how prevented among the Greeks in xi cent. 136! and their principal writers, ihid revive in the West, ibid; schools opened in several places for cultivating them, and what sciences are here taught, 13S, 139: Dialectics, viz. Logic and Metaphysics in the highest repute, 139, 140; principal writers among the Greeks, 193; and Latins in this cent. 193, 194; promoted among the Greeks in xii cent. with the cause, ii. 246: studied among the Latins with the greatest assiduity, 247; their great progress in the West in xiii. cent. 337; many learned men among the Greeks in xiv cent. 447; their state among the Latins, 448; flourish under the Latins in xv cent. 511; and encouraged by several princes, ibid. and 512; their decline in the East, under the dominion of the Turks, ihid. what branches of them were cultivated in Italy, 513; their sad state in xvi cent. to what owing, iii. 20; the public advantages of their restoration to Christianity, 120; yet denied by some, ihid.

Leutard, troubles excited by him in x cent. ii. 116; his fate and disciples, ib. Leutheric, Archbishop of Scns, his notion that none but good men receive the body of Christ, ii. 207.

Lewis, Emperor, son of Charlemagne, falsely called the Meek, his character, ii. 3; a patron of the arts and sciences 12; his torged donation to the See of Rome, 19 and p; edict in hehalf of the Popc's election, spurious, ibid. and q; his zeal in suppressing the vices of the

of Neapolis, writes against the Jews in vi cent. i. 424.

monks, 27; orders a translation of the works of Dionysius the Areopagite, and thus encourages Mysticism, 42 and w.

IX. of France, afterward sainted, , his two Crusades and their success, ii. 328, 329 and q; the last of the European monarchs who undertook Crusades, 330: his famous edict, called the Pragmatie Sanction, by which the rights of the Gallican church are secured against the Pope, 349 and q.

Duke of Bavaria, his contest and war with Pope John XXII. ii. 458; deposes the Pope, and patronizes the

Franciscans, 480.

- Elector Palatine, restores Lutheranism in Germany, which his father had

removed, iii. 280.

XIV. of France, his solemn embassy to the king of Siam, iii. 393 and p; a great patron of the arts and sciences, 432; contest with Popes Alexander VII. and Innocent XI. about what, 488; persecutes the Jansenists, 533; demolishes the convent of Port Royal, 540: revokes the edict of Nantes, iv. 6S.

Liberatus, his compendious history of the Nestorian and Eutychian controversics,

and character, i. 418.

Libertines, spiritual brethren and sisters, their tenets, iii. 314; resemble the Beghards, ibid.

of Geneva, oppose Calvin, and

of what composed, iii. 315.

Licinius, persecution of the Christians under him in iv. eent. i. 253; his turbulence, defeat, and death, 254; this per-. secution mentioned by Aurelius Victor, 254 b.

Light, children or confessors of, a maine assumed by the Quakers, iv. 146.

L'Isle, Alain de, an emlnent logician in xiii cent. ii 340; his character 401 and e; polemie work against the Jews, 413.

Lithuanians, partly converted by the Teu-tonie knights in xiii cent. ii. 331; their conversion completed in xiv cent. 443.

Liturgy, of the church of England, a plan designed for introducing it in Hanover

and Prussia, iv. 406.

Lironians, converted to Christianity in xii ecnt. ii. 229; compelled to embrace the gospel by the greatest cruelty and oppression, 230; being converted, are violently oppressed, ibid.

Locke, John, a great promoter of natural knowledge, iii. 446.

Logic, the study of, much admired and tollowed in xi cent. ii. 139, 140; the most eminent lógicians, 140, 141.

Logicians, disputes among them in xi cent. and hence the Nominalists and Realists, ii. 142, 143 and q.

Lollard, Walter, an account of, ii. 501; mistakes of the learned, in supposing , him the founder of the Lollards, and whence, ibid. e.

Lollards, account of them in xiv eent. ii. 485; and u; by whom favoured and

persecuted, 487.

Lombard, Peter, his works, ii. 283; lueubrations defective, ii. 289; book of the sentences universally admired in xii cent 291; is called Master of the Sentences, ibid. and g; his followers called Sententiarii, ibid. his book of sentences in greater repute than the Bible, 293. L.

London, the Royal Society founded at, iii.

Loquis, Martin, his chimerical notion, ii. 554: the cruelties of the Hussites to be imputed to him and his followers, ibid.

Lord's Supper, its celebration in ii ceut. i. 169; its symbols adored, and whence, 307; administration burdened with poinpoas rites by Gregory the Great, i. 430.

Lolliaire, his zealons, but fruitless attempts, to revive learning in Italy in ix cent. ii.

Lore, Family, Anabaptist sect founded by Henry Nicholas in Holland, in xvi cent. iii. 351.

virgins of, a female order in the Romish church, their institution in xvii cent. and othee, iii. 502.

Low churchmen, in xvii cent. iv. 112. See Dodwell.

Lubieniecius, Stanislans, a l'olish knight, a patron of Socinianism, his character, iv. 171; his zeal for its success, ibid. by whom opposed, and his hopes frustrate ed, ibid.

Lucar, Cyrillus, opposes the union of the Greek and Latin churches in xvii cent. iii. 553; his character and persecution by the Jesuits, ibid. is accused of treason, and put to death, ibid. and c.

Lucas, a follower of Spinoza, his works,

iii. 429 and x.

Lucifer, Bishop of Cagliari, his character and sect in iv cent. i. 296, 297.

Lucopetrus, founder of a fanatical sect in xii cent. ii. 305; his chief disciple, and tenets, ibid.

Ludolph, his learned labours, iii. 561. Luitprand, a monkish historian iu x cent.

Lulty, his new philosophy in xiv eent. ii. 452; character, and different opinions about it, ibid. and c.

Lupus, Servatus, ii. 14; his great abilities and works, 17 and i; character, 31.

Luther, Martin, abnoxious to the Dominicans, iii. 20; few able to oppose his doetrine from Seripture, 21; his great character, iii. 25; warmly opposes Tetzel's preaching of Indulgences, and hence the rise of the Reformation is to be dated, 26, 27; his motives for opposing the doctrine of Indulgences vindicated from unreasonable calumnies ibid. p; debate with Tetzel clearly stated, 28; is violently opposed, and offers to abjure any erroneous sentiments that can be proved against him, 30; his fruitless conference with Cajetan at Angsburg 30, 31 and r; conference with Miltitz, and the issue, 31; promises silence, on the condition of silence being observed by his adversaries, 32; his generous behaviour to Tetzel, 33 and y; dispute with Eckius on papal power, at Leipsic, 35; is excommunicated by Leo X. 40; separates himself from the church of Rome, 41; offers submission to the determination of a general council lawfully assembled, and consequently of the universal church, 42 and o; unjustly banished at a Diet of Worms, 41, 45 and r; translates a great part of the New Testament into the German language, 45 and s; censures the rash proceedings of Carlostadt, 47 and t; his doctrine of the Eucharist, 49 and z; draws up the Articles of Torgaw, 60; refuses to admit the friends of Zuingle to the Diet at Smalcald, 75 h; his catechisms, 209; form of concord, ibid. explications of the Scripturc, 224: Golden rule of interpretation, 225 and a; prevents the divisions which the disciples of Munzer attempted to excite, 231; his debates with Carolostadt, 232 and g; ibid. and h; 233 i; appeases the tumult at Wittomberg, 232; suppresses the Antinomians, 235; publishes his confession of Faith, opposite to the doctrine of Zuingle, 268; indulges the Bohemian brethren, 297; his plan of Reformation disliked by the Anabaptists, 324.

Lutherans, esteemed by the Spaniards as better subjects than the Calvinists, iii. 296; progress of learning among them in xvii cent. iv. 15; their occlesiastical law and polity, 23; adopt the maxim of the Arminians, 25; the state of Theology and moral science among them,

26, 27.

Lutkeman, Joachim, his singular opin-

ions, and character, iv. 55.

Lyons, a council at, in xiii cent ii. 360; a famous decree concerning the Cardinals, during the vacancy of the pontificate, ibid.

Lyranus, Nicholas, his exposition of the Scriptures, and great character, ii.

488.

M.

Macarius, i. 278; his character as a moralist, 288 and l.

ii. 16; refuted by Ratram, ibid.

Maccovius, introduces subtilties into theology, iii. 311 n; followed by others, ibid, sub. n

Macedonius, his heresy in iv. cent. i. 325; tenets opposed and crushed by the council of Constantinople, ihid, the decrees that passed in this council, 326.

Madura, account of that successful mission, and its author, iii. 390 and i; the singular method used, ibid. that kingdom described, 391; sub. m; this and the like missions, why suspended by the Pope, ibid.

Magnus, Albertus, an eminent philosophical divine in xiii cent. ii. 400 and g;

his didactic writings, 406.

Magus, Simon, not properly termed an heretic, and why, i. 116; blasphemously assumes to himself the title of the supreme power of God, ibid. his history, ibid. his fate, and the doctrines he held,

117 and z; 118 and a, b.

Mahomet, appears in vii cent. i. 443; his character, and report of his total ignorance of learning examined, ibid. m; 441 n; his public declarations about religion, ihid. delivers the law called Koran, ibid. and o ; his project of forming an empire, ihid the judgment we are to form of him, ibid. his success in propagating his doctrine accounted for, 445; dies, 417; testament in favour of the Christians, with arguments for and against its authenticity examined, 465 and k; his successors employ the Nestorians in the most important matters. 465; dispute in xii cent. concerning. his God, ii. 300

II. takes Constantinople in xv

ccnt. ii 509, 510 and k.

Mahometans, their behaviour towards the Christians in vii cent. i. 447; their division into two sects, and others subordinate, ibid.

Maieul, St. See Regular Clerks.

Maigrot, Charles, acts as Delegate from the Pope, his decision against the Jesuits, concerning the observance of Chinese rites, iii. 401.

Major, George, controversy about the necessity of good works with Amsdorf,

iii. 24

Maitre, le, a celchrated lawyer, retires into the convent of Port Royal, iii. 538 sub. e.

Maldonat, John, his commentary on St.

Paul's epistles, iii. 160.

Malebranche, Father, charged with Atheism by Hardouin, and the justice of the charge examined, iii. 444; sub. not. p; his philosophy, 445 and r.

Mandeville, his impious deism, and hypo-

thesis, iv. 188 and c.

Manes, Manichaus, account of him, i. 230; his doctrine of two principles, 232; various reports about his death, ihid. e; summary concerning Man, Christ, and the Holy Ghost, 232, 233; concerning Christ's office, and the Com-

forter, 233; concerning the state of purified and unpurified souls, 234; his opinions of the old and New Testament, ibid his rule of life austere, 236; divides his disciples into two classes, ibid.

Manicheans, their general assembly, and president who represented Christ, i. 236; his assistants, &c. ibid. and f; conceal themselves under various names, through fear of persecution, in iv cent. 308; their state vi cent. i. 431; continue in xv cent. and where, ii. 563.

Mapes, Walter, his character, ii. 340 and k. Marca, Petrus de, writes against the papal

claims in xvii cent. iii. 486.

Marcellinus, Tribune, sent into Africa by Honorius, to decide the affair of the Donatists, and declares in favour of the Catholics, i. 372; if this was not more properly a judicial trial than a conference, ibid. e; the consequences to the Donatists, who were upon the decline till relieved by Genscric on his invading Africa, 373.

Marcellus, of Ancyra, his erroneous notions of the Trinity, i. 324, 325 and e. his pacific attempt to reconcile

the Protestants, iii. 469.

Marchia, Jacobus a, opposes the worship of Christ's blood, and is accused of heresy, in xv. cent. ii. 561.

Marcion, founder of an heretical sect in Asia, i. 175; the principles he main-

tained, ibid.

Marculf, the monk, his works useful in describing the state of literature in vii. cent. i. 456.

Mardailes. See Maronites.

Margaret, of Navarre, favourable to the Reformation in France, iii. 67; her example encouraged many pious and learned men to promote it, ibid. who are put to death, with the contradictory behaviour of Francis I. toward the Protestants, 68 and z.

Maria, Ave, added to the prayers of the Romish church in xiv cent. ii. 497.

Marino, Robert of, an historian in xiii ceut. ii. 340.

Mark, the Hermit, his works and character, i. 363.

Maronites, whence so called, 472 and s; retain the opinions of the Monothelites till xii cent. ibid. the fruitless attempts of their learned to confute this accusation, ibid. t; their subjection to Rome in xvi cent. iii. 204 and x; and upon what condition, 205; expensive to the Popes, and wherefore, ibid. and 20G.

Marpurg, a conference held by the Reformers to terminate their disputes about the Eucharist, iii. 58; a toleration of opinions the issue of this conference, 59.

Marriages, fourth, prohibited by a council at Constantinople in x cent. ii. 112.

Martial, first bishop of Limoges, controversy concerning him in xi cent. ii. 214, 215; Pope John xix. declares him worthy of an apostleship, upon which he is

sainted, 215.

Martin, Bishop of Tours, converts the Gauls in iv. cent. i 263; erects the first monasteries in Gaul, 291; hence the great progress of Monkery, ib. the difference between an Eastern and Western monk in austerity, as described by Sulpitius Severus, 292 s: his arrogant assertion of the ministerial dignity, i. 352.

Bishop of Braga, his summary of

a virtuous life, i. 423.

- Pope, condemns the Ecthesis of Heraclius, and the Type of Constans, in vii cent, i. 469; anathematizes the Monothelites and their patrons, ibid. is banished for one year by Constans, and the consequence of this rigorous proceeding, ibid

of Poland, an historian xiii cent.

ii. 340.

Raymond, character of his Pugio Fidei Christianæ, ii. 341, 401, 412; well acquainted with the Hebrew and Arabic languages, ibid.

1V. Pope, his character and inso-

lence, ii. 361.,

V. Pope, chosen at the council of Constance in the room of Benedict XIII. deposed, ii. 521, 522; assembles a council at Basil which attempts the Reformation of the church, but in vain, 532.

Martyr, Peter, zealous in propagating Cal-vinism in England, iii. 283; a writer of

common place divinity, 311.

Martyrs, who entitled to this name, i. 71; veneration paid to them perverted, ibid. their number, ibid. lives and actions why recorded, 72; and how lost and retrieved, ibid. and t.

Mary, Queen, restores Popery, iii. 93; puts Cranmer to death, ibid. her cruck designs against the Protestants in Irc-

land, how prevented, iii. 96 m.

Virgin, when first worshipped, i. 330; her image introduced into churches in v. cent. 370; the innocence of her title as mother of God examined, 380 r: veneration for her increased in x cent. ii 114; institution of the Rosary and Crown in honour of her, what, ibid. controversy concerning her immaculate conception in xii cent. ii. 302; and fcstival instituted in honour of it, 304; this controversy renewed in xvii cent. bctween the Franciscans and Dominicans, iii. 540; the Pope's declaration to both

parties, and a festival appointed, ibid. and g.

Maxnius, a German Jesuit, his reconci-

ling attempt, iii. 469 and p.

Masses, solitary, what, and when supposed to be introduced, i. 523 and d.

Mathematical sect, their rise in xvii cent. iii. 442; follow the principles of Gassendi, an account of, 443; its progress. 445, 446.

Mathematics, their improvement in xvii

cent. iii. 431.

Mathilda, Dutchess of Tuscany, her donation to the see of Rome in xi cent. ii.

164, 165, and n, o.

Matthia, John, bishop of Strengnes in Sweden, his pacific attempts in xvii cent. and works entitled Olive Branches, iv. 13, and m, n; his writings suppressed, and he himself obliged to resign his bishopric, and retire, ibid.

Matthias, chosen to be an apostle, and

how, i. 60.

Matthison, John, ringleader of the fana-

tics of Munster, iii. 329.

Maty, Paul, his notion of the Trinity, and controversy hereupon in xviii cent. iv. 209; unsatisfactory hypothesis, which amounts to two propositions, and is only a repetition of Dr. Thomas Burnet's sentiments on the same subject, ibid.

and y.

Maur, St. congregation of, iii. 497 and w; select number of learned members, and their adversaries, 498 and x; many and admirable productions, 499 and y; their reformation falls short of the perfection of austerity, which had been idly imagined by some, ibid. this severe plan adopted by the jausenists, 500 and z; by Bouthelier de Rance and the occasion, ibid. and b; his order de la Trappe gradually degenerates, 501.

Maurice, Elector of Saxony, obtains the electorate by perfidions measures, and what these are, iii. 85; consents to a council being called at Trent on certain conditions, 85 and c; how the cause of the famous treaty at Passau, 91 and d.

Landgrave of Hesse, deserts the

Landgrave of Hesse, deserts the Lutheran church, and embraces Calvinism in xvii cent. iv. 3 and b; the change thereon in his dominions, ibid. his conduct toward the Lutherans, and defence of it by the doctors of the Reformed Church, 4 and c.

to favour the Arminians in xvii cent. iv. 129; declares against them, with his ambitious views, 132, 133, and i; his violent proceedings against them, and consequence, 133, 134, and notes.

Mauritius, Peter, refutes the Jews in zii

cent. ii. 298.

Maxentius, his works, i. 416.

Maximin, persecution under that Emperor, i. 196.

Maxims, two very dangerous, universally adopted in iv. cent. i. 293; the greatest men infected with the first for some ages past, ibid. the second had its rise in the reign of Constantine, and approved by succeeding ages, 294.

Maximus, Julian's master, a Platouist, and being accused of magic, is put to death by the order of Valentinian in iv cent.

i. 267.

Maximus of Turin, an account of his hom-

ilies, i. 355.

the Greek monk, account of him and his works, i. 455; expositions, 458. Mayer, Michael, a leader of the Rosecrucians in xvii cent. iii. 437.

Mayhew, a Puritan missionary in America,

iii. 416.

Mayronius, Francis, a scholastic divine in

xiv cent. ii. 488.

Mazen, Nicholas de, very zealous in reforming the monks of Germany in xv cent. ii. 542.

Medicis, the zeal of this family in cultivating learning in xv cent. ii. 511, 518.

--- Cosmo de, zealous patron of the Pla-

tonic philosophy, ii. 514. Meier, an account of this follower of Spinoza and his works, iii. 428 and w.

Melanethon, Philip, his great character, iii. 37, 38, and g, h; prepares the famous confession of Augsburg, 61; answers and confutes Faber's objections to it, 72; his dispute with Eckius at Worms, S2; his sentiments of the famous edict called Interim, what, SS, and b; aud the cause of a melancholy schism among the Lutherans, ibid. the method of philosophy adopted by him, 122; his unsuccessful attempt to unite the Greeks with the Protestants, and the Greek translation of the Augsburg Confession, which he sent to Constantinople, but receives no answer, 185; eminent for his knowledge of history, 218; his character, 219; is considered as the great doctor of the Lutherau church, ib. his writings in philosophy, and of the sect of the eclectics, 220; his abridgements, ib. commentaries ou St. Paul's epistles, 224; explanations of the scriptures, 225; Loci Communes, ib. en-larges them, 226; destitute of the rancour too frequently met with in the polemic writings of the Lutheran di-vines, 229; placed at the head of the Lutheran church, 237; compared with Luther, and different sentiments from him, ib. and p, 239 and r; is accused of apostacy by the Lutherans, and the reasons, 240; justifies bimself, ib. desirous of an union between the Reformed and Lutherans, 268; which is facilitated by Calvin, ib. 269, and g; but meets with obstacles, ib.

Melanethonians, a philosophical sect in xvi cent. iii. 220.

Melchites, who, i. 466. m.

Meletian controversy, the true causes of, i. 295; continued until v cent. 296; condemned by the first Council of Nice, 318 and t.

Meliteniota, his pacificatory attempt between the Greeks and Latins in xiii

cent. ii. 399.

Melito, Bishop of Sardis, his works, i. 153; gives the first catalogue of the books of the Old Testament, ib. u.

Menander, his wild and frantic notions, i.

118.

Mendæans, or Christians of St. John, a sect in the Eastern churches, an account of, iii. 197 and d.

Mendez, Patriarch of Athiopia, his imprudent zent and arrogance, iii. 478; is banished from the country, 480.

Mendicants, their institution in xiii cent. ii. 367; principles or tenets, 368; confined to four societies only, 369 and n; their universal fame, ib. pride and arrogance, 376; impious wiles, with a specimen, 377 and g; contests between the Dominicans and Franciscans, ib. addicted to the opinions of the scholastic divines 408; in high esteem in xvi cent. 466; through their enormous vices they fall under a general odium, ib. but arc supported by the Pope, 467 and e: charged with arrogance and a vicious spirit of novelty, 542; offensive to the Bishops in xv cent. for the refuge given to the Beguins in their order, 543; their great aversion to learning, 17,

Menno, Simon, account of, 330; his travels into different countries, and remarkable success in gaining proselytes, 331; eloquence and writings, ib. 332 and t; his doctrine, ib. discipline, 333; imprudent conduct towards two sects which arose, 336: his singular tenets, 343; his rigorous laws mitigated by the Anabaptists in xvii cent. iv. 163; discipline and singular opinions abandoned by the Waterlandians, 166.

Mennonites, their various forms in xvii cent. iv. 162 and e; different sects of them, 163. See Anabaptists.

Messalians, Euchites, their antiquity, i. 329; when formed into a religious body, ib. their tenets, ib. borrowed many of their notions from the Eastern philosophy, ib. a general name for Eastern Heretics and Enthusiasts in xii cent. ii. 305 and m.

Mentz, Felix, his detestable character, iii.

326.

Mercalor, Marius, a warm opposer of Pelagius, i. 356.

Metaphysical sect, their rise and account of iii. 442, 443; improvement and propagation, 440; falls into contempt, iv.

Meth, Ezekiel, account of that fanatic, iv.

Methodists, Popish, most eminent in France, iii. 473; dispute with the Huguenots, ib. divided into two classes, ib. most eminent among the first, ib. those of the second, with their manner of controversy, 474 and g, h: Bossuet among the latter, with a character of his history, 475, 476 and k; remarkable instance reterted upon himself, ib. sub. not.

Methodius, eminent for his piety in iii cent. i. 213; his exposition on Genesis

and Solomon's song lost, 219.

the Confessor, his zeal for image worship in ix cent. ii. 29; his panegyric on Dyonisius, 42.

with Cyril converts the Mæsians and other nations in ix cent. ii. 4, 5 and

Metochita, George, his pacificatory attempt to unite the Greek and Latin churches in xiii cent. ii. 399.

Metropolitans, whether any in i cent. i. 92; whence their rights, 146; the extent of

their power in iv cent. 271.

Mezzabarba, is sent into China as legate from Pope Clement XI. with his second edict against the lawfulness of the Chinese rites, and bad success, iv. 185, 180 and b.

Michael, St. superstition about him in x

cent. ii. 117.

Micislaus, Duke of Poland, converted to Christianity in x cent. ii. 75; his zeal for the conversion of his subjects, and methods used by him to obtain it, ibid. 76.

Middleton, Richard, a metaphysical divine

in xiii cent. ii. 400.

Millenium, controversy concerning it in iii cent. i. 222; violently opposed by Origen, 223, and supported by Nepos, ibid. stopped by Dyonisius of Alexandria, ibid.

Milletiere, his pacific attempt to reconcile the Protestants and Roman Catho-

lics in xvii cent. iii. 471.

Millitz, holds a conference with Luther, iii. 32; his character, ibid. prudent and candid behaviour in the conference, 33; unhappy fate, 35 and a.

Mingrelians, in Asia, their deplorable

state iii. 189.

Ministry, necessity of a public one, i.

Minucius, Felix, character and use of his

dialogue, i. 213. Miracles, advantageous to Christianity i.

64; of the Thundering Legion, 127 and n; which is more than dubious, 128; of the iv cent. given up, but it is denied that miracles had then entirely ceases, 264; on the Trinitarians, oppressed by the Vandals in Africa, in v cent. and the credible witnesses of them, i. 374 and h; dispute among the learned about it, with a reflection thereon, 375, 376, sub. not. said to be performed in vi cent. invalidated by the lives of the converts, 400 their number and reality in viii. cent. examined, 483.

Misa, Jacobell, a disciple of Huss, administers the sacraments in both kinds, and this practice deemed beretical, ii. 530, his opinion that infants should re-

ceive the Eucharist, 554.

Missionaries, their success in barbarous nations, and particularly Jesuits, iii. 386; account of their hardships not too readily to be believed 392; Capuchins, their success in Africa, 412.

Missions, priests of the, founded in xvii cent. and by whom, iii. 502, 503.

- account of, in aviii cent. iv. 184; Protestant, and more particularly the Danish, 186.

Mogislaus, Peter, Bishop of Kiow, draws up a summary of doctrine for the Greek church, which is publicly approved and adopted, iii. 184 and u, w.

Molina, Lewis, character of him and his

writings iii. 177 and i, k, l.

Molinists, controversies with them concerning predestination and liberty, iii. 176, 177; accused of renewing the errors of Pelagianism, 177.

Molinos, Michael de, excites new controversies in the church, iii.541; his book entitled the Spiritual Guide, ibid. and h; principles, whence his followers called Quietists, 542; opposed by the Jesuits and the French ambassador, ihid. and i; is obliged to recaut and dies in prison, 543 and 1; most eminent of his followers, 544.

Monarchy, Fifth Men, their rise and enthusiastic notions in xvii cent. iv. 106. Monkery, passes from the East to the West in iv cent. i. 291; where first es-

tablished, ibid. q. Monks, their rise, i. 216, formed into a regular body by Antony, in iv cent. 290; different orders, 291; adopted among the clergy, 293; claim eminent stations in the cliurch, i. 353; observe different rules of discipline, ibid. not subject to the Patriarchal power, ihid. and f; their defence of Origen in vi cent. 425; their vices in vii cent. 453; are exempted by the Pope from episcopal jurisdiction, 454; held in much repute, ibid. their discipline fallen into decay in viii cent. 502; efforts to stop it ineffectual, ibid. and 503; excessive veneration paid to them in ix cent. ii. 26; employed in civil affairs, 27; a reformation attempted among them by order of

Lewis the Meek, ibid. guilty of Concubinage and Simony in x cent. 101, 102 and eaf; their state in xi cent. and increase of their immunities, and for wbat end, 182, 183; exempted by the Popes from the authority of their sovereigns, ibid. their ignorance and corruption, ibid. great corruption gives rise to chivalry, 183 u; new orders, 187; enrich their convents by processions made of the saintly relics, ii. 286; their great increase in xiii cent. 364; some suppressed, 365; and what subsist, 366; the order called Breth-ren of the Holy Trinity: and if the same with the Brethren of the Redemption of the Captivity, 367 and 1; a reformation attempted among them in xv cent. 542; corrupt state in xvi cent. iii 17; their aversion to learning, ibid. very serviceable to the Pope, 137; much reformed 146; new orders, 149.

Monophysites, their tenets concerning the nature of Christ, i. 390; called Severians, whence, 434; encouraged by the Emperor Anastasius, ibid. depressed by Justin and successive emperors, ibid. their sect restored by Jacob Baradæus, ihid. whom they acknowledge to be their second founder, 435; divisions among them terminated, ibid. called Jacobites, and flourish in the East in xvi cent. iii. 190 and h; divisions into the African and Asiatic, ibid. their religious doctrines and rites, 192; differ from the Greek and Latin churches, in what, ihid. and o; their ignorance, ihid. in Asia, their state in xvii.

cent. iii. 560 and s.

- African and Abyssinian, resist obstinately the Roman yoke, iii. 560;

their state in xviii cent. ii. 196.
Monothelites, the risc of this sect in vii cent. i. 466; Heraclius's compromise, ibid. progress of their doctrine, 467 opposed by Sophronius, monk of Pales-tine, 468; condemned in the sixth general council, 469; a view of their doctrine, 470; different opinions among them, ibid. their fate after the council of Constantinople, 471; sentiments embraced by the Maronites, ibid.

Montagne, a supposed infidel in xvi cent.

iii. 119.

Montanus, his tenets, i. 188; some mistakes about them, ibid. c; attempts to supply the pretended defects of the Gospel, 189; his excessive austerity, ihid. reasons for excommunicating him, and success of his doctrine, 189, 190; which Tertullian adopts, ibid. and d.

Monlesono, John de, denies the immacu late conception of the Virgin Mary and contest with the University, of Paris, ii. 495; is excommunicated, and various opinions concerning the reasous

for it, ibid. and m.

Moors, or Saracens, some converted in xv cent. and how, ii. 507: banished out of Spain in xvii cent. iii. 462; conse-

quences, 463.

Moralists, moral writers, in ii cent. who, i. 155; their merit as such, ibid. the double doctrine introduced by them, what, and the effects; 156; hence the Ascetics, 157. charged with want of order and precision, in iii cent. 219; the most eminent in iv cent. with their defects, 288; their character in v cent. i. 363; mystic principles adopted by them, 366; reduce practical religion to the observance of a few virtues in vii cent. 460; imbibe many of the Aristotelian principles in viii cent. 515; principally employed in ix cent. in collecting the sentiments of the Fathers on morality, ii. 41; content themselves in x cent. with composing some few homilies, and writing the lives of the saints, ii. 111; contemptible in xi cent, 201; partly scholastic, partly mystic, in xii cent. ii. 297; their character in xiii cent. 410, 411; definitions of picty and justice different from those in the Scriptures, 411; chiefly employed in collecting and solving cases of conscience, and in moralizing on the natures, properties, and actions of the brute creation in xiv cent. 493; their character and names in xvi cent. iii. 227 c;---Lutheran, ibid.

Morality, Romish, its sad state in xvi cent. iii. 163; no successful attempt made to reform it, and complaints against the Jesuits, ibid. writers on it divided into

three classes, ibid.

true principles of, not settled in zvi cent. iii. 227.

Morarians, their conversion in ix cent.

ii. 4. Morarian, Bolicmian, brethren, an ac-

count of, iii. 297.

Morgan, his deism, and hypothesis of iv. 188 and c.

Morinus, his pacific endeavours to unite the Greek and Latin churches in xvii cent. iii 552 and d.

Moscovy, the Christian religion established there in x cent. ii. 76.

patriarch of, when first made, iii. 188; his immunities extended, 189. Moses, Barcepha, a Syrian bishop in ix

cent. his great character, ii 29.

Cretensis, an account of this im-

postor in iv cent. i 333 and g.

Moulin, Peter du, is employed to reconcile the Lutherans and the Reformed, iv. 8 and f.

iv. 8 and f.
Moyer, Lady, her lectures founded in xviii

cent. iv. 213.

Munster, seized upon by the fanatics in Germany in xvi cent. iii. 231, and 329; retaken by its sovereign, Count Waldeck, ibid. 330; peace of Germany con-

Picluded at, iii. 460.

Munzer, one of the leaders of the fanatics, iii. 325; assembles a numerous army of the peasants in xvi cent ibid. his ravages not chargeable on Luther, ibid. is defeated, taken, and ignominiously put to death, ibid. fate of his associates, 326.

Muralt, a Deistical writer in xviii cent. iv. 189 and d; his religious system comprehended in three points, and what

they are, ibid.

Musæus, approves of Calixtus's pacificatory plan, iv 36: adopts some of his sentiments, 37, 38; imputations against him and the divincs of Jona, ibid. and l.

Mystics, their rise in the East, and whence, i. 143; their unfair defence, 215; multiplied in iv. cent. and doctrine propagated, 289; their cause promoted in v cent. from their austerity of life, 364; their pernicious influence on moral writers, 366; flourish in ix cent. ii 42; their method of explaining truth adopted in xii cent. ii. 289; oppose the Scholastics in xiii cent. 410; a reconciliation between the two parties attempted, ibid. zcalous for the study of the Scriptures, and the writings of the fathers, in xiv cent. 490; many of distinguished merit among them in xv cent. 558; defended against the Schoolmen, 559; the only remaining sparks of piety in xvi cent. were in them, iii. 24; but unable to combat the error of the times, ibid. why called Quietists, iii. 542; their precepts embraced by the Quakers, 153, 155.

N.

Nagel, Paul, his reveries, iv. 61.

Nangis, William of, an historian in xiii

cent. his character, ii. 340.

Nantes, famous edict drawn up at, in xvi cent. in favour of the Protestants, iii. 282; revoked by Lewis XIV. in xvii cent. iv. 68 and s.

Naples, the Academy at, founded by Frederic II. in xiii cent. ii. 337; the progress of the Reformation here in xvi cent. iii. 99; the opposition made against the attempts to introduce the inquisition, ib. and t.

Naraya, Chaw, king of Siam, his remarkable answer to the French king's ambassador, iii. 394; sub. not. q; tolerates the missionaries, 395; is put to death,

ibid, and r.

Nassau, church of, embraces Calvinism in

xvi cent. iii. 299.

Nations, state of those not under the Romans, i 31; the genius of, and liberty enjoyed by, the Northern, ibid. and f; all sunk in superstition, but of different kinds, ib. 32.

Nature, its law studied with great atten-

tion in xvii cent. iii. 434. Grotius led the way, with the advantages to Chris-

tian morality, 435.

Naylor, James, a most extravagant Quaker, account of him, and the blasphemous encomiums hestowed upon him by the Quakers, iv. 148, sub not. kk.

Nazarenes, the rise of this sect properly dated from ii cent. i. 121 171 its division into two sects, ib. ranked among heretics by Epiphanius, and if justly, ib. and e; their gospel, ib. and f; that term what originally, ib. their tenets, and why gently treated by most Christians, ib. and g

Neercassel, John, assists Arnaud in propagating Jansenism among the Romish churches in Holland and the Nether-

lands, iii 533.

Neri, Philip, founds the priests of the oratory in xvi cent. iii. 151; by whom assisted, ib. y; is sainted by Urban VIII. iii. 549.

Nero, persecutes the Christians, and why,

i. 67, 73.

Nestorianism, its rise and author, i. 376, 377; impartial judgment concerning this controversy, 379; progress after the conncil of Ephesus, 381; its success in the East, ib. is propagated by Barsumas of Nisibus through Persia, 382; taught in a school at Nisibis erected for this purpose, ibid. encouraged in Persia,

40S; its state in vi cent. 433.

Nestorians, their divisions cease, i. 382; doctrine what, ib hold their founder in the highest veneration, 383; but maintain the doctrine taught by him to be older than himself, ib. Eastern, diligent in exploring the true sense of Scripture, 420; spread their doctrines with success in vi cent. 433; introduce Christianity among the Chinesc in vii. cent. 439; floorish under the Saracens, 465; plant the gospel in Tartary, and beyond Mount Imaus, in x cent. ii. 73; frequently solicited by Romish missionaries to submit to the papal yoke in xiii centbut in vain, ii. 420; two factions among them, and how occasioned, in xvi cent. iii. 134; violent methods used by Menezes, Bishop of Goa, &c. to reduce them to the Romish yoke, 135; are called Chaldeans, 190; distinguished from other societies of Christians by peculiar doctrines and rites, iii. 194; their notions of the two natures and two persons in Christ explained, 195, and u; careful in avoiding superstitious opinions and practices, ib. and x; their patriarchs, ib. and 196; their state in xvii cent. iii. 562; offers of reconciliation with Rome, why not accepted, ibid. those on the coast of Malabar persecuted by the Romish pricets, 563; but tolerated by the Dutch, ibid. refuse to enter into the Romish communion, though repeatedly solicited by the most earnest entreaties and alluring offers in xviii

cent. ii. 195

Nestorius. founder of a sect in v cent. i. 376; occasion of his controversy, 377; anathematized by Cyril. Bishop of Alexandria. 378; his charge against Cyril, ibid, is condemned to hanishment by a general council at Ephesus, 379; the justice of this sentence examined, ibid. faults to be found in this controversy, 380 and r

Neuser, Adam, introduces Socinianism

into Germany, iii 373.

Newton, Sir Isaac, his great character, ii. 446, and s; the excellence of his philosophy how proved, 447; his works, and life by whom written, ibid. 1; liberty of thinking restored by him and Des Cartes, and in what the admirers of the former were superior to those of the

latter, ibid

Nice. the first general conneil at, i. 317; the account of it imperfect, ibid. Arius is condemned, 348: determines the time for observing Easter, ibid. and s; terminates the Novatian troubles, ibid. condemns the Meletian schism, ibid. and t, u, second council in viii. cent. i. 520; superstitious decrees in favour of image worship, ibid. its authority and this decision acknowledged by the church of Rome, ibid.

Nicephorus, patriarch of Constantinople, an account of his defence of image wor-

ship, ii. 29.

- Callistus, his ecclesiastical history, an account of, ii. 447.

- Gregoras, his character, ii. 447; works, 488. Nicetas, Choniates, a Greek historian in

xiii cent ii. 335 - David, an account of, ii. 29.

- Pectoratus, a zealous advocate for the Greeks in xi cent. ii. 193; his chain

of commentaries on Job, 198.

Nicholas, patriarch of Constantinople, suspends the Emperor Leo the Philosopher, for marrying a fourth wife, ii. 111, 112; deprived by the Emperor, ibid. is restored to his dignity by his son, ibid.

- II. Pope, his character, ii. 149 and c; his famous decree concerning the election of the Pope, 150 and e.

- III. Pope, his famous constitution, confirming the rule of St. Francis, ii. 384, and z; forbids all private explications of this law, ibid and a.

IV. Pope, refuses to crown the Emperor Rodolphus, till he acknowledged the papal pretensions, ii. 350; his character, 362.

- V. Popc, his great character, ii. 537; a great patron of letters, ibid. - Henry, founder of the Family of Love in avi cent. iii. 351; his opinions, 352.

Nicias, a polemic divine in vii cent. i. 462; writes against the Gentiles, ibid.

Nicolaitans. an account of this sect, i. 119.
Nicolle, a Jansenist doctor and polemic divine, iii. 474; his character and works, ibid. g, h; a fellower of Des Cartes, 507; patron of the Jansenists, 526.

Nicon, his treatise on the religion of the Armenians in a cent. ii. 103.

Nieder. John, his works, and the use of them. ii. 548.

Nihusius, a Popish methodist, his work, iii. 473. and d.

Nilus, character of his works, i. 355.

Movilles, Cardinal de, opposes the Bull Unigenitus of Clement XI, and the event, v. 193.

Nobili Robert de, account of that Jesuit's mission, iii 390; his singular stratagems in Madura, ibid, and i; followed by other Jesuits with surprising success, and the causes, 391, 392, and m, and *.

Noctus, his doctrine of the Trinity, i 237; followers, whence called Patripassians,

Nogaret, William de. seizes the person of Pope Bonif ce VIII. and his ill treatment of the Pope, ii. 454; prosecutes his accusation against the Pope after his death, 455

Nogent, Guibert, Ahbot of, his commentaries, ii. 290; attacks the Schoolmen in xii cent. 294.

Nominalists, who and whence so called, ii. 15, b; dispute between them and the Realists in xi cent. 143; their chief, John the Sophist, 144; the state of their disputes in xii cent. ii. 254, 255; which continue in xiv cent. and the issue, 450; their state in xv. cent. 517.

Nonconformists, name given to the Puritans, iii. 284; their hopes frustrated under Charles II. iv. 110; precarious situation under him, ibid. flourish under William III. ibid. toleration act passed under him, ibid. and h; their state in England in xviii. cent iv 206.

Nonjurors, high churchmen, their risc and the occasion in xvii cent. iv. 111, and ii, iii; their notions, 112, and k; Dodwell's defence of them, and by whom answered, ihid. and l; principles in which they differ from the established church of England, 113.

Norbert, a German nobleman, founds the monastic order of Premontre in xii cent. ii. 278; silences the sect of Tanquelmus, 313.

Normans, their successful invasions in ix cent. ii. 8; the sufferings of the Christians under them, ibid. piracy esteemed among them, ibid. k; form new settlements, 9; softened by living amongst

Christians, ibid. many converted in x cent. with their chief Rollo. 74: flourishing state of learning among them in xi cent. 136.

Norway. Christianity propagated in x cent. ii. 79; whether by Olaus, Tryg-gueson, or Suenon. So, and u; Guthehald the most eminent missionary among them, ihid.

Notker, a monkish historian in x cent. ii 90.

Novatian, disturbs the peace of the church in iii. cent. i 240; his character. ibid. his severity to the lapsed under the persecution by Decius, 242; opposes Cornelius chosen Bishop of Rome separates from the church, and is excommunicated, ibid.

Muremberg, an account of the Diet in xvi cent iii 48, 49; peace between the Emperor Charles V and Protestants at a second Diet, 66, the terms, ihid, the effects 77; the ratification of this peace in xvii cent. iii. 461, and y.

0.

Occam, William, renews the disputes between the Nominalists and Realists, and strenuous advocate for the former, ii. 450; his philosophy forbidden, 451; but prevails, ibid. keen satires against the Pope, 479; his didactic writings, 490

Ochin, a supposed infidel in xvi cent. iii.

Ochinus. Bernardin, his opinions, iii. 317; embraces the communion of the Anti-trinitarians and Anabaptists in Poland, where he dies, ihid. said to be a principal member of the secret assemblies of Venice and Vicenza, 360 and m.

Odensee, the famous edict at in xvi cent.

Odilo, of Clugni, his works, ii. 105; and s; adds All Souls to the festivals in x cent 113.

Odo, Abbot of Clugni, his attempts to reform the monks, ii. 102; his new discipline adopted in all the European convents, ibid character, 104; his moral observations on Job, a transcript only from a like work of Gregory the Great, 110.

Bishop of Cambray, restores the science of logic, ii. 142.

Oecolampadius, resumes the dispute concerning the Eucharist with Luther, and character, iii. 266 and x; his expositions of Scripture, 310.

Occonomical method of disputing introduced in ii cent. i. 155: its nature, ibid. z; almost universally adopted, and to what owing, 221 and l.

Occumenical council, first established in iv. cent. i. 269.

Occumenius, his chain, ii. 103 and k.

Ogilby, his remarkable embassy to the king of Spain, from James I. of Eng-

land, iv. 94, sub. not. i.

Olaus, King of Norway, converted to Christianity, ii SO; is sainted, ibid. establishes the Gospel, and by what methods, ibid. u.

Olive, Jean Pierre de, famous Franciscan in xiii cent. excites new dissensions in the order, ii. 385 and b; the corruptions of the church of Rome, the chief object of his censure, ibid. bis fanaticism, ibid. warmtb against the Popes for maintaining the renunciation of popery, 386 and f. Olympia, Donna, her illicit commerce

with Pope Innocent X. iii. 451 and e.

Olympiodorus, a Platonic philosopher in iv cent. i. 267.

Ophites, a sect of ridiculous Heretics in ii cent. i. 186; divided into Christian and Anticbristian, ibid. their tencts, whonce they had their name, ibid.

Optatus, his work against the Donatists,

and character, i. 280 and m.

Oratory, priests of the, founded in xvi cent. iii. 151; tbcir name, whence, ibid.

Order, its meaning when applied to

Monks, ii. 103 h.

Orders, ecclesiastical, their great vices in xii cent. ii. 273 and d

religious, new in xiv cent. what, ii. 484; new in xv cent. what, 545.

- monastic, their state in xvii cent. iii. 496; reformations made, and hence two classes, 497, t, u; new, founded in xvii cent. 501.

Oresme, Nicholas, his French translation of Aristotle in xiv cent 450 and w.

Origen, his zeal in spreading copies of the Gospel, i. 194; character, 212 and x; erroneous method of explaining Christian truths by the Platonic philosophy, 215; the abuse of it by his followers, ibid. his Hexapla, fragments of it, 217 and f: allegorical method of in-terpreting Scripture, censured, ibid. neglects the outward letter of it, and confines his study to the hidden sense of it, ibid. and g, h; his subdivisions of this mystical world, 218; method followed by other interpreters, ibid. his Stromata and principles, 219; moral works, ibid. rigorous measures by Demetrius, Bishop of Alexandria, in two councils against him, 224; his book of Principles contains dangerous opinions, 225 and t; his deprivation and degradation variously received, ibid. and u; confutes the Arabian philosophers, 240; controversies concerning him in iv. cent. 299; troubles in the East, on account of his writings, 300; his method of interpreting Scripture followed by

many, i. 360, 421; controversies concerning him renewed in vi cent. 425; condemned by Justinian, and his doctrine ordered to be suppressed, ibid. and k, l; condemned with his followers in the fifth general council at Constantinople. 427 and r; his doctrine adopted by the Quakers, iv. 153, 154.

Origenism, disputes about in v cent. i. 367, 368; inelancholy effects of them to Chrysostom, 368.

Origenists, who, i. 381.

Orkneys, Christianity first propagated there in x cent. ii 80.

Orosius, obviates many objections against Christianity in his history, i. 339; his

cbaracter, 355 and p.

Osiander, Andrew, his Harmony of the Evangelists, iii. 224; disputes excited by him in xvi cent. 247; his character and doctrine, ibid. opposed by Stancarus, 248.

Osnaburg, peace of Westphalia concluded

at, iii. 460.

Ostorod, Christopher, attempts to propagate Socinianism in Holland, iii. 373; is banished, and his books condemned to be burned, but not executed, ibid.

Ostrogoths, kingdom in Italy in v cent, and

its duration, i. 332.

Otho the Great, his zeal for Christianity, ii. 81; excessive liberality to the clergy, and its unhappy effects, 82; obtains the Purple, and saluted with the title of Emperor by Pope John XII. 96; calls a council, and degrades the perjured Pope, ibid. his death and miserable consequences, ibid. his edict, by which be and his successors maintained their supremacy, over the Bishop and Church of Rome, 99.

Bishop of Bamberg, converts the Pomeranians in xiii cent. ii. 226, 227 and

- IV. Emperor, deposed and excommunicated by Pope Innocent III. ii. 352.

Pachymeres, George, his works, ii. 399; a mystic writer in xiii cent. and his treatise on Dionysius, 411.

Pacific age, that time so denominated when Christ came into the world, i.

Padua, Anthony of, an expositor of Scripture in xiii cent. ii. 405.

Paganism, remains of it in iv cent. although zealously opposed by the Christian Emperors, i. 259; some remains in vi cent. even among the learned, i. 401.

Pagans, their deitics were ancient heroes, &c. i. 33; pay worship to material and

heavenly bodies, and this accounted for, 34 and m; the rites and sacrifices they paid to these deities various, ibid. had stated times and places for this worship, 35; their mysteries infamous, ibid. religion did not inspire its votaries with the love of virtue, ibid why rejected 36; promoted universal corruption, ibid. and x; the two arguments used by their crafty priests in defence of their religion, 37.

Pajon, Claude, attempts to modify the doctrine of the Reformed Church, iv. 86; this assertion corrected, ibid. u; his sentiments misrepresented by his adversaries, 87, 88 and y; his own declaration, 89 and z; tenets condemned as

heterodox. ibid.

Palæologus, Jacob, maintains Budnæus's doctrine, and is burned at Rome, iii.

381.

Palamas, Gregory, Archbishop of Thessalonica, supports the doctrinc of the Quietists in xiv cent. ii. 498; and prevails in several councils at Constantinople, ibid. and 499; his notions concerning the divine operation, ibid.

Palatinate, decline of the Protestants in

xvii cent. iv. 70 and t.

Palestine, its two religions, the Jewish and Samaritan, much corrupted among the people at our Saviour's coming into the world, i. 45; division into various sects among the learned, ibid the decline of the Christians here in xii cent. ii 234.

Palladius, writes the Lausiac history, and whence this name, i. 278 and e; his mission among the Scots (Irish) not attended with desired success in v cent. i. 336 and q; his works and character,

354.

Pandulph, Legate of Pope Innocent III. his artful and insolent behaviour to John of England, ii. 354.

Panormitanus, Antonius, revives Latin

poctry in xv cent. ii. 513

Pantanus, is said to convert the Indians in ii cent. and the fact examined, i. 124; his version of the Scriptures lost, 152.

Pantheists, account of this impious sect, iii 428, and u; most eminent members among them, 429 and w, x, y.

Papal power saved from ruin by the force of the secular arm and imperial edicts

in xvi cent. iii. 74.

Papin, Isaac, propagates the doctrine of Pajon, and reduces it to two propositions iv. S9; refuted by Jurieu, and condemned and excommunicated, 90; turns Roman Catholic, ibid.

Paracelsistic fire, philosophy, its state in

xvii cent. iii. 436.

Paracelsists, eminent in xvi cent. iii. 221; aim at the subversion of the Peripatetic philosophy, ibid. get footing in England and France, and other countries, with their motives, 222 and x.

Paracelous, Theophrastus, a supposed Infidel, iii. 119; his character as a philosopher, 123; founds the philosophical sect of Theosophists, 124; makes great improvements in chemistry, 123 q.

Paris, council assembled at, by Lewis the Meek, rejects Pope Adrian's letter in

favour of image worship, i. 524.

frequented in xii cent. for its eminent divincs, ii. 390; various sects of divines here, ibid, the first European University founded at in xiii cent, and whence this name ii. 338; severe discipline in it, 339: academy of sciences flourishes in xvii cent, iii. 482.

Paris. Matthew, nu eminent historian in

xiii cent. ii. 340.

William of, a metaphysical divine in xiii cent. ii. 400.

John of, his great character. ii. 401 and n.

Abbc de, pretended miracles wrought at his tomb, iii. 527, 528. n.

Parthenius, patriarch of Constantinople in xvii cent. iii. 554; opposes the pretensions of Rome, which desists from further attempts, ibid.

Paruta, his errors, iii 359 and i; a member of the secret assemblies at Venice

and Vicenza, 360.

Pasagimans, circumcised, name of a sect in xii cent. ii. 3 19; their great aversion to the church of Rome, ibid. two distin-

guishing tenets ibid.

Pascal II. Pope, renews the disputes concerning investitures. ii 257; imprisoned by the Emperor, 259; resigns the Ring and Crosier, ibid. breaks the convention with the Emperor, and excommunicates him, ibid. is condemned by a council at Rome, ibid. b; and dies, 260.

tes, iii. 507; account of his Provincial Letters, 515, w; a patron of the Janapiers, 526

senists, 526.

Passau, pacific treaty with the Protestants iii. 91; some of its principal articles,

ibid. d.

Paterinus, a common name given to all Heretics in xi cent. ii. 167; origen of it, ibid. r.

Paterius's exposition of the Old and New Testament, a compilation only from Gregory, the Great, i. 459 and y.

Patriarchs, the nature of their office explained, i 147; their creation, whence, 270; Bishop of Rome their prince, 272; their number increased in v cent. ii. 348; their privileges considered, ibid. not universally acknowledged, ibid. inconveniences arising from the

patriarchal government, 349; coutests with each other, and melancholy ef-

fects, 350.

Patrick, converts the Irish in v cent. ii. 336; founds the Archbishopric of Armagh, ibid. called the Apostle of the Irish, from the success of his ministry,

Patronage, the right of, its origin, i. 302. Patropassians, who, and why so called, i.

187.

Paul, called to be an Apostle by Christ himself, i. 61; his extraordinary character, ihid.

the first hermit, i. 216; if properly styled the founder of the Mystics, ibid.

of Samosata, founder of a sect of heretics, i. 239; his errors about the Trinity, ibid.

the Deacon, his fame and works in

viii cent. i. 507.

II. Pope, his mixed character, ii.

540 and p.

Paul III. Pope, proposes to call a general council at Mantua, iii. 77; the place objected against, and why, 78 and l, m; his proposals for a reformation more specious than real, 83 and w; dispute about his character, 142 e.

IV. Caraffa, Pope, his character and arrogance, iii. 143 and e; founder of

the Theatins, 149.

V. Borghese, Pope, his character, iii. 449; contest with the Venetians, 450; the occasion and important pieces on both sides, ibid. b.

· Vincent de, founder of the priests of the missions in xvii cent. iii. 502; is

sainted, ibid.

Paulicians, controversy of the Greeks with them in vii ceut. i. 464; a sect in ix cent. ii. 66; persecuted by the Greek Emperors, and consequences, 67; their deplorable state under the Empress Theodora, ibid. meet with protection from the Saraceus, and under the command of Carbeas carry on a bloody war against the Greeks, ibid. 68 and p; their doctrine propagated with success among the Bulgarians, ibid. and q; whether Manichæans or not, considered, ibid. their opinions in six articles, 69, 70, 71, and x, y, z; miserable state under the Greeks in xi cent. 219; take refuge in Europe, 220; their reformation attempted, and warmly pursued by the Emperor Alexius, ibid. where first settled, ibid. and o; different names, 221 and p, q, r; their first assembly at Orleans, with their abettors, 222: having rejected lenient methods used for their conviction, are condemned to be burned alive, ibid, their principles seem to be mystic, ibid. and u; another branch converted by Gerhard, and particular tenets, 223; and why adopted by some, 225.

Paulinus, of Aquileia, his character and works, i. 507.

- Bishop of Nola, his works, i. 280.

Peasants, their horrid war in xvi cent. and the occasion, iii. 50, 51 and b; their claims made religious by Munzer, with their different demands, ibid. their outrages not chargeable on Luther's doctrine, 52; defeated at Mulhausen, and their ringleader Munzer put to death, ibid.

Peckham, John de, a metaphysical divine in xiii cent. ii. 400.

Pelagianism, its rise in v cent. i. 391.
Pelagians, their tenets, i. 392 and a; suppressed by Augustin's writings, ibid.
progress of their opinious in the East, ibid, condemned in Gaul, England, and Africa, 393.

Pelagius, account of him, i. 391; his character unfairly represented by Jerome, ibid. z; and impartially stated by Augustin, ibid. appeals to the court of Rome, 393 and d; condemned there

by Zosimus, ibid.

Pellican, a writer in xvi cent. iii. 319.

Penance, which had been long neglected, is restored in vii cent. by Theodore of Tarsus, i. 461 and c.

Penitents, first allowed private confession

by Leo the Great, i. 371.

Penn, William, procures a toleration for the Quakers under James II. and account of, iv. 150 and r; settles the Quakers in Pennsylvania, which was

granted him by Charles 11. and so named from him, 151; his character, ibid. and t; flourishing state of Pennsylvania, ihid. endeavours to digest Quakerism into a regular form, 154; his writings, ibid. sab. not. b.

Pennafort, Raymond de, his decretals, and the same acquired by them in xiii cent. ii. 346; his polemic works against the Jews and Saracens, 412; is sainted in

zvii. cent. iii. 549.

Pennsylvania, province of America, Qua-kers established there, and whence its

name, iv. 151.

People, their right of choosing their rulers and teachers in the primitive church, i. 87; seem to have purchased this right by their oblations, ibid.

Pepia usurps the crown of France in viii cent. i. 495; is supported by Pope Zachary, 496 and q; anointed and crowned by Stephen, ibid, and r; his donation to the see of Rome, 497.

Peraldus, William, his works, and the fame he acquired, ii. 401 and m.

Perezius, attacks and refutes the Jews in xv crnt. ii. 559.

Peripateties, flourish in avii cent. iii. 436; meet with formidable adversaries in Des Cartes and Gassendi, iv. 17.

Perkins, William, his treatises on morality and character, iii. 312 and o.

Perrault, account of his book on the morality of the Jesuits, iii. 515, sub. w.

Perieres, Bonaventure des, a supposed infidel in xvi cent. iii. 119.

Persia, three persecutions there by Sapor II. against the Christians, i. 265.

Peter, Bishop of Ravenna, whence called

Chrysologus, i. 356 and t.

Fullo, Fuller, rejects an opinion of Eutyches, which he modifies, and excites troubles in the church, i. 387; founder of the sect called Theopa-

schites, ibid. and I.

his superstitions zeal for a war to the Holy Land, ii. 122; forged letters from Heaven, to alimate Christians in the cause, 123; assembles a council at Placentia, and recommends the expedition against the Saraceus of Palestine, ibid. leads a principal division of the army, and is defeated, 124, 125.

- Pence, what, and why so called, ii.

162 e.

of Celle, attacks the Scholastics in xii. cent. ii. 294.

the Chanter, opposes the Schoolmen, ii. 294 and o.

Peter de Vineis, an account of the book said to be written by him, ii. 335.

1. Emperor of Russia, introduces a change into the Russian church, iii. 558; a patron of the Arıs and Sciences, ibid. abolishes the penal laws against religions differences, and declares himself supreme head of the church, 559; establishes a synod at Petersburg, ibid.

Petersen, John William, his inventions and reveries in xvii cent. iv. 50 } strange doctrine, and success, 51 and s.

Petit, his doctrine concerning the lawfulness of putting a tyrant to death, ii. 530; and condemned as a detestable heresy in the council of Constance, and by the university of Paris, 531.

Petrarch, zealous in reviving the study of the learned languages in xiv cent. ii. 449. Petrobrussians, a sect in xii cent. ii. 311;

doctrine held by them, ibid. and w. Petrucci, Cardinal, a disciple of Molinos, iii. 5-14.

Petrus, Comestor, his abridgment of the Scriptures, ii. 283.

Pencer, attempts to reform Lutheranism, substituting Calvinism in its place, iii. 251; his character, and sufferings, 250 r; writings to promote his design, 251 t; convocations by Augustus at Dresden, ibid. and at Torgaw, with the issue, 252 and x; imprisoned, but is afterward released, 253

Peyrere, Isaac la, his strauge doctrine, iii. 546; is cast into prison, renounces his errors publicly, and turns Papist, 547.

Pezelius, his catechism favourable to the

sentiments of Calvin, iii. 252.

Pfaff, Matthew, zealons in projecting an union between the Lutherans and Reformed in xvii cent. and good character, iv. 205 and t; opposed by the Lutherans, ibid.

Pharisees, their tenets, i. 46; moral doc-

trines, 49; bad influence, ibid.

Philadelphia, whence so called, iv. 151.
Philadelphian society, founded in xvii cent. and by whom, iv. 181; opinions, and chief members, ibid.

Philip, father and son, Emperors, favour Christianity, i. 192; whether Christians

themselves, 193 and d.

the Solitary, an eminent moral

writer in xii cent. ii. 297.

the Fair, king of France, his contest with Boniface VIII. ii. 453; vigorously opposes papal power, ibid-charges the Pope with enormous vices, 454; sends William de Nogaret, to seize the Pope's person, ibid. insists on the formal condemnation of Boniface, and procures the removal of the papal residence from Rome to Aviguon, 450.

of Hesse, unjustly detained prisoner by the Emperor Charles V. iii. 85; the perfidious behaviour of the latter on this account, with the doubt concerning

it, ibid. and y.

Philip, Theodore, the chief of those who excited commotions in xvi. cent. concerning excommunication, iii. 335.

Philippicus, Bardanes, Emperor of the Greeks, esponses the cause of the Monothelites, i. 516; orders a picture, representing the council that condemned this sect, to be removed out of the church of St. Sophia, ibid. commands that no images of this nature be placed in the Latin churches, ibid. his edict rejected by Constantine the Roman pontiff, who excommunicates the Emperor, ibid. is deprived of the empire, ibid.

Philology, its flourishing state in xvi cent. iii. 120; its great importance, 121 and m; cultivated among the Lutherans in

xvii cent. iv. 26.

Philosophers, obscure the truth, i. 40; Oriental, their first principles, 78; divided in sentiments, 79; opinions concerning the Deity, ibid. origin of the world, 80; the state and destination of human sonls, 81; some converted to Christianity, and their conversion if advantageous, considered, 129; their efforts in iv cent. against Christianity, 260; prejudices thereby received, ibid. who these are, 261; two great sects of them

in xvii. cent. iii. 442; who adopt neither metaphysical, nor mathematical systems, 447.

Philosophical sin, the doctrine of, what,

III. 170

Philosophy, two kinds prevailed at Christ's birth, i. 39; the Eastern not much known, 76; Oriental, properly so called, what, 77; the success of the Platonic due to Plotinus in iii cent. 204; Platonic, most prevalent in iv cent. 266; promoted by Julian, 267; its progress prevented by the incursions of the Goths, 344, 345; Aristotelian, revived in v cent. 345; but decried in vi cent. 407; its deplorable state in vii cent. 451; Aristotelian flourishes in viii cent. 486; revived in ix. cent. chiefly by the enconragement of Bardas, ii. 11; confined within the circle of the Dialectics in xi cent. 140 and m; encouraged among the Greeks in xii cent. ii. 247 and a; three different methods of teaching it in this cent. 253; Astrology mixed with it in xiv cent. and considered as magic, with the event, 451, 452; Platonic in high esteem in xv cent. 614; Aristotelian, dangerous to Revealed religion, 516; its state in xvi cent. iii-121; in xvii cent. iv. 16.

Philostratus's comparison of Christ with Apollonius Tyaneus. i. 201; its pernicious consequences, 202.

Philotheus, his works, ii. 488.

Philoxenus, Bishop of Alexandria, rejects Eutyches' opinion, and modifies it, i. 387.

the Syrian, his translations of part of the Scriptures into the Syriac language, i. 420.

Phocas, made Emperor by unjust means in vii cent. i. 452; engages to give the Pope the title of Universal Bishop, ibid.

Photinus, Bishop of Sirmium, author of an heretical sect, in iv. cent. i. 325; his erroneous notions concerning the Trinity, ibid. is degraded, and dies in exile, ibid.

Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople, his

learning, ii. 10; explains Aristotle, 11; his works and character, 29; exposition of Scripture, not to be recommended as a model to other commentators, 38 and o, p; first controversy between the Greeks and Latins on this account, 57; mutual excommunications, ibid. the second contest, in which he is degraded, 58: engages the Bishops to espouse his cense, as a public cause of the church, 59; brings articles of heresy against the Latins, ibid. 60 and y; which are enswered, ibid. is restored to his See by Basilius the Macedonian, and with the consent of

the Pope, ibid. neglecting to fulfil the

conditions made with the Pope, is ex-

communicated, and again degraded,

ibid. the Pope's unjust demands rejected by the Greeks, 61; hence disputes arose, which ended in a total separation between the Greeks and Latins, ibid. Phranza, George, s works, ii. 547.

Pichon, the Jesuit, renews the dispute concerning the frequent receiving of the Eucharist, iii. 172; is consured by the French Bishops for it, ibid.

Pictet, a French writer, in xvii cent. iv.

76; his moral writings, ibid.

Pietism. controversy concerning its rise in xvii cent. iv. 38; by whom begun, 38, 39; Spener's private meetings, and his noble design in them, 38; his book of Pious Desires, for promoting vital religion, with abuses thereon, ibid. complaints against it, ibid. and commotions at Leipsic, ibid. biblical colleges founded, by whom, and for what end, the name of Pietist to whom applied, 40; progress of these debates, ibid. extravagant fanaticism, and consequence, 41, 42 and m; debates carried on with Spener and the divines of Hall, 42; subject of these debates, 43; first, a thorough reformation of the divinity schools proposed, ibid, disputes that hence arose, 44; the second great object of debate, whence arose endless controversies, ibid. and 45; these Pietists proceed still further in two points. with the objections to them, ibid. and 46; the third principal object which they insisted on, ibid. various characters of these reformers, who endeavoured to promote piety at the expense of truth, 47.

Pielisls, their order founded in xvii cent.

iii. 503.

reformed, account of, iv. 40; laws enacted against them, 42; their state in xviii. cent. iv. 201, 202.

Pilatus, Leontius, his zeal in reviving the study of the Greek language in xiv

cent. ii. 44S.

Pin, Dr. Ellis du, exposes the injustice of the papal claims, iii. 486; account of the correspondence carried on between him and Archbishop Wake, relative to a project of union between the English and Gallican charcles, iv. 230, 231. See Wake.

Pisa, the famous council assembled at in xy cent. to terminate the divisions in the papal empire, ii. 519; is unsuccess-

ful, ibid.

Pisanus, Raynerius, his summary of Theo-

logy, ii. 489.

Piscator, John, his doctrine concerning the obedience of Christ, iv. S1; is adopted by the Romish church, and the Reformed in France, S2 ii, k.

Pisides, Gregory, his works, i. 456.

Pistorius writes against the treaty of Passau, iii. 215.
Pins II. Pope, his character, ii. 538; ob-

tains the abrogation of the Pragmatic Sauction, 539 and n; his impudent retraction of former opinions, 540; enjoins silence on the worship of Christ's blood, 561

- IV. Pope, an account of, iii. 143.

V. eminent for his austerity, and

sainted, iii. 143 g

Place, M. de le, his opinions concerning original sin, and contests occasioned by it in xvii. cent. iv. 85; condemned by the Synod of Charenton, yet are received by many, ibid. churches of Switzerland alarmed at the progress of his opinions, with their proceedings against him, 125.

Placette, La, his moral works, iv. 76. Planudes, Maximus, his character, ii.

447.

Plato, his notions concerning the Deity, i. 41; the defects of his philosophy, ib. an accusation against him not strictly true, ibid. h; his works translated into Latin by Victoriaus, i. 343; greatly admired in v. cent. 344; bis Timæns more commended than understood in x cent. ii. 90; his opinions by whom adopted in xii. cent. 247; philosophy

revived in xv. cent. 514.

Platonics, their tenets, i. 41; defects, ibid schools more frequented than those of the Stoics, 137; new, their rise in Egypt in ii. ccnt. 138; why so called, and their seeming candour, 138; whence styled Eclectics, ibid. their discipline approved by Christians, 139; prefer Plato to all others, ibid. the principles of their philosophy, as improved by Amnionius, 140; and its chief articles, 141; and moral discipline, 142; flourish in iii. cent. 204; some converted to Christianity, 207; their state in iv. cent. 266; principles adopted by expositors of Scripture, 281; their state in v. cent. i. 344; oppose Christianity by their writings, in vi. cent. 401; their suppression, 408.

Platonists, their attempts against Christia-nity in iii. cent. i. 200; different sects

among them, 206.

Pletho, Gemistius, promotes the Platonic philosophy in xv cent, ii. 514; and the

Greek language, 548.

Platinus, his doctrine universally propagated in iii, ccut. i. 205 and k; opposes the Gaostics with the Christians, and whence, 230.

Plutarch, his character, i. 136; renews the celebrated academy at Athens in iii

a cent. 205.

Pockesius, heads the spiritual libertines in xv cent. iii, 314.

Fodoniptæ, Mennonites, so called, and whence, iii. 345.

Poirct, Peter, a follower and defender of Bourignon, his mixed character, and re-

mark thereon, iv. 180; his works, ib. h. Poland, commotions excited there by Stancarus, iii. 249 and m; progress of the Reformation here in xvi cent. 296; Servetus's doctrine introduced there by Gonesins, 359.

Poles, their conversion in x cent. and the

methods used, ii. 75.

Politian, a supposed intidel in xvi cent. iii. 119.

Polliac, John de, opposes the Mendicants in xvi cent. ii. 467; his opinions condemned by Pope John XXII. ib. and e.

Polycarp, his epistle to the Philippians disputed, i. 96 and d; suffers martyrdom in ii cent. under Autoninus, 133; confers with Anicet about the time of keeping Easter, 168.

Pomeranians, converted to Christianity in xii cent. by Otho, Bishop of Bamberg, ii. 227, 228; receive Albert for their first

Bishop, ibid.

Pomerius, Julian, his confutation of the Jews, and other works, i. 457; his vain attempts to reconcile the sceming contradictions in Scripture, 458.

Pomponace, Peter, an eminent sophist in xv. cent. ii. 516, his opinions not very different from the notions of the Pan-

theists, ibid.

Pomponatus, a supposed infidel in xvi. cent. iii. 119.

Pongilup, Armannus, his fame and piety, ii. 391; reasons to believe him not the founder of the Fratricelli, ibid. p.

Pontius, of Nola, his good character and

works, i. 356 and s.

Popes, Roman Pontiffs, when first distinguished by a certainfpre-eminence over other Bishops, i. 208; in what sense this superiority must be understood, ibid, their power in iv cent, whence, 272; the double election and its melancholy consequence, 273; the limits of their authority, ibid, steps laid for their future despotism, 274; the fourth council of Sardis is supposed to favour it, ibid. their jurisdiction how increased in v cent. i 350; supremacy not acknowledged by the Africans and others, 351; contest with the Bishop of Constantinople for unlimited supremacy, 410; are subject to the controll of the Gothic princes, 411; obtain the title of Universal Bishops from the tyrant Phocas in vii cent. 452; their views of universal power opposed, and by whom, and the consequences, 452, 453; subject to the emperors, ibid. raised to the dignity of temporal princes by the usurper Pepin, 497 and t; the nature of their jurisdiction under Charlemagne, 500,501 and z, a; their dignity lessened by the Grecian emperors, 502; and made subordinate to them and the Latin monarchs, 504, 505; and limited by the

civil power, ibid. their opinions opposed in councils assembled by Francs and Germans for terminating disputes relative to image worship, ibid. the Emperor's approhation necessary to their consecration, ii. 19, 99; their power augmented by the divisions of the empire in ix cent. 22; divest the Emperors of ecclesiastical authority, 23; diminish the power of councils and the Bishops, ibid. and y; frauds and forgeries to support their claims, 24, 25 and z, a, c; the cause of the vices among the clergy in x cent. 93; their supreme Legislative authority, opposed by the German, French, and Italiau Bishops, does yet gain ground by the adulatory services of some minions among the Bishops, 99; the right of canonization, though usurped by John XV. is not solely vested in them till xii cent. 109; their motives for encouraging the first crusade, 127 and y; assume the name of Popes, or Universal Fathers, in xi cent. when their authority was at the highest, 145; confirmed in their See by the approbation of the Emperors, 147; the right of electing them vested in the college of Cardinais, excluding the consent of the clergy and people, 156; the imperial privilege in electing them violated, 157; accessions to their power by the zeal of Pope Gregory VII. 160; called Paterini, and whence, 167 and r; the Romish ritual imposed by them on all the Latin Churches, and the custom of performing diviue service in Latin among all the Western churches, had their rise in xi. ccnt. 217, 218; violent dissensions between them and the Emperors concerning the extent of power, and unhappy consequences, in xii cent. ii. 257; deprive the Bishops of the right of canonization, 271; and of the power to grant indulgences, 287; promote crusades in xiii cent. and why, 324; methods taken at this time to acquire universal dominion, 347; their arrogant claims opposed by civil and ecclesiastical powers, 349; great accessions of power due to Innocent Ill. and Nicolas IV. 350, 351, the advantage they derived from the orders of Mendicants, and their returns for these favours, 373, 374 and x, y, z, a; their authority diminished under the Gallic pontiffs, 456; their power declared to be inferior to that of general councils, at the councils of Constance and Basil, 521, 534; deprived of their Expectances, Reservations and Provisions, at the latter council, ibid. their zeal for propagating Christianity in xvi. cent. examined, iii. 116; manner of their elections, 126; what distinction must be made betwixt their authority and the court of Rome, 129 and e; debates concerning their power, ibid. find zealous advocates for their authority in the Jesuits, 140; their infallibility and unlimited supremacy not universally acknowledged by the church of Rome, 167.

Pordage, a member of the Philadelphian

society, an account of, iv. 181.

Porphyry, opposes the Platonic philosophy to the doctrine of the Gospel, i. 200; a more virulent than formidable enemy of Christianity, 201; his work against the Christians burned by order of Constautine the Great, ibid. and c; the answers to this work lost, ihid. sub. fin. not. c: a professed admirer of Plotinus, 205 and k

Porre, Gilbert de la, charged with blasphemy, and submits his opinions to the arbitration of the Pope, ii. 296; his errors the consequence of an excessive subtility, and metaphysical method of explaining the Christian doctrine, ibid.

Port Royal, convent of, described, iii. 537; sanctity of the religious in it, and its fame, 538, 539 and note; demolished by Lewis XIV. at the request of the Jesuits, 540.

Portugal, her contest with Rome in xvii. cent. iii. 485; throws off the Spanish

yoke, ib.

Positivi, Christian doctors, so called, in

xii ceut. and why, ii. 292.

Possevin, Anthony, a Jesuit missionary, his fruitless attempt to unite the Romish and Russian churches in xvi cent. iii. 201; writes against the Protestants, iii. 454.

Potter, Archbishop of Canterbury, maintains the authority of church and clergy of England against the attempts of Bishop Hoadley to diminish it, and his character, iv. 207.

Pratorius, his work, "Toba Pacis," to convert Protestants, iii. 469 and r.

Prague, University of, &c. right of suffrages divided by its founder into four nations, ii. 523; encroachments made by the German nation on this account, and contest about it in xv cent. fatal to John Huss, 524.

Jerome of, condemned and burned alive in xv cent. ii. 526; the true causes of this proceeding 526, 528 and

x, a, b.

Praxeas, his notions concerning the Trinity, i 187; his followers called Monarchians, and whence, ibid.

Prayers, the addition of Ave Maria made

to them in xiv cent. ii. 497.

Predestinarians, whence their rise in v cent. i. 394; their doctrine, ibid. oppesed by Augustine, ibid. the opinion of some concerning the reality of this sect, ibid. and g.

Predestination and Grace, controversy

concerning, in ix cent. ii. 52; begun by Godeschalcus, a Saxon, ibid. its state in xvi cent. iii. 270; Calvin's doctrine of

it, 278.

Premontre, an order of Monks founded by Norbert in xii cent. ii. 278; their universal fame, ibid. excessive poverty at first, and future opulence, ibid. q; discipline chiefly modelled by St. Augustine's rule, ibid. and r; first arrival into England, ibid. sub. fin. not.

Presbyter, its import, i. 88 b. ibid. c.

Presbyterians, flourish under Cromwell, iv. 104, 105.

Prescription, how pleaded against error, in iii eent. i. 221 and m; polemics rest

upon it in xvii. cent. iii. 473.

Prester, Johu, an account of in xii cent ii. 233 and n; his successor deprived of his kingdom by Genghis Khan, 234; the consequences of his death on the affairs of the Christians in Tartary, 244.

Pricsthood, an artful parallel between the Jewish and Christian, i. 147; its perni-

cions effects, ibid.

Primasius, of Adrumetum, his works, i.

418, 421.

Printing, this art discovered in xv cent.
ii. 512; by whom invented, ibid. and p.

Priscillian, revives the Gnostic heresy in iv cent. i. 326 condemned to death by the order of Maximus, 327, an account of his prosecutor, ib. m.

Priscillianists, their tenets imperfectly represented, and how far they resembled the Manichæans, i. 327, 328 and o.

Probability, doetring of, inculcated by the Jesuists, and what, iii. 170 and a.

Proclus, a modern Platonic in v cent. his character, masters, and disciples, i. 344. and m.

Procopius, of Gaza, his works i. 416; his character as an expositor of Scripture,

Propagation of the Gospel in foreign parts, account of that society, iii. 417.

Prophets of the New Testament, the nature of their office, i. S9; fanatical in xvii ecnt. iv. 60.

Prosper, of Aquitain, an eminent polemic writer in v cent. i. 356; his moral

works, 363.

Protestants, whenee this name, iii, 57 and h; deliberate about forming a league, on the Emperor's arresting their ambassadors, ib. but come to no determination, 68; dissension among them about the Eucharist, ibid. present a confession of their faith at Augsburg, 70; object to a council being ealled at Trent, and why, 83; attempt to propagate the Gospel in foreign parts, 118 and k; their missions in Asia, iii. 410; in America, 415; persecuted by Rome in xvii cent. 461; milder methods used by Rome, 466; different proposals of their enemies, 467;

public and private conferences between the doctors of both churches, but the breach is widened, 467 and n; methods of reconciliation by the Romanists in-

effectual, 468.

Protestants, French, a great variety in their religious sentiments, iii. 281; join in communion with the church of Geneva, ibid. their sufferings, ibid. 282; peacemakers among them in xvii cent. iii. 471; English peacemakers, William Forbes, ibid. and a; Dutch, Grotius with the bad fruits of their labours, and George Calixtus' conduct, 472; desertions from the Protestant to the Catholie church in this cent. personal only, 476; as Christina of Sweden, ibid. 1, m; Wolfgang, William, Count Palatine, ibid. Christian William of Brandenburg, Ernest of Hesse, ibid. and n; and other learned men, iv. 68; divided into four sects, iv. 92.

Prussia. See Liturgy.

Prussians, murder their missionaries Boniface and Bruno, ii. 121; compelled to receive Christiauity, ibid. compulsive methods used for their conversion in xiii cent. and the success of them by the Teutonic knights, ii. 330, and u.

Psellus, Michael, expounds Aristotle, ii. 11; his great character, 136, 193; his

commentaries, 198.

Ptolemailes, Valentinian seet in ii. eent. i. 185.

Purgatory, its analogy to Pagan superstition, i. 358, 359, and a; the success of this doctrine in x cent. 106; dreaded more than infernal torments, ibid.

Puritans, Nonconformists, their rise in xvi cent. iii. 284; uncharitableness of the Lutherans, and humanity of the Reformed towards them, ibid. k; two classes refuse to assent to Queen Elizabeth's proceedings, 285; their history by Neal, and character, 286, and m; their sentiments and doetrine, ibid. further exasperated, and opinion concerning excommunication, 288 and o; their disgust at the rites imposed, and at other usages, 288, 289 and p, q, r; their principles of the scutiments on church government and worship, in answer to the Qucen's Commissioners, 289, 291; divided into a variety of sects, 292; the controversy between them and the church of England, with the conduct of the Helvetic church to the latter, and the reasons, 318; contest about doctrinal points, 319 and d; their missions to America, iii. 416 and r; their state under James I. iv. 90; hope for better times, but are disappointed, ibid. 91; resolution in favour of them, 110 and h.

Quakers, propagate their doctrine without

restraint under Croniwell, iv. 106; their history, and whence denominated, 145; rise and founder, ibid. 146 and i; anonymous letter in defence of their founder censured, ibid. snb. not. i; tumults and proceedings against them, 147 and k; their first attempts under Cromwell, ibid strange instances of most extravagant fanaticism, 148 and kk; vain attempts of Cromwell to suppress them, 149; progress of this sect under Charles II. ibid. assume a regular form of discipline, with their chief members, ibid. and n; their sufferings during this reign, and on what account, ibid. and o; are tolerated under James II. and William III. and whence, 150 and r; attempt to propagate their doctrine in other countries, and success, 151: their settlement in America, and how, ibid. intestine disputes and contests among them, 152; converning the reality of the history of Christ's life and sufferings, and which denied by them, 152, 153 and y, z; their religion considered in a general point of view, ibid. and digested into the form of a regular system, and by whom, 154; authors to be consulted concerning them, and the account of Barclay's works, particularly his catecliism, ibid. b; their fundamental cloctrine, and the same with that of the ancient Mystics, 155 and c; tenets that arise from this fundamental principle, 156; their opinion of the future Resurrection, 157 and d; doctrine concerning Christ, ibid. 158; religious discipline and worship, 158; reject baptism and the Eucharist, ibid. their moral doctrine comprehended in two precepts, and what they are, 159: distinguished from all other Christian sects, and how, with their singular customs, ibid. relax their former austerity, 160; form of ccclesiastical government, ibid. method of preaching changed, 161 and d.

Quakers, Refutation of sundry erroneous statements relative to some of their society, their doctrine, discipline, &c. Also a Summary of their history, doctrine and discipline, iv. 284, &c.

Questel, Pascasins, his celebrated New Testament, with the condemnation of it by Pope Clement XI. iii. 519 and b, c; patronises Jansenism, 526; disputes in the Romish church on account of his New Testament, and bad consequence of its condemnation, iv. 192.

Quietism, controversies occasioned by its doctrine in xvii cent. iii. 541.

Quictists, Hesychasts, their rise in the East in xiv cent. ii. 497; the same with the Mystics, ibid. employ their time chiefly in contemplation, ibid. their notions of a celestial light within them, ibid. and q; branded with opprobrious names, 498 and r.

Quinsextum, council of Constantinople in vii cent. why so called, i. 463 and g; enacts laws about ceremonies, with the nature of its acts, 473; six of its canons rejected by the Romanists, ibid. u.

Quintin, one of the leaders of the Spiritual Libertines, iii. 314.

R.

Rabanus Maurus, Archbishop of Mentz, his great character, ii. 14; called the Light of France and Germany, 30; his commentaries, 40; scriptural allegories, ibid. exposes the crrors of the Jews, 43; writes against Radhert's doctrine of the Eucharist, 49; opposes Godeschalcus in his notions of Predestination and Grace, 52; the rise of the quarrel between them, 55 and r.

Rabelais, a supposed infidel in xvi cent:

Racow, catechism of, iii. 377 and q; a collection of popular tenets, and not a rule of faith, ibid. a seminary erected there, 378; students of, vent their rage against a Crucifix, whence the downfall

of the Socinians in Poland, iv. 170. Radbert, Pascasius, an account of him and his works, ii. 31; his notion of the local presence of Christ's body in the sacrament, 49; and k; and this doctrine opposed by Ratramn, 50; Scotus's precision, with the fluctuating opinions of others, ibid. his dispute with Ratramn, concerning the manner of Christ's birth, 56.

Ramæans, a philosophical sect in avi cent.
iii. 220; oppose the Aristotelians, iv. 16.
Ramus, Peter, a philosopher in avi cent.
his character, iii. 123; his philosophy
preferred to Aristotle, iii. 309.

Rance, Bouthelliers de, his conversion and great character, iii. 500 and b. Rasa, Procopius, the head of the Hussites,

his character, ii. 552.

Rathier, Bishop of Verona, his works and character, ii. 104.

Rathman, Herman, controversy occasioned by his writings, and character, iv. 53; his doctrine misrepresented, 54; real doctrine reduced to four principal points, and what these are, ibid. dies in the height of the controversy, which then gradually decreases, ihid.

Ratisbon, Diet, memorial for peace, and the result, iii. 82; new conference held there, 84; the Protestants protest against the Trent decrees, and are pro-

scribed, ibid.

Paymond, de Sabunde, his natural theolo-

ted into the church, and opposes the

gy, ii. 559.

Earl of Thoulouse, is excomnunicated, and why, ii. 425; readmit-

pretended Herctics, 426; his kingdom given away by Innocent III. Pope, to Simon earl of Montfort, ibid. contest between his son and Simon's son, 427; opposition of the former against the Pope, fruitless, ibid. accounts of this war where to be found, 428 q.

Reatists, why so called, ii. 15 b; Schoolmen chiefly such in xiii cent. ii. 406; their defects, 407; their disputes with the Nominalists in xiv cent. 450.

Reformation, its history in xvi cent. iii. 9. and a; its foundation laid in the revival of letters, 11; how the people were in some measure prepared to receive it, 15°; ardently desired, 23; how far attempted, and its dawn rises unexpectedly, 24, 25; its rise and progress in Sweden, 61, 62; its rise and progress in Denmark, 63; distinction in that of Sweden and Denmark, 65 and w; the measures taken about doctrine and discipline commendable, but not so in re-forming the clergy, 66; how far this observation is just, ibid. w, x; its rise and progress in France, 67; and in other European states, 69; its history from the Augsburg confession till the war subsequent upon the Smalcald league, 70; from the Smalcald war till the peace of religion at Augsburg, 81; a judgment of it, and the means used for producing it, 101; civilized many nations, 125.

Reformation, its rise in England, iii. 79, 80, 81 and p, q, r; the nature and effects of this first dawn of the Reformation here, St; gains ground here, 92; how promoted by Edward VI. and his charac-

ter ibid. 93

Queen Mary's design to extinguish it,

how prevented, 96, 97 m.

its progress in the United Provinces, iii. 97; conduct of the nability and people at this time, considered and explained, 98 o; the religion of Switzerland established here, and universal toleration, with some distinction, ibid. and q.

in Italy, its progress, iii. 99.
in Spain, its dawn soon prevented from sprending, iii. 99; executions from the inquisition upon the death of Charles V. 100

Refugees, French, their character, iii. 203. Regale, a right enjoyed by the French Kings, and opposed by Innocent XI. in xvii cent. iii. 480 and notes.

Regino, Abbot of Prum, an account of, ii.

32.

Reinboth, singularity of his opinions, iv. 55; severely consured by Danhaver

66; opposes Lubicuiecius's attempts to establish Socinianism in Holstein, iv. 171.

Reineccius, a famous Lutheran Historian

in xvi cent. iii. 218.

Relics, excessive veneration for them in ix cent. ii. 37; by what arts collected,

ibid. and 38.

Religion, early method of teaching it in the Christian church i. 98; corrupted by the principles of modern Platonism, 214; its state in iv cent. 281; degenerates into superstition ibid. pious frauds, whence, 283; method of explaining Seripture on Origen's plan, 284; its doctrines determined with more accuracy in v cent. i. 356; consequences, 357; the increase of superstition in supplicating Saints and worshipping images, 358; efficacy attributed to the bones of martyrs, and to the ligure of the cross, ibid. the purification of departed souls, and benefits hence arising to the Romish church, ibid. 359 and a; practical, how explained in vi. eent. and methods used for advancing it, 422; remission of sins purchased by liberality to monks and churches, 455; its deplorable state and superstitions in viicent. 467; this exemplified from St. Eloi's life, ibid. and w; placed in purifying fire and offerings, 458 and x; its decline in viii cent. 508; the ignorance and superstition of ix cent, and the causes, ii 32, 33; its state in a cent. 105; an universal opinion of the final dissolution of all things being at hand now prevailed, 107; whence this notion, ibid. u; the preparations thought necessary against this expected change, 108; made to consist in the observance of external rites in xi cent. 195; its melancholy state in xii cent. ii. 284, 285; attempts of many to reform abuses, why unsuccessful, 284; a general and deplorable account of it in xiii cent. 401; two eminent sources of corruption introduced, 402; its dignity degraded by the great variety of rites, 416; corrupted in xiv cent, and hence the number of sectaries increased, 489; many defenders engage to prevent its total decay in av cent 551; reduced to mere external pomp and show, 563.

Religions, the vaciety in the Pagen world produce no dissensions, and whence,

i. 33.

Religious errors, their punishment by civil penalties, and when introduced, i. 293. Remi, Archbishop of Lyons, defends Godesekalus, and his doctrine, ii. 53.

Remigius, Bishop of Auxerre, his exposi-

tions, ii. 40; other works, 41.

Remonstrants, Arminians so called, and why, iv. 127.

Reservation, ecclesiastical, stipulated by

Charles V. for the Roman Catholics in

xvi cent. iii. 215 and k.

Restitution edict, issued out in Germany in xvii cent. iii. 458; how put in execution, ibid. and v.

Reuchlinus, John, Capnion, restores learning among the Germans in xv cent. ii.

513.

Rheims, William of, his works adapted to excite pious sentiments, and to promote

practical religion, ii. 283.

Phinsberg, solemn assembly of the Collegiants holden every year, and for what end, iv. 174, 175.
Rhinsbergers. See Collegiants.

Rhodes, Alexander of, his mission to Siam, &c. iii. 392; success, and the Pope's regulations thwarted by the Jesuits, with the latter's injurious treatment of the Papal missionaries, 393 and o.

Rhodius, Nilus, a warm advocate for the

Greeks in xvi cent. ii. 488.

Ricci, Matthew, a zealous missionary in xvi cent. iii. 118; obtains a grant from the Emperor to propagate the Gospel in China, ibid. and h, i; founder of the Christian church in China, declares for the innocence of Chinese rites, and how explained, iii. 400; this opinion rejected by some missionaries, ibid. and v; progress of this dispute in favour of the Jesuits, yet turns against them, 401; bustle on both sides, at the Pope's appointing a congregation to examine it, ihid. and w, x; this dispute reducible to two great points, and the first of these, 402; the question on it stated, ibid. answered by the Jesuits in the affirmative, ibid. by their adversaries in the negative, and why neither side satisfactory, 403; second point, and the question thercon, 404; Jesuits conclusion from it, ibid. whether justifiable, ibid. and y; what their adversaries maintain, with an account of the honors paid to Coufucius, 405 and z.

Richer, Edmund, opposes the Pontifical authority over the Gallican church in xvi cent. iii. 161; his character as a

commentator, ibid.

Richelieu, Cardinal, his attempts to reclaim the Protestants, iii. 469; followed by others of less note, ibid. despotic maxim of, ibid. and r.

Rickel, Dionysius a mystic in xv cent. ii.

549.

Rigourists, Jansenists, so denominated, and why, iii. 535.

Rimini, Gregory de, a scholastic divine in xiv cent ii. 488.

Rites, See Ceremonies.

Rivet, assists Voet in his controversy with

Dcs Cartes, iv. 115.

Rivier, propagates the philosophy of Paracelsus at Paris in xvi cent. iii. 221.

Rivius, a moral writer, iii. 221.

Robert, King of France, his ardent zeal for cultivating letters, and success, in xi cent. ii. 137.

- Abbot of Moleme, founder of the Cistercian monks in xi cent. ii. 186.

of Arbriselles, founder of the Fontevrand order of monks in xii cent. ii. 276; his singular discipline and rules, how defended, 277; accused of criminal conversation with his female disciples, ibid. and p; some Nuns of this order brought into England, ibid. sub. fin.

de Sorbonne, founder of a college for the study of divinity in xiii. cent. ii,

339 and f.

Robinson, John, founder of the Independents in xvii ceut. iv. 100; his writings, ibid. sub. not. p; endeavours to reform the Brownists, and success, 102.

Rochelle, city of, granted to the Reformed in France, iv. 66; taken from them by Lewis XIII. and terrible consequences

of it to the Reformed, 67.

Rochester, Earl of, his character, conversion, and death, iii. 420, 421 and c, d.

Roderic, Christopher, a famous Jesuit, and missionary in Egypt, but unsuccessful in xvi cent. iii. 132.

Roell, Herman Alexander, controversy set on foot by him about the usc of reason in Religion, and account of, iv. 120; his sentiments concerning the generation of the Son of God, ibid. notions about divine decrees, &c. greatly different from the Dutch church, 121 and t; condemned with his disciples as heretics, and their ill treatment after his death, with observations on this remark, ibid and tt.

Roger, Count of Sicily, expels the Saracens out of Sicily, in xi cent. ii. 121; obtains from Pope Urban II. a grant of supreme authority in matters of Religion, which is still vested in the kings of Sicily, 122; his successors called Dukes till xii cent. when Sicily became a king-

dom, ibid.

Rohas, Christopher dc, Bishop of Tinia, his pacificatory attempts in xvii cent. iii. 470.

Rollo, first duke of Normandy, his conversion in x cent. ii. 74; his motives ibid. the influence of his example on the army, 75.

Romanis, Humbert de, his attempts to reform the monks in xiii. cent. ii. 401;

his Spiritual Institutes, 411.

Roman empire, its extent advantageous to Christianity, i. 30; subject to four prætorian prefects in iv. cent. 270; its state in v. cent. i. 331.

tribunuls, ecclesiastical causes how determined by them, i. 362; the

pernicious effects of this custom, and whence the number of spurious wri-

tings in v cent. ibid. and 363.

· Catholic faith, derived from two sources, iii. 154; uncertainty about its real doctrines, ibid. difference of opinion about determining doctrines and controversies, 155.

- Catholic religion, its principal heads, and whence to be known, iii.

157 and k.

Romans, impose the names of their own deities on those of other nations, and hence the perplexities in the history of the ancient superstitions, i 32 and h; their system of religion different from the Greeks, 37; introduce their rites among the conquered nations, ibid. why they persecuted the Christians, 68, 69; state of learning and philosophical sects among them, 82; introduce letters and philosophy into the conquered nations, 83.

Rome, its Bishops. See Popes.

the decline of this church, and whence dated, iii. 130; its internal constitution strengthened by various ways, 136.

attempts to ruin the Protestants, but misuccessful, iii. 453, 454, 464; conferences held on both sides, 467; the interest of this church loses ground in the East, 477; two strong instances of it, 478; the Popes' authority in its decline, 481.

- rupture between Pope Paul V. and Veniee, iii. 482; wise conduct of the latter, 483; pence concluded through Henry IV. of France, ibid. y, z : the consequences, and their separation how

prevented, 48-1, a, b.

Rome, its contest with Portugal in xvii cent. iii. 485; the former gains no ground, 486 and c; between it and the French court, with the former's stratagems descated, and the writers in this contest variously treated, ibid. contests under Lewis XIV, with the reason, 487; peace concluded on inglorious terms for the Pope, 488; a second contest about the Regale, ibid, and g; 489 nnd h; Lewis summons un assembly of Bishops, ibid, and i; which drew up four propositions, opposed by the Pope publiety and privately, ibid. j; 490 k; third contest on the right of asylum to ambassadors at Rome, and accommodation, 490 and $\ell_{\mathcal{F}}$ whether the papal $\pi a_{\mathcal{F}}$ thority gained ground in this cent, with the complaint in the affirmative groundless, 491; the manner of terminating differences changed, 492; Gallican liberties, still maintained, ibid. and q; some cringing flatterers fawn still on the Popes 493; secret, yet vigorous mensures used by the French against the Popes, who are treated by their monarehs as the Pagan heroes treated Cer-

berus, 494.

Rome, its state of learning in xvii cent. iii. 505; improved by the French, 506; philosophy much changed in France, and those most distinguished in it, ibid. and n; ill treatment of them, 507 o; the French example followed in Italy, &e. ibid. Jesuits improve learning most, and followed by the Benedictines, 50S; deeline of learning among the Jesnits ever since, 509; emulation of the Priests of the Oratory, and the most distin-guished among them, ihid. Jansenists of Port Royal the most famous, ibid. reason of these improvements, 510; prineipal authors of the Romish communion, ibid and q; its doctrine more corrupt than in the former ages, through the Jesuits, and its Pontiff's negligence. 511; whence derived, and with what views propagated, 512; Jesuits, why supported by the Pones, 513; they sap the foundations of marality with several pernicions maxims, 513, 514; are condemned by Popes Alexander VII. and VIII. yet their moral tenets not suppressed, 516 and x; why the great made them their confessors, ihid. their maxims and practices not adopted by all the fraternity, 517; three cirenmstances necessary in general censures, which are not observed by their adversaries, ibid. state of exegetie theology in xvii cent. 518; scripture how obscured, ibid, and a; state of didactic, moral, and polemic theology at this time, 519; contest under the pontificate of Clement VIII, between the Jesuits and Dominicans, about Grace, 520; intimation of the arbiters appointed by the Pope in favor of the Dominicans, 521; who himself examines the controversy, ibid. but dies before the decision, ibid. dispute continued under Paul V. and ardered to be suppressed, with liberty to each party to follow their own respect. ive opinious, 522; the Pope how hindered from pronouncing a public determination, 523 and f; contests occasioned by the rise and progress of Jansenism, ibid, and 524; hopes of an union between this and the Greek church, 552; methods used by the Romanists, 553 and d: but ineffectual, 554; an union between this and the Russian rharch attempted, but in vain, iii. 201. Romuald, founder of the Camaldolites in

xi cent. ii. 186. Rosary, instituted in honour of the Virgin

Mary in x cent. ii. 114 and l. Rowellinus, controversy relative to the Trinity begun by him in xi cent. ii. 225 and z; retracts and resumes his error, 226; his doctrine concerning the ille-

gality of bastards being ordained, not favourably received in England, ibid.

Rosecrucians, their derivation in xvii cent. iii. 436 and f; inveigh against the Peripatetics, 437; most eminent among them, with their followers, ibid and g; diversity of opinions, whence, with some common principles, 437, 438; attacked by Gassendi, 438.

Rothman, Bernard, an ecclesiastic of Munster, becomes Anahaptist iii. 330

Rufinus, of Aquiela, his character, i. 280, friendship and rupture between him and Jerome, ibid. o; his version of the scriptures, 284.

Ruffus a chief of the spiritual libertines,

iii. 314.

Rugen, Isle of, Christianity established here in xii. cent. ii. 228 and b.

Ruggeri, Cosino, account of his impi-

ety, iii 425.

Russians, converted in ix cent. and by what prudent means, ii. 5; their conversion misrepresented by Le Quin, 6 h; adopt the doctrine and discipline of the Greeks, iii. 188; but are independent on them, and the Patriarch of Constantinople, ibid. an nnion between their own and the Romish church attempted, but in vain, 201.

Ruysbrockius, an eminent mystic in xiv

cent. ii. 488

Sabellius, his notions of the Trinity, i. 238; in what he differed from Noetus, ibid, propagates his opinion with some success, ihid.

Sacrament, festival of, its origin in xiii

cent. ii. 417 and s.

Sadducees, their tenets, i. 46; deny a future state. 47; their moral doctrine and

bad influence, 49.

Sagarelli, Gerhard, founder of the sect of Apostles in xii cent. ii. 437; is committed to the flames, and burned, ibid. his successor Dulcinus, and the war he carried on, with his terrible end, ibid.

Saints, veneration paid to them, its rise, i. 282; their number considerably augmented in v. cent. and whence, i. 352; sepulchres frequented, 35S; their prayers thought to be victorious at the throne of God, 412; the lives of some considered 423, 424; a confidence in their merits thought necessary to salvation in viii cent. 508; tutelary, their origin in ix cent. ii. 34; a passionate fundness for their relies, 37; excessive veneration paid to them in x cent. 105, mnltiplied greatly, 109; this accounted for, ibid. their numerous devotees in xii cent. ii. 285; supposed to be frequently present in the places they inhabited upon earth, ibid. and r; the defects of those who wrote their lives in xiii cent. ii. 341; added to the Romish calendar in xvii cent. iii. 549, 550 and z.

Salabert, defends the Nominalists in xi

cent. ii. 143 q.

Saladin, his success against the Christians, ii. 237; reduces the city of Jerusalem, after a dreadful carnage, ibid. and r; defeated by the kings of England and France, 234, 239; concludes a truce with Richard I. of England, ihid.

Salernum, a famous School there for the study of physic in xi cent ii. 139 and i. Salisbury, John of, his just and severe censure of the Nominalists, Realists,

and Formalists ii. 254, 255 s, t; his

great character, 283.

Salmasius, his disputes about usury, stage plays, &c. in xvii cent. iv. 114.

Salmuth, Henry, his observations on the

Bible, an account of, iii. 258.

Salvian, his book on Divine government, and the cause of writing it, i. 339; character. 356 and u; moral writings excellent, 363.

Samaritans, their sad state, i. 52; notions of the Messiah, if juster than those entertained by the inhabitants of Jerusalem, ibid. and x.

Samogetæ, their conversion to Christianity in xv cent considered, ii. 508.

Samuel, a Jewish convert, writes an elaborate treatise against the Jews in xi cent. ii. 202.

Sanches, a famous eclectic and sceptical philosopher in xvii cent. iii. 448 and u.

Sancraft, Archbishop of Canterbury, is deprived, with seven other Bishops, of his ecclesiastical tignity, and why, iii. 111 and ii.

Sanction. Pragmatic, instituted for retrenching panal power, ii 539; when, and by whom made, ibid. n; abrogated in part by Lewis XI. of France, ibid. its total ahrogation obtained from Francis 1. of France iii. 14 and g.

Sandius, an eminent writer among the

Arians in xvii cent. iv. 173 and w.

Sarabaites, an order of abandoned and profligate monks in iv. cent. i. 292.

Saracens, their successful incursions in the East in viii cent. ii. 484; success owing to the divisions of the Greeks, ibid. usurpations in the West, 485; consequences of their success to Christianity, ibid. their progress toward universal empire in ix cent. ii. 7; the progress of their arms injurious to the Gospel, but more fatal in the East than in the West, 8; writers against them in this century reported many things which were false and groundless, ii. 43; their ruin accomplished by the Turks in the East in x cent. 83; the Ottoman empire established on the rains of the Saracens' dominious, ibid. state of learning among them. 88; driven out

of Sicily in xi cent. 121, the privileges thereupon granted to the kings of Sicily, 122; resident in Palestine, and expeditions formed against them, ibid. by Peter the Hermit, with the progress, and history of this holy war, 124, 125 and s; difficulties and successes, 125 and u; motives of the Popes and European Princes engaging in this crusade 127, 128 and y; reasons for and against these wars 128 and z; with their unhappy consequences, 129, 130 and a; of great service to literature in Spain, 138; they oppress the Eastern Christians in xii. cent. and the justice of these oppressions examined ii. 243; the decline of their alfairs in Spain, in xiii cent. 331; scheme for their expulsion thence in xiv cent. ii. 444; subversion of their kingdom in Spain effected in xv cent. 507; methods used for their conversion, and how far effectual, 507. Sce Arabians.

Sardis, council of, its fourth canon supposed the chief step to the Bishop of Rome's sovereignty, i. 274; the impossibility of proving by it the necessity of an appeal to Rome in all cases, with the import of this canon, ibid. and p.

Saturninus, of Antioch, leader of the sect of the Elcesaites, i. 174; the heretical principles he maintained, ibid.

Savanarola, Jerome, his great character, ii. 549; censured with severity the Roman Pontiffs, and his unhappy fate, ib. and t; declares that Rome was become the image of Babylon, 551; labours to reform the Schoolmen, in xv cent. 657; his polemic work entitled, The Triumph of the Cross, 559.

Saurin, James, his opinion concerning the lawfulness of violating the truth, and

controversy thereon, iv. 200.

Saxons, why averse to Christianity in viii cent. i. 481 h, i; methods used for converting and retaining them, with an observation on the nature of their conversion, ibid. and sub. fin. not. i.

Saxony, divines of, contend with those of Weimar in xvi cent. iii. 243; new re-

formation attempted, 250.

Sceptics, their method, and most eminent among them in xvii cent. iii. 444, 445 and notes.

Schaal, John Adam, chief of the Jesuit missionaries in China, and account nf, iii. 396; imprisoned, and condemned to deuth, ibid.

Schade, John Caspar, his character, iv. 51; imprudent zeal excites commotions

in the Lutheran church, ib.

Schism, the great Western in xiv cent. an account of, ii. 463; its bad consequences, 464; injurious to papal power, 465; proposals for terminating it, ibid. fomented and continued in xv. cent, 518; two Pontiffs condemned by

the council of Pisa, which elects a third, 519; afflictions received by the church from it in this cent. 536; healed by the prudence of Nicholas V. 537; between the Greeks and Latins, and why not healed, 560.

Schmidt, Erasmus, a learned expositor of

Scripture, iv. 26.

---- Sebastian, an interpreter of

Scripture, and character, iv. 26.

Laurent, his translation of the Bible, and whence called the Wertheim interpreter, iv. 201; character, ibid. is opposed, and accused of being an enemy to the Christian religion, and whence, ibid. is cast into prison, but escapes, ibid. charge brought against him, ibid. m.

Scholastic theology, whence it began, i.

215.

Scholastics, properly so called, in xii cent. ii. 291; their author, Abelard, 292; opposed from different quarters, 293; and principally by St. Bernard, 294; are chiefly Realists in xiii cent. 406; their dangerons tenets, and vicious methods of defending them, 409; fall into absurd and impious notions of the Trinity, and the consequences, in xiv. cent. 491 and f; hated and opposed in xv cent. 557; and principally by the restorers of polite literature, 558; a philosophical sect in xvi cent. iii. 220; united with the Aristotelians, but opposed by the Ramæans, ibid.

Schoman, George, author of the Cracow catechism, iii. 366 sub. not. 2; his Testamentum, 368 a; an admirer of Far-

novius, 382.

Schomer, a Lutheran expositor in xvii

cent. iv. 26.

Schoolmen, whence so called, i. 422; chiefly employed in collecting the ancient interpretations of the Fathers in xiii. cent. ii. 405; contentions among them in xiv. cent. 491.

Schools, established for Christian philosophy in i. cent. i 100; how distinguished from the academies of the ancient Christians, ibid. very serviceable to Christianity, 267; cathedral erected by Charlemagne in viii cent. 487; public, their sad state in xvi cent. iii. 20.

Schurman, Anna Maria, a follower of the Labbadists, and great character, iv. 178.

Schwenkfeldt, George, his debates with Luther, iii. 233; character, ibid. is banished, and his death, 234; his doctrine different from Luther's in three points, 235.

Schyn, Herman, a Mennonite, iii. 320; character of his writings, ibid. sub. not. e; 321 sub f

Sciences, their sad state in vii cent. i. 451; a new division of them, and their number increased in xii cent. ii. 249, 250.

Science, ils limits extended in xiii cent. it

344; Bacon's reflection on the learning

of this cent. ihid. d.

Sciences, many professors of, but few very serviceable to society in xiv. cent. ii. 449; their improvement in general, in natural philosophy, mathematics, in astronomy, in xvii cent. iii. 430; and how by Bacon, ibid. and z; the most eminent for them through Europe, 431; their distinguished promoters, and advantages hence arising to society and religion, 432; their state among the Lutherans, iv. 15.

Scioppius, employed to write against the Protestants, with an account of his be-

ing caned, iii 454 and n.

Sclaronians, and Dalmatians, express a desire to embrace Christianity in ix cent. ii. 5; the joy this occasioned, and hereupon missionaries were sent, ibid.

and f.

-converted by Waldemar, king of Denmark, through Absalom, Archbisbop of Lunden, in xii cent. ii. 228 and b: their aversion from Christianity overruled, and their conversion completed, by the zeal of Henry the Lion, through the Ministry of Vicellinus, 231. Scot, Michael, an Aristotelic, and a Latin

interpreter of his works in xiii cent. ii.

Scotland, whether Christian in iii cent. i. 195 and m; church of, its founder, iii. 282; how far it adopts the doctrine, &c. established at Geneva, ibid. opposes changes of discipline and worship, ihid. a remarkable declaration of king James 1. concerning the kirk, iv. 90 d

Scots, Irish, emineut for their learning in viii cent. i. 513 and m: illustrated Christian doctrines by the rules of philosophy ibid. their sophism about the Trinity, ib.

Scotus, Johannes Erigena, an eminent philosopher in ix cent. ii. 15 and a; his great erudition, ibid. his works, ibid. blends the Mystic Theology with the Scholastic, and forms them into one system, ibid. his notions and great modesty, 16; high character, 32; explains the doctrines of Christianity according to reason and the principles of true philosophy, 40; is opposed and persecuted on this account, 41 and s; his new and elegant translation of the pretended Dionysius's works, 43; bis excellent method of managing the controversy with Pascasius Radbert, concerning the real presence of Christ's Body and Blood in the Eucharist, 50.

- Marianus, his works, ii. 194.

 John Duns, eminent for the acute. ness and subtility of his genius, but not fur his candour and ingenuity, ii. 488; his works, ibid. x; warmly opposes the several doctrines of Aquinas, and hence the sect of the Scotists, 491; defends the immaculate conception of the Vir-

gin Mary, 492 and g.

Scriptures, canon of, supposed to be settled before the middle of ii cent. i. 93: arguments in confirmation of this supposition, ihid. early method of interpreting them, 98; the New Testament translated into several languages, and its use, 125; zeal for them in ii cent. 151; interpretations of them defective, tbrough the double sense nsed at this time, 152; the zeal of many for propagating them in iii cent. and advantages hence arising to Christianity, 194; interpreters of them censured, and why, 218; versions in iv cent. discover a want of sound judgment in their authors, 284; the most eminent commentators in v cent. i. 359; Origen's method adopted by many, 360; logical discussions esteemed better tests of truth than the Scriptures, 361; expositors in vii cent. few, and very unlearned. 458; the study of them much promoted among the Latins by Charlemagne in viii cent. 510; allegorical interpreters of, in ix cent. and their fundamental principle, ii. 40; explained in xii cent-chiefly according to the rules of Mys-ticism, ii. 290; which prevailed much in xiii cent. 404; commentators on them among the Lntherans in xvi cent.

Scripture knowledge, its state in xvi cent. iii. 158; methods taken to obscure it, ihid severe law passed as to interpreta-tion, ihid, and l.

Scylizes, John, an historian among the Greeks in xi cent. and character, ii. 136. Scythia, within Imaus, embraces the Gospel in viii cent. i. 477; its division by the ancients, ibid. a.

Sectarian philosophers, who so called in

xvii cent. iv. 19. t.

Sects, formed in the times of the apostles, i. 110; grow imperceptibly, ibid. accounts of them imperfect, and whence, ibid, those which arose from the oriental philosophy very detrimental to Christianity, 173; illiterate, which prevailed in ii cent. 188; remains of ancient in iii cent. 230; and in iv cent. 307; Manichæans most prevalent, who under conceal themselves various names to avoid the severity of the laws, 308; ancient, fluurish in vii cent. i. 464; and recover strength in viii cent. from the divisions in the Grecian empire, 524; and subsist in xi cent ii. 219; numerous among the Latins in xii cent, and the abuses which gave rise to them, 307; multiplied in xiii cent. and the cause, 420; unanimous in opposing superstition and the papal power, ibid. among the Dutch in xvii cent. iv. 123: of inferior note in this cent. an account of them, 174; various in England in xviii cent. iv. 207.

Secundians, Valentinian sect in ii cent. i. 185; maintained the doctrine of two eternal principles, ibid.

Sedulius, his expositions, and their defect,

ii. 40

Seidel. Martin, his extravagant notions, iv. 63; whence his followers called

Semi Judaizers, 64 and k.

Seidenbecher, George Lawrence, a propagator of the Millennium in xvii cent. iv-63; is censured, and deposed from his pastoral charge, ibid. and i.

Semi Arians, their tenets, i. 322.

Semi Judaizers, a Socinian sect, iii. 381; why obnoxious to Socinus, ibid. y.

Semi Pelagians, author of this sect in v cent. and their tenets, i. 394; their five leading principles, 395 h; strongly opposed by the disciples of St. Augustin, yet support themselves, and make rapid progress, ibid. excite divisions in the Western churches in vi cent. 432

Sendomir, synod held there, iii. 296; hy whom, and concerning what,

and f.

Sens, Bernardine of, a celebrated mystic writer, in xv cent. ii 549; his works must be read with caution, 358.

Scrapion, Bishop of Antioch, writes a treatise against the Jews, and his motives

for it, i. 203.

- his successful mission in Armenia, iii. 133; maintains with success the

cause of Rome, ibid.

Serretus, Michael, Servede, his character and writings, iii. 355 and c; circumstances concurring to favour his designs, 356; is seized, and accused by Calvin of blasphemy, ibid. and d; condemned to the flames, ibid. his life hy whom written, ibid. e; strange doctrine of the Trinity, 357; Calvin's severity against him how alleviated, ibid sub. not. c; strange tenets of other Antitrinitarians after him, 358.

Servites, convent of, founded in xiii cent. ii 366; wear a black habit, with the reason, and observe several rules peculiar to themselves, and unknown to

other societies, ibid. and k.

Sethites, an account of this sect in ii. cent. i. 185; consider Seth as the same person with Christ, 186.

Severian, character of his moral writings, i. 363.

Severinus, promotes the philosophy of l'aracelsus in Denmark, in xvi cent iii.

Severus, his character, i. 123; persecution under him, 134, Martyrs who suffered under him, 196.

Alexander, shows favour to the Christians, i. 196; is assassinated by the order of Maximin ibid.

- Sulpitius, an eminent historian in

iii. cent. i 281 and p

the Monophysite, made Patriarch of Constantinople by Anastasius, the Emperor, i. 434; is deposed and succeeded by one of his own sect, ibid. his doctrine concerning the body of Christ, 436; names given to his followers, ibid.

Sfondrati, Cœlestine, his innovated doctrine of predestination, iii. 548; is accused of erroneous notions before Innocent XII. with the Pope's conduct, ihid.

and x.

Shaftesbury, Earl of, his character and writings, iii 421; how dangerous to Christianity, ibid. and e.

Sharrock, the great advantages derived to religion from his moral works, iv. 77. Sheppard, a Puritan missionary in Ame-

rica. iii. 416.

Siam, the first mission there by the Jesuits, under the direction of Alexander of Rhodes, and its success, iii. 392; emhassy sent by Lewis XIV. to convert the King and people, 393 and p: this was fruitless, and remarkable observation by the King on this occasion, 394 and q

Siculus, Peter, an account of, ii. 29.

Sidonius, Apollinaris, his writings tumid, but not destitute of eloquence, i 356. Sienno, Jacobus a, protects the Socinians, iii. 371; embraces their communion, and erects a public seminary for them, ibid

Siganfu, famous Chinese monument found there in vii. cent. i. 439 and α.

Sigismund, John, Elector of Brandenburg, renounces Lutheranism, and embraces the communion of the Reformed church, iv. 4; adopts not all their tenets, and leaves his subjects free as to their religious sentiments, 5; the bad effects of this liberty, and Lutherans disgusted at it, ibid. controversy and civil commotions that ensued, ibid. the form of concord hercupon suppressed, and other edicts made by the Elector and his successors, 6 and d.

Simeon, Bishop of Jerusalem, crucified

by Trajan's law, i. 130.

head of the Stylites, makes many converts, i. 365; his extravagant tenets, ibid. attracts the veneration of many persons, ibid. and p; followed by many persons, though not with the same austerity, ibid. and q; his superstitious practice continued till xii cent ib. and r. of Constantinople, translates the

lives of the Saints in x cent. and hence styled the Metephrast, ii. 103 and i.

Sin, original, doctrine of, disputed by la Place, iv. 85; denied by le Cene, 90. Smaleald, league, how formed by the con-

federate princes, iii. 75: the substance

of their invitation, and offers made to Henry VIII. of England, ibid h; and his answer, ibid sub. not. h; how it influenced the Emperor, and whence be became inclined to peace, 76; its articles, and account of, iii. 209 and b.

Smaragdus, a skilful linguist and grammarian in ix cent. ii 14.

Socinian, different sense of that term, iii.

353 aud y.

Socinianism, errors about its origin, iii. 359, 360 and m; its real origin, 361; progress of it, 363; how propagated in Transylvania and Hungary, 371; in Holland and England, 372; in Germany, 373; its main principle, 374; dangerous consequences, ibid. sum of theology, 375; moral doetrine, 376.

Socinians, their history, name, and origin, iii. 352; how far their origin may be traced, 354; their tenets and doctors, ibid spread their doctrine in Poland, 362; their progress and different classes, 363, 364; their Polish version of the Bible, 365; summary of religion, ibid. account of the Cracow catechism and its six points, ibid and z; their methods of propagating their doctrine, 372 and k; yet fail almost every where, 373; their first attempts in Holland, and by whom, ibid. also in Britain and Germany unsuccessful, ibid. with their main principle, 374; state of learning among them, 378; method of proceeding in theology, ibid. their divisions and intestine controversies, 379; effect of the death of their chief, Faustus, 382; their flourishing state in xvii cent. iv. 168; their extensive views and attempts to make proselytes, with the singular mcthod of propagating their doctrine, ibid. their missions not successful, with their decline at Altorf, and how, 169; their decline and sufferings in Poland, and on what account, 170 and n; banished thence for ever with the utmost severity, 171; fate of the exiles, ibid. conccive some hopes of settling in Denmark, and how disappointed, ibid. some in England enjoy tolerable tranquillity, 172 and rr; congregations of them formed at London, with their notions, ibid sub. rr; embrace the communion of other sects, 173 and t; not united in their opinions, ibid. and u; account of their state in xviii cent. iv. 203.

Socinus, Ladius, his great character, iii. 353, adopts the Helvetic confession of faith, ib. his travels, after which he settles at Zurich and dies there, ibid. and ic.

Faustus, an account of iii. 353, 354 and y; his dexterous proceedings 369; changes the ancient Unitarian religion, 370; what hand Lælius had in this, and its great success, ibid. d; publishes the Cracow catechism, 371;

patronized by Jacobus a Sienno, who turns Socinian, ibid.

Sohner, Ernest, a learned Peripatetic, and advocate for Socinianism, at Altorf, iv. 169; inculcates their precepts with success, ibid. his death, and bad consequences of it to the Socinians, ibid.

Solitarius, Phillippus, his character, ii. 281; character of his Dioptra, 297.

Sommer, John, propagates the doctrine of Budnæus at Clausenburg, where he presides in xvi cent. iii. 381, and w, x.

Sophronius, monk of Palestine, raised to the see of Jerusalem, his character, i. 456; opposes the Monothelites in vii ccnt 468; condemns them as Heretics, ibid.

Sorbonne, doctors of, their college founded for the study of divinity in xiii cent.

and by whom, ii. 339 and f.

Sozzini, an illustrious family at Sienna in Tuscany, iii. 353; Socinians supposed to derive their denomination from them, ibid.

Spangenburg, Cyriae, defends the doctrine of Flacius about original sin iii.

246.

Spanheim, breach between him and Vander Wayen, and cause, iv. 114.

Spener, his method of teaching theology, and success, iv. 28; sets on foot the controversy on Pietisin, 38.

Spina, Alphonsus de, his fortress of Faith, which he wrote against the Jews and

Saracens in xv cent. ii. 549.

Spinoza, Benedict, an account of that Atheist, with his wisdom and probity, iii. 425, and q; his work and the tenets therein, 426 and r; never attempted to make converts, ibid. sub. not. r; seduced into his system by Cartes' philosophy 427 and s; his system wants perspicuity, and is easily misunderstood by persons of the greatest sagacity, ibid. and t; account of his followers,

528, 529, and notes.

Spire, diet held at in xvi cent. iii. 54; its issue favourable to Luther and the Reformers, ibid. appeals made at it to a general council for terminating ecclesiastical debates, 55, 56; progress of the Reformation afterward, 55; a second diet held, in which the resolutions of the former diet are revoked, and all innovations in religion declared unlawful before the meeting of a general council, 56, and f; the decree of this last diet considered as iniquitous and intolerable by several princes, 57; who protest against it, and hence the denomination of Protestants, ibid. the the names and number of these prinees, ibid. h.

Spirituals, Sec Franciscans.

Stancarus, debates excited by, iii. 248;

INDEN. 476

his tenets in refutation of Osiander, ibid. occasions commotions in Poland,

and dies there, 249, and n.
Stephen 1. Bishop of Rome, his insolent behaviour to the Asiatic Christians, on account of the baptism of heretics in iii cent. i 224; is vigorously opposed by Cyprian, Bishop of Carthage, ibid.

II. Bishop of Rome anoints and

crowns the usurper Pepin, King of France, in viii cent. i. 496; hence he is made a temporal prince, and this donation of Pepin to the see of Rome, assumed by his successors, 497, 498, and t.

establishes Christianity among the Hungarians in x cent. ii. 77.

- de Muret founds the monastic order of Gradmontains in xi cent. ii. 188; enjoins great ansterity, ibid. contentions for superiority among some of his order, and consequences, 189; rigorous discipline enjoined by him gradually mitigated, ibid the origin of this order, by whom written, ibid. f.

Stercorianism, what so called, and origin,

of, ii. 51.

Stereoma, a celebrated work published by the Crypto Calvinists, and on what account, iii. 251, and s, t.

Sticfel, Isaiah, his impious absurdities, iv.

Stockius, Simon, the monstrous fiction relative to him, and the credit it has gained even among the Popes, ii. 377 and f, g.

Stoics, their explication of the divine nature and the human soul, i, 40, 41; their notions of fate unjustly represented, 41 and g.

Storchius, a leader of the funatics, iii.

230, 325.

Strabo, Walafridus, his works and character, ii. 31.

Strasburg, Thomas of, a scholastic divine

in xiv cent. ii. 488.

- controversy there concerning predestination in avi cent. iii. 271.

Strauchius, defends the creed against sy-

nergism in xvii cent. iv. 34.

Strigellius, Victor, his commentaries, iii. 224; defends the opinions of Melancthon, 243; his contest with Flacius, 244; is cast into prison, but released, ibid. spends his days at Heidleberg, ibid.

Struchtmeyer, of Harderwyk, an account of his absurd system about Paganism

and Christianity, i. 261 m.
Stubner, a leader of the fanatics, iii. 230. Stylites, a superstitions seet of pillar snints in v eent. i. 365; their singular and extravagant fancies, ihid. not suppressed till xii cent. ibid.

Suaninguis, Bishop of Zealand, opposes Lubieniecius in his endeavours to settle the Socinians in Denmark, iv. 171.

Subdeacons, the nature of their office, 210.7. Sublapsarians, their doctrine, and why so called, iv. 78.

Subschal Jesu, his conversions in Hyrea-

nia in viii cent. i. 477.

Sueno, of Denmark, apostatizes and embraces Christianity anew in x cent.

Suidas, supposed to live in x cent. ii. 104. Sulpitius, Severus, of Gaul, the most eminent historian in iv. cent. i. 281 and p.

Supererogation, doctrine of, invented in xii cent. ii. 288; taught in xiii cent. 402,

Superstition, its great increase in vicent. i. 419; this accounted for, and exemplified by the doctrines then taught, 419; and by introducing a variety of new rites into the church, 429; the oceasion of them, 430; insinuates itself into the transactions of civil life in ix cent. and whence, ii. 63; evident from the several trials in proof of innocence, ibid. how nourished by many idle opinions in x cent. 107; particularly that of an immediate and final judgment, ibid. the effects of this opinion beneficial to the church, 108 and w; reigns among the people in xii cent. 285; a proof of this appears in the confidence placed in relies, ibid. connexion between it and fanaticism considered, 104, 105 and c.

Supralapsarians, who so called, and why, iv. 78

Supremacy of Rome. See Popes.

Susneius, Seltam Segued, Emperor of the East, protects the Jesuit missionaries, in Abyssinia, iii. 478; his intentions of propagating the doctrine and worship of the Church of Rome, how frustrated, 479.

Swedes, embrace Christianity in ix cent ii. 3; convert many in Finland in xii cent. and by what means, ii. 289; Reformation established among them in xvi cent. by Gustavus Vasa Ericson, iii. 61.

Switzerland, origin of the reformation by Zningle, iii 38; progress of it, 39; receives the doctrine of Carolstadt in xvi cent. 233; adopts the doctrine of Zuingle, 265; doctrine of Clandins, propagated there, 355; disputes about the form of concord in xvii cent. iv. 125; and continue in xviii cent. iv. 209. See

Zuingle. Sylvester II. Pope, his letter, by which he gave the signal for the first crusade, in x cent. ii. 82 and z; restores learning, ibid. chiefly inclines to the study of the mathematies, ibid. the cess of his zeal for literature, ibid. derives his knowledge from the Arabians settled in Spain, 92; his promotion to the Pontificate universally approved, ii. 99; his high character, 104.

Symmachus, violent dispute between him and Laurentius concerning their election to the see of Rome, i. 412; defended by Ennodius, 413.

Syncellus, Michael, endeavours to raise the credit of Mysticism, by his panegyric on Dionysius in ix cent. ii. 42.

Syncretistical, Calixtine, controversies, their rise in vvii cent. iv. 31; the share which Buscher had in them, 31, 32; the animated opposition of Calixtus to his Saxon accusers, 33 and d; continuation of these debates by Calovius, and other able divines, with their names, and the creed drawn up by them, 33, 34 and e; the issue of these debates, 34.

Syncretists, Platonic, their rise in xv cent. and account of, ii. 513; chargeable

with many errors, ihid.

cord among Christians in xvii cent. iv. 30.

Synergists, their doctrine. iii 242; opposed by the Lutherans, 242, 243. See Controdersy Synergistical.

Synods, their origin in ii cent. and canons

formed there, i. 146.

Szegedin, with others, propagates Calvinism in Hungary and Transylvania, in xvi cent. iii. 298.

T.

Tabor, mount, why so called, ii. 552. Taborites, in Bohemia, their rise and name, whence, ii. 554; extravagant demands for a total reformation, ibid. chimerical notions of Christ's descent to purify the church, ibid. the cruelties of which they were guilty, and their principles, ibid. and t; their obstinacy in maintaining their opinions, 555; the reformation that took place among them, ibid. afterward assists Luther in the Reformation, ibid. remains of them in Poland and other places, 556.

Taio, Bishop of Saragossa, his character, i. 450; his body of divinity, 459; the first who composed a system of divini-

ty, ii. 200.

Tamerlane, his zeal for Mahometanism, and the extirpation of Christianity, in xiv. cent. ii. 445; compels many to apostatize, ih. his religion doubtful, 446. Tanner, a Jesuit, writes against the Pro-

testants, iii. 454.

Tarquelinus, or Tanquelmus, his horrid blaspheniy in xii cent. ii. 312: seems to have been a Mystic, 313; is assassinated, and his sect silenced by Norbert, ib.

Tarnorius, a Lutheran expositor of the Scriptures in xvii ccut. iv. 26; some of

his opinions consured, 55.

Tartary, Christianity embraced there in x cent. ii. 73; propagated in xi cent. by the Nestorians, 119 and bc; embassies and missions from Rome in xiii.

cent. and success, 324; the decline of the Gospel there in xiv cent. 445.

Talian's Harmony of the Gospels, i. 152.

his character and opinions, i. 177,
178; various names given to his followers, and taken from their austerity,
178; his oration to the Greeks, and his tenets by whom mentioned, ib. q.

Taulerus. a mystic of eminent piety in

siv cent. ii. 492.

Taurellus, Nicholas, a supposed Infidel writer iu xvi cent. iii. 119.

Telesius, a philosopher in xvi cent. iii. 122; his character, ib. o.

Telingius, character of his moral writings,

Tellius, Sylvester, banished the territory

of Geneva, iii 359.

Templars origin of their knights, and names of their founders, ii. 240; why suppressed, ib. and b; summoned to appear before Clement V. Pope, in xiv cent. 505; condemned, and the order extirpated, by the council of Vienne, ib. their revenues partly hestowed on the knights of Malta, ib the impiety imputed to some not justly to be charged upon all. 506; reasons to think injustice was done them, ib. and l.

Temples, to the saints, multiplied in vi cent. and superstitious opinions adopt-

ed about them, i. 431.

Tertiaries, an order of Franciscans, an account of, ii. 391; their name wheuce, ihid. observe the third rule prescribed by St. Francis, 392 sub. q; chiefly known by the name of Beghards, or Beguards, and the rise of these denominations, ihid. and r.

Tertullian, his apology written for the Christians in ii cent. i. 134; character of his works, 149 and r; why unsuccessful in his writings against the Jews, 153; his treatises on morality, and account of them, 155; his work against Hermogenes, 183 and b; a professed admirer of Montanus the Heretic, 190

and d.

Testament, New, its translations how useful, and the principal among them, i. 126; the zeal of Christians in spreading abroad these versions, and the benefits hence arising to the cause of religion in iii cent. 194.

Tetzel, John, his matchless impudence in preaching up the impious doctrine of indulgences in axi cent. iii. 26 and o; miserable death, 33. See Luther.

Teulonic knights, their office, ii. 241; formed into a fraternity in Germany, ibid. corruption introduced among them, and consequences, 242 and d.

Thalassiae, a moral writer in vii cent.

i. 460.

Theatins, a monastic order instituted in avincut, and by whom, iii, 149, 150; female convents of this order, 150.

61

Thegan, an historian in ix cent. ii. 14. Theodemir, writes in defence of image

worship in ix cent. ii. 47.
Theodore, of Mopsuestia, his character, i. 354 and n; his commentaries on the Scriptures, 359 and c; his book against Origen, 360; his application of the writings of the Prophets, and why censured, ibid. and g, h.

of Cæsarea in Cappadoeia, de-

fends Origen against all his adversaries in vi cent. i. 425; persuades the Emperor Justinian to condemn the three

chapters, 426.

of Tarsus, Archbishop of Canterbury, promotes learning in England, i. 450; restores penance in vii cent. 461; account of his new Penitential, ibid. and c; its progress and decline, ibid.

Abbot of Raithu, his book against sects, i. 456; treatise concerning the incarnation of Christ, 460.

Theodoret, Bishop of Cyprus, his character, i. 354; an excellent expositor, and why, 359 and b.

Theodorus, Studites, his character and

works, ii. 29 and n.

Graptus, a zealous advocate for image worship in ix cent. ii. 29 and o. Abucara, account of, ii. 29 and o.

Lascaris, his works, and zeal in defending the cause of the Greeks against the Latins in xiii cent. ii. 398.

Metochita, an historian in xiv cent. ii. 447.

Theodosius the Great, his zeal against Pa-

ganism in iv cent. i. 259.

 the younger, discovers an ardent zeal for promoting Christianity, and extirpating idolatry, in v cent. i. 332, 341.

of Alexandria, seconds the efforts of Jacob Baradaus in reviving the sect of the Monophysites, in vi cent.

i. 435.

Theodotus, his erroneous notions about Christ in ii cent. i. 187; uncertainty about these, ibid.

- of Aucyra, a writer in v cent. i. 355.

Theodulphus, Bishop of Orleans, an eminent writer in viii cent. i. 507.

Theology, controversial. See Controversial Writers.

· didactic, its simplicity in the infaut state of Christiauity, i. 99; gradually loses its simplicity, and whence, in ii cent. 150, 151; corrupted by introducing Platonic tenets into the Christian system in iii cent. 214; is made the subject of many learned writers, 219; the most emineut writers of in iv cent, 285; its deplorable state in v cent. 361; its writers in vii cent, deserve no commendation, 459; state in viii cent. 513;

the opinions and authority of the Fathers considered as the test of divine truth, ibid. authority made the criterion of truth in ix cent. ii. 41; its state in xit cent. ii. 290; different sects of didactic divines at Paris, ibid. principal object of study in xiii cent. 406; greatly improved in xvi cent. iii. 124; and the genius and spirit of the christian religion better explained, 125; its state in the church of Rome, 161.

Theology, explanatory, its state in vi cent i. 420; arguments used by its writers destitute of clearness and precision, 421; various methods of explaining Christian truths used about this time, 422; chiefly confined to the sentiments of the Fathers, who were diligently studied in viii cent. 509; the merit of those writers considered, who explained Christian truths by methods independent on the authority of the Fathers, 510; entirely neglected by the Greeks and Latins in x cent. ii. 111; its state in xi cent. 19S; undertaken by few men of judgment and penetration in xii cent.. 288; the mystic method much adopted in xiii cent. 404; modelled after the sentiments of the Fathers in xiv cent. 490; its state in xv cent. 557; much freedom used in stating points of doc-trine in xvi cent. iii. 21; its state in the church of Rome, 159, 160.

polemic, badly handled in vi cent. i. 424; its state in vii cent. 461; the defence of Christianity against the Jews neglected through intestine divisions in ix cent. ii. 43; shocking writers in xii cent. ii 297; writers more numerous than respectable in xiii cent.

412.

positive, whence derived, i. 422. scholastic, whence its origin in iii cent. i. 215; admired in xi cent. ii. 198; why so called, 199; the modest views of the first scholastics, ibid. 200 and c; declines into captious philosophy, ii. 284.

 its wretched state in xvi cent. iii. 21; most of its teachers Positivi and Sententiarii, ibid. liberty of debating re-

ligious subjects, ibid.

Romish writers, in xvi cent. iii. 154 and c; a reformation of it in Paris, 161; academical law about it, ih. and q. Theopaschites, who, i. 387 and l; their

founder Peter, surnamed Fullo, ibid. Theophones, a writer among the Greeks

in viii cent. i. 506. - Ceramens, his homilies not

contemptible, ii. 193.

- Bishop of Nice, his works and character, ii. 488; an eminent polemic divine in xiv cent. 494.

Theophilus, Bishop of Antineh, his works, i. 148 and q; his exposition of the four Gospels lost, 152.

- Bishop of Alexandria, why he expels the monks of Nitria from their residence, i. 300; illustrious by his opposition against Origen and his adherents, 354.

the Emperor, his zeal against image worship iu ix cent. ii. 45.

Theophylact, Patriarch of Greece, his infamous character, ii. 93 and o.

Theophylact, of Bulgaria, the most eminent expositor among the Greeks in xi cent. ii. 198.

Theosophists, a sect of philosophers, their origin in xvi cent. and whence, iii. 124; character and opinions, ibid. and r; their contest with Des Cartes, aud moderation, iii. 440.

Therapeutæ, a sect among the Jews, and whether a branch of the Essenes, i. 48; were neither Christians nor Egyptians, according to the opinions of some con-

cerning them, ibid.
Theresa, a Spanish lady, reforms the Carmelites or White Fryars in xvi cent. iii. 148; her associate in this arduous attempt, who, ibid. the success she met with, and hence the division of the Carmelites into two branches, ibid. and r: is sainted in xvii cent. hy Gregory XV. iii. 549.

Thessalonica, Simeon of, account of his

works, ii. 546.

Theurgy, an art adopted by Ammonius's

followers, what, i. 143.

Thomas, Bishop of Heraclea, his second Syriac version of the New Testament, i. 459 and z.

of Strasburg, a scholastic divine

in xiv cent. ii. 488.

Thomasius, vehemently attacks the Peri-patetics in xvii cent. iv. 18; his views, and success of his philosophy at Hall

and other places, 19 and t.

Thorn, a famous meeting, called the Charitable Conference, held here in xvii cent. by eminent doctors of the Reformed Lutheran, and Romish Churches, iii. 467.

Tiberius, proposed Christ to be enrolled

among the Gods, i. 63 and c.

Tien, Shangti, supreme object of worship among the Chinese, 402; its meaning and dispute thereon, ihid. Chinese missionaries permitted by Clement XI. to use this word in making converts, and why, iv. 185.

Tilbury, Gervais of, his character and

works, ii. 340 and o.

Timotheus, his confutation of the various

heresies in vii cent. i. 462.

the Nestorian Pontiff, propagates the Gospel with great success in Hyrcania, and Tartary in viii cent. i. 477.

Tindal, his deism, and hypothesis of, iv.

188 and c.

Titelman, Francis, his commentary on

St. Paul's Epistles, and character of it,

Titius, defends the reputation of Calixtus

after his death, iv. 34.

Toland, John, his character and works, iii. 422; answers to them, ihid. and f; account of his Pantheisticon, 428 u.

his infamous character aud deisti-

cal principles, iv. 188 and c.

Toleration, the act in favour of the Nonconformists in England under William III. iv 110 and h.

Torgaw, famous convocation held at, in xvi cent. iii. 252 and x; consequences

of it, ihid. 253.

Tostatus, Alphonsus, his works and character, ii. 548; voluminous commentaries on the Scriptures worthy of little

notice, 556.

Tournon, Cardinal, carries iuto China the severe edict of Pope Clement XI. against the use of Chinese rites, and the zeal with which he puts it in execution, iv. 185; is cast into prison by the Chinese Emperor, and dies there, ibid.

Trajan, a short character of him, i. 123; prohibits all anonymous libels against the Christians, 124; persecution of the Christians under him, 130; his order to Pliny, and its effects, ibid. a great pa-

tron of learning, 136.

Transubstantiation, doctrine of, introduced by Innocent III. in xiii. cent. ii. 403 adopted by the Greek church in xvii cent. iii. 555; attacked by John Claude, with Arnaud's defence of its antiquity, ihid. other stratagems to prove its antiquity, ibid. 556, k, l.

Transylvania, Socinianism publicly established there, and how, iii. 371.

Trapesond, George of, translates several Grecian authors into Latin, and is a learned advocate for the Latins in xv. cent. ii. 547.

Trent, the project of a council there renewed, iii. 88; conditions on which Maurice, Elector of Saxony, consented to it, 89 c; necessary steps taken hy the Protestants for providing against events, 89; congregation for interpreting decrees of this council, 154; the council for what assembled, and its decisions censured, 155; its decrees how far acknowledged by the members of the church of Rome, 156; afford no clear and perfect knowledge of the Romish faith, 157 and k; measures taken hy it to prevent the reading of the Scriptures, 158; forbid all interpretations of them contrary to, or different from, the sense adopted by the church, 159 and m.

Trials of innocence in is cent. by cold water, ii. 63 and f; by single combat, fire ordeal, and the cross, 63, 64 sub. not. f; whence these methods of de-

ciding doubtful cases and accusations arose, 64 and k; accompanied with the Lord's Supper, 65.

Trigland, raises disputes concerning the power of the civil magistrate in church

affeirs, iv. 114.

Trinity, doctrine of, disputes concerning it arise in iv. cent. i. 314; the church , had frequently decided against the Sabellians as to a real difference of the persons; but not as to its nature, ibid. Origen's opinion about the Trinity embraced by many Christians, 315; what that is, and its dangerous tendency in the hands of unskilful judges, ibid. if one of the Blessed Trinity way be said to have suffered, debated, i. 428 and u; hence, whether Christ's body should be considered as compounded, 429; controversies concerning it in xviii cent. and between whom, iv. 210 and z: its incomprchensibility, whence no way of terminating the controversics about it, and Bishop Stillingfleet's excellent admonition concerning them, 213 sub.

Trinity, fraternity of, instituted in xiii. cent. ii. 366; called also Mathurins, and whence, 367; their primitive austerity gradually lessened, ibid. and m.

Tripoli, Philip of, a translator and interpreter of Aristotle in xiii. cent. ii 343. Tritleists, their tenets, and rise in vi. cent. i. 437; their division into the Philopo-

nists and Cononites, 438. Trithemius, restores learning in xv cent. ii.

513.

Trivium, a term invented in the times of barbarism, to express the three sciences first learned in the schools, viz. Grammar, Rhetoric, and Logic, ii. 139 and k; few proceeded beyond this in their studies, till toward the eleventh century, ibid.

Turks, their successful incursions into the East in viii cent. i. 484; subdue the Saracens and Greeks, ibid, ruin the affairs of the Saracens in Persia in x cent. ii. 83; take Constantinople in xv. cent. and hence Christianity received an ir-

recoverable blow, ii. 509.

Turlupins, brothren of the free spirit. so called in xiii cent. but whence uncer-

tain, ii. 429 and L.

Turrecremata. John de. an eminent scholastic writer in xv. cent. ii. 549; writes against and refutes the Saracens, 559.

Type, or Formulary, published by Constans the Emperor, occasioned warm disputes in vii cent. i. 468; this, with the Ecthesis, are condemned by Pope Martin, who is imprisoned at Naxos by the Emperor, and the turbulent monks banished to Bizyca, 469.

tists, their founder and rise in xvii cent. iv. 164; doctrine and rigid discipline, ibid. odd hypothesis about Judas's salvation, ibid. scrupulously adhere to their original founder Menno's tenets, 165; customs among them, ibid.

Udalric, Bishop of Augshurg, the first person solemuly sainted by the Pope, ii.

35, 109 and x.

Uke Walles, founder of the Uckewallists, his character and strange doctrine, iv. 164; is banished the city of Groningen, and excluded from the communion of the Anahaptists, 165; propagates his opinions in East Friesland, and success,

Uladislaus IV. king of Poland, his plan of religious union, iv. 9; ordered a con-ference to be held at Thorn for this purpose, but unsuccessful, ibid.

Ulgerius, Bishop of Angers, founds an acadeiny there in xii. cent. ii 248; the civil law principally studied in it. ibid.

Ulphilus, Bishop of the Goths, the eminent service he did Christianity and his country in iv. cent, i 263 and t.

Understanding, men of, their rise in xv cent. ii. 567; founders, who, ibid. their principles reprehensible, and deemed heretical, ibid. 568.

Uniformity, act of, issued out by Queen Elizabeth, iii. 285; another by Charles

II. more rigorous, iv. 110 f.

Unigenitus, famous Bull of Pope Clement XI. so called, and consequence of it, iv. 190; opposed, and by whom, with the divisions it excited, 192.

Unitarians, their religious principles changed by Socinus, iii. 370. See Socinians.

United provinces, whence they became united, iii. 97; zealous in the cause of the Reformation, 98 and o; how and when delivered from the Spanish yoke, ibid, and p; an universal toleration of religious sentiments permitted, ibid. and q, r.

Universalists. hypothetical, controversy excited by them, in xvii cent and summary of their doctrinc. iv. S3 and 1.

Urban H. Pope, his character, ii. 181 and p; assembles a conneil at Clermont, and lays the foundation for a new crusade, 182; forbids the bishops and clergy to take oaths of allegiance to their sovereigns, ibid.

- IV. Pope, institutes the festival of the hody of Christ, ii. 359; confers the kingdom of Naples upon Charles, brother to Lewis IX. of France, in xiii cent ibid.

· VI Pope, his detestable character, ii. 463; the legality of his election deuied, and another Pontiff elected, 464. - VIII. Pope, Barberini, founder of the seminary pro propaganda Fide, in xvi. cent. iii. 384; his character and

learned works 450 and d; attempts to

Uckercallists, a sect of the rigid Anabap-

unite the Greek and Latin churches,

Uries, Gerard de, opposes Roell in xvii cent. and consequence, iv 120.

Ursinus, his form of instruction, and known under the title of the Catechism of Heidelberg, iii 280

Ursulines, nunnery of, iii. 151.

Val Ombroso, a congregation of Benedictine monks founded there in xi cent. ii. 186; their discipline propagated in several parts of Italy, ibid and a.

Valentine, the founder of a very powerful sect of Herctics in ii cent. i. 182; his principles, 183; idle dreams, ibid. followers divide into several sects, with their names, 185.

Valerian, peace and persecution of the

Christians, i. 199.

Valla, Laurentius, his grammatical and critical annotations on the New Testa-

ment, with their use, ii. 556.

Vandals, in Africa, horrid barbarity against the Christians in v cent. i. 374; the miracle said to be performed at this time, examined, ibid. and h.

Vanini, Julius Cæsar, his impious treatises, and fate, iii. 424 and k, l; his

apologists, 425 and m.

Vararenes, King of Persia, persecutes the Christians in v. cent. i. 340; his enmity against them how accounted for, ibid. Vayer, de la Mothe, a sceptical philoso-pher in xvii. cent. iii. 448 and w.

Vendome, Geoffry of, his epistles and dis-

sertations extant, ii. 282.

Matthew of, account of, ii. 340. Venice, secret assemblies of Socialians held there, iii. 360 and 1; rupture of its inhabitants with Pope Paul, iii. 482; consequences of it, 483.

Veri, Anthony, success of the Romish missions in xviii cent. under his direc-

F tion, iv. 184.

Veron, the Jesuit, one of the Popish Methodists in xvii cent. iii. 473; his method of managing controversy, ibid-

and b, c.

Verschorists, a Dutch seet, their rise in xvii cent. iv. 123; their founder Jacob Verschoor, and his impious tenets, ibid. why called Hebrews, ibid. their common doctrine the same with the Hattemists, 124.

Vicelinus, of Hamelan, his great character, ii. 231 and l, m; converts the Selavonians in xii cent ibid.

Vicenza, Socinians held secret assemblies

there, iii. 360 and l.

Victor, Bishop of Rome, sends an imperious letter to the churches of Asia, i. 168; his orders rejected by them, who hereupon are excommunicated by him, ibid. 169; is opposed by Polycrates, Bishop of Ephesus, ihid.

- of Capua, character of his Chain

upon the four Gospels, i. 421.

- Hugh of St. treated of all the branches of sacred and profane erudi-tion known in xii. cent. and was distinguished by his great genius, ii. 282 and g; his allegorical exposition of the Old and New Testament, 290.

Richard of St. an eminent mystic in xii cent. ii. 282; his mystical Ark, 290; opposes the scholastic divines with

great vehemence, 294.

- Walter of St. character of his expositions, ii. 290; a bitter enemy to the Schoolmen, 294 and p.

Victorinus' explications lost, i. 219.

Videtius, his disputes concerning the power of the magistrate in ecclesiastical matters, iv. 114.

Vigilantius, attacks the superstition of the fifth cent. i. 366; his controversy with Jerome unsuccessful, and why, 367.

Vigilius, of Tapsus, his character, i. 356. Vigilius, Bishop of Rome, often changes sides in his determinations about the three chapters, i. 427.

Viles, John Baptist, his zeal and munisieence toward founding at Rome the college for propagating the faith, iii,

Villa Dea, Alexander de, considered as the best grammarian in xiii cent. ii. 341; his writings prove the ignorance of grammatical knowledge that prevailed at this time, ibid.

Villa Nova, Arnold of, his extensive knowledge, ii. 345, and f; his ill treat-

ment, ibid.

Vincent, of Lerins, his treatise against the sects, entitled Commonitorium, and the reputation acquired by it in v cent. i. 356 and w.

of Beauvais, an historian in xiii

cent. ii. 340.

of Ferrara, a mystic in xv cent. ii. 549; his works enthusiastic, 558.

Viret, an eminent writer among the Reformed in xvi cent. iii. 319.

Vitriaco, Jacobus de, his character, ii. 340, oriental history, and fame acquired by it in xiii cent. 400.

Voet, Gilbert, his disputes about some trifling points of discipline and usury, iv.

114; founder of the Voetian sect of philosophers, and account of them,

115. Volusianus, persecution under him, i. 199. Volusius, a Theologist of Mentz, his reconeiling attempt, iii. 469 and q.

Voragin, Jacobus de, his history of the Lombards, and the reputation he acquired by it, in xiii cent. ii. 400 and f.

Vulgate, account of that Latin Bible, iii. 158, and l; solemnly adopted by the council of Trent, and why, ibid.

Wake, Archbishop of Canterbury, his learned answer to Bossuet's exposition 482 . INDEX.

of the Roman Catholic faith, iii. 470 sub. not u; his project of union with the Gallican church grossly misrepre-sented by Dr. Mosheim, who from hence forms an unjust judgment of the spirit of the church of England, iv 203 r; his opinion concerning dissenters from episcopacy, 206 u; forms a project of union between the English and Gallican churches; and on what conditions, ibid. 207; assists Father Conraver in his defence of the validity of English ordinations, and with what views, 207 w; a circumstantial account of the correspondence carried on between him and certain French doctors, relative to the union, iv. 226; defends the Protestant cause against Bossuet, with encomiums on him, ibid. is accused by the author of the Confessional, and upon what foundation, Kioruingius's account of his correspondence with the French doctors, whence Dr Mosheim formed his notions of it, what, and egregiously erroncous, ibid. e; three circumstances or conclusions drawn from anthentic papers relative to this correspondence in defence of Dr. Wake, iv 228, 229; the contents of his first letter to Mr. Beauvoir, by which he is cleared from the imputation of being the first mover in this project of union, 229; observations on the answer to it, wherein the first overtures of the abovementioned project are expressed, ibid. f, 230, writes another letter to Mr. Beauvoir, and makes handsome mention of Dr. Du Pin, ibid. the author of the Confessional's suspicion hereupon and proved groundless, ihid. h; other objections in the Confessional, particularly the supposed concessions by the Archbishop answered, 231 sub. not. h; he receives a letter of thanks from Dr. Du Pin, who intimates his desire of an union between the English and Gallican churches, 230, 232; his answer to Dr. Du Pin, expressing his readiness to concur in such an union, and remarks thercon, 232, ohservations on a remarkable discourse delivered in the Sarhonne, relative to the project of union, and by whom, 233; his answer to Du Pin communicated to the Cardinal Noailles, who greatly admired it, 234; receives a second letter from Do Pin, and a copy of Girardin's discourse, with his ill opinion of the progress of the union, ibid. the Sarbonne doctors form a plan of reconciliation, with the uncertainty of their motives, ibid. is informed of Du Pin's making an essay toward the union, and that his letters were highly approved, with observations on the Protestant spirit, which reigns in them,

ibid, his remarkable expression on the necessity of the concurrence of the state in the projected union, 235; receives Du Pin's Commonitorium, ibid. the contents of which are reduced to three heads, and what those are, with a compendious account of it, ibid. 236; an observation of Du Pin, how the union may be completed without the Pope's consent or consulting him, and his admonition concerning it, 239 and m; rejects the Commonitorium, refuses to comply with its proposals, and observes upon what terms an union must be effected, 240; commends the candour and openness in the Commonitorium, he refuses giving his sentiments at large concerning it, 241; his principal views in this correspondence, with a defence of his conduct relative to the Commonitorium, ibid. his sentiments on the primacy of the Bishop of Rome. 242; his project of union explained, ihid. his hopes of the Gallican church's separation from that of Rome, and whence, 243; weighty obstacles to this scparation, ibid. defence of the secrecy observed in this correspondence, 244 and y; the correspondence divulged and the consequence, ibid. and a; is informed thereof by Mr. Beauvoir: the correspondence is suspended, with his doubtful sentiments about the event, ibid. his letter to Du Pin, who dies before the receipt of it, regretting the ill success of the projected union, ibid. writes to Mr. Beauvoir, before he had heard of Du Pin's death, on the same subject, and expresses his hopes of renewing their good design, ibid. observations on Du Pin's account of this correspondence left behind him, which seemed to intimate that the Archbishop was the first mover in this project of union, 246; and the promise of the former to rectify it, who was prevented by death from doing it, ibid. a faint correspondence carried on with Girardin, but without success, ibid. impartial conclusions drawn from the preceding account of the correspondence, ibid. his charita-ble correspondence with the Protestant churches abroad, 247; his letter to Le Clerc, expressing his affections for them, and desire of their union with the church of England, ib. his exhortatory letter to the pastors and professors of Geneva, and account of, 248; letters to Professor Schurer of Berne, and Turretin of Geneva, full of moderation and charity, ibid. remarkable letter, to M. Jablonski of Poland, with the two questions proposed by the latter, that occasioned this letter, ibid. 249; account of his conduct with relation to the Dissenters, and defence, ibid. makes

no attempts to unite them to the church of England, with the reasons, ihid. his change of conduct with respect to them whom he at first defended, and afterward opposed, partly accounted for, 250; this sufficiently vindicated, and by whom, ibid his great character, as drawn from the preceding accounts of his charitable correspondence with different Christian churches, and the declaration of a learned divine concerning him, 251 and i; authentic copies of the original letters relative to his correspondence with the French doctors, 252, 271; extract of his letter to Mr. Le Clerc, 272; his letter to the pastors and professors of Geneva, 273; to Professor Schurer, 276, 278; to Professor Turretin, ihid. to Mr. Jablonski, 280.

Waldeck, Count, retakes Munster from the fanatics, and puts their king to death,

iii. 330.

Waldemar I. King of Denmark, his zeal for propagating Christianity in xii cent. ii. 228; conversions among the Sclavonians and the Isle of Rugen by his arms, and the ministry of Absalom of

Lunden, ihid. and b.

Waldenses, their origin in xii cent. various names and history, ii. 314, 315; amazing success owing to the innocence of their lives, 315; distinguished from the inhabitants of Piedmont, 316 g; their doctrine, discipline, and views, 316, 317; formed into a sect, not through a spirit of opposition, but intention to restore primitive piety, 318 i; adopt the three orders of Bishops, Priests, and Deacons, in church discipline, ibid. and k; think it necessary these persons should exactly rescrible the apostles of Christ, ibid. and l; their laity divided into two classes, and different sentiments among them concerning the Romish church, and the possession of worldly goods, ihid. and 319 m; increase in xiv. cent. 489; their state and settlement in xv cent. 563; account of their reformation in xvi cent. iii. 398; persecuted by the! Dukes of Savoy in xvii cent. iv. 70 and t.

Walenburg, two polemic divines of this name in the Romish church in xvii cent. unfair in managing controversics, iii.

473 and e.

Wallis, contributes to the progress of na-

tural knowledge, iii. 446.

Walter, head of the Beghards in xiv cent.

his fate and character, ii. 501 and e. Wansleb, John Michael, is sent upon the mission to Abyssinia, hy Ernest of Saxe Gotha, in xvii cent. iii. 561; neglects his mission, ihid. turns Romanist, and enters the Dominican order, with the reason, ihid, and x.

Warner, Dr. character of his Ecclesiasti-

cal History, i. 462 e.

Wars, holy, the first plan laid for them in

x cent. ii. 92; and renewed in xi cent. 122; the first of them began at the solicitations of Peter the Hermit, and Pope Urban II. and its progress, 122, 123; why called Crusades, 124; history of the first, ibid. the melancholy sonsequences arising from them, and their legality examined, 128 and z. 129, 130 and a, b; their unhappy effects on religion, ibid. 131, 132 and f, g; the unfortunate issue of the second of them, ii. 236; and cause, ihid. history of the third, 237, 238: promoted by the Popes, and why, 324; attempts to renew them in xiv cent. unsuccessful, 441.

Warsaw, terrible law against the Socinians here, and how exceuted, iv. 170.

Waterland, Dr opposes Dr. Clarke's sentiments concerning the Trinity, iv. 212; sub not. z; censured as a Semitritheist,

and whence, ibid.

Waterlandians, a sect of Anabaptists in xvi cent. iii. 337 and y; draw up and lay before the public a summary of their doctrine, 339; their respect for learning 345; abandon the severe discipline and opinions of Menno, iv. 166; divided into two sects with their names, ibid. account of their ecclesiastical government, ihid.

Wayen, John Vander, flaming dissension hetween him and Frederic Spanheim,

with the occasion, iv. 114.

Weller, opposes Calixtus in xvii cent. iv. 32. Wertheim Translation of the Bible, and divisions occasioned by it, iv. 201 and m.

Wesselus, John, called the light of the world from bis extraordinary genius and penctration, ii. 549; consured the Romish church with freedom and candour in xv cent. ibid.

Westphal, Joachim, renews the controversy on the Eucharist, and how he conducted it, iii. 269 and h; is answered by Calvin, 270; the consequences, ihid.

Westphalia, involved in calamities by the fanatics in xvi cent. iii. 231; famous peace of, 460.

Whiston, William, defends the doctrine of the Arians in xviii cent. iv. 210 and z; is opposed and treated with severity, and censure on this account, 211, sub. 110t. Z.

Whitby, Dr. account of his dissertation on the manner of interpreting the Scrip-

tures, iv. 74 y.

While, Thomas, his notions and works, iii. 547 and t; doctrine condemned at Rome, ibid. and embraced by some, ib.

Whitefield, George, his ministerial labours and great views, iv. 207, 208; his doctrine seems reducible to two propositions, and what these are, ibid.

Whitehead, a famous writer among the

Quakers, iv. 154. sub. not. b.

Wickliff, John, a violent opposer of the Mendicants in xiv cent. ii. 468; attacks the monks and papal authority, thid. refutes many absurd and superstitious notions in his times, ibid exhorts the people to the study of the Scriptures, and gives a free translation of them, ibid, his adversaries, who, ibid, 469; opiuions condemned, partly as heretical, partly as erroneous, 469 and g; dies peaceably, and by what means he escaped unpunished uncertain, ibid, and h; leaves many followers, who are persecuted by the inquisition, ibid, 470; his writings and ashes committed to the flames by the council of Constance, 529. Wigelins, Lutheran Doctor, goes over to

the Paracelsists, iii. 222.

Valentiue, his writings censured

as erroneous, iv. 58.

Wilhelmina, her extravagant notions, and what these are, ii. 436; is admired, ib. a sect founded to support her tenets, is crushed by the inquisition, 437 and f.

William the Conqueror, a great patron of learning, ii. 137; rejects the Pope's order of submission to the See of Rome, 162 and f.

Prince of Orange, procures a toleration for the Mennonites, iii. 347.

ociety for propagating the Chritsian religion in foreign parts, iii. 411 and f; his act of tolcration in favour of the Nonconformists, iv. 110; deprives Sancroft and seven other Bishops of their Sees, for refusing the oath of allegiance to him, and the event, 111 and ii and iii.

Willebrord, an Anglo Saxon, his zeal in propagating Christianity in vii cent. i. 441; is accompanied by others in this undertaking, ibid. his own and the motives of his followers in this design ex-

amined, 442.

Wirekir, Nigel, an English bard, his satire

upon the Monks, ii. 273 d.

Wisnovius, Stanislans follows Farnovius

iu his schism, iii. 382.

Witnesses of the Truth, those so called who attempted a reformation in ix cent. ii. 196; their landable opposition against the superstition of the church more vehement than prudent, 197; errors consequent on their ill-grounded notions, ibid.

Wittenberg, tumult raised there by Carolostadt, iii. 232 and g; but appeased by Luther, ibid magistrates of, banish

Huber, and for what, 259.

Wlodomir, first Christian duke of Russia, ii. 76; a high saint among the Russians, but not acknowledged as such by the

Latins, ibid.

Wolf, his philosophy and that of Leibnitz detrimental to Arminianism, and how, iv. 144, ce; applied to the illustration of the Scriptures by some German divines, ibid. sub. ce; reduces the science of Metaphysics to a scien-

tific order, and brings it to great perfection, 200.

Worms, diet held there in xii cent. concerning investitures, ii 262; conditions made relative to this dispute, ibid. the edict passed against Luther at a diet held in xvi cent. and disapproved, iii. 44, r.

Worship, public, its form in iv cent. i. 303, 304 and s; its variety of liturgies, whence, ibid. changes introduced into many of its parts, ibid. consisted in little more than a pompous round of external coromonies in xvi cent. iii. 22; wretched sermons, and common place subjects, ibid. with the true cause of the people's ignorance, superstition, and corruption of manners, 23; hence a reformation in the church ardently desi-

red, and how far, ibid.

____ Latin, in iii cent. i. 213.

xiii cent. 399.
xiv cent. 488.
xv cent. 547.
Oriental, in iii cent. i. 212.

- vi cent. 416.

Х.

Navier, Francis, his character, iii. 117 and f; his zeal and success in propagating the Gospel in India and Japan, ibid. is sainted by Urban VIII. in avii cent. iii. 549.

Xenaias, of Hierapolis, his hypothesis

concerning the body of Christ, i. 437; and adopted by the Abyssinians, iii. 191. Ximenius, Rodericus, an eminent histori-

an in xiii cent. ii. 340.

Xunchi, Chinese Emperor, his death retards for a time the progress of Christianity in China, iii. 396.

Zachary, Pope, deposes Childeric III. king of France, and gives his kingdom

to Pepin, i. 495, 496 and q.

Zanchius, Jerome, revives the controversy concerning predestination at Strasburg, iii. 271; attached to the sentiments of Calvin, ibid.

Zealand, clergy and magistrates of, oppose the toleration of the Mennonites,

iii. 347.

Zeno. the Emperor, publishes his Henot. icon for reconciliation in v cent. i. 338; the reception it met with, various, ibid.

Zigabenus, Euthymius, his character and works, ii. 281 and z; commentaries, 289; Panoplia, in which he attacks all the various sects of heresies, 298.

Zinzendorf, Count, founds the sect of the Herrenbutters in xviii cent iv 198; his pernicious notions, and their bad consequence to Christian morality, 199 sub. not. l

Ziska, the famous head of the Hussites in xv cent. ii. 552; though blind, discovers in his conduct great intrepidity tempered with prudence, ibid.

Zonaras, John, his character, ii. 246; works, 281.

Zosimus, account of his history, in which he reviles the Christians, and loads them with unjust reproaches, in v cent. 341.

- Pope, first protects, and then condemns Pelagius and Cœlestine, i. 393 and d.

Zuingle, Ulric, begins the Reformation in Switzerland, iii. 38; his great character and zeal for the Reformation, 39 and i, k: if inferior to Luther, ihid. l; his resolution and success against Samson the monk, ibid. gets the Pope's supremacy denied in Switzerland, 40; his blemishes considered, ibid. his doctrine of the Eucharist, 50 and iii. 274; which is embraced in Switzerland, 50 and a; clears himself from accusations of heresy to the satisfaction of Luther, 59; is founder of the Reformed church, and his character, 264; aimed at simplicity of worship, hut perhaps went too far. 265 and t; differed from Luther in several points, ibid. and controversy with him about the eucharist, ihid. his example followed by Oecolampadius, and both opposed by Luther, 266; conference between him and Luther. and other doctors, at Marpurg, and truce consented to, ibid. falls in battle, 266; censure of the Lutherans here, 267 and a; his doctrine and discipline corrected by Calvin in three points, 276; exposition of part of the New Testament, 310 and k; hook ahout true

and false religion, 311.

Zurich, doctrine of Carolostadt propagated there in xvi cent. iii. 233; war between the Protestants of this place and the Roman Cotholies, 266; church established here obstituately maintained Zuingle's doctrine of the Eucharist, and opposed Calvin's notions of predestina-

tion, 279.





